

Representation emails and On-line
Application Number : 'WSCC/015/18/NH' , From Date : '15-MAR-2018' , To Date : '18-DEC-2018'

	Name	Address	Rep Response	Received Date	Representation Comment	
1	Dave Griffin	-	Concern Raised	19-MAR-2018	No in incinerator please way to near people of Horsham We don't want to breathe fumes in	
2	Mr Michael Symes	97, Trafalgar Road, Horsham, RH12 2QL	Object	19-MAR-2018	I object to the proposed incinerator as I believe it discourages recycling and it is not the answer to reducing pollution. Dioxins in the air are harmful and ash waste is also dangerous.	
3	Dr Richard Coldicott	St. Mark's House, North Heath lane, Horsham, RH12 5PJ	Object	19-MAR-2018	In relation to planning application WSCC/015/18/NH, I would like to register my objection to the application by Britaniacrest Recycling Ltd to build a recycling, recovery and renewable energy facility and ancillary infrastructure on Langhurstwood Road. I believe the siting of this major recycling and incinerator plant so close to the new Liberty Property Trust housing development and the existing housing in North Horsham is wholly inappropriate, when we know the increase in pollutant levels (albeit within present EU guideline) will increase the incident rates of asthmatic attacks for those with existing breathing issues. As the church minister for the Parish of Holbrook I have many worried parishioners who are concerned about the effects such a plant will have on their quality of life. Indeed at the recent event in the North Horsham Parish Council building, a member of the Britaniacrest Team conceded that if inhaler users just used their inhalers more often there would be no problem. As an asthmatic myself I think such an answer is not acceptable. I agree with the need for better recycling facilities but a coastal location where the prevailing winds will carry the nitrous oxides away from shore is preferable. As someone who has a Doctorate in Chemistry I know full well the affects that these pollutants have on breathing and feel the council must reject this proposal for the sake of all who might be affected both in the short and long term by this unwelcome proposal. Yours sincerely, Revd Dr Richard Coldicott Vicar of St. Mark's, Parish of Holbrook	
4	Wendy Allen	-	Object	19-MAR-2018	I am writing to object to the plans for an incinerator at Horsham. Waste is being reduced with more being recycled. It is not good forward planning to build an incinerator at this time. Concerns about air pollution are one reason and questions about the need for it are another. The size also seems excessive. There is feeling among MPs that we need a moratorium on building incinerators. Yours faithfully, Wendy Allen MA, MRSB	
5	Jennifer Barraclough	-	Comment Made	19-MAR-2018	I'm not actually objecting to having an incinerator near my home. As I have the awful smell from the tip already where I live and the lorries clogging up the A264 I would like to know if any other sites which are more easily accessible have been looked at and for what reason they were rejected. It seems ridiculous to me to put it where the tip site already causes local problems and other sites like Hop Oast are already taking large vehicles with easy access from the duel carriageway. I acknowledge I'm coming to the problem without first getting the full facts but when the media seem so against the proposed site they don't seem to give a balanced argument. Jenny Barraclough	
6	Nicollete Adams	-	Object	19-MAR-2018	Dear Sir, I would like to put in writing my objection to the planning application for the new incinerator for Horsham built by Britaniacrest. Regards Nicolette Adams	
7	Robert Acteson	-	Object	19-MAR-2018	I'd like to register my objection to the plans for a new incinerator in Warnham. I don't believe the area needs it at all and it risks spoiling and polluting an area of natural beauty. Kind regards, Robert Acteson	
8	Sally Eve	Saddlers Well, Southwater Street, Southwater, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 9BN	Object	19-MAR-2018	Dear planning department, Horsham and Southwater have suffered enough in the name of progress. Please don't denigrate our once green and pleasant area with the development of an incinerator. Our air pollution because of the unique geography is one of the highest already, coupled with the proximity to Gatwick. No more please! Asthma incidence is too high. The health and overdevelopment issues out weigh any benefits. Stop! Mrs S R Eve	
9	Mr & Mrs David & Wendy Griffin	44, Thelton Avenue, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3LT	Object	19-MAR-2018	To build the proposed incinerator facility at this time is madness, I think. With all the clean energy projects this proposal is not required. Also, with my COPD, air quality is important to me and this plant does nothing to assist me. My wife and I strongly object. Regards David & Wendy Griffin 44 Thelton Avenue Broadbridge Heath RH12 3LT	
10	Miss Karen Munro	30, Dufold Road, Horsham, RH12 5HZ	Object	20-MAR-2018	Please listen to your residents - we don't want this in our town!! Enough, is enough...	
11	Mr Daniel Stevens	86, Littlehaven Lane, Horsham, RH12 4JB	Object	20-MAR-2018	Air quality and road infrastructure - objections are made upon.	
12	Mr David Munden	Henley's Barn, Station Rd, Warnham, West Sussex, RH12 3SP	Object	20-MAR-2018	The size and scale of this building is totally inappropriate for the proposed site. It is the size of a small Power Station and because it is so large it seems Britaniacrest havnt even attempted to landscape it into the surrounding countryside. As my property is so close to the site I will look out of my east facing windows directly onto what can only be described as a monstrous carbuncle in what at present is beautiful Sussex countryside. The couple of industrial sites that are in the locality have been symphateclally designed into the surrounding rural landscape. Not so this structure as it's chimney is so tall you'd need a forest of 1000yr old Redwoods to screen it out. The current Brickyard pumps out plumes regularly throughout the night, has any thought been given to the combined pollution this will cause if this is going to be running 24/7 as suggested as I understand the infant mortality studies have not been conclusive and if there is any element of doubt then this sort of plant should be kicked right into touch in this sort of location especially as there is about to be 2500 new builds and school right in its shadow.. An industrial estate in a coastal area would be a far more appropriate place and I'm not taking the WSCC Chichester's approach	

					of support for a site ¿just not in my back yard ¿this just logically makes more sense with a 20mile fallout range a good proportion of which would be out to sea.	
13	Miss Alison Diver	86, Littlehaven Lane, Horsham, RH12 4JB	Object	20-MAR-2018	Objection. Air pollution, visual impact, recycling targets not going to be met. I object under these reasons.	
14	Mr Paul Tipton	1, Springfield Park Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH122PW	Object	20-MAR-2018	-	
15	Mrs Emma Alldridge	11, Kempshott Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2EX	Object	21-MAR-2018	I object to the building of the Britannia recycle and waste facility on the old brickworks. I object because although it states it will recycle the size of the recycling plant is very small compared to the actual size of the plant. The boiler rooms are double the size. Horsham does not need a waste facility of this size. The waste that will be burnt here will decrease the air quality for the people and wildlife of Horsham. Environmentally we should be changing the way we view waste and encouraging recycling not burning of waste. The chimney will be visible to all areas in an area of natural beauty. The wildlife surrounding the area will be affected and decrease in all areas. The extra trucks on the road will increase the traffic to an area that is already planning on building extra homes and where the current main road cannot cope with the amount of traffic already it.	
16	Mrs Beverley Evans	Tillington Cottage, Farthings Walk, Farthings Hill, Horsham, RH12 1WR	Object	21-MAR-2018	Dear Sir, I would like to record my disapproval of this planning application mainly because of the lorries that will be on this road...it will cause such congestion and accidents with all movement of vehicles. Will also be a blight on the countryside build some houses instead! B Evans	
17	Ms Angela Simms	4, Statuion Road, Warnham, RH12 3SR	Concern Raised	21-MAR-2018	Hi, I am a resident of Station Road, Warnham, and am very disappointed at the incredibly short time we are being given to look at this application. Please could you consider an extension to allow any interested parties to have a proper look at this information. This is a planning decision that could affect Horsham for years to come and needs to be given adequate consideration. At the moment this process seems to only benefit those submitting the application who have had months to put together their planning pack. Thank you, Angela Simms	
18	Mr Philip Davey	32, Charrington Way, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3TH	Support	21-MAR-2018	I fully support the application for a recycling and energy generation facility; WSCC/015/18/NH - Former Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD It is time that we stopped sweeping our rubbish under the carpet of the countryside. The ever growing mountain of landfill rubbish at Langhurstwood, Warnham will in time be a shameful monument to our foolish decisions to bury our waste. Future generations will come to pay the price of these decisions and the lasting impact they have on pollution and unnecessary wastage of valuable countryside. The site is out of town and perfectly suited considering previous land uses in the area. Access to the site has been proven over the many years of usage for land-fill. The buildings should blend in well and be in a great part hidden by the enormous waste mountain that has been growing bigger and taller over the last couple of decades. Now is the perfect opportunity to start to incinerate waste with the fantastic by-product of electricity production. If the process proves successful for commercial waste, hopefully those in authority will start to use it to dispose of domestic waste too and produce even more electricity. Philip Michael Davey	
19	Mr Simon Coles	22, Wagtail Close	Concern Raised	21-MAR-2018	My Name is Simon Coles, My address is 22 wagtail Close, Horsham, RH125HL. My comments are that this area in Horsham is under immense pressure for housing. With the new housing being agreed the last thing that is needed is a renewable energy facility and waste burning. I understand these things are needed but so are houses and housing and burning of waste should not be together. I think that the extra smell, smoke and blight on the landscape at a time when the space and resourcing is better used for housing is not a very good idea. The increased traffic bringing waste to the plant will also put extra stress on the road system. Regards Simon	
20	Philip Kemp	-	Object	21-MAR-2018	Dear Sam We have commented on this planning application several times. Our objections and the reasons for them have been registered at other stages and versions of this plan. We won¿t be participating or commenting again. The planning application process is just too tiring. If the council want to register and acknowledge our views about any part of this application then they already have it. Repeated applications, withdrawals and amendments are just designed to frustrate local residents who, like me are fed up of the whole process. It¿s a bad application and should be refused. Yours faithfully Philip Kemp	
21	Mrs Danielle Wisniewski	17, Speedwell Way, Horsham, RH12 5WA	Object	21-MAR-2018	Pollution.	
22	Mr Alan Goddard	24, Speedwell Way, Horsham, RH12 5WA	Object	21-MAR-2018	I am writing to object to the proposed extension of the Britanniacrest site at Langhurstwood, to process waste with an incinerator. I understand that waste has to be processed but use of this technology puts a blight on those residents' lives living in the vicinity. I am complaining on the following grounds: 1. Environmental hazards. The process causes air pollution, of which we have plenty already given the amount of kerosene fall off from Gatwick and the pollution from the increased number of cars along the A24. The chimney height will ensure that this pollution is spread far and wide across Horsham and neighbouring Mole Valley. 2. Residents health. The resulting pollution from site traffic and the incinerator itself would be detrimental to residents' quality of life. is also a suggested link between children's health and the onset of asthma and allergies related to air pollution and this would certainly be a worry. 3. Increased traffic. The processing would require more site vehicles accessing the site leading to an increase in road and site noise, and potential surface damage to the narrow lanes in the area. 4. Increased noise. The resulting noise from site traffic and the incinerator itself would increase and be detrimental to residents' quality of life. The plans for the site are no more feasible than they were for Capel, just a couple of miles down the road; why not learn from that experience.	
23	Mrs Liz Goddard	24, Speedwell Way, Horsham, RH12 5WA	Object	21-MAR-2018	I strongly object to the proposed development of the Britanniacrest site at Wealden Works, to process waste with an incinerator. I understand that waste has to be processed but use of this dubious technology puts a blight on those residents' lives living in the vicinity. I am complaining on the following grounds: 1. Real Environmental hazards. The process causes air pollution, of which we have plenty already given the amount of kerosene fall off from Gatwick and the pollution from the increased number of cars along the A24 and 264. The chimney height will certainly ensure that this pollution is spread far and wide across Horsham (north and town and district) and towards neighbouring Mole Valley.	

					<p>2. Residents health. The resulting pollution from site traffic and the incinerator itself would be detrimental to residents' quality of life. There is also a suggested link between children's health and the onset of asthma and allergies related to air pollution and this would certainly be a worry. New homes being built just along the way would be blighted too.</p> <p>3. Increased hgv traffic. The processing would require more site vehicles accessing the site leading to an increase in road and site noise, and potential surface damage to the narrow lanes in the area.</p> <p>4. Increased noise. The resulting noise from site traffic and the incinerator itself would increase and be detrimental to residents' quality of life.</p> <p>5. Out of scale for the area. Why does this monstrous thing need to be in Horsham ?.....its not our rubbish or our industrial waste.....so why dump it and burn it here !</p> <p>The plans for the site are no more feasible than they were for Capel, just a couple of miles down the road; why not learn from that experience.</p>	
24	Mr Jon Hughes	11, Stoneybrook, Horsham, RH12 1UN	Object	21-MAR-2018	<p>So many reasons to object....</p> <p>Increase in pollution</p> <p>Infrastructure not there</p> <p>Ruin the image of a nice town</p> <p>Location will increase traffic on a dangerous stretch of road</p> <p>Health issues for our children</p> <p>Eyesore</p>	
25	Mrs Lauren Hughes	11, Stoneybrook, Horsham, RH12 1UN	Object	21-MAR-2018	<p>I completely object to these proposed plans. Not only will it be a complete eyesore but, and this is my major concern, the fumes and pollution caused will significantly affect the air quality in the surrounding areas. We have no idea about the long term health effects of these fumes and they could cause a serious issue for those with existing respiratory issues. I'm disgusted that we are being made to object to this once again, when many people have already done so.</p>	
26	Mr Richard Smith	17, The Marches, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SY	Object	21-MAR-2018	<p>Whilst there has been a reduction to the height of the facility, it's capacity and chimney height has not changed. The facility is not of a scale not appropriate for its location, remains a blight on the landscape. This past winter with the cold climate has only strengthen the evidence of the pollution, and odours that the existing facilities impact to their surroundings.</p> <p>With plans for all the new housing, schools and businesses to be built in near proximity, it is astonishing that such plans have been resubmitted with minimal consideration of the concerns expressed by the local residents and other stakeholders. Horsham District Council are encouraging growth in recycling, incinerators are ¿waste hungry¿ to fuel the facility which is counter to this strategy, a flaw in my view for the scale of the facility required. It needs to be substantially scaled down and located to a more appropriate site location for such a 24/7 facility, with better access and importantly away from close proximity to population to mitigate risks to public health.</p> <p>Whole issue of 3R plants is in question by the Government based on the present performances of several of these facilities that have come into service.</p>	
27	Mr David Rann	5, Revell Drive, Fetcham, Leatherhead, KT22 9PS	Object	21-MAR-2018	<p>You may wonder why as I live in Surrey that I am objecting to the plan,why? My grandsons live next to this, and it is bad enough now with all the smell.</p> <p>As a lay person I have looked at the plans and can not see anything that is different. When mother natures takes a turn foe the worse, and that can happen any time,Horsham could be covered in all the pollution from the site, also you have put forward plans to build a new estate right on top of it, that does not make sense, yes they are needed but not in a built up area that Horsham is becoming.</p>	
28	Mr Byron Roberts	16, Firs Close, Horsham, RH121GD	Object	22-MAR-2018	<p>Completely out of keeping with the surrounding area. Unacceptable amounts of lorry movement. An eyesore.</p>	
29	Ms Caroline Debansi	7, Station road, Warnham, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3SR	Object	22-MAR-2018	<p>Regarding the proposed incinerator ref WSCC/015/18/N</p> <p>It would be a terrible decision to site a large chimney the size of the Statue of Liberty so close to the residents of Horsham and Warnham. There is currently a rapidly growing drive from both the general public and commercial companies to reduce the overuse of plastics and consumer packaging and to increase recycling. This means that less and less waste is being produced. This proposed plant is so huge that it will have to ship waste from the entire country to keep itself going. it seems incredulous that those in authority would consider allowing a private owned company to profiteer from an non commissioned unnecessary development at the expense of the residents of Horsham and Warnham. It is unrealistic to believe that there will be no significant change in traffic as the reality is that there must be an increase in cars and an even bigger increase in lorries bringing waste from across the country if the incinerator is to be sustained. This would cause additional road noise on top of the noise from the incinerator itself to nearby residents and road safety issues to what is still a predominantly rural lane. nearby residents do not wish to have the outlook of their homes blighted by a gargantuan chimney puffing out gases fumes, dust and emitting light pollution. It will dominate the area being visible from leith hill one of our local well loved and visited beauty spots. The proposers seem to believe that it won't be noticeable, but this is not true at all as anyone local driving the A24 from Robin Hood roundabout could see it would dwarf the houses in station road. What about the historic moat that is nearby? And the new housing that is being built literally across the road? The size of this building and it's huge chimney will totally dominate the landscape and have a negative effect on the surrounding countryside. It is an undesirable and unsuitable use of a very small plot of land. Please do not put a quest for the private profit of one family before the well-being of nearby residents whose quality of living in this environment would be substantially impacted, and the health of all Horsham residents who live in the fall out zone from the plume. Not only would this incinerator be a blight on the beautiful market town of Horsham It would also be a huge step backwards from the direction we should be taking to promote the reduction of waste production and a cleaner, environmentally friendlier source of energy production, a direction I hope the council will follow.</p> <p>Caroline Debansi 7 Station road Warnham RH12 3SR</p>	
30	Ms Sylvia Baumgartner	1, Tullett Way, Horsham, RH12 3UD	Object	22-MAR-2018	<p>I refer to the above, and to my previous correspondence, where I not only said `No¿ to the planning permission request for WSCC/062/NH, but also voiced my concern that it seemed that the Planners are undertaking the consultation process, despite the extensive Objections and Reservations raised in the original process (as well as the second process). To this end, I cannot understand why we (the tax paying residents of HDC), find ourselves with yet another request for planning.</p> <p>What new evidence has really been provided, and how exactly does it stack up against every single concern and objection that was raised by the residence, unless the Council is unwilling to hear the residence.</p> <p>It seems that the Planners are only focused on getting this Waste Incinerator plans passed, and we can only assume that this focus is financially driven, rather than what is good for the residence, the community, the environment and what is actually required in the Horsham area. I submit my original objection below, where I said no to the Incinerator or Waste Plans.</p> <p>To this end, I would like to ¿Say No¿ to the planning application for Reference WSCC/015/18/NH, essentially for most of the reasons mentioned in the email below:</p> <p>Suitability of use for the area; · Layout/appearance/design of buildings;</p> <p>· Loss of light/outlook/privacy; · Traffic generation/access/highway safety;</p> <p>· Effect on historic features such as listed buildings/ conservation areas · Impact on natural environment including animals and their habitat;</p> <p>· Dust/odour/fumes; · Noise/disturbance;</p> <p>· Impact on trees/hedgerows; · Effect on landscape or character of area;</p> <p>I look to the Planning Department, HDC and Jeremy Quin to work on behalf of the residents of Horsham</p>	

					Sylvia Baumgartner - 1 Tulleth Way, Horsham, RH12 3UD, Lisa McCarthy - 1 Tulleth Way, Horsham, RH12 3UD and J Pollock - 14 Pondtail Park, Horsham, RH12 5UD	
31	Mr James O'Loughlin	20, The Boulevard, Horsham, RH12 1EP	Object	22-MAR-2018	I feel that the likely environmental impact from additional pollution is extremely undesirable. It represents both a health risk and in my opinion, the space could be used for other more environmentally friendly uses. Horsham is a growing town, the level of pollution from additional vehicles has grown exponentially and adding another source of pollution stands to further degrade air quality. Horsham should be focusing on driving positive growth from both business and residential means, and there are other industries that would do far better to improve the image of the town, the number of employment opportunities, all whilst ensuring that unnecessary increases in pollution through industry are minimised. The sorting and treatment of waste via 'thermal sources' is not something I wish to have in/hear the town I live. In addition, the height of the structure proposed also is entirely too tall for the local landscape and even with efforts to blend the appearance, I strongly believe the structure to be inappropriate and not in keeping with the surrounding area.	
32	Mr John Evans	2, Graylands Farm Cottages, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, RH12 4QD	Object	22-MAR-2018	I Strongly object to the Planning application WSCC/006/18/NH Britaniacrest. This is totally unsuitable for our area for many reasons. 1. Site line (outlook) of the chimney and smoke plume, with possible pollutants. 2. We live close to the proposed Plant and experience daily fumes, odours and dust from the Biffa Plant this will only get worse for us if this application goes ahead, I am at present commissioning a clean air survey close to our property the findings will follow, I expect the air quality to be over the permitted directive. as my wife is an asthmatic this will be unacceptable. 3. The traffic will increase along Langhurstwood Road I personally have seen a Britaniacrest vehicle depositing rubbish along the bypass and Langhurstwood Road . Please contact for further details Reg number and photo evidence. 4. There is no pedestrian walkways along langhurstwood Road making it extremely dangerous for pedestrians now. 5, we are concerned that the noise will be unacceptable as we already have a high degree of noise from the Biffa Plant which is a disturbance for us and our neighbours.	
33	Mrs Caroline Debansi	7, Station road, Warnham, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3SR	Object	22-MAR-2018	I strongly object to the application to site a large chimney the size of the Statue of Liberty so close to the residents of Horsham and Warnham. There is currently a rapidly growing drive from both the general public and commercial companies to reduce the overuse of plastics and consumer packaging. This means that less and less waste is being produced. This proposed plant is so huge that it will have to ship waste from the entire country to keep itself going. it seems incredulous that those in authority would consider allowing a private owned company to profiteer from an non commissioned unnecessary development To the detriment of the residents of Horsham and Warnham. It is unrealistic to believe that there will be no significant change in traffic as the reality is that there must be an increase in cars and an even bigger increase in lorries bringing waste from across the country if the incinerator is to be sustained. This would cause additional road noise on top of the noise from the incinerator itself and road safety issues to what is still a predominantly rural area. nearby residents do not wish to have the outlook of their homes blighted by a gargantuan chimney puffing out gases fumes, dust and accompanying light pollution. It will dominate the area being visible from leith hill. The applicants Previously stated that it won't be noticeable, but this is not true at all as anyone local driving the A24 from Robin Hood roundabout knows it would dwarf the houses in station road. What about the historic moat that is nearby? And the new housing that is being built literally across the road from the proposed site? The size of this building and it's huge chimney will dominate the landscape and have a negative effect on the surrounding countryside. It is an undesirable and unsuitable use of a very small plot of land . Please do not put a quest for private profit before the well-being of nearby residents whose quality of living in this environment would be substantially impacted. It would also be a huge step backwards from the direction we should be taking to promote the reduction of waste production and a cleaner source of energy production.	
34	Mr Benjamin Dale	23, Keats Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5PL	Object	22-MAR-2018	There are many reasons why Horsham does not need or want an industrial incinerator. Not only would toxic fumes be spread in the immediate area of the incinerator, but much further afield, all over Horsham, which is already a highly populated area. Planning permission has recently been granted for the development of thousands of new homes, as well as schools in the North Horsham area, very close to the proposed site of the incinerator. For that reason alone I cannot see how it could be deemed safe for such an incinerator to be built. From an aesthetics point of view, the sight of an incinerator and plume would look terrible and the increased traffic to and from the site would have a negative impact on our roads and cause further pollution. In addition the area has many conservation sites, including that at Warnham, and the poisonous fumes would have a detrimental effect on our precious nature and wildlife.	
35	Mrs Sarah Dale	23, Keats Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5PL	Object	22-MAR-2018	There are many reasons why Horsham does not need or want an industrial incinerator. Not only would toxic fumes be spread in the immediate area of the incinerator, but much further afield, all over Horsham, which is already a highly populated area. Planning permission has recently been granted for the development of thousands of new homes, as well as schools in the North Horsham area, very close to the proposed site of the incinerator. For that reason alone I cannot see how it could be deemed safe for such an incinerator to be built. From an aesthetics point of view, the sight of an incinerator and plume would look terrible and the increased traffic to and from the site would have a negative impact on our roads and cause further pollution. In addition the area has many conservation sites, including that at Warnham, and the poisonous fumes would have a detrimental effect on our precious nature and wildlife.	
36	Mr Richard Smith	17, The Marches, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SY	Object	22-MAR-2018	Whilst there has been a reduction to the height of the facility, it's capacity and chimney height has not changed. The facility is not of a scale not appropriate for its location, remains a blight on the landscape. This past winter with the cold climate has only strengthen the evidence of the pollution, and odours that the existing facilities impact to their surroundings. With plans for all the new housing, schools and businesses to be built in near proximity, it is astonishing that such plans have been resubmitted with minimal consideration of the concerns expressed by the local residents and other stakeholders. Horsham District Council are encouraging growth in recycling, incinerators are 'waste hungry' to fuel the facility which is counter to this strategy, a flaw in my view for the scale of the facility required. It needs to be substantially scaled down and located to a more appropriate site location for such a 24/7 facility, with better access and importantly away from close proximity to population to mitigate risks to public health. Whole issue of 3R plants is in question by the Government based on the present performances of several of these facilities that have come into service.	
37	James & Aimee Bonham	63 Cook Way, RH12 3US	Object	23-MAR-2018	We hereby formally object to the planning application to install a Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure on Langhurstwood Road, Horsham. For starters the local road infrastructure cannot cope with the current level of traffic, let alone the immediate, marked increase that the development & continued operation of this plant will bring. This type of facility is not in keeping with the historic, Market Town stature of Horsham. There is also the adverse impact on the environment, both in air quality (increased lorry traffic & subsequent road traffic congestion, plus the operation of the facility) and on local wildlife. Regards, James Bonham Aimee Bonham	
38	Mr Derek Castle	Greenways, 27, Gaggie Wood, Mannings Heath, Horsham, RH13 6JR	Object	23-MAR-2018	Kindly confirm my objection has been lodged. Regards Derek Castle From: Derek Castle Sent: 21 March 2018 17:47 To: 'PL Planning Applications' Subject: RE: Former Wealden Brickworks - Planning Application WSCC/015/18/NH	

					<p>Dear sirs</p> <p>With reference Planning application WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Would you kindly add my letter of 08/02/2018 regarding Planning applications WSCC/006//18NH and WSCC/028/16NH to this latest application.</p> <p>Furthermore, I firmly believe that bearing in mind the future LIBERTY TRUST development of thousands of houses which will be built nearby that, WSCC together with HDC should seriously consider the closing down completely of the Wealden Works once and for all, because of emissions blighting the new development and nearby residential properties, plus the increase of extra heavy duty lorry movements giving diesel emissions.</p> <p>May be it is time to completely do away with this sought of traffic and polution in this particular area of West Sussex.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Derek Castle</p>	
39	Mrs Lisa Bristow	34, 34, Churchill way, Horsham, RH123TZ	Object	23-MAR-2018	<p>The concern for our family is the impact on the environment and in turn the health of the local community. Numerous studies have shown incinerators new and old impact health please see attached link.</p> <p>http://www.hia21.eu/dwnld/20120419_18.pdf</p> <p>The noise levels and extra transport coming into the local community is not conjusive to our health nor our mental wellbeing when trying to already travel on busy roads to work. It will look unsightly in such a beautiful town, despite the height being reduced I think it will bring such a beautiful area down.</p>	
40	Mr Andrew Delaney	42, Linden House, Chart Way, Horsham, RH12 1QB	Object	23-MAR-2018	-	
41	Andrea Holmes	-	Object	23-MAR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I'm writing to object strongly to this giant chimney.</p> <p>Why put this next to new massive housing estate where pollution from the bypass will be bad enough anyway from traffic. This road does not need any more traffic especially huge lorries of rubbish. Fresh air is not going to come out of this chimney apart from being an eye sore for the edge of Horsham. Planning is a joke round here we have so many examples of bad decisions which have ruined Horsham.</p> <p>Totally in the wrong place where people live. The smell is bad enough from the huge mountain at Langhurst.</p> <p>Wrong, wrong.</p> <p>Andrea Holmes</p>	
42	Mrs Anya King	Glenwood, 56, Churchill Way, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3TZ	Object	23-MAR-2018	<p>I object to this project on the following grounds - please note these are not in priority order:</p> <p>Suitability of use for the area - Horsham has huge investment in home building and the town is very much a community area. This development is not suitable to be placed so close to households and I am surprised Horsham are even considering it.</p> <p>Traffic generation/access/highway safety - industrial traffic will dramatically increase which are not suitable for the roads that lead in and out of Horsham - especially the A24. it will be dangerous as the roads are not designed to accommodate large vehicles on this scale, and heavily affect the local community in terms of increased traffic, will be highly dangerous to cyclists again due to the nature of the roads.</p> <p>Dust/odour/fumes - the fumes will be intolerable and as it is being proposed to be located so close to living accommodation, will affect health. There will also be odour - this is an outdoor community - and quality of life will be heavily affected.</p> <p>Noise/disturbance - again large volumes of industrial vehicles thundering through the roads will cause a disturbance</p> <p>Effect on landscape or character of area - Even though the new proposal sees a minor high adjustment in the tower, it is still very high. It will dominate the landscape. It will end up being the marker for Horsham - "Oh we must be at Horsham - there is the incinerator tower"!!!! Very unsightly and not in keeping with the vision and expectation of the landscape of Horsham.</p>	
43	Mr Robert McCartney	78, Bishopric, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 1QN	Support	23-MAR-2018	<p>This facility is badly needed. Also for local trade waste, which is mostly recycled, currently has to go to Turners Hill or Horley. It should have been built years ago - now we have what was a quarry now a hill, a damning indictment of the throwaway society.</p>	
44	Mr Steven Wong	17, Illett Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AJ	Object	23-MAR-2018	<p>Increase in pollution, impact on infrastructure, blight on the area, health issues for everyone near by. It's a no brainer objection, and an open-shut case.</p>	
45	Mrs Anna Gilsenan	50, Hollingbourne, Crawley, RH11 9QJ	Object	23-MAR-2018	<p>Polution is bad enough without an ugly tall chimney producing more smoke. Traffic will get worse with more noisy lorries damaging roads.</p>	
46	Ms Caroline Seabrook	153, Tanbridge Park, Horsham, RH12 1SU	Object	23-MAR-2018	<p>With enhance recycling Oollections worhin the district and countrywide leading recycling statistics why on earth do HDC and WSCC wish to allow such an eyesore and it?s pollution, I suspect from waste brought from OUTSIDE the district, for private company profit at the expense of Horsham district residents who have already seen too many a green field built over. With the proximity to London Gatwick airport this will have to be floodlit due to aircraft flight paths creating a permanant eyesore completely out of context to the surrounding area. This is just an unacceptable proposal that brings no benefit whatsoever to the Horsham Community</p>	
47	Mr Jason Alldridge	Not applicable, 11, Kempshott Rd, Horsham, Rh122ex	Object	24-MAR-2018	<p>Object due to increased road traffic, un sightly structure, air pollution, appears to be focused on commercial waste due to footprint,</p>	
48	Mrs Kathryn Howell	Milbury, 16, Thornden, Cowfold, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 8AF	Object	24-MAR-2018	<p>This will have a huge impact on the local environment in terms of visual impact, and pollution. Not only from chimney emissions, but also from the increased volume of lorry traffic to and from the site. No doubt a proportion of the lorry traffic will travel along the A272 through Cowfold - which already has air quality issues....something the Council seem to consistently fail to take action about.</p>	
49	Pat Simson	-	Object	24-MAR-2018	<p>Sir</p> <p>Regarding the application from Britainnia to build a recycle plant in Langhurstwood Rd.</p> <p>I strongly object to this application. The area already suffers from fumes which you can smell from the other recycle plant nearby... I think it is run by biffa?</p> <p>Happy to have one recycle plant however two in the area feels disproportionate to the areas needs. I would request Britannia looks for another Brown field site, one which will not already be polluting the environment with the fumes and odd smells.</p> <p>Regards Pat Simpson</p>	
50	Mrs Gillian White	45, Treadcroft Drive, Horsham, RH12 4BG	Concern Raised	24-MAR-2018	<p>I am concerned about the damage to the North Horsham area that this will bring in terms of about the extra traffic and the safety of the road, the environmental damage that the incineration will bring in terms of fumes from the structure and the traffic, the over development of the the North Horsham area which could lead to flooding, the effect on the wildlife in the area that this development in addition to the Liberty housing one will cause and visual impact on the area</p>	

51	Ms Kim Pompili	143, Pondtail Road, Horsham, RH12 5HT	Object	24-MAR-2018	<p>This revised plan is still unsuitable for the residential area near to a nature reserve. It will be a blot on the landscape destroying the look of the local environment. It will also bring the processing of toxic materials into a residential area with a significant increase in heavy lorries running on diesel coming to and from the site impacting on the traffic which is already under pressure because of the significant housebuilding in the area. There is no demand for this additional plant and it is an unwanted and unnecessary development in a residential area which is struggling to cope with the additional housing development and which would further ruin what is left of the free space in the area. This development will increase pollution and decrease air quality for local inhabitants and is a health hazard.</p>
52	Mrs Jacqueline Birch	Lower Chickens Farm, Dorking Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3RY	Object	25-MAR-2018	<p>I strongly object to this planning application and ask that it be rejected in full based on the following:</p> <p>(i) The size of the building and the chimney is excessive and will be way above the natural tree canopy to be seen for miles. It is not in keeping with the local countryside area and will negatively impact the views and landscape (including conservation and areas of outstanding beauty) and completely destroy the visual affect of the local and surrounding area for many miles.</p> <p>(ii) The pollution from the chimney will be toxic (due to the 24/7 burning of industrial waste and toxic materials) and hazardous in a populated area. The plume will carry for miles and have a huge negative health impact on the local population and the wider area.</p> <p>(iii) Excessive lighting will be needed 24 hrs a day 7 days a week due to it's vicinity to Gatwick airport. This will cause a huge negative impact on light pollution in the local area and to local residents.</p> <p>(iv) the additional noise due the plant running 24/7 will cause poor quality of life and have a huge negative impact on the local residents and wider area for miles, there will be no respite.</p> <p>(v) Traffic in the local will increase due to the additional vehicles required to service the facility. The road infrastructure is not sufficient to cope with the additional burden of the increased vehicles. There has been a high rate of housing development in the area which is already causing a strain on the road infrastructure.</p> <p>The facility will have a huge negative impact on the residents quality of life and local area causing Horsham to be a very undesirable area to live, this will result in the economic loss to businesses in the area. This facility is not part of the WSCC waste plan and therefore I would seriously challenge WSCC motives if this planning was to be granted as there are no benefits to the area. Further the introduction of this facility is contrary to central governments recycling plan where it has already been evidenced that the current incinerator facilities in the UK are not running to capacity therefore why do we need more to be built to the huge detriment to the local area, residents and the environment including the decline of wildlife and areas of beauty.</p>
53	Mr Ian & Mrs Jane Smith	3, Westons Close, Pondtail Drive, Horsham, RH12 5JA	Object	25-MAR-2018	<p>25 March 2018</p> <p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>We live in Westons Close which is very close to the A264 opposite Langhurstwood Road.</p> <p>I wish to object to the proposed Incinerator at the Brickworks off the A264 close to Warnham Station for the following reasons:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Pollution from the 7 day a week road traffic from the tipper lorries delivering waste to the proposed Incinerator.2. Making the roads around the area very busy, especially along Langhurstwood Road.3. Pollution and odour that would be created by the Incinerator near the populated area of north Horsham, surrounding areas and eventually the proposed Liberty Development of 2,500 homes.4. We are very concerned about the actual size of the Incinerator building and chimney/flue which would be illuminated at night and would create light pollution and be out of character with the surrounding countryside and the Horsham area generally.5. Noise pollution from heavy goods vehicles approaching, entering and leaving the Incinerator site.6. Noise pollution from the Incinerator itself 24/7.7. There appears to be plenty of space for more landfill off Langhurstwood Road, ie. the old brickwork quarries making an incinerator unnecessary.8. There was a proposed Incinerator 10 years ago, or more, in Langhurstwood Road, and this was rejected so why is there another much larger Incinerator being proposed now?9. We visited the latest exhibition by Britannia Crest in January and found most things the same as last year's application, apart from the roof design. Also we have seen other projects similar to this, ie. off Beddington Lane in Croydon and they are built away from residential areas. <p>We were horrified to hear of this proposed Incinerator and cannot understand why this site has been suggested. The impact on the immediate surrounding area and local villages would be extremely detrimental to the countryside and local population resulting from the huge size of the Incinerator and accompanying buildings, the light pollution, intrusive noise, and the inevitable odour. We therefore strongly object to this proposal.</p> <p>Yours faithfully Ian and Jane Smith</p>
54	Linda Cowderoy	-	Object	25-MAR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I strongly object to the proposed incinerator. With the drive to reduce plastic the need becomes unnecessary. I urge WSCC to adhere to local pressure.</p> <p>Yours faithfully Linda Cowderoy</p>
55	Mr Jane Brockwell	47, 47, Broome Close, Horsham, RH12 5XG	Object	25-MAR-2018	<p>After attending the exhibition that Britaniacrest had in Roffey, which was only available for a very short amount of time and having seen all the details that they had on display i wish to object on a number of grounds.</p> <p>There is no need for an incinerator when the recycling rates are increasing in the area, so will it turn out that we have an incinerator built in the area which will become redundant by the time it is completed.</p> <p>The area is not suitable on a number of levels, Langhurstwood Road is not a very big road that already takes lorries going to the landfill and brick works, considering there are no pavements this makes walking along this road hazardous and the amount of dirt that comes off the lorries covers the verges, cars and blocks the drains.</p> <p>Access from the bypass onto Langhurstwood Road is already busy and this is a very fast road, so when a large lumbering lorry comes either of or onto the bypass it could be dangerous.</p> <p>How do we know that the steam that will come out of the chimney will not smell and does not harm the ozone and environment.</p> <p>The proposed site is on the edge of lovely countryside and there will be a very large building on the site with an extremely high chimney which will be seen for many miles.</p> <p>There will no doubt be an impact on the wildlife.</p>
56	Mr and Mrs Simmans	1, Dutchells Copse, Horsham, RH12 5PD	Concern Raised	26-MAR-2018	<p>We live in North Horsham and as such are already affected time to time by smells and HGV traffic from the current Incinerator facilities.</p> <p>North Horsham is now going to have significant housing developments very close to the proposed site, fumes, traffic as well as the danger of hazardous materials being blown over large populations of people must surely make this proposal a very unsafe and foolish proposal. It makes no sense to have increased fumes / accidental spillage / HGV Lorries / Noise / night lighting / hideous buildings and chimneys in this area where more and more people are going to Live.</p> <p>I understand the plan is to build a commercial waste processing plant, thus it is not designed to process local waste but to process waste imported from a much wider area. Building this near a large population is not the right thing to do, as it is serving a wider area it can be in a more remote location where the risks and disturbance will not affect a large number of people.</p>

					<p>This will increase traffic in what is already a congested area in rush hour and with the planned Housing Developments is going to become massively congested all the time! Having large lorries importing waste from other parts of the South East is going to severely affect this.</p> <p>The site is going to be large and unsightly & affecting local villages and residents & the chimney in particular is going to be visible from a long distance away.</p> <p>There is always a risk of accidental spillage / fumes of dangerous chemicals from a plant of this sort & building it this close to large numbers of residents is not right.</p> <p>It is not far from Warnham Nature Reserve and is planned to be in the valley of the stream (Boldings Brook) that feeds the reserve and then goes on to run around and through Horsham. This is a key local amenity used by large numbers of Horsham residents and putting this stream at risk is unacceptable.</p> <p>We need to put Commercial Incinerators in locations positioned well away from large populations and where the risk of spillage or fumes are not going to endanger people or the environment.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Andrew Simmans</p>	
57	Mrs Consiglia Williams	5, 5, Cook way, Horsham, Rh12 3us	Object	26-MAR-2018	I object to this planning permission	
58	Mrs Elizabeth Allcott	58, Tillets Lane, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3RD	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>I strongly oppose this application and ask WSCC to reject it fully.</p> <p>The proposed facility is far too big and will obstruct views in conservation and areas of outstanding beauty for miles. It is not in keeping with the local area/ environment and will look completely out of place, negatively impacting the local countryside and local residents views and neighbourhood. The size is excessive and can not be unseen or hidden in any way.</p> <p>The facility will be generating toxic fumes from its excessively sized chimney causing health concerns in many local communities, local wildlife will be negatively impacted and because of the excessive pollution coming from this facility Horsham will become an undesirable area to live in when currently it is ranked as one of the highest in the country leading to a decline in the local economy and businesses.</p> <p>The facility will generate additional traffic to an already overused road network, due to the volume of additional lorries bringing rubbish to feed the facility.</p> <p>Light pollution will increase to unacceptable levels and local communities will be forced to endure the lighting from the facility 24/7 due to its proximity to Gatwick Airport, this along with the noise the facility will generate from the incinerator and vehicle noise will negatively impact the quality of life for local communities.</p> <p>The facility is a private venture for profit and not part of local waste plans and is in violation of central Government plans for an increase in recycling, rather than burning. This facility will have a huge negative impact on the environment and the local communities and I can not see why WSCC would allow this planning application to be accepted and I respectfully ask that it is rejected in its entirety.</p>	
59	Mr Andrew Dearnley	7, Rakers Ridge, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5AE	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>There is so much information available to the public now about why building such a large incinerator is the wrong thing for Horsham and West Sussex.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The UK already has the ability to incinerate more waste than we actually produce.- This application goes against the WSCC/HDC plans to recycle more as the private company operating it will incentivise the private and public sector to incinerate rather than recycle when they realise they need more waste to keep it running.- This application goes against the WSCC/HDC plans to reduce pollution caused by large vehicles on our roads bringing the waste from the South of England.- This application, through the increased traffic on our roads, will cause further degrading to our highways system causing exponentially increased funds needed to repair the highways system. The county does not have the population to draw those funds from through tax.- This application goes against the WSCC/HDC plans for reduced air pollution for the county's residents.- This application goes against the WSCC/HDC plans to encourage more people to move to the county by encouraging further housing development to the North of Horsham and elsewhere.- This application goes against the WSCC/HDC requirements that building must be in keeping with the area. The applicant's plans are non-committal, we can't be sure what the incineration building will actually look like. Not only should this incinerator not be in Horsham's backyard, it shouldn't be in this county.- This application does not add to the county in any way, it detracts from it. It does not meet any positive criteria.	
60	Mrs Natalia Dearnley	7, Rakers Ridge, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5AE	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>There is so much information available to the public now about why building such a large incinerator is the wrong thing for Horsham and West Sussex.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The UK already has the ability to incinerate more waste than we actually produce.- This application goes against the WSCC/HDC plans to recycle more as the private company operating it will incentivise the private and public sector to incinerate rather than recycle when they realise they need more waste to keep it running.- This application goes against the WSCC/HDC plans to reduce pollution caused by large vehicles on our roads bringing the waste from the South of England.- This application, through the increased traffic on our roads, will cause further degrading to our highways system causing exponentially increased funds needed to repair the highways system. The county does not have the population to draw those funds from through tax.- This application goes against the WSCC/HDC plans for reduced air pollution for the county's residents.- This application goes against the WSCC/HDC plans to encourage more people to move to the county by encouraging further housing development to the North of Horsham and elsewhere.- This application goes against the WSCC/HDC requirements that building must be in keeping with the area. The applicant's plans are non-committal, we can't be sure what the incineration building will actually look like. Not only should this incinerator not be in Horsham's backyard, it shouldn't be in this county.- This application does not add to the county in any way, it detracts from it. It does not meet any positive criteria.	
61	Jean Pearson	-	Concern Raised	26-MAR-2018	<p>Please find attached a letter sent by Dorking & District Preservation Society regarding the latest planning application by Britaniacrest Recycling Ltd for an Incinerator to be built at Fromer Wealdon Brickworks site at Langhurstwood Road, Horsham.</p> <p>I do hope the application gets withdrawn as did the application in 2017.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Jean Pearson</p> <p>Sarn Dumbrell Planning Officer West Sussex County Council Northleigh County Hall Chichester West Sussex PO19 1RH</p>	

					<p>Application Number WSCC/015/18/NH Location Former Wealden Brickworks (Site HB) Langhurstwood Road Horsham West Sussex RH12 4QD Proposal Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure Applicant Britaniacrest Recycling Limited Grid Reference 517406 134152</p> <p>The Dorking & District Preservation Society Planning Committee is very concerned regarding this planning application for a recycling, recovery and renewable energy facility and ancillary infrastructure and continue to object to the proposed construction as it maintains a large construction set in a tranquil rural and agricultural area. The building once constructed is likely to still be visible from a great area of West Sussex and Surrey. The layout, appearance and design of buildings do not match the environment currently there on the fringes of Crawley and Horsham.</p> <p>There will no doubt be a loss of light and increased pollution to the surrounding area that will affect those homes which are currently being erected for the residents to enjoy the countryside and fresh air available to all. Needless to say, the traffic generated not only during construction but also by the vehicles carrying in waste and taking away the spoil left by the constant burning will have an impact on the local countryside which could affect safety and access to all who will eventually dwell within the area of the site for the proposed incinerator.</p> <p>Is the proposal of the applicant and the local authority to impact on our natural environment including animal and fauna to be contaminated by the incinerator chimney continually throwing out dust, fumes and putrid odour for the local inhabitants to become affected and cause health problems to both the young and the old in particular.</p> <p>Today there are more results being distributed and advertised saying how harmful these monsters can be those who live near to an incinerator and the effect it will have long term to the landscape and character of the vicinity. As we know from scientific evidence the trees generally part of our landscape is becoming diseased and fragile - not only Elm but also Ash, Oak and laurel. We must care of land and conserve what we can & think of the current situation with plastic and the Blue Planet has shown.</p> <p>West Sussex is worth keeping and nurturing & do NOT let it be harmed by monsters being built to rid us of our rubbish whilst we are all sitting back and letting it happen. Please consider the future as well as the present for all concerned.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>Jean Pearson Chairman of DDPS Planning Committee</p>	
62	Mrs georgina noble	7, Pinehurst, Horsham, RH12 2DL	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>I strongly object to this application it will increased pollution across Horsham putting lives of ourselves and our children at risk</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Doesn't help to meet counties recycling targets- Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney- Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7- Increased damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair- The incinerator hinders WSCC/HDC's plans, it doesn't help them. <p>Please reject this planning application. Many Thanks Georgina Noble</p>	
63	Mrs Amanda England	9, Uppark Gardens, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5JN	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>I strongly object to this plan on a number of grounds. The increased levels of pollution in the air and through the ground will have an adverse impact on Horsham residents for years to come.</p> <p>The plan for Horsham in line with the Horsham District Council's vision does not complement plans for this plant in the region as well.</p>	
64	Mrs Janet Harrod	44, Charlock Way, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 9GZ	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>This incinerator will have a hugely negative affect on the whole area. People will be reluctant to move to an area blighted by airborne pollution, despite any company spin to the contrary. The roads will be congested and will degrade with all the lorries delivering waste. West Sussex has a good recycle record - why should we suffer imported waste from elsewhere. There is also the matter of the ugly tall chimney that is entirely out of keeping with the area.</p>	
65	Ms Tracy Coles	Meadowview Cottage, Graylands Farm Barns, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, RH12 4QD	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>Traffic generation/access/highway safety - No existent pathways to our property along main road with poor verges and lighting with lorries causing no space for pedestrians and cyclists this will just get worse. Dust/odour/fumes - Increased dust dirt and fumes from lorries discarding more rubbish along the road. Pungent smells from site with increased negative aroma and fly population in the summer. Noise/disturbance - Increased lorries and road sweeper causing constant hold ups and traffic noise.</p>	
66	Mrs Michelle Betts	10, Little Comptons, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 5UW	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>This is totally out of keeping for the current landscape and surrounding area. The chimney is far too tall and I have numerous concerns about a facility like this being so close to a large and growing town like Horsham. I strongly object to the facility in the local area.</p>	
67	Mrs Laura Brown	135, New Street, Horsham, RH13 5EA	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>This will cause a huge eyesore in Horsham and why is it needed when we are increasing recycling. All those lorries delivering rubbish will clog up our infrastructure even more, with an increase needed in road repair. But the worst thing will be the pollution in the area. I don't want my kids growing up with this, especially when one suffers from Asthma already.</p>	
68	Mrs Lisa Faiers	68, Hillside, Horsham, RH12 1NG	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>I object due to projected pollution across Horsham area and impact this will have on those vulnerable to pollution impact...or developing children, breathing difficulties.</p> <p>Impact on countryside and surroundings (trees, plants, animals)</p> <p>Contradicts horshams aim to increase recycling. There should be less need for this if recycling increase continues.</p>	
69	Miss Lisa Holmes	9, Garden walk, Horsham, West Sussex, Rh12 2lj	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>I object to the planned incinerator on the basis of the reduction in air quality and increased pollution. I am a mother of two small children and am very concerned about the particulate levels. The increased traffic of heavy goods vehicles concern me too particularly in light of the proposed north Horsham development - traffic between a24 and Crawley is horrendous in the morning and at rush hour already and will only get worse. I do not feel the environmental impact of the incinerator has been considered.</p>	
70	Mrs Anna Hutchinson	West View, 57, Blakes Farm Road, Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9GH	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>This would be terrible for the Horsham district. We do not want our children growing up in raised levels of pollution.</p>	
71	Miss Charlotte Maxted	6, Volante Close, Pease Pottage, West Sussex, RH11 9gn	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>Completely object as increased pollution across Horsham puts lives of ourselves and our children at risk.</p>	
72	Mrs Paula Pitkethly	3, The Gorings, Horsham, Rh135ud	Object	26-MAR-2018	<p>I feel this so close to Horsham will have a negative impact on the health of many through added pollution caused by both the plant itself and the vast number of lorries transporting waste to the site.</p>	

73	Mrs Hayley Streeter-Staniford	131, Woodlands Way, Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9DS	Object	26-MAR-2018	I object to the plans due to wanting to safeguard my local community from additional pollution and health issues to my young family.	
74	Mrs Toyah Wiginton	19, Madeira Avenue, Horsham, RH12 1AB	Object	26-MAR-2018	I am really concerned about the increase in pollution and how that will effect myself and my especially my young daughter. I am also concerned about the increase in traffic this will concerned and don't feel our roads can cope with it. I don't see how this will improve recycling in the slightest. I also think it will be an awful eyesore on our town.	
75	Mrs Consiglia Williams	5, 5, Cook way, Horsham, Rh12 3us	Object	26-MAR-2018	Increase of pollution in Horsham and surrounding areas causing risks to adults and children 's health.	
76	Eric Storey	17, Keats Close, Horsham, RH12 5PL	Object	26-MAR-2018	To whom it may concern Ref Horsham Incinerator, I have recently discovered that there is an application for an incinerator in North Horsham under the reference shown above. As a resident of North Horsham I wish to register my objection to this application based on the following factors. 1. Increase of HGV's in the area. 2. Increase Co2 levels 3. World Health Organisation guidelines regarding placement near populated area's. Best Regards Eric Storey	
77	Mrs Chantelle Holtom	8, Church Road, Broadbridge Heath, Rh123LD	Object	27-MAR-2018	I strongly object to this development. The roads are already in a state of disrepair with further heavy goods vehicles exacerbating the issue. The increased pollution from the incinerator will be detrimental to our children's health. The proposed area is a pretty rural area just outside of a historic market town and to site a large incinerator here with plumes of smoke will ruin the countryside and the outlook of the town. The proposed development will have very little good for the community	
78	Mr Terry Munson	35, Cook way, Horsham, Rh12 3us	Object	27-MAR-2018	-	
79	Miss Claire Pierce	New April Cottage, Furze View, Slinfold, Horsham, RH13 0RH	Object	27-MAR-2018	Concern about the size, impact on environment, infrastructure to support this, we've just had our household waste collections reduced to encourage and support re-cycling do how can an incinerator be quantified, the pollution, so near a airport and the aircraft approaching	
80	Mrs Consiglia Williams	5, 5, Cook way, Horsham, Rh12 3us	Object	27-MAR-2018	Increase of pollution in Horsham and surrounding areas causing risks to adults and children 's health.	
81	Mrs Consiglia Williams	5, 5, Cook way, Horsham, Rh12 3us	Object	27-MAR-2018	Increase of pollution in Horsham and surrounding areas causing risks to adults and children 's health.	
82	Mr John Bailey	3, Harding Lane, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3GS	Object	27-MAR-2018	With great respect to the difficulty of making a decision like this, I would like to register a strong objection. I do not think it is any sense environmentally sound to effectively pour our rubbish into the atmosphere. Apart from the adverse visual impact, the immediate victims will be those who breathe the air of Horsham itself, but the impact on air quality will be spread over a vastly wider area of Sussex and indeed Surrey. I hope we can learn from the mistake made in this regard in Newhaven, and stop this (I'm afraid to say) cynical project. Kind regards.	
83	Ms Frances Atkins	6, Eastgate Mews, Brighton Road, Horsham, RH13 5AW	Object	27-MAR-2018	There are many issues the incinerator raises in regards to public health and I think it is vital the plans are rejected and a more suitable location is sought. Horsham and Warnham are areas of natural beauty and the level of pollution this will cause is unacceptable to both the young and elderly populations in the town and district.	
84	Mrs Linda Atkins	22, Springfield Park Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2PW	Object	27-MAR-2018	This proposal will increase pollution and put lives in danger for years to come. The proposed site is near to the site where thousands of new homes are planned to be built. It couldn't be in a worse place. Who would want to live near such a monstrosity? How will an incinerator help with recycling targets? It will do the exact opposite. The roads are already falling to pieces. The additional heavy lorry traffic serving this proposed incinerator will only make that situation worse. The new housing development at North Horsham will already increase traffic greatly on the A264. The roads simply can't take any further addition particularly of such heavy lorry type traffic which will also increase pollution. This is a largely rural site and to have an industrial building of this height will be a serious blot on the landscape. The incinerator isn't needed and is certainly not welcome.	
85	Mrs Rosy Bennett	89, Rusper Road, Horsham, RH12 4BJ	Object	27-MAR-2018	-	
86	Mrs Katie Carter	18, Church Road, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3LD	Object	27-MAR-2018	I object to the proposed building of an incinerator in Horsham. There is massive development currently underway in Horsham and the area where the proposed incinerator is planned is right next to a new development of houses and schools. The incinerator will increase pollution in the area which will have a detrimental effect on our children. I also understand that it will have flood light on it as it is under the gatwick flight path. This will cause a lot of light pollution and be detrimental to the local area, not to mention the additional traffic that will be using the already congested roads. I strongly object to these plans	
87	Mrs Linda Docherty	15, Fallow Deer Close, Horsham, RH13 5UH	Object	27-MAR-2018	I am really worried about the affect of the pollution to the area. I also feel that the many homes built around Horsham has overstretched local facilities. Furthermore we do not need further traffic in our roads as they are already in a poor state. Finally I worry what the affect will be on people's health in the area being exposed to toxic fumes. Will I need to move house. How wil this affect my health.	
88	Mrs Georgina Drinkall	10, White Horse Road, Horsham, RH12 4UL	Object	27-MAR-2018	I strongly object to the proposal outlined for the following reasons:- 1) Having 2 young children I am concerned about increased air pollution across Horsham putting the health of ourselves and our children at risk. The site is located near many domestic dwellings plus a nursery setting who will be impacted by any decrease in air quality. 2) There will be increased light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney 3) There will be air pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 4) The road network around the proposed site is already heavily used and the proposal will increase traffic to this area. There will be more damage to the county's highways system from the frequent large vehicles therefore requiring more money from taxpayers to repair.	
89	Mr Jon Durward	8, Nuthatch Way, Horsham, RH12 5HN	Object	27-MAR-2018	I strongly object to the creation of this incinerator site. My reasons for objection are several fold. The environmental impact through air pollution, increased traffic to/from site, noise and visual aspect. The saturation of the locale through the North Horsham project combined with this incinerator will be too much for the area to holistically absorb. A recycling facility as there is at present without incineration is considerably better and in harmony with both central government and WSCC objectives, an incinerator of this scale and on this site is not. Having moved my wife and three daughters into the Horsham area just over one year ago, we are very happy here. One of my daughters and I are also asthma sufferers. This proposed development will no doubt have an impact upon our respiratory health. I strongly urge WSCC to reject this application based upon multiple grounds for objection from many concerned parties. Respectfully submitted for your consideration.	
90	Miss Johanna Ellis	52, Blackbridge Lane, Horsham, RH12 1RX	Object	27-MAR-2018	I am against the plans for the following reasons, n no way do I support WSCC/HDC's published plans: - Increased pollution across Horsham putting lives of ourselves and our children at risk - Doesn't help to meet county's recycling targets - Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney - Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7 - Increased damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair	

					- The incinerator hinders WSCC/HDC's plans, it doesn't help them.	
91	Mrs Heidi Francis	Buckland House, Marches Road, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SF	Object	27-MAR-2018	-	
92	Miss Lucy Gibson-Ebsworth	11, Station Road, Horsham, RH13 5EZ	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>Increased pollution across horsham and sussex affecting environment and health of residents directly from incenerator and from the increase in heavy vehicles it would bring to the area</p> <p>Potentially increasing damage highway system in the area</p> <p>Does not support wcss or the countrys plans around recycling targets</p> <p>Light pollution increased in area due to the floodlights</p> <p>This development will have a negative affect on the area, affecting desirability of the area and so affecting house prices and potentially long term change the area to a pleasant community focussed area to unpleasant place to live due to the above and what they bring in turn to an area.</p> <p>At the moment the area is a pleasant place to live but this development seem to have little benefit to the area or country so there is no justice to develop. The impact to environment natual and built and to that of the residents outways any benefit there may be to this</p>	
93	Mrs Maria Bailey	15, Worsfield Road, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3TU	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>I object to the plans for the following reasons</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Increased pollution across Horsham putting lives of ourselves and our children at risk- Doesn't help to meet counties recycling targets- Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney- Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7- Increased damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair- The incinerator hinders WSCC/HDC's plans, it doesn't help them.	
94	Miss Hannah Louise Knipe	48, 48, Carter Drive, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3GZ	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>This incinerator is honestly one of the most embarrassingly poor ideas Horsham DC has had in a long while. Not only will the increased fumes from literal burnt waste (but also the increased congestion by large vehicles to and from it) eventually increase the risk of many individuals to respiratory issues, but this will result in a greater strain on Horsham's already stretched medical services.</p> <p>Horsham are currently seeming to want to invest in a larger population with the mass building of houses, however what happens when this imposing structure is completed and potential new residents are put off and decide to move to a district who's council has more foresight that to build a dirty great rubbish incinerator?</p> <p>Furthermore this is quite frankly insulting to the current residents of Horsham. After literally just reducing our refuse collections in an attempt to cut down on waste and improve recycling, you are deciding to build a waste incinerator. Is this not a highly counterintuitive action that will reduce people's willingness to recycle? Where will we be sourcing this waste from if you expect Horsham residents to be producing so little that our bins only need collecting once a fortnight? Will we be shipping in waste from worse offender towns? In which case they should really be the ones housing it if they will be the ones benefitting from this monstrosity.</p> <p>Therefore overall I am objecting this obvious mistake on the grounds that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">-it is a very possible health problem waiting to happen-it would be an unsightly stain on Horsham's classic countryside appeal-it would put off current and potential residents-it's completely against Horsham's new recycling policies-it's apparently pointless as we don't create that much waste anyway according to HDC <p>Basically just do the obviously right thing, and don't embaress yourself by trying to convince yourself or anyone else that this would ever be sensible.</p>	
95	Mr Devlin Paterson	43, Swindon Road, Horsham, RH12 2HE	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>I am against the proposal for an incinerator to be built in Horsham.</p> <p>We have the facts that show we need to do something different to reduce our waste materials as we are polluting the environment we live in.</p> <p>We have facts that burning waste is neither economically or environmentally sound. I am aghast that this proposal has even manged to get this far.</p> <p>Why are the council wasting time on a project which would damage not only the environment but also the people of Horsham and the reputation of a area of natural beauty. We should be investing our energy in education around reducing waste, including initiatives like recycling food waste to name but one.</p> <p>There are several studies which show that burning waste releases pollutants like mercury, dioxins, lead. Not only this but one can only assume that there will be lorry loads of waste coming in hour after hour with an increase in pollution from the lorries as well as further damage to the already dilapidated roads. One of the reasons I moved to Horsham from London was to raise a family in an environment that would give them the best start in life. If you allow this monstrosity to be built, you will be responsible for polluting the air that I breathe that my family breathe and most likely if you live in the area your family,children and friends.</p> <p>I am not a cynical man but I would question the ethics of any person or members of the council who would knowingly do this to the people they represent. You work for the people of West Sussex and they have already said no to this. We are telling you not to build this, are you actually listening to the people of Horsham?? Please do not waste anymore of your time or our money on this project.</p> <p>I have attached a report from the NTN in Australia it would make good reading for the council.</p> <p>http://www.ntn.org.au/wp/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/NTN-waste-to-energy-incineration-report-2013..pdf</p>	
96	Ms Noa Vazquez	67, Swindon rd, Horsham, RH12 2HE	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>At present WSCC are leading the way with an increase of 2% for recycling, from 42% to 44% for 2016/17 - well above the national average and London so why allow an incinerator to be built when it can only lead to a reduction in recycling and tie the council up to incinerator contracts for years to come? An incinerator will have to be fed 24/7!</p> <p>What of the air quality from congested roads, let alone the emissions from the chimney? Horsham Council already detail two areas of concern and Crawley has more.</p>	

					<p>Visual impacts - an industrial carbuncle on the rural landscape that will be seen by passing planes and from areas of outstanding natural beauty.</p> <p>A chimney taller than Big Ben; the new slopping roof of the building does not hide its height or it's industrial form.</p> <p>What about the new housing and schools being built by Liberty in the North Horsham development - they will receive the full effect of the smoke and the traffic - would you buy a house or want your children to go to a school there?</p> <p>For these reasons I am firmly against WSCC/HDC's published plans. The outcomes are extremely worrying:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Increased pollution across Horsham putting lives of ourselves and our children at risk- Doesn't help to meet counties recycling targets- Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney- Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7- Increased damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair- The incinerator hinders WSCC/HDC's plans, it doesn't help them.	
97	Mr Stephen McWilliam	4, Priory Close, Halton, Runcorn, WA7 2BN	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>I object to the planning application for an incinerator in Horsham for teh following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It will cause increased pollution across Horsham putting the lives of residents and their children at risk.2. It will not help to meet counties recycling targets.3. There will be increased light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney.4. There will be an increase in overall pollution from the heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7.5. There will be increasing damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair and maintain.6. The incinerator hinders WSCC/HDC's plans, it doesn't help them. <p>Stephen McWilliam</p>	
98	Mr Paul Griffiths	5, Kilhams Close, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3RW	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>Not sure as to why we need this in Horsham. Other sites are having to bring waste in which causes more congestion as they are not running at capacity for the local waste generated.</p>	
99	Mrs Lucy Holt	34, Martindales, Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9AE	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>Very disappointed to hear this proposed incinerator. My child suffers with Asthma and to hear we are going to enhance our Horsham county with pollution and potentially toxic frightens me. Our voices will not be listened to and this disgusts me, as those that allow this to happen, do they have young families living close by to this toxic/pollutant incinerator! There will be more cases of our younger generation with breathing difficulties, a study did show that living near a busy road increased children with having asthma, goodness knows what an incinerator will do! I'm so angry, appalled by this news! I hope someone out there will oppose this dreadful idea.</p>	
100	Mr Matthew Horne	82, Hill Mead, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2PX	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>The new plan for an incinerator near Horsham has done nothing to address the concerns residents raised against the original application. Which leads me to suspect that Britaniacrest don't really care.</p> <p>I object for a number of reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. We should be recycling, not burning our waste. We already have a recycling plant and Horsham itself has put a lot of time, effort and money into increasing household recycling and waste reduction. In order for the incinerator to be cost effective it will have to operate 24 hours a day seven days a week. It will reduce the effectiveness of our current recycling plant or worse make it redundant. In this environmentally driven day we must always place recycling above polluting the atmosphere.2. The incinerator will require rubbish delivered from out of the area. This means constant increased traffic flows along the A264 and A24. Both roads are heavily congested at peak times already.3. Air pollution. The incinerator will be burning industrial waste which will result in toxic pollution being spread over the town of Horsham and surrounds. Since the plant will be under the Gatwick runway landing flight path: low flying aircraft and down wash will mean spreading the pollution in unpredictable ways. There is no way at all for anyone to say it will be safe. What we don't need is a short term fix to a problem that can be solved better in other ways, while creating a long term health problem for the district. You don't need the council being sued 25 years down the line when the next generation grow up with health issues.4. Eyesore, noise and smell. The tall chimney will need to be lit to prevent aircraft collisions. The increased traffic will cause non-stop noise pollution, which will have a serious effect on the local wildlife (they have a right to noise free nights too). And we'll have to contend with a new smell. I'm sure we'll become nose-blind eventually but I'm sure there will be visitors put off if we're known as a smelly town. <p>People choose to live in Horsham because it's a market town in the heart of the country. Shame that this reputation is slowly being wrecked by poor planning decisions.</p>	
101	Mrs Catherine McDermott	27, Holbrook School Lane, Horsham, RH12 5PP	Object	27-MAR-2018	-	
102	Mrs Kelly McGowan	Mole Cottage, 19, Pondtail Road, Horsham, RH12 2NL	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>Sirs, I strongly object to the proposed incinerator in the town of Horsham. As the mother of three young children, I am extremely alarmed that such a proposal could even be considered in such close proximity to a market town with such a rapidly expanding, young population. Increased pollution across Horsham puts the health and the lives of ourselves and our children at risk. Not to mention the light pollution that will emanate from the air traffic lighting on the chimney. Horsham will also suffer from the increased air pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7, plus the inevitable damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair. What is the point of encouraging people to recycle and reuse their waste if we can just burn it with such impunity? Where is the incentive for manufacturers and retailers to modify and adapt their products and packaging to have less impact on the environment, if we continue to build incinerators? And what message are we giving to our children for the future, to place such little value on their health and well-being, whilst also demonstrating that it's fine, in 2018, for us to knowingly and intentionally pollute their environment? How can we possibly expect them to grow up as responsible custodians of their planet, when we set such a poor and lazy example?</p> <p>Regards, Kelly McGowan</p>	
103	Mr John Peck	55, 55, Heydon way, Horsham, Rh123gl	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>I feel the scale will have a detrimental visual impact on area, in an area of natural beauty especially as this will be seen from hills of Dorking. It be very prominent from all roads around Horsham, especially as it will require illumination at night due to collision potential especially as an airfield exists in slinfold and of course Gatwick.</p> <p>The pollution reports shows significant impacts on all surrounding areas including several new estates being built.</p> <p>I would suggest halting any new estates being built nearby or refusing chimney.</p>	
104	Miss Jessica Price	41, Blackbridge Lane, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 1RU	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>I'm concerned particularly about the impact of air pollution to the local area, which has been linked to a number of illnesses, and research suggests this will affect not just Horsham, but the wider area. The impact of the A264 and A24 on air quality is already noticeable, let alone wit additional traffic travelling to this site and the air pollution released from the incinerator.</p> <p>I'm also worried about the increased traffic at what is already a very busy roundabout, and very close to the planned new housing development in North Horsham, which will also result in increased volume of traffic in this area. The infrastructure in the area is not equipped for all this traffic.</p> <p>There are a number of other concerns and all of these will impact upon anyone residing in the new North Horsham housing development, which would also be unfair on the future residents.</p>	
105	Mr David	11, Great Lime Kilns,	Object	27-MAR-2018	-	

	Rose	Southwater, RH13 9JL				
106	Mr Martin Simmonds	30, Corsletts Avenue, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3LG	Object	27-MAR-2018	On the occasions I have cycled past the small incinerator at Warnham its be blowing out thick black smoke, with a bigger system this can only get worse. hows this good for your air quality? what about all the new housing thats be granted planning?	
107	Mr Kevin Tuck	127, Bennetts Road, Horsham, RH13 5JY	Object	27-MAR-2018	Dear Sir / Madam, I am writing to express my concerns and my objections to the proposal for construction of a new incinerator in the Horsham area. I think this is a very bad idea both in principle and for a whole plethora of reasons. Firstly, why do we need a new incinerator when there is already over-capacity in the country? Surely a new incinerator will work against the Council's commendable policies on recycling, as it will reduce the perceived need to recycle. Another important issue is the wear and tear on Horsham's roads and the increase in traffic congestion due to the number of lorries that will necessarily visit the site. Horsham has many roads that are already in need of repair and which, due to budgetary constraints, the Council is evidently struggling to maintain. The current great increase in building of new homes in the area will also add pressures to road usage. Exacerbating this by having an incinerator site as well that will attract many heavy lorries is extraordinarily contra-sensible. Finally, the most worrying issue is that the health of adults and children living in the Horsham area could be compromised by the continual emissions from this incinerator. Who, honestly, would want to live under this continual particle plume? Construction of a new incinerator in the Horsham area is just plain wrong-headed and I urge the Council to reject it utterly.	
108	Ms Catherine Waite	Delancey Court, 6, Wimbleshurst Road, Horsham, RH12 2DU	Object	27-MAR-2018	I'm worried about pollution and health of nearby residents. Additionally I'm concerned about extra traffic on the roads because of this. It will be an eyesore to the local community.	
109	Mr Stephen Watts	108, Heath Way, Horsham, RH12 5XS	Object	27-MAR-2018	I wish to object to this application, for the following reasons: 1) With a 95m stack, this structure will be a massive visual intrusion in the landscape. The ZTV map shows it is likely to be visible from a long way off. 2) The risk of air pollution from the incinerator is too high, given the proximity of the existing built up area of Horsham and the proposed North Horsham development. 3) The lorry movements will put extra pressure on the increasingly busy A264 Horsham Northern bypass and the totally inadequate stretch of the A24 north of the Great Daux roundabout.	
110	Mrs Claire Lyon	11, Trafalgar Road, Horsham, RH12 2QD	Object	27-MAR-2018	Horsham is quickly growing community with hundreds of new houses being built to accomodate its growing needs. A lot of the people moving to Horsham are starting families and will join the the thousands of families who already live here. An incinerator in Horsham would drastically Increase pollution across Horsham putting lives of ourselves and our children at risk. I have a two-year old daughter and I am pregnant with my second and the news of this planning application is extremely worrying. We moved to Horsham three years ago and have met many people since who have also moved here recently and we all agree that it was because it has so much to offer. I can't imagine people will feel the same if we have an incinerator looming over us spitting out debris to rain down upon us. Our county is already behind on its recycling targets, something myself and neighbours have been petitioning for and this will not help. By being behind on these targets is costing our council more and more money and rates have already gone up drastically in the last five years. Soon many people of this community will no longer be able to afford such rates. There are also so many other factors to take in to account such as: - Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney - Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7 - Increased damage to the county's highways system The incinerator hinders West Sussex County Council and Horsham District Council's plans, it doesn't help them. Please do not put your residents as risk.	
111	Miss Kelly Pearce	7, Nye Close, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3TB	Object	27-MAR-2018	I object to the incinerator being built in Horsham, a town full of families. It is not fair to have them breathing this in. Increased pollution due to extra lorries transporting to and from the site.	
112	Ms Lisa Richmond	27, Edwards Close, Horsham, RH12 3PL	Object	27-MAR-2018	Increased pollution accross Horsham posing health risk to adults and children in Horsham. Light pollution from aircraft lighting on incinerator Negative impact on Horsham roads due to increased volume of large vehicles transporting waste in from other counties	
113	Mrs Jerry Collins	3, Greenfield Road, Slinfold, Horsham, RH13 0SF	Object	27-MAR-2018	Pollutant being built which can affect the health of myself and all of my children. It is a counter productive measure for future recycling. As a district we have been improving our recycling efforts and are above national average for recycling. We do not want or need this incinerator.	
114	Mr David Richardson	Na, 34, Cook way, Horsham, Rh123us	Object	27-MAR-2018	I object for the reasons below. - Increased pollution across Horsham putting lives of ourselves and our children at risk - Doesn't help to meet counties recycling targets - Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney - Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7 - Increased damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair - The incinerator hinders WSCC/HDC's plans, it doesn't help them.	
115	Robert & Patrica Green	Northend House, Old Holbrook, Horsham, RH12 4TW	Object	27-MAR-2018	Planning Ref WSCC/015/18/NH Objection to the planning application for incinerator, major works & chimney by Britaniacrest Ltd. This is due to the fact a resident in horsham & warnham for more than 70 years we feel a blight on the area of the construction type impact to the local people & surrounding area so we feel this is not for horsham. regards Robert & Patrica Green	
116	Miss Desiree Caryl	-	Object	27-MAR-2018	Dear Sir/Madam With reference to the above; I would like to raise my objection to the proposal for the building of the Horsham Incinerator.	

					<p>I live within close proximity to the proposed build and am concerned that the World Health Organisation recommendations are not being considered.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>Miss Desiree Caryl</p>	
117	Mrs Susan Taylor	23, Patchings, Horsham, RH13 5HJ	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>The manufacture of clay bricks at the Wealden Brickworks resulted in massive excavations for the raw materials necessary.. The filling of these Borrow Pits was obviously needed on the closure of the Works to return the land to agriculture use.</p> <p>Nobody in the local population envisaged that the creation of the Landfill Site would result in such a smelly blot on the landscape.</p> <p>In my previous letters, I have complained about Britannia Crest Recycling Limited's inability to control the smell from the existing tip. I am continually subjected to an unbelievable stench from this facility even though I live a good distance away. Only last week at the end of the cold spell, we were subjected to our environmental space being polluted by the tip.</p> <p>I feel that the Renewable Energy Facility is a change of use of this site which is totally unwarranted and does not follow the original intent of returning the land to agriculture use.</p> <p>As regards the height of the Incinerator Chimney, it is obvious that this Plant will produce massive amounts of ash. The tremendous height of the Chimney is needed, to reduce the parts per million to below international standards at ground level. Common sense tells me, that hundreds of thousands of tonnes of rubbish burnt each year, will pollute my garden regardless of any scientific statistical calculation.</p> <p>I feel my human rights to clean air and environmental space in my garden, to being free of unwanted carcinogenic material, is being ignored if this planning application is approved.</p> <p>My previous letter also stated my concern of the affect on schools, hospital and other housing in Horsham that fall within the plume of this Chimney. This chimney has been forced to be so high, it needs safety lights to prevent accidents from low flying aircraft.</p> <p>Planning Objection from Mrs Susan Taylor</p>	
118	Mr Graham Rumbol	28, Thatchers Close, Horsham, RH12 5TL	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>Dear Sir or Madam,</p> <p>I wish to register my objection to the above planning application.</p> <p>Langhurstwood road is already extremely busy with lorries visiting the same site, either going to the brickworks, landfill or ingestor plant. The incinerator and inevitable fumes would be far too close for households in north Horsham, let alone residents of the 2750 new homes to be built nearby.</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>Graham Rumbol.</p>	
119	Mrs Rebecca Barreca	8, Bramble Close, Barns Green, Horsham, RH13 0AW	Object	27-MAR-2018	-	
120	Mrs Karen Bradford	8, Erica way, Horsham, Rh12 5xl	Object	27-MAR-2018	Do not want my children inhaling this.	
121	Mrs Lindsey Burnell	44, Carter Drive, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3GZ	Object	27-MAR-2018	<p>I am against the increased pollution across Horsham putting lives at risk. An incinerator will not help us to meet the counties recycling targets. I do not want to see, smell or breathe in invisible pollution from the chimney. I do not want to see excessive large vehicles transporting waste during all hours, increasing the traffic on these roads and further damaging them (pot holes are already bad enough).</p> <p>What Horsham does need; food/compost and nappy waste collection weekly. Recycling collected weekly and general waste reduced as it has been. Although with the addition of food/compost and nappy waste facilities as well as weekly recycling I reckon general waste could be reduced so much that it's only needed to be collected every three weeks. But all the other as said above needs to be put in place first.</p> <p>Let's help our town, country and earth by being responsible, not adding more pollution.</p>	
122	Mr Russell Dunkley	.. 9, Garden walk, Horsham, Rh12 2lj	Object	27-MAR-2018	-	
123	Miss Sarnia Scott	Surrey Court, 5, New Street, Horsham, West Sussex, Rh13 5er	Object	28-MAR-2018	-	
124	Mrs Helen Green	20, 20, Glendale Close, Horsham, RH124GR	Object	28-MAR-2018	-	
125	Mrs Emma Cassim	32, Kempshott road, Horsham, Rh12 2ey	Object	28-MAR-2018	-	
126	Miss Rachel Beer	Naboths, Northlands Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SQ	Object	28-MAR-2018	Huge eye sore and most importantly pollution concerns especially worries about my children breathing in polluted air	
127	Mr Chris Smith	3, Northlands Cottages, Northlands Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SQ	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>Ref: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>The proposed development includes a 95m-tall stack, which will dominate the surrounding landscape to a hugely disproportionate level, exceeding in height both Big Ben and the Statue of Liberty. While those landmarks at least benefit their "local" community via tourism, this one appears to be part of a development intended to serve a wide area of Southern England by burning their waste, not just that of the surrounding area.</p> <p>And yet it is the surrounding area who suffer the detriment, both of the permanent eye-sore of the stack, but perhaps more importantly, the inevitable noise and air pollution that such a development will generate. With my own children attending a village school within a mile or so of this proposed development's site, my concern over this latter issue is not for my own health, but for that of the next generation, who have no say on this particular issue.</p> <p>I would therefore strongly object to this proposal on environmental grounds, as well as the obvious detriment to the character of the local area.</p>	
128	Mr Andrew Hawkins	Delancey Court, 8, Wimblerhurst Road, Horsham, RH12 2DU	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>Like many we moved to the Horsham area because of the pleasant and sympathetically developed town, its facilities and wanting to incorporate a more rural lifestyle. As such, we believe the proposal seriously compromises those qualities for us and thousands of others. It appears the general wind direction is biased towards the South, i.e. Horsham town centre and other conservation areas/buildings and are extremely sceptical that odours and dangerous invisible gases and particulates are not going to have a detrimental impact on those areas. The quantity and claims of supporting reports and studies will mean little to the surrounding</p>	

					residence once its built and studies are either proved false, as is often the case, or rules are flaunted due to insufficient monitoring and policing. Why having attracted so many people to the area and built so much new housing would one want to ruin the charm and pride that folk have in their town and country side by effectively portraying Horsham as a big toxic rubbish dump. Who wants to look up from almost anywhere and see a large chimney let alone think of what we are breathing and eating. It will create a stigma that will be hard to overcome for years, not a legacy to be known for. Consider the community who need assurances about their ongoing quality of life over speculative commercial gain. There are undoubtedly more suitable sites for a proposal of this magnitude and impact.	
129	Deborah Hammond	Curtis Cottages, 2, Green Lane, Rusper, HORSHAM, West Sussex, RH12 4PP	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>Non-compliance with West Sussex County Council's Waste Local Plan</p> <p>Size is excessive and very tall - will have major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages and potentially areas of outstanding natural beauty locally and Surrey.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises.</p> <p>Scale of plant suggests seeking waste from outside local area, encouraging commercial waste being transferred over great distance to feed a very large incinerator.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 11: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. Nothing suggests this will enhance local area, rather, will detract and blight as visible from 15kms away in areas of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. We question the pollution from emissions including lead, mercury, dioxins, increase in road traffic and impact it will have on business travel in delays and detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County;</p> <p>Questionable if policy will be met by this proposal, as it will be seen from rural villages and detrimental impact on Horsham and surrounding rural communities.</p> <p>Policy W12: High Quality Developments. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they are of high quality and, where appropriate, the scale, form, and design (including landscaping) take into account the need to: (a) integrate with and, where possible, enhance adjoining land-uses; (b) have regard to the local context including: (iii) the topography, landscape, townscape, streetscape and skyline of the surrounding area; (iv) views into and out of the site.</p> <p>Britaniacrest proposal does not meet the criteria set out above.</p> <p>Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that: lighting, noise, dust, odours and other emissions are controlled to the extent that there will not be an unacceptable impact on public health and amenity. Proposals will require aviation lighting as well as have a night-time noise impact on the neighbouring communities creating light pollution for the area.</p> <p>2 Visual Impact of the development</p> <p>Proposal does nothing to hide impact it will have on the rural countryside for which it will sit amongst, being totally overpowering and intrusive day and night as it sits above the natural tree height canopy.</p> <p>Intrusion of stack will be particularly intimidating at times when exhaust plumes are being emitted. Application documents state that the plume height could range from 6m to over 400m from the top of the 96m chimney.</p> <p>3 Noise intrusion</p> <p>At operational stage it is acknowledge in the application that at night, with low background noise levels, the noise exposure would be increased by 6dB at three locations. This would seem a significant increase in noise that local residents would have to tolerate.</p> <p>4 The Environmental Arguments</p> <p>Research increasingly indicates that incineration reduces recycling.</p> <p>And, incineration plants in the EU are already being decommissioned because reduced availability of suitable waste has significantly reduced the amount of material available to fuel the burners.</p> <p>Many countries now import material to incinerate.</p> <p>With increased push in the UK to reduce our reliance on plastics and recycle more, many experts predict that within 5 years we will have solved the plastics issue. Industry is changing and will no longer rely on plastic packaging.</p> <p>We already have surplus capacity for burning waste in the UK. Will West Sussex be left with a white elephant?</p>	
130	Mrs Jan Evans	2, Graylands Farm Cottages, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, RH12 4QD	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>1. Langhurstwood Road is a small rural road, with no street lights or pavements. It is currently used by Biffa, The brick works, Graylands Ind Estate and the offices. The traffic therefore is getting worse and worse. The current size of the lorries are huge and sometimes they have trouble passing each other. The added lorries that will be up and down this road will make it even more dangerous, noisy and add to the pollution.</p> <p>2. There is nowhere for any vehicles to park in the case of a back load into the site.</p> <p>3. There is a large amount of rubbish dropped from lorries currently and this will get worse. Because this road is dangerous the Council will only pick up the litter when the road is closed. This means there is a build up on the road, verges and our garden. Again this will get worse.</p> <p>3. The photo's I have seen of the chimney, are not a true representation of what it will actually look like</p> <p>4. The Chimney is going to operate 24/7, the noise will be heard all the time, along with the extra noise from the lorries. We will be unable to sit quietly in our garden and enjoy the peace we wanted when we moved here. Currently we cannot open our front windows due to the traffic noise</p> <p>5. I suffer with asthma, and the added lorries and pollution will worsen my condition</p>	
131	Mrs Jennifer Cummins	17, Adams Close, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3UT	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>Air pollution</p> <p>No specification of what will be burnt</p>	
132	Mr Byron Trent	Horsham District Council, Parkside, Chart Way, Horsham, RH12 1RL	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>The region is already running over capacity with regard to existing incineration facilities. This facility is not required and seems to have purely financial roots.</p>	
133	Ms Diane Stiff	23, Buckswood drive, Gossops Green, Crawley, RH11 8HS	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>I absolutely object to this thoughtless plan. It reeks of capital gains and will do Horsham no good at all. It won't deal with local matter and simply makes the locality a dumping area and polluted environment. I object to my family being effected by this....it will poison the air they breath and it will blight the area. Please do not let it happen.</p>	
134	Mr Wojciech Pluta	42, Carter Drive, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3GZ	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>I'm concerned around impact it will have on air quality and pollution</p>	
135	Mr Geoffrey Hall	Woodleigh House, 33, Beaver Close, Horsham, RH12 5GB	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>I wish to object to the above planning application on the basis of the following:</p> <p>The construction is significantly larger than is appropriate for the location and to meet local population needs</p> <p>The potential for pollution both from the operation of the incinerator, and the vehicles servicing it, will be very great and will have a considerable detriment to the area.</p> <p>The potential adverse health effects will be considerable, particularly with the new housing developments in the Horsham area.</p>	
136	Ms Sarah Hughes	12, Stoneybrook, Horsham, RH12 1UN	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>Application Number: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I wish to formally object to Britaniacrest's planned incinerator in North Horsham. My reasons being:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">· The use of the incinerator is completely unsuitable for the area· It's appearance is damaging to the aesthetics of the area	

					<p>· The increased traffic this will generate and all the safety issues surrounding this</p> <p>· The horrific impact this will have on the local natural environment including it's animals and their habitat</p> <p>· The damage to our air quality due to its production of dust/odour/fumes</p> <p>· Noise and light disturbance.</p> <p>Yours Sincerely,</p> <p>Sarah Hughes</p>	
137	Emma Peskett	48, Friday Street, Warnham, West Sussex, RH12 3QX	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>Dear Sir</p> <p>This is my formal objection to the latest planning application for the Incinerator.</p> <p>As a resident of Warnham, it will have a sever impact both visually and environmentally - including noise, emissions, traffic- on our lovely village and surrounding area.</p> <p>Huge lorries will be trucking in commercial waste from all over the south east, creating yet more congestion on our over populated rural road network.</p> <p>The 95m stack is taller than Big Ben and the Statue of Liberty and will be visible from a 15km radius- creating horrific pollution with untold long-term health implications.</p> <p>This is totally unacceptable in such a heavily populated area- of which Warnham is also a Conservation area.</p> <p>I am hugely concerned about a massive industrial scale incinerator and its emissions being produced 1 mile from my home and my child's school at Warnham.</p> <p>Emma Peskett 48 Friday Street Warnham RH123QX</p>	
138	Mr Richard Fox	14, Cottage Close, Horsham, RH12 4GS	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>name -Richard Fox 14 Cottage Close Horsham RH12 4GS E mail</p> <p>I wish to object to the planning application listed above based on the following:</p> <p>The A 264 road which leads to Langhurstwood Road is already a disgrace and frequently looks as if a Litter festival has taken place caused predominantly by trucks Using the bio facility in the same vicinity One can only imagine the additional distress that will be caused to the environment if the planning application was to be approved with the subsequent additional truck Traffic.</p> <p>Having recently returned from various locations I am ashamed of the current refuse in West Sussex generally and specifically on the A264.</p> <p>The additional traffic is also likely to create huge traffic jams when linked with the new North Horsham housing and industrial development. and theresulting influx of cars.</p> <p>I trust that WSCC will give due consideration to these issues and the beauty that SHOULD be seen in this county.</p> <p>Sent from</p>	
139	Mrs Gillian Wray	109, Woodlands Way, Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9DS	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>Not the environment I want my kids raised up with around them.</p>	
140	Ms Rosalie Wilson	11, Spencers Road, Horsham, RH12 2JQ	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>strongly object to this. It will seriously effect our air quality.</p>	
141	Mrs Catherine Leavett	43, 43, Highwood Crescent, Horsham, RH121UG	Object	28-MAR-2018	<p>-</p>	
142	Mrs Elizabeth Wingett	2, Chesworth Crescent, Horsham, RH135AN	Object	29-MAR-2018	<p>I am concerned at the height of the proposed chimney and how it will ruin the rural landscape.</p> <p>I am concerned at the increase in air pollution and the damage it will do to our health and our children's health.</p> <p>I am concerned that our infrastructure will not be able to cope with the influx of traffic bringing in waste.</p> <p>I do not think that this will complement our horsham target to increase recycling.</p>	
143	Mrs Helen Johnson	111, Tanbridge Park, Horsham, West Sussex, RH121SF	Object	29-MAR-2018	<p>I object to the height of the tower and the plume it will submit. This is too close to a highly populated area. On a windy day this'll be awful. Please don't spoil this town.</p>	
144	Mr Chris Jenkinson	Oakhurst, Pondtail Drive, Horsham, RH12 5HY	Object	29-MAR-2018	<p>Once again we are fighting to save Horsham from pollution, traffic and overdevelopment. Access to the proposed site is terrible and the rubbish falling of the lorries currently is a disgrace.</p> <p>The fumes from this sites will have an impact on the whole of Horsham and the surrounding area depending on the direction of the wind.The hidden killer may be the fumes from the chimney which may be carcinogenic.Do we want to take this risk? This scheme should be opposed on health grounds, poor access, excess traffic and for causing localised rubbish pollution.With the new development and more lorries to the site the A264/A24 will grind to a halt.</p> <p>There is also the question of lorries coming from far and wide and the extra pollution they will bring. As we know diesel fumes are also a silent killer so why would Horsham want to increase the number of lorries in a concentrated area.</p> <p>This proposal will also have an impact on the new development and make it a less attractive place to live. Proposed new schools are half a mile away. This will affect childrens health particularly as the prevailing wind is westerly.</p> <p>Time to say no and never revisit it.</p>	
145	Mrs Stefka Heathcote	4, Gorings mead, Horsham, Rh135bp	Object	29-MAR-2018	<p>We live in Horsham and having a major pollution source is dangerous and we against building it. This is to say that we don't support WSCC/HDC's published plans.</p> <p>In simple terms we object because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increased pollution across Horsham putting lives of ourselves and our children at risk - Doesn't help to meet counties recycling targets - Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney - Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7 - Increased damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair - The incinerator hinders WSCC/HDC's plans, it doesn't help them. <p>We are a family of four, so this is four objections from us!</p>	
146	Miss Ophelie Chauveau	80, Shelley Drive, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3NT	Object	29-MAR-2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increased pollution across Horsham putting lives of ourselves and our children at risk - Doesn't help to meet counties recycling targets - Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney - Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7 - Increased damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair - The incinerator hinders WSCC/HDC's plans, it doesn't help them. - Increased traffic in a town already growing daily - Horsham needs more primary schools, an A&E department, a maternity department, more doctor surgeries and more dentist 	

					surgeries so please save the space to build these instead!	
147	Ms Rachel Barnard	Smithawe Farm, Nowhurst Lane, RH12 3PJ	Object	29-MAR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>My son and I are asthmatic and we strongly object to this planning application WSCC/015/18/NH on the basis of the increased pollution it will bring, both from the plume and from the additional diesel lorry movements. The building itself will also be a huge blot on a rural landscape that is currently on the way to being acceptably restored at the site. We cannot need another incinerator approved in the region. It must be about profit at the expense of the people and the environment.</p> <p>Rachel Barnard Smithawe Farm Nowhurst Lane RH12 3PJ</p>	
148	Mrs Beverley Kinahan	48, Cissbury Close, Horsham, West Sussex, Rh12 5jt	Object	29-MAR-2018	<p>Increased air pollution</p> <p>Increase in heavy goods vehicles on local roads transporting waste from other areas of the country.</p> <p>Out of keeping with local area.</p> <p>Will not contribute to Horsham district meeting it's recycling targets.</p>	
149	David W Kennard	-	Object	29-MAR-2018	-	
150	Marc Sfakianakis	16, Rookwood Park, Horsham, West Sussex, rh12 1ub	Object	29-MAR-2018	<p>To: Strategic Planning, West Sussex County Council, County Hall, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 1RH</p> <p>Reference WSCC/015/18/NH - Incinerator Objection</p> <p>Reference subject application I am herewith lodging my objection at the proposed application for building an incinerator in Horsham district. Labelling this a technology agnostic and not what it is is a deception of the highest order. It must be rectified immediately and the deadline extended so that the public are more fully aware what is being undertaken.</p> <p>I fundamentally object to burning of material which is otherwise recyclable and would rather the planning department consider plan to cleanly re-process this waste rather than the making the ludicrous choice of approving this application. Is Horsham District going to be dispensing with recycling? Why would I bother washing and separating recyclable material if you are just going to burn it? I will request council refuse department provide me another large waste bin and refuse to separate our household's recycling waste in the this event and will seek legal counsel should the council object to my request.</p> <p>The increased risks in cancer, dioxin intake and general air pollution are well publicised and living, schooling and working in Horsham means my family and I will be exposed to this toxic mix on a near 24/7 basis. This is unacceptable.</p> <p>I also offer specific comment on the following:</p> <p>Non-compliance with West Sussex County Council's Waste Local Plan The size of the incinerator is larger than that required for local use so apart from impacting the natural beauty of the surrounding area why is it so large? Are we going to be importing waste from the surrounding areas? Just how far out is the catchment area for this site and will it also process commercial waste? What types and what toxins can we expect to be exposed to?</p> <p>Strategic Objective 11: The roads in the surrounding area cannot handle increased heavy loads and the council can barely keep up with pothole repairs as it is without the additional traffic and congestion. How if this goes ahead will the council remain committed to this objective?</p> <p>Policy W11: Character: I remain sceptical that this policy can be achieved when the site will be in plain view of all the surrounding villages and possibly the west side of Horsham. Certainly the exhaust fumes will be seen by many more.</p> <p>Policy W12: High Quality Developments: Having viewed the plans this clearly has not been met.</p> <p>Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. Given it's size the site will be require aviation lighting so that along with noise levels and light pollution the impact the local community will be sizeable.</p> <p>Please confirm that this objection has been noted and link to verify.</p> <p>Best regards</p> <p>Marc Sfakianakis 16 Rookwood Park, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 1UB</p>	
151	Mr Ray Butler	-	Object	29-MAR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/madam I write against the building of a tall incinerator at the former Wealden Brickworks, because;</p> <p>1. Suitability of use for the area./Dust/ odour/fumes. This would be sited not far from proposed massive development at North Horsham. The output or plume would affect the air quality for our new neighbours. The WHO say you should not build near people!</p> <p>2. Traffic Generation. Waste from other areas will be carried by HGV's around our roads and lanes belching out increased Co2 and Nox emissions.</p> <p>3.Noise?disturbance/Effect on landscape You have already developed so much of Horsham that it is losing its character. Even more large lorries and a permanently lit large tower will not enhance our lives one bit. It is quite obvious that this is unsuitable.</p> <p>I understand that this is a private developer, for profit and Horsham residents already funded a BIFFA treatment centre already!! THIS WASTE SHOULD BE RECYCLED NOT BURNT!</p> <p>Thank you Ray Butler , Ses Sevines, Ashmore Lane, Rusper, RH12 4PS</p>	
152	Mrs Alla Sfakianakis	Morningside, 16, Rookwood Park, Horsham, RH12 1UB	Object	29-MAR-2018	<p>1. Increased pollution across Horsham putting lives of ourselves and our children at risk</p> <p>2. Doesn't help to meet counties recycling targets</p> <p>3. Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney</p> <p>4. Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7</p> <p>5.Increased damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair</p> <p>6. The incinerator hinders WSCC/HDC's plans, it doesn't help them.</p>	
153	Mrs Alla Sfakianakis	Morningside, 16, Rookwood Park, Horsham, RH12 1UB	Object	29-MAR-2018	<p>Increased pollution across Horsham putting lives of ourselves and our children at risk</p> <p>- Doesn't help to meet counties recycling targets</p> <p>- Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney</p> <p>- Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7</p> <p>- Increased damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair</p> <p>- The incinerator hinders WSCC/HDC's plans, it doesn't help them.</p>	

154	Mr Willem Human	13, Cook Way, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3US	Object	29-MAR-2018	<p>My wife and I are absolutely furious and we certainly do object to this planning application. We moved to Horsham 3 years ago away from London which is a big polluted city. I am an asthma sufferer and the clean air is one of the main reasons we moved to the countryside. Our kids are also at high risk of developing asthma during their childhood. Living next door to an industrial size incinerator has never been in our plans!!! This is simply outrageous. If somebody told us about the proposed incinerator being built in Horsham 3 years ago we would have never thought of moving into this area and buying our first home here using the government funded scheme Help to Buy.</p> <p>The Horsham council has already let us down big time by promising a new school to be built in Wickhurst Green which still hasn't happened. The local Shelley Primary school is oversubscribed. One of our daughters had to be home schooled for 3 months because of that as my wife was not driving at that time and we couldn't accept a place in any other schools. And now we have to deal with another big problem - the incinerator which will burn everything that councils and private companies (and possibly other countries) pay them to incinerate.</p> <p>This will cause an increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator every day of the year. More lorries on our roads mean more road incidents as we all know how dangerously the lorries usually drive. My wife has nearly been killed by one of them on a big roundabout in Crawley recently. So I definitely don't want more lorries on our roads. You are putting our lives and the lives of our children at risk and if the incinerator is going to be built here we'd have to sell our house and move. This will cost us lots of money. This is simply not fair on us and the other local residents.</p> <p>My wife and I demand that this application for the planning permission WSCC/015/18/NH is declined. Thank you.</p>	
155	Mrs Sarah Evans	116, Clarence Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH135SG	Object	29-MAR-2018	-	
156	Mrs Alexia Rowley	41, Vale Drive, Horsham, RH12 2JU	Object	29-MAR-2018	<p>Pollution Our children?s clean air Eyesore Unnecessary Reason we left London was clean air No proper information given to residents affected</p>	
157	Mr Steve Clarke	51, Sargent Way, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3TE	Object	29-MAR-2018	<p>As a relatively new resident of Horsham, I purchased a new build house for my family in broadbridge heath, Horsham. When I purchased the property, at raised Horsham prices, I was not made aware of the proposed incinerator. The proximity and numerous effects of the incinerator will in my opinion reduce the saleability of my property and lower the value.</p> <p>The pollution fallout from the incinerator will directly effect me and my three children. Broadbridge heath is a rapidly growing village in Horsham with over 1000 new houses. The smell and particle fallout will be breathed in daily by 1000s of children for generations to come which is unacceptable. I do not wish wsc to put my children's future health at risk.</p> <p>The incinerator is enormous and will be a blot on an otherwise beautiful rural landscape bordering a deer park and acres of green belt land.</p> <p>It will lead to a massive increase in heavy traffic on roads that are already in disrepair. This will have to be funded by our council tax which I am not agreeing to. Wsc currently state that they cannot afford to build the proposed new school in broadbridge heath due to lack of funding. They were happy to agree to planning permission for 1000 houses but there's no money for all the extra children to go to school. Yet we are going to waste money on road repairs for an incinerator.</p> <p>Horsham exceeds the national average for recycling. The incinerator is not for us, it will allow other failing counties to burn their waste. It will not effect them, but it will effect us daily.</p> <p>The aircraft warning lights on the tower will cause light pollution for those living near by.</p> <p>I object to this proposed incinerator for the above reasons</p>	
158	Mrs Carmen Cannon	12, Highdown Way, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5JJ	Object	30-MAR-2018	Complete and strong objection	
159	Mrs Kim Wickens	33, Amberley Road, Horsham, RH12 4LJ	Object	30-MAR-2018	This will pollute the whole of the Horsham area and will put the lives of myself and my children at risk.	
160	Mrs Sarah Bessant	2, The Forge, Byfleets Lane, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3UA	Object	30-MAR-2018	<p>Air quality and pollution, increased heavy lorry traffic, road congestion. It?s industrisl not residential waste and not from our area.</p> <p>Visual impact on the environments</p> <p>Detrimental impact on air quality - my children are asthmatic. Who knows hshow far the smoke and waste will spread with the wind and the impact that will havev</p>	
161	Mr Jason Marsland	Flat 4, The Pavilion, Graylands Estate, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, Rh12 4QD	Object	30-MAR-2018	<p>The surrounding area has already been marred by the ugliness of the brick works and landfill site which also creates a disgusting smell which can be found for miles around. As well an unsightly y and unpleasant there are very probably health effects yet to be admitted too by the authorities.</p> <p>The surrounding roads have been trashed by the constant stream of lorries already using the route and the amount of litter they leave behind harms the environment and costs the tax payer a fortune to clear up.</p> <p>In a time where we need to be waging a war on plastic and reducing, reusing and recycling this is clearly a project based on nothing else but profit at the expense of anyone who lives nearby.</p>	
162	Mr Freddie Cannon	12, Highdown Way, Horsham, RH12 5JJ	Object	30-MAR-2018	Complete and strong objection	
163	Mr Russell Cox	107, Rascals Close, Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9GB	Object	30-MAR-2018	<p>MY OBJECTIONS:</p> <p>1 - The size of the construction is excessive large and high and will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages as well as potentially Surrey areas of outstanding natural beauty</p> <p>2 - The scale of this plant seems to be seeking waste from outside the local area and thus will encourage commercial waste being transferred over great distance to feed a very large incinerator</p> <p>3 - There is nothing to suggest the works will enhance the local area in fact it will detract and blight being visible from 15kms away in areas of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. I question the pollution from the emissions including lead, mercury, dioxins, the increase in road traffic and the impact it will have on business travel in delays and detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole</p> <p>4 - Visually, it will be seen from rural villages and detrimental impact on Horsham and surrounding rural communities</p> <p>5 - The Britaniacrest proposal does not meet the landscaping criteria it sets out</p> <p>6 - The proposals will require aviation lighting as well as have a night-time noise impact on the neighbouring communities creating light pollution for the area</p> <p>7 - Visual Impact of the development - the proposal does nothing to hide the impact it will have on the rural countryside for which it will sit amongst, being totally over powering and intrusive day and night as it sits above the natural tree height canopy. The intrusion of the stack will be particularly intimidating at times when exhaust plumes are being emitted. The application documents state that the plume height could range from 6m to over 400m from the top of the 96m chimney.</p> <p>8 - Noise intrusion - at the operational stage it is acknowledged in the application that at night, with low background noise levels, the noise exposure would be increased by 6dB at three locations. This would seem a significant increase in noise that local residents would have to tolerate</p>	

					<p>9 - The Environmental Arguments- research increasingly indicates that incineration reduces recycling. Furthermore, incineration plants in the EU are already being decommissioned because reduced availability of suitable waste has significantly reduced the amount of material available to fuel the burners. Many countries are now having to import material to incinerate. With the increased push in the UK to reduce our reliance on plastics and recycle more, many experts predict that within 5 years we will have solved the plastics issue. Industry is changing and will no longer rely on plastic packaging</p> <p>RUSSELL COX - 30/3/2018</p>	
164	Mrs Gemma Muncy	14, Marlborough Close, Horsham, RH12 5ff	Object	30-MAR-2018	<p>I think we need to be really careful about the environmental and health impacts of this proposal. Horsham gets busier and busier and we need to keep it a nice place to live and travel.</p>	
165	Miss Georgina Sterling	The Pavilion, Graylands Estate, 4, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, RH124QD	Object	30-MAR-2018	<p>I drive less than 5 minutes down Langhurstwood Road and at least 3 big lorries go whizzing past me on this country lane, we do not need any more large vechiles constantly up and down there ruining the roads and the side verges the trees etc are caked in mud.</p> <p>The smell we have to live with is already enough, some days its horrendous. It smells eggy and just like a huge bin that we have to breathe in to be honest.</p> <p>The current set up at Biffa/Brickworks is already big enough, in winter its pretty much all on show and its amazing just how big industrial size it already is with the treatment centre etc I was shocked. Luckily in summer it gets abit nore covered up by trees but we can still see the chimney, lights and smoke all the time.</p> <p>For health reasons for residents in surrounding areas is scary, let alone if North Horsham wants to build new schools near by. House prices will dramatically drop.</p>	
166	Mr Christopher Jende	83, Farhalls Crescent, Horsham, RH12 4BU	Object	30-MAR-2018	<p>The size of the construction is excessively large and high and will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages as well as potentially Surrey areas of outstanding natural beauty.</p> <p>The scale of this plant seems to be seeking waste from outside the local area and thus will encourage commercial waste being transferred over great distance to feed a very large incinerator.</p> <p>There is nothing to suggest that this will enhance the local area in fact it will detract and blight being visible from 15kms away in areas of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. We should question the pollution from the emissions including lead, mercury, dioxins, the increase in road traffic and the impact it will have on business travel in delays and detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole. Coupled with the fact that 2500 homes are due be built in close proximity , the prevailing wind will move any emmissions over the development with likelihood of pollutants appearing at ground level and causing detrimental air conditions.</p> <p>Research increasingly indicates that incineration reduces recycling.</p> <p>Furthermore, incineration plants in the EU are already being decommissioned because reduced availability of suitable waste has significantly reduced the amount of material available to fuel the burners.</p> <p>Many countries are now having to import material to incinerate.</p> <p>With the increased push in the UK to reduce our reliance on plastics and recycle more, many experts predict that within 5 years we will have solved the plastics issue. Industry is changing and will no longer rely on plastic packaging.</p> <p>Government ministers are starting to push for a moratorium on incineration facilities because we already have surplus capacity for burning waste in the UK. Will West Sussex be left with a white elephant?</p>	
167	Mrs Caroline Kelley	57, 57, Cook way, Horsham, Rh123us	Object	30-MAR-2018	-	
168	Mr Mark Long	25, Depot Road, Horsham, R135he	Object	30-MAR-2018	<p>The pollution diagram clearly shows that the close proximity to Horsham effect all persons and environment in and around Horsham.</p> <p>For the sake of air quality and the of residence and workers in and around Horsham.</p>	
169	Kevin Walton	Gardeners Cottage, Northlands Road, Warnham, RH12 3SQ	Object	30-MAR-2018	<p>I would like to lodge my objection to the planning application:</p> <p>WSSC/015/18/NH - Former Wealden Brickworks (Site HB),</p> <p>I am objecting for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Layout/appearance/design of buildings; This is unsuitable for a rural village location.2. Traffic generation/access/highway safety; The increased road use is a danger to the local community3. Noise/disturbance; this environmental measure is unsuitable for a rural location.4. Effect on landscape or character of area; this type of development is completely out of character for this area and I am staggered that it is being considered. <p>Please reject this application.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Kevin Walton</p>	
170	Kim Bailey	Oldbury Close, 5, Horsham, RH12 5JZ	Object	30-MAR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I would like to object to the new plans submitted by Britaniacrest in relation to the incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>I am unhappy that pollutants will contaminate the air with Co2 and Nox emissions. It is located too close to Horsham and with the new development in North Horsham scheduled to proceed, residential homes will be located even closer to the incinerator.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Kim Bailey 5 Oldbury Close Horsham RH12 5JZ</p>	
171	G Keegan	31, Gateford Drive, Horsham, RH12 5FW	Object	30-MAR-2018	<p>Subject: WSSC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>Re. STOP HORSHAM INCINERATOR</p> <p>Good morning</p> <p>I've lived in Horsham for many years over this period I've seen many changes some good and some not so great that being said I strongly disagree with building an Incinerator anywhere in the vicinity of Horsham .</p> <p>Given the latest houses developments over the past years it would be detrimental to the health of many people .</p>	

					<p>I vote to STOP The Horsham Incinerator</p> <p>Regards G Keegan 31 Gateford Drive Horsham RH12 5FW</p>	
172	Mrs Helen Stone	25, Sloughbrook Close, Horsham, RH12 5JD	Object	30-MAR-2018	<p>WE STRONGLY OBJECT TO THE NEW PLANS OF BRITANIACREST PROPOSAL FOR THE HORSHAM INCINERATOR. WE DO NOT WANT POLLUTED AIR, AND A SPOILT ENVIROMENT TO LIVE IN. THIS WILL BE SO DAMAGING TO ALL, AND ESPECIALLY FOR THOSE SUFFERING WITH HEALTH PROBLEMS, EG ASTHMA.....</p> <p>WE STRONGLY OBJECT.....</p>	
173	Mark Gallagher	-	Object	31-MAR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>This email is regarding the planning application for the Incinerator expansion.</p> <p>I would like to object to the planning permission. Both my children suffer from asthma and they would suffer, we moved to Horsham to get clean air. The waste dump already brings an awful smell to North Horsham this is the last thing we need.</p> <p>Regards Mark Gallagher</p>	
174	Miss Denise Thorpe	78, Hazelhurst Crescent, Horsham, RH12 1XB	Object	01-APR-2018	<p>I wish to raise my strong objections to Planning Application : WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>I object to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The citing of such a large scale Health hazard in close proximity to local residents, the numbers of which are due to rise substantially in the near future. Surely this breaches WHO guidelines? The road access to this site in Langhurstwood Road is not suitable for HGVs. Even the current usage levels, for what is a country road, are deplorable and dangerous for local residents' vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists. The road floods, is covered in mud and debris litters the hedgerows. Doesn't incineration conflict with the WSCC's and Government's recycling policy? This unsightly development would be a blight on the local landscape. 	
175	Alan Rice	-	Object	01-APR-2018	<p>Quoting reference WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I should object to the above application on the following :-</p> <p>The World Health Organisation state that waste incinerator should not be populated.</p> <p>The incinerator would be a white elephant before it is even commisioned.</p> <p>The chimney would be 95m tall.</p> <p>It would produce Co2 levels.</p> <p>Waste will be transported by HGV across county borders.</p> <p>Regrds</p> <p>Alan Rice</p>	
176	Brian and Janet Francis	-	Object	01-APR-2018	<p>We wish to object in the strongest possible terms to the above planning application.</p> <p>We live at Kingsfold which is on an elevated position above the proposed site for this incinerator. The prevailing winds during the summer months are from the South, which would put our hamlet in direct line with the inevitable pollution which would be emitted from any chimney necessitated by such a "facility". In our family alone we have several Asthma sufferers and inevitably there will be others with similar health issues. Such a structure would surely lead to further health issues in the area.</p> <p>We also live alongside the A24 which is well known as one of the most dangerous roads in Sussex. The volume of traffic on this road is already high, a large proportion of which is huge lorries. This road has needed improvement for many years. It will be unable to cope with the extra lorries needed to deliver waste products to this proposed incinerator.</p> <p>We also fear that any extra noise from this incinerator would funnel up the valley and cause further nuisance to the many people who live in it's path.</p> <p>We hope you will take these objections into account and reject this application.</p> <p>Brian and Janet Francis</p>	
177	Mrs philip Stone	25, Sloughbrook Close, Horsham, RH12 5JD	Object	01-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to these plans and the ongoing concerns and disruption it would bring.</p>	
178	Stuart Baker	Bignor Close, 8, North Horsham	Object	01-APR-2018	<p>I formally object to the planning application. I do not want my health or that of other residents of north horsham to be damaged by the proposed incierator</p> <p>Stuart baker</p>	
179	Mrs Nichola Favell	101, Acorn Avenue, Cowfold, Horsham, RH13 8RT	Support	01-APR-2018	<p>Definitely the way forward, much better than landfill</p>	

180	Ian Burton	-	Object	01-APR-2018	<p>Dear sir / madam</p> <p>I have had a flyer threw my letter box about the proposed Britaniacrest incinerator.</p> <p>I live in north Horsham and strongly object to this as the smell and smoke will be unbearable.</p> <p>We already get the foul smell from the tip.</p> <p>So please count this as a vote to object to this construction please.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Ian Burton</p>
181	Mr David Adlam	13, Poplar Court, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 4AF	Object	02-APR-2018	<p>I and my wife live in a retirement village within a mile or two of the proposed incinerator plant.We OBJECT strongly to this planning application on the grounds of a real health risk to us and the rest of the retirement village which totals at least 400 people.Most of the residents have health risks like me with breathing problems and need a inhaler at least twice a day.This village is self contained and is very good for people like me but would never have moved here had we know about this incinerator with it's fumes and smoke etc.this also applies to all the other residents,it also to be noted that the prevailing wind is always from the west which means we are in a direct line to get the fumes etc.</p>
182	Miss Alice Bradley	20, School Hill, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QN	Object	02-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to this plan for many reasons. Firstly, I chose to live in a conservation area in the countryside which unfortunately looks directly onto the site. The chimney is truly ugly and unsightly in an area with no other tall buildings. The second reason for choosing to live outside of town is to be able to breathe fresh unpolluted air. This is something that I find hugely concerning as I suspect the effects of the fallout from these chimneys isn't fully known. In addition to this my partner suffers from asthma and I feel the plan would be further detrimental to his health.</p> <p>It makes no sense to put such a structure firstly, so near to an airport and flight paths (I assume because of this it will be lit, just to draw a bit more attention to it) and secondly directly next door to a huge planned housing estate. I cant imagine families moving to the area would be impressed by such a structure right on their doorstep. Both the incinerator and housing estate will bring in huge amounts of traffic to already busy roads not really designed for that volume of traffic, again adding to pollution levels in the area. In a time when the population are becoming more and more aware of the environmental damage we are causing and looking to reduce our output of rubbish, recycle and find alternatives to single use plastics it seems totally outdated that a company thinks that the answer is just to burn it. We should be looking at ways to reduce waste in the first instance.</p>
183	Dr Dale Edwards	24, Garden Place, Hawthorn Close, Horsham, RH12 2BD	Object	02-APR-2018	<p>Additional non domestic litter/rubbish fouling the A264</p> <p>Toxins from chimney emissions falling on already populated and to be populated areas.</p> <p>increased heavy haulage traffic</p> <p>Increased round the clock traffic noise</p> <p>Increased respiratory health issues as reported by EU</p>
184	Mrs Susan Falvey	3, Quail Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5ZJ	Object	02-APR-2018	<p>I objected to the first application over my very strong concerns about my family who live in Langhurstwood Road. My two grandsons spend a lot of time enjoying their garden and the surrounding area with their parents but sometimes the awful smell coming from the plant is unbearable and I'm very concerned for their future health. Also we often have the smell in Quail Close in the summer months. Surely there's an alternative to this plan. Another problem is the noise from lorries going and coming to the plant which will increase astronomically if other towns are allowed to bring their waste to Horsham. Again a oroblem for families with children when walking during the day. This plan has to be scrapped. A new housing estate is already planned so the countryside is now under threat even more.</p>
185	Mr Adam Wicks	77, Ropeland Way, Horsham, RH12 5NZ	Object	02-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to the build of an incinerator primarily on the grounds that I live less than one mile from the proposed site, with a young family and I cannot accept any argument that will increase the levels of pollution in the area, however small, with unknown long term negative health effects.</p> <p>Secondarily I feel that the proposed site is ill suited to such a facility which is designed to accept waste products from across the county/south east. This can only have a detrimental effect on traffic in the area, and increase the burden on currently quiet country roads.</p> <p>I have reviewed the application document and attended the public consultation meeting in Horsham. While I can see that clear efforts have been made to adjust the design to minimise its impact on the local area, within necessary technical limitations of such a facility, the main building is still significant in size and such a large chimney will be an eyesore. As I see it, the proposed location is fundamentally flawed.</p>
186	Mrs Wendy Fuller	Badgers, Pondtail Close, Horsham, RH12 5HS	Object	02-APR-2018	<p>Due to HDC already agreeing to the large housing development in North Horsham, to which a large number of local residents objected to, and were overridden by HDC, so the last thing local people need is a renewable energy facility and waste burning incinerator. The traffic infrastructure already cannot cope with the large number of vehicles on the local roads A264/A24 and if Gatwick gets it's second runway, air pollution will be a major issue in the area. The local area does not need this kind of development and it will not be local waste that will be incinerated, it will be waste from far and wide. At the moment Horsham is a very pleasant place to live and work, but it is slowing becoming an unpleasant place due to all the developments being allowed by both HDC and WSCC.</p>
187	Mrs C Porritt	., Barleycroft, Cowfold, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 8DP	Object	02-APR-2018	<p>I write to formally object due to non-compliance with West Sussex County Council Waste Local Plan on 3 levels:</p> <p>(i) Strategic Objective 5: To make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises.</p> <p>If the monthly recycling figures for the area, as displayed at the amenity tip, are to be believed, around 75% of what Horsham residents bring to the tip is recyclable. So why does an industrial incinerator, of this magnitude, need to be built in a county that already has a good track record of recycling? This presumes that waste will need to be brought in from elsewhere to feed its appetite. And if the incinerator that's planned for Ford/Littlehampton goes ahead, why do we need 2 in the same county?</p> <p>(ii) Strategic Objective 11: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County.</p> <p>There's no protection or enhancement happening here, as the sheer size and scale of the incinerator will certainly ensure Horsham is on the map - but for totally the wrong reasons! The incinerator will be our legacy; our defining modern day addition to the "historic market town" local tourism badge we proudly promote.</p> <p>(iii) Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that: lighting, noise, dust, odours and other emissions are controlled to the extent that there will not be an unacceptable impact on public health and amenity. In addition to the fact the incinerator will create light and noise pollution, vehicle emissions will increase county-wide as more industrial container lorries rumble on our roads to feed the incinerator. Many will have to come via Cowfold, which already has the worst pollution levels in the South East. What measures will be put in place to monitor such emissions in and around the site vicinity, especially with the cocktail of pollutants from aircraft added into the air mix?</p> <p>(iv) Visual Impact of the development</p> <p>The incinerator site will be visible from vantage points as far out as Lower Beeding, so it is going to stick out like a sore thumb, irrespective of the roof contouring or colour palette chosen. It's being built on the edge of a rural area - the Horsham boundary - far from any industrialised area - with telltale plumes of up to 400m from the top of its 96m chimney; and then lit up like a belisha beacon at night. The visual impact will be immense.</p> <p>(v) The Environmental Arguments</p> <p>You only have to watch/read the media in recent months to know there has been a step change in our attitudes to plastics. Consumer pressure will mean industry will be forced to reduce reliance on plastic packaging in a relative short period of time. And this will mean less waste to burn in incinerators. With EU incineration plants being decommissioned because of reduced availability of suitable waste to burn, many countries now have to import material to keep the burners going. So why is Horsham entering into dialogue to build one when it's clear demand is diminishing? Has there been any consideration made to future waste projections for the proposed incinerator facility? How are they planning to futureproof demand; or will Horsham residents be left to foot the bill for something that's not running at full capacity? As well as residents paying up for road repairs due to potholes contributed to by the</p>

					lorries; increased pressure on local GP's due to rises in asthma; requirements to have radioactive processes in place in case of an environmental catastrophe from spillages of burnt waste and associated costs this brings. Is now really a good time to be opting to build such a facility? Fast forward a few years and no doubt it will be deemed regressive but by then it could be too late.	
188	Mrs Jane Smith	Auclum Cottage, 17, The Marches, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SY	Object	02-APR-2018	I see from the resubmitted plans that the height of the chimney has not been reduced even though the height of the building has. There will be a permanent light on the top because of planes so that shows how high and intrusive it will still be. Such a facility needs a continuous feed stream to operate it, therefore 24 hour operation. Recycling targets are increasing all the time, therefore investment in a waste facility that works against recycling rate improvement is not sound and against Council policy. With the current state of the roads in terms of increasing traffic volume and road quality additional HGV traffic is not welcome. Such facilities as this are closing worldwide as there is not enough waste to feed them so why build one here in the wrong place, close to a rapidly growing town with a large housing development to come?	
189	Wendy Allen	-	Object	02-APR-2018	Dear Sir, I am writing to object to the plans for a new incinerator at Horsham. Burning rubbish is a retrograde step and pollutes the atmosphere which is bad for the health of residents. I understand it is so large that waste will be brought in from other areas to pollute our air. Since we already suffer from exhaust fumes from aircraft approaching and leaving Gatwick airport we have enough air pollution. Tall chimneys are all very well but they only spread the poisons further. The charm of Horsham is its country location which would be spoilt by the proposed incinerator. I have recently moved to the area and so feel particularly strongly against the proposed development. Yours faithfully, Wendy Allen MA. MRSB	
190	Ms Alison Kirke	3, Fivens Place, Horsham, RH12 5AS	Object	03-APR-2018	Ref. WSCC/015/18/HH I am objecting to this proposed incinerator. The World Health Organisation says that waste incinerators should not be placed in populated areas - this one will be next to the North Horsham developments. This will result in increased traffic fumes from lorries etc and noxious fumes from the incinerator. This is plan is purely for a private company to make a profit and not for the benefit of Horsham and its people. There are already too many of these incineration plants and we are already recycling plastics at a higher level than before. We don't need this incinerator. This application should be stopped - it's disgusting. Yours sincerely Alison Kirke 3 Fivens Place Horsham RH12 5AS	
191	Neil Perry	4, Petworth Drive, Horsham, RH12 5JH	Object	03-APR-2018	Dear Sir We record our objection to the proposed Horsham Incinerator mainly on the grounds that it would generate considerable volumes of additional HGV traffic on unsuitable already well used roads. In addition the resultant air pollution from both the Incinerator and traffic would be detrimental to public health. Yours faithfully Mr and Mrs N Perry 4 Petworth Drive Horsham RH12 5JH	
192	Mr Garrick Lloyd	15, Trefoil Close, Horsham, RH12 5FQ	Object	03-APR-2018	The proposed incinerator is too close to local housing, will create more traffic movements increase in litter from rubbish trucks (which will increase in number as they will come from a wider area). There will be pollution from the plant which itself will also be a 'blot' on the landscape. The existing company have shown little respect for local citizens by creating litter/smells from the existing facility. There are better alternatives for waste disposal.	
193	Mr Allan Brown	The Brighton Recycling Centre, Unit 1a, Moulsecoomb Way, Brighton, BN2 4PB	Support	03-APR-2018	Having now fully viewed the plans for the proposed incinerator, I would like to express my support. My understanding is that waste from West Sussex is now being sent miles to be disposed, often outside the district and is even going abroad. At the end of the day, it is the residents and businesses that are likely paying a premium for that and the situation is just not sustainable. We must deal with our own waste, and we therefore desperately need facilities such as this. I have also looked at the proposed location for the plant, and it seems to me that it would be difficult to find a better one. Not only is out of the way, but secluded, and will be barely noticeable. So far as these types of facilities go, I even think the design will be quite nice to look at. It is not often we see investment like this, and should give serious consideration to what appears to be a sensible solution for a problem that nobody else seems to be tackling effectively. I would strongly urge WSCC to support this application.	
194	Tony Martin	26, Bartholomew Way, Horsham, RH12 5JL	Object	03-APR-2018	Dear Councillors, I wish to object to the planning application for proposed incinerator on the following grounds: ¿ The total effect of incineration on the atmosphere is, at best, unknown and, at least, is known to increase CO2 levels. ¿ The effects on the environment and on the health of residents in the area is unquantified and a huge future risk given the extensive proposed building of residential accommodation in North Horsham ¿ Additional HGVs will add further pollution to our town and the vast amount of litter all along the A264 from the M23 to Great Daux roundabout is evidence of the inability of the council to control this problem, without adding to it. ¿ The scale of the proposed development will be an eyesore on what is already a diminishing landscape. ¿ We already have the BIFFA treatment facility and risk becoming the dumping ground for the South East I therefore urge the council planners to decline this application. Regards Tony Martin	

					26 Bartholomew Way Horsham RH12 5JL	
195	Mr Paul Hughes	17, Lemmington Way, Horsham, RH12 5JG	Object	03-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir / Madam ,</p> <p>I wish to object to the new Britanniacreast application for the waste treatment plant in Horsham</p> <p>May I start by saying that I am in favour of alternatives to landfill but this proposal is in the wrong place given that the gaseous exhaust is emitted upwind of residential areas</p> <p>My objection is primarily that with the prevailing wind being westerly for 70% of the time ,particulates in the exhaust will be deposited on residential areas which include the recently approved development in north Horsham.</p> <p>It seems to me that if an immensely tall chimney is required there must be a reason and that is probably for dispersal of potentially harmful particulate waste(e.g. from incinerated plastics) .If however the wind is light , that particulate waste will be deposited on residential areas.</p> <p>It also has to be said that a 95m high tower is indubitably an eyesore.</p> <p>As I previously said ,I am in favour of alternatives to landfill but this proposal is unsafe technology in the wrong place.If some way could be found of removing ALL harmful particulates before exhaust exits the chimney I could possibly reconsider my objection</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Paul Hughes</p>	
196	Ms Lisa Tilley	12, West Parade, Horsham, RH12 2BZ	Object	03-APR-2018	<p>I object to the proposal for an incinerator. The building will be an eyesore in what is an area of outststanding natural beauty. There is one at Newhaven and the local people dislike it intently as it is so obtrusive. I don't want this in Horsham. Furthermore:-</p> <p>- Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney</p> <p>- Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7</p> <p>- Increased damage to the county's highways system</p>	
197	Mr Steven Peskett	48, Friday Street, Warnham, West Sussex, RH12 3QX	Object	03-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>Please consider this email as my formal objection to the latest planning application for the Incinerator.</p> <p>As a resident of Warnham, it will have a sever impact both visually and environmentally - including noise, emissions, traffic - on our lovely village and surrounding area.</p> <p>Huge lorries will be trucking in commercial waste from all over the south east, creating yet more congestion on our over populated rural road network.</p> <p>The 95m stack is taller than Big Ben and the Statue of Liberty and will be visible from a 15km radius- creating horrific pollution with untold long-term health implications. The current site is already an eye-sore. When driving south on the A24 toward Warnham the view to the left was always quite pretty. Now all you see is a mountain of waste and industry blighting the landscape.</p> <p>This is totally unacceptable in such a heavily populated area - of which Warnham is also a Conservation area.</p> <p>I am hugely concerned about a massive industrial scale incinerator and its emissions being produced 1 mile from my home and my child's school at Warnham.</p> <p>Best wishes</p> <p>Steven Peskett</p> <p>Steven Peskett Photographer</p> <p>Friday Street Media Ltd</p>	
198	Mr John Lloyd	-	Object	03-APR-2018	<p>Sir, I again object to this proposal on the grounds of increased traffic density on the A264 that this will bring. There is also the problem of atmospheric pollution from the diesel fumes of the large vehicles constantly slowing down and then accelerating from the many roundabouts on the A264. Regards.</p>	
199	Susana Bailey	-	Object	03-APR-2018	<p>I object to this planning application on health grounds. The plume from the chimney will fall over a widely populated area, and will be environmentally damaging as well. We also already have a BIFFA treatment facility in North Horsham so we do not need a further incinerating facility.</p> <p>Rather than an organisation making profits from the incineration of waste I believe resource must be used in a sustainable way and reduce the use of plastics and recycling more. Incineration is not the way forward to protecting our future.</p>	
200	Julie Hunt	., Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	03-APR-2018	<p>I would like to object to the planning permission for the North Horsham Incinerator.</p> <p>This is likely to be a huge white elephant as we are now looking at other methods of dealing with our waste.</p> <p>The world health organisation advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and yet this facility will be adjacent to the North Horsham Development with the plume predominantly heading over nearby conurbations. The health of people is far too important for this to go ahead.</p> <p>This incinerator will also be importing its waste from various parts of the country, yet causing more road pollution.</p> <p>This is purely a financial project for Britanniacreast to make a lot of money.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Julie Hunt</p>	
201	Mr Tony Felgate	66, Pondtail Road, Horsham, RH12 5HR	Object	03-APR-2018	<p>I question the value to Horsham of having this massive industrial complex placed in a rural community when it is more appropriate for the vast number of disused brown field sites throughout the county. Chapter 13 section 13.1.2 is most significant in highlighted how little regard the proposers have for the Horsham region as it shows, quite clearly, that there is an adverse effect on the local area during building and during operation whereas the only beneficial results are regional.</p> <p>This is most apparent when you consider the 95m high chimney (almost twice the height of Nelson's Column). Massive earthworks, designed to hide the existing buildings but now highly obtrusive from Kingsfold and areas along the A264 north of Horsham, will be dwarfed by this chimney as it towers over the countryside.</p>	
202	Mrs Jennifer Gammon	2, Coleridge Close, Horsham, RH12 5PB	Object	03-APR-2018	<p>I would like to object most strongly to the current planning application for an incinerator in North Horsham.</p> <p>Firstly this is not a suitable location. The World Health Organisation advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and not only would it be close to all the inhabitants of North Horsham but there is due to be a large housing development north of the A264,close to the proposed incinerator.</p> <p>Secondly there is the effect of the transportation of waste in many heavy vehicles on our environment and roads. There is already a large amount of rubbish along the verges and central reservations which can only have come from HGVs transporting waste to the existing facility. Since the Council seems unable to control or prevent this, how much worse would it be if the number of vehicles were to increase!</p> <p>Thirdly there is the visual pollution from the proposed incinerator building and the tall chimney. The Council should not allow the countryside near Horsham to be further desecrated.</p>	
203	Mr Gary	6, Woodlands Way,	Object	03-APR-2018	-	

	Manning	Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9HZ				
204	Mrs Deborah Davidson	Faygate, West Sussex	Object	04-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>Please note a few objections to the above.</p> <p>It is not suitable for the area of unspoilt countryside around the site. There will be dust and fumes which will be blown over Horsham and surrounding areas (I live in Faygate). The big recycling lorries using small country lanes is very unsuitable. There are already too many heavy plant lorries on our country lanes. Pollutants in the air and the extra lorries diesel fumes will have a impact on the natural environment.</p> <p>Many thanks</p> <p>Debbie Davidson</p>	
205	Emma Skinner	12, Hillpark Cottages, Hillpark, Launceston, PL15 9EP	Object	04-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I am writing to voice my objection against the proposed Britaniacrest incinerator Ref: WSCC/15/18/NH. I live in North Horsham and feel this would be too near to our populated area against the recommendation of the World Health Organisation. It will increase Co2 levels damaging health and the environment. I also feel this is a backwards step in attempting to reduce our use and recycling of plastics and would also encourage more HGV traffic into the area which will cause yet more pollution.</p> <p>Kind Regards Mrs Emma Skinner</p>	
206	Gary Belshaw	-	Object	04-APR-2018	<p>I write to object to the above proposed planning for an incinerator to be built in Horsham. My reasons are listed below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. We need to recycle more waste, not burn it.2. Incineration will increase pollution to the area with increased Co2 levels damaging our health and impacting on the environment.3. More HGVs on our roads to supply the incinerator with waste, again this harms the environment and air quality.4. The current facility is already a local eyesore when viewed from the A24 let alone the proposed high rise incinerator building with a 95m chimney potentially adding to the already polluted vista.5. The proposed development north of the A264 would be heavily affected by this application which goes against the advise issued by The World Health Organisation. This states incinerated waste should not be near populated areas.6. It would appear this proposal is for pure profit ,whereby the question is whether we really need another incinerator which is old hat compared to modern recycling methods. <p>Regards Gary Belshaw</p>	
207	Mrs Carol Trowbridge	-	Object	04-APR-2018	<p>Sir,</p> <p>I object strongly to the proposed development of the Britaniacrest site in North Horsham for the following reasons:</p> <p>The proposed development will be next to a huge, soon to be developed, housing estate and there are many other existing adjacent housing neighbourhoods. Whatever emissions come out of the 95m stack, they will not be proven to be totally harmless to the local environment, therefore may be detrimental to health in the short or long term.</p> <p>The light on the top of the stack to warn aircraft will need to be extremely bright, therefore disturbing the dark nature of local rural landscape, to warn aircraft as the Britaniacrest site will at times be directly under the flight path for Gatwick.</p> <p>2.1.14. How can local residents be assured that any non-compliant waste would be detected and removed before incineration if there are only `frequent inspections¿. Does frequent mean once a day, week, hour, month? Surely it should be constant.</p> <p>2.1.25. This states the waste being processed would be the same as already permitted. What assurance do residents have that volumes will not increase in years to come. After all, Britaniacrest want to maximise profit for their investment so therefore will have an incentive to process more waste.</p> <p>2.1.38. In future what is to stop Britaniacrest from applying for planning permission to extend the hours of lorry deliveries, store waste in lorries etc. `Peak hours¿ happen every week day.</p> <p>Noise. The site is in a rural area so sound especially at night is very low. How can we be assured that the existing quiet will not be disturbed by this plan.</p> <p>To make the new site viable and economical, waste will be brought in from a very wide area which in itself has a high carbon cost with many vehicle movements. There is already overcapacity for waste incineration in Britain and the EU therefore this proposed waste should be taken elsewhere to feed these other incinerators. The EU may be delighted with our business. However, recycling should be the way forward, not burning waste with the attendant pollution hazards.</p> <p>I could comment much more but generally, I think the plan is severely flawed.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Mrs. Carol Trowbridge Bryher, Pondtail Drive, Horsham</p>	
208	Mr Edmund de Burgh	54, Keats Close, Horsham, RH12 5PL	Object	04-APR-2018	<p>I have read the majority of the documents and whilst not a Luddite I have very strong and serious concerns about this application. With the permission already granted for the housing and industrial development to the north of Giblets Way, along with associated infrastructure including schools, support services, a new rail station and station parking, the entire basis of the ability of the roads and traffic in the area to support the road movements of lorries to and from the proposed incinerator, as stated in the proposal, is out of date and unsustainable. On the same basis the acceptance of the old land use principles for the site has been overtaken by the housing development approvals and thus the proposal for the incinerator is not acceptable in the light of the new housing plans and the people that will live and work there.</p> <p>There will be a massive increase in vehicle movements as the new housing development is occupied, and the danger to road users and to pedestrians caused by adding movements of heavy vehicles, both loaded and empty trips, along sections of the A264 is very high and unnecessary. Note particularly the section between Langhurst Wood Road and the Rusper Road Roundabout which will incur particularly heavy traffic; modelling will show it is not safe nor sustainable in terms of capacity or road resilience to wear.</p>	

					<p>The increase in fumes, dust, odours and pollution would be unacceptable given the proximity to the new housing and industrial development. In addition, the impact of the already failing road infrastructure in the area and in the many towns and villages on the routes the additional lorries would take would be significant and the roads cannot cope now as is clear from the surface deterioration and plethora of major potholes which are in no small part due to the growth in traffic volume and weight.</p> <p>We were promised that there would be no odour from the Biffa site and no adverse environmental impact. However, it is often impossible to sit in ones garden on hot days, or open windows, as the smell from the Biffa site permeates across the area when the wind is from that direction. In addition it has brought sea gulls which are adversely impacting on the Warnham Nature Reserve and its wildlife. I fear the assurances in the Incinerator application will be equally empty unless there are heavy penalties and compensation rights for citizens included in any approvals and in the contractual matrix. It is clear that the proposal is about money when the way forward should be about smaller sites strategically placed to minimise the unhealthy outputs and road movements, and a far greater move away from landfill and incineration towards recycling and stopping unnecessary use of disposable items.</p> <p>I am strongly against the building of a chimney that will be both an eyesore and create light pollution in an area that is already suffering from the expansion ambitions of other industries including air traffic.</p> <p>My final point (although there are many more I could make) is that to approve a pollution emitting development at this site given its proximity to the population centres where occupants of housing, school(s), industrial work places, and recreational units (current and approved) will be to put people and their health at risk of the pollutants and the traffic generated by the proposed incinerator. Risks that are wholly unnecessary and do not need to be taken.</p> <p>I strongly object to the proposal and to the repeated attempts to represent the proposal by introducing variants. I hope the authorities will support the health and safety of residents of the area and reject the proposal.</p>	
209	Mr Jonathan Gammon	2, Coleridge Close, Horsham, RH12 5PB	Object	04-APR-2018	<p>I am objecting strongly to the current planning application for an incinerator in North Horsham, reference WSCC/015/18/NH. I am objecting principally on the basis of the incinerator's harmful proximity to existing and proposed residential areas and a wide range of other negative environmental impacts, including the visual blight of a tall chimney and the generation of road-side litter. With regard to litter, in particular, the District Council's inability to prevent this occurring as a result of the current waste-handling facility indicates that this unacceptable situation can only become worse as a result of deliveries to an incinerator.</p> <p>Prior to the establishment of the current facility, and at the time when the brickworks at Warnham were in full operation, we experienced particulate "fall-out" at our home as a result of the prevailing winds driving discharge from the chimneys in our direction. Even with the current operations at Warnham, or thereabouts, we continue to experience "fall-out" and, in the absence of monitoring to show otherwise, we can expect the incinerator to worsen the situation to a possibly harmful level. The current facilities have already added the nuisance of unpleasant odours on some occasions.</p>	
210	Dr Malcolm Hind	7, The Castle, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5PX	Object	04-APR-2018	<p>I object to the siting of this incinerator on a number of points:-</p> <p>Closeness to a large residential area with very little industry and attractive countryside.</p> <p>Visibility of a tall chimney and accompanying plume, particularly as plant will be situated on a hillside</p> <p>Very real possibility of air pollution occurring from such an inland site leading to respiratory problems for local residents</p> <p>Increased traffic movements and noise on local roads, some of which are narrow country roads</p> <p>Whilst having no inherent objection to incineration as a means of reducing landfill and (hopefully) production of heat and electricity by means of a CHP plant, the siting of such facilities requires very careful planning and preferably a coastal site where, at least for part of the time, the plume can be dissipated over the sea.</p>	
211	Noakes Chris	-	Object	04-APR-2018	<p>Regarding the proposal for an incinerator in Horsham, I find it absolutely unnecessary and 100% object.</p> <p>The solution, I guess you would call it, is archaic and with so many innovative ideas there has to be a better way.</p> <p>This is not just Horsham's problem but the surrounding areas too. Therefore I suggest you gain ideas and suggestions from innovators in this space and don't just default to the good old "let's just burn it" way. That should not be a 1st world response!</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>Chris Noakes</p>	
212	Jane Brettell	18, Skylark Avenue, RH12 5EA	Object	05-APR-2018	<p>i object to incinerator in horsham ref wscs/015/18/nh</p> <p>j. brettell 18 skylark view rh125ea</p>	
213	Mr Christian Blake-Dyke	5, Granley Close, Cheltenham, GL51 7AU	Object	05-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I wrote before to express my severe reservations over this application. Looking at the documents, my main concern remains. This is that the construction and operation of the site will add traffic to Langhurstwood road, which is already a dangerous road. I am the co owner of Pondtail farm which has field entrances along this road and on Mercer road which branches off it. Currently we have sheep and horse on the farm in rotation and need to use the road to move animals between fields, as would be expected on any country road. My sister in law was riding a horse that had to be euthanased after having it's leg badly broken after being hit by a motorcycle that was swerving to avoid a refuse truck on this road, luckily she was not injured. I see that Langhurstwood road is still to remain as the main entry for all this traffic and my concern for safety of other road uses be they animal or human remains.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>Christian Blake-Dyke</p>	
214	Ms Alice Bell	153, 153, Rushams, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2NY	Object	05-APR-2018	<p>This is not appropriate for our lovely town</p>	
215	Mr Tom Burrell	8, Bullfinch Close, Horsham, RH12 5HF	Object	05-APR-2018	<p>Concerns over height of chimney</p> <p>Concerns over emissions from the facility</p> <p>Concerns over proximity to proposed housing development</p> <p>Concerns over increased HGV traffic on country road</p> <p>No need for this facility in this area</p>	
216	Mr Martin Calway	Whispers, 1, Tuggles Plat, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3RA	Object	05-APR-2018	<p>¿ Traffic generation/access/highway safety;</p> <p>¿ Impact on natural environment including animals and their habitat;</p> <p>¿ Dust/odour/fumes;</p> <p>¿ Noise/disturbance;</p> <p>¿ Effect on landscape or character of area;</p> <p>We strongly object to this second planning application due to the same concerns we raised before. Our serious concerns are captured as listed above.The immediate road network is already inadequate with traffic often at a standstill.In addition to the new house building in the area the additional HGV traffic would create hugh additional problems to the traffic flow as well as additional pollution and noise,not to mention the mess from these normally dirty vehicles.</p> <p>The size of the building and height of the chimney would be an eyesore and unacceptable to the local environment.</p> <p>The emmissions from the plant would be totally unacceptable to the local environment and population creating health risks.</p>	
217	Mrs Anna Green	118, Rusper Road, Horsham, RH12 4BW	Object	05-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir / Madam,</p> <p>I would like to record our objection to the proposed plans to build an incinerator so near to large residential populations in Horsham, on the grounds of the significant environmental and public health risks this would pose.</p>	

					<p>There are a large number of families with young children living in Horsham, and many more continue to come to the area, with many (including ourselves) moving from London to live in a less polluted and generally healthier environment for their kids.</p> <p>There is well documented research on the negative health effects of pollutants - of which the incinerator is due to generate not only a large quantity of, but also a wide range of poisonous and harmful types of pollutants - especially affecting young children, and vulnerable elderly.</p> <p>The large new North Horsham development approved by the council last year, is planned to be built right next to the proposed site for the new incinerator. It seems incredible that this is not included as part of the risk assessment, as although it has yet to be built, the plans are in place.</p> <p>As well as the significant direct impact on environmental and public health, I am also very concerned by the indirect economic impact this will have on the local area. Having recently moved to the North Horsham area, I would certainly not have considered this had I known there was a huge industrial incinerator in the vicinity. Assuming others feel the same way, the knock on effect on house prices and local businesses could be extremely damaging.</p> <p>I hope you consider these issues in your consultation.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>Anna</p>	
218	Mark .	-	Object	05-APR-2018	i am writing to you to object to the incinerator plans at wealden brickwork's . How can anyone even consider a incinerator in an area of outstanding natural beauty , get real mark	
219	Mrs Eleri Ryley	23, Spencers Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2JQ	Object	05-APR-2018	I strongly object to the proposal to build a waste incinerator just outside Horsham - this would have a severely detrimental effect on the local environment and on the feel of Horsham as a pleasant town to live in and visit. It also sends the wrong message - you should be encouraging residents to reduce the waste they produce and recycle more.	
220	Mr Roy Savage	8, Greenfinch Way, Horsham, RH12 5HB	Object	05-APR-2018	This is my objection to the building of the Horsham incinerator Kind regards Roy Savage	
221	Mrs J Retter	-	Object	05-APR-2018	<p>If it were built it would undoubtedly cause illness amongst our local community with increased C02 and airborne deposits about which little is known.</p> <p>Please deny this planning application because it will cause lots of damage to our area and quality of life.</p> <p>We are already re-cycling a lot more in a Horsham than lots of other areas and are going to re-cycle even more now that we have gone to fortnightly bin collections.</p> <p>Surely there must be a more suitable and remote spot for the incinerator, if it is needed, without it impacting on so many people who live in close proximity.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>J Retter (Mrs)</p>	
222	Lindsey Parris	-	Object	05-APR-2018	>> >> Dear Planning Department >> >> I would like you to formally note my objection to the above planning application - Britaniacrest Incinerator in Horsham. >> >> If you need anymore information please let me know. >> >> Kind regards >> >> Lindsey Parris >> Horsham Resident.	
223	John Dwyer	15, Carter Drive, Horsham, RH12 3GZ	Object	05-APR-2018	<p>I am against the proposal to build an incinerator near Horsham - ref WSCC/015/18/NH. Please log this.</p> <p>John Dwyer 15 Carter Drive Horsham W Sussex RH123GZ</p>	
224	Mrs Akiko Jones	31, 31, Springfield crescent, Horsham, Rh122pp	Object	05-APR-2018	Im objecting to the proposed incinerator on the basis of concerns to the well-being, health and safety of those residing in the area and the smell it could generate and the detriment impact this would have on residents and businesses.	
225	Mr Robert Brunning	14, Rookwood Park, Horsham, RH12 1UB	Object	06-APR-2018	<p>Having read most of the recorded objections I can only say I agree.</p> <p>Why should Horsham be the receptacle for everyone else's rubbish. The roads in & around Horsham are some of the worst in Sussex for potholes & damage - we do not need a further influx of heavy traffic to totally destroy them. These roads are currently lined with every kind of rubbish blown off uncovered lorries. Horsham is already a disgrace, don't make it worse.</p> <p>The proposed incinerator & chimney will dominate the area & there will be mass toxic pollution & smell from the emitted gasses. This application has nothing to do with the environment , it's motivator is PROFIT for the developer with only disadvantage for the residents.</p> <p>This application must be REJECTED.</p>	
226	Melanie Parker	-	Object	06-APR-2018	<p>Dear sirs,</p> <p>I write this email to you in objection to the proposed incinerator or aka recycling, recovery and renewable energy facility and ancillary infrastructure.</p> <p>It is quite frankly obscene to have such a thing built within a rural community of this size with health hazardous material polluting the air around us and wildlife.</p> <p>I ask that the councillors see common sense and object to the planning application immediately.</p> <p>Regards,</p>	

					Melanie Parker	
227	David O'Loughlin	20, The Boulevard, Horsham, RH12 1EP	Object	06-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>Re: Planning objection for Reference WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I am writing to share my formal objection to the above planning application. In light of the plans, I would like to object on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- the size of the site will have a significant impact on the landscape and surrounding areas - it will be seen for miles (given the excessive height of the chimney on the facility)- noise levels. The site is likely to operate 24 hours per day, which is likely to mean in this quiet/rural setting there will be noise above the ambient noise level (c.35db)- Non compliance with the West Sussex County Council strategic waste plan. Similar solutions have proven to reduce recycling and waste will be travelling from huge distance to our small town. This contravenes strategic objective 5.- strategic objective 10 - protecting natural environments. This huge site will destroy the aesthetics of the area, and the increased lorry traffic will have a big impact on traffic flow and pollution which will also have an impact on resources and the environment (not to mention the pollution created by the facility itself)- Policy W11 - character. The character of the area will be affected by such a large facility and the traffic flows will turn a quiet location into a bustling commercial vehicle hub.- policy W12 - the proposed designs do not meet the requirements of this policy topography, landscape and skyline aspects for the surrounding areas.- policy w19 - public health and amenity is likely to be affected by noise pollution, light pollution and noise, dust and emissions which for myself and family is completely unacceptable (the top of the stack is on a flight path and is therefore required to be lit at all times, drawing further attention to this oversized chimney)- recycling levels are likely to be impacted if it is built. This would in effect be an admission that it's ok to create normal waste rather than recycled waste as it will be burnt. The thinly veiled argument that this facility creates energy to return to the grid is not a strong argument in my opinion, as a large proportion of the energy created is likely to be used in the process of generating electricity. The waste/emissions of vehicles transporting the waste will also be exceptionally large, therefore in my opinion, this outweighs any electricity generated comes at a big environmental cost. <p>(Nb I submitted a much shorter objection notice via the WSCC planning website and would like for this email to supersede/replace that objection please).</p> <p>Please do let me know if you need any further information.</p> <p>Many thanks and best regards</p> <p>James</p>	
228	Bennett John	-	Object	06-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I wish to lodge the strongest possible objection to the siting of an incineration unit near Horsham.</p> <p>This is yet another infringement of the rights to enjoy quiet peaceful and healthy living.</p> <p>Parts of West Sussex are under multiple assault from air traffic, over development, infrastructure overload, oil exploration and now the filth from incineration.</p> <p>Please do not permit another nail in the coffin.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>John Bennett</p>	
229	Mrs Victoria Bacon	16, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	06-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing in opposition to the planning application reference WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>Having successfully fought the same application last year, which was rejected, it seems that the reasons behind objecting to this unwarranted reapplication needs reinforcing.</p> <p>The incinerator is unwarranted. It is purely for profit by a private developer. The rejection of the 2009 incinerator resulted in the funding of the BIFFA Mechanical Biological Treatment facility, which was funded with taxpayer money. Horsham has not neglected its duty to be part of the waste management but it has done its bit!</p> <p>Waste to feed the incinerator will be transported by HGV's across county borders, resulting in extensive pollution, not to mention additional congestion - wear and tear on roads - resulting in pressure on the budget for road maintenance.</p> <p>The incinerator increases Co2 levels, damaging health and the environment. This alone should be a flag for rejection.</p> <p>The reduction of single use plastics should be the priority. Recycling is the answer. The research into the amount of fuel needed to feed incinerators by MPs makes a direct correlation to a reduction in recycling, which cannot be a sustainable answer to the management of waste. are these National issues not seen as important by Horsham District Council?</p> <p>The incinerator could be necessary before it's even commissioned! An expensive folly for a private developer. This is immoral!</p> <p>The proposed incinerator is going to dominate the area, blighting the environment with its enormous construction of a 95m chimney on top of an imposing building. A building that is not warranted, needed or beneficial for the residents or environment!</p> <p>The WHO advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and yet this facility will be adjacent to the North Horsham Development with the resulting plume affecting nearby conurbations.</p> <p>I have lived in Station Road for nearly 30 years, I have seen the Council propose a variety of developments over that time. I am in opposition to this one as it is straight forward- this incinerator is not necessary. I urge you to consider the sustainability and long term effect of this development. Please, for the benefit of the inhabitants of the surrounding area, whose environment, health and well being will be irrevocably changed, I urge you to reject this application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Vickie Bacon</p>	
230	Carol Cullum	Haybarn Cottage, Graylands Farm Barns, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, RH12 4QD	Object	06-APR-2018	<p>I wish to object most strongly to the above application from BritaniaCrest.</p> <p>First of all the incinerator is simply not required either in the proposed location or elsewhere. A number of studies bear this out and it clear that the incinerator will be of no real benefit to Horsham or the surrounding area.</p> <p>The boast that it will provide renewable energy has to be seen in the knowledge that any electricity will be produced using 10 times the carbon footprint than that produced by the power stations that the UK Government propose to close down I accept that the proposed structure is smaller but the towering chimney will still be an eyesore with it's fumes spreading over Horsham and the surrounding area</p> <p>Barry Steven Cullum Haybarn Cottage</p>	

					RH12 4QD	
231	Miss Ella Bacon	16, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	06-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing in opposition to the planning application reference WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>Having successfully fought the same application last year, which was rejected, it seems that the reasons behind objecting to this unwarranted reapplication needs reinforcing.</p> <p>The incinerator is unwarranted. It is purely for profit by a private developer. The rejection of the 2009 incinerator resulted in the funding of the BIFFA Mechanical Biological Treatment facility, which was funded with taxpayer money. Horsham has not neglected its duty to be part of the waste management but it has done its bit!</p> <p>Waste to feed the incinerator will be transported by HGV's across county borders, resulting in extensive pollution, not to mention additional congestion - wear and tear on roads - resulting in pressure on the budget for road maintenance.</p> <p>The incinerator increases Co2 levels, damaging health and the environment. This alone should be a flag for rejection.</p> <p>The reduction of single use plastics should be the priority. Recycling is the answer. The research into the amount of fuel needed to feed incinerators by MPs makes a direct correlation to a reduction in recycling, which cannot be a sustainable answer to the management of waste. are these National issues not seen as important by Horsham District Council?</p> <p>The incinerator could be necessary before it's even commissioned! An expensive folly for a private developer. This is immoral!</p> <p>The proposed incinerator is going to dominate the area, blighting the environment with its enormous construction of a 95m chimney on top of an imposing building. A building that is not warranted, needed or beneficial for the residents or environment!</p> <p>The WHO advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and yet this facility will be adjacent ti the North Horsham Development with the resulting plume affecting nearby conurbations.</p> <p>I have lived in Station Road for nearly 21 years, I have seen the Council propose a variety of developments over that time. I am in opposition to this one as it is straight forward- this incinerator is not necessary. I urge you to consider the sustainability and long term effect of this development. Please, for the benefit of the inhabitants of the surrounding area, whose environment, health and well being will be irrevocably changed, I urge you to reject this application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Ella Bacon</p>	
232	Mr Josh Bacon	16, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	06-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing in opposition to the planning application reference WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>Having successfully fought the same application last year, which was rejected, it seems that the reasons behind objecting to this unwarranted reapplication needs reinforcing.</p> <p>The incinerator is unwarranted. It is purely for profit by a private developer. The rejection of the 2009 incinerator resulted in the funding of the BIFFA Mechanical Biological Treatment facility, which was funded with taxpayer money. Horsham has not neglected its duty to be part of the waste management but it has done its bit!</p> <p>Waste to feed the incinerator will be transported by HGV's across county borders, resulting in extensive pollution, not to mention additional congestion - wear and tear on roads - resulting in pressure on the budget for road maintenance.</p> <p>The incinerator increases Co2 levels, damaging health and the environment. This alone should be a flag for rejection.</p> <p>The reduction of single use plastics should be the priority. Recycling is the answer. The research into the amount of fuel needed to feed incinerators by MPs makes a direct correlation to a reduction in recycling, which cannot be a sustainable answer to the management of waste. are these National issues not seen as important by Horsham District Council?</p> <p>The incinerator could be necessary before it's even commissioned! An expensive folly for a private developer. This is immoral!</p> <p>The proposed incinerator is going to dominate the area, blighting the environment with its enormous construction of a 95m chimney on top of an imposing building. A building that is not warranted, needed or beneficial for the residents or environment!</p> <p>The WHO advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and yet this facility will be adjacent ti the North Horsham Development with the resulting plume affecting nearby conurbations.</p> <p>I have lived in Station Road for nearly 19 years, I have seen the Council propose a variety of developments over that time. I am in opposition to this one as it is straight forward- this incinerator is not necessary. I urge you to consider the sustainability and long term effect of this development. Please, for the benefit of the inhabitants of the surrounding area, whose environment, health and well being will be irrevocably changed, I urge you to reject this application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Joshua Bacon</p>	
233	Mr Neil McLachlan	-	Object	06-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>Former Wealden Brickworks (Site HB), Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>Application Number: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Thank you for informing me of yet another attempt to gain permission for an incinerator on the above site. My objections to this current new submission are the same as noted previously:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The site is in the wrong place and is the wrong size for an application that has dubious merits and unknown health consequences. The size of the plant will require waste to be 'shipped in' from other areas to run it.2. The site is too small and in the wrong place for such a facility, particularly now that permission has been given by HDC for a further 2500 homes on land adjacent to Langhurstwood Road.3. The reduction in height and change in profile to the main building is incidental on this new application, it is insufficient to stop the building looking like a misplaced monstrosity in an area of outstanding beauty and with huge numbers of homes nearby.4. The chimney or stack at 96m high will be more than 3.5 times the existing brickwork stack making it visible from over 20 miles away, completely unacceptable for a market town. This is without taking into account the flume which could be as much as another 400m high approximately 20% of the time if normal weather patterns repeat themselves, potentially this could also cause issues for aircraft and I understand that this has not fully been taken account of in the current modelling.5. The stack will cause unacceptable levels of light pollution in the surrounding area.6. The revised landscaping does nothing to hide the building. The application fails on your policy W12.7. The noise levels associated with the operation of such a huge plant are incompatible with its rural location <p>I hope that your officers consider the objections to this carefully and carry out adequate research to refute the reports put forward. The application has been produced on a tick box basis where the applicant assumes that the weight of paper submitted will influence the committee to say yes. It is not the weight of paper that matters but the quality of arguments put forward. Clearly, despite lots of reports the applicant has missed the mark. Wrong place, wrong size, not consistent with strategic</p>	

					<p>aims. It should be firmly rejected.</p> <p>Neil McLachlan Deise, Langhurstwood Road Horsham RH12 4QD</p>	
234	Mrs Victoria Bacon	16, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	06-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing in opposition to the planning application reference WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>Having successfully fought the same application last year, which was rejected, it seems that the reasons behind objecting to this unwarranted reapplication needs reinforcing.</p> <p>1. The incinerator is unwarranted. It is purely for profit by a private developer. The rejection of the 2009 incinerator resulted in the funding of the BIFFA Mechanical Biological Treatment facility, which was funded with taxpayer money. Horsham has not neglected its duty to be part of the waste management but it has done its bit!</p> <p>2. Waste to feed the incinerator will be transported by HGV's across county borders, resulting in extensive pollution, not to mention additional congestion - wear and tear on roads - resulting in pressure on the budget for road maintenance.</p> <p>3. The incinerator increases Co2 levels, damaging health and the environment. This alone should be a flag for rejection.</p> <p>4. The reduction of single use plastics should be the priority. Recycling is the answer. The research into the amount of fuel needed to feed incinerators by MPs makes a direct correlation to a reduction in recycling, which cannot be a sustainable answer to the management of waste. are these National issues not seen as important by Horsham District Council?</p> <p>5. The incinerator could be necessary before it's even commissioned! An expensive folly for a private developer. This is immoral!</p> <p>6. The proposed incinerator is going to dominate the area, blighting the environment with its enormous construction of a 95m chimney on top of an imposing building. A building that is not warranted, needed or beneficial for the residents or environment!</p> <p>7. The WHO advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and yet this facility will be adjacent ti the North Horsham Development with the resulting plume affecting nearby conurbations.</p> <p>I have lived in Station Road for nearly 30 years, I have seen the Council propose a variety of `developments over that time. I am in opposition to this one as it is straight forward- this incinerator is not necessary. I urge you to consider the sustainability and long term effect of this development. Please, for the benefit of the inhabitants of the surrounding area, whose environment, health and well being will be irrevocably changed, I urge you to reject this application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Vickie Bacon</p> <p>Vickie Bacon</p> <p>Director Digital Pencil Case Ltd Education Consultancy</p> <p>Connected, Creative, Collaborative.</p> <p>Distinguished Educator & Professional Mentor &</p>	
235	Mr Mark Avery	The Ashdowns, 2, Leechpool Lane, HORSHAM, West Sussex, RH13 6AD	Object	07-APR-2018	<p>A change in the plans is not a change in location. With the planned housing development along this side of the dual carriageway the increase in traffic will already be more than the roads can handle and to then locate a facility which will require access for dozens of HGVs is clearly not sensible. In addition to this the location to such a now densely populated area given the planned incineration of waste is a potential health hazard.</p> <p>I don't object to this type of facility but given there are miles of countryside surrounding Horsham I do not understand the logic of trying to place it so close to the main town and what are becoming more densely populated areas where the health risks for fumes let alone the potential smell, impact on the local environment and more importantly the impact on the already stretched road systems are not being given due consideration.</p>	
236	Mrs Karen Down	26, Wagtail Close, Horsham, RH12 5HL	Object	07-APR-2018	<p>As a local resident and parent I support no incinerator for horsham's campaign and object on all the grounds they list for objection.</p>	
237	Mr Graeme Walker	18, Pondtail Road, Horsham, RH12 2NL	Object	07-APR-2018	<p>This type of facility should not be allowed near to towns or villages. The health risks of such a facility are unknown.</p>	
238	Mr john thirkell	5, Bell Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QJ	Object	07-APR-2018	<p>-</p>	
239	B Stacey	-	Object	07-APR-2018	<p>I disagree to a new incinerator because we already have one and the proposed new one will mean these two incinerators will be very close together. It is very close to proposed new If it goes ahead you will not be able to sell any new houses on the proposed housing scheme Yours, B. Stacey</p>	
240	J Murphy	-	Object	07-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I strongly object to the incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>Mrs J Murphy</p>	
241	Mr and Mrs Browning	Lipscombes Cottage, 0, Friday Street, Rusper	Object	07-APR-2018	<p>On Saturday, 7 April 2018, 14:35, B BROWNING wrote:</p> <p>I very strongly object to the proposed incinerator by Britaniacrest.</p> <p>1) Waste to feed the incinerator will be transported by HGV's across county borders poluting our air with Co2 and Nox emissions.</p> <p>2) Incinertation increases co2 levels, damaging our health and the environment.</p> <p>3)Look at the existing chimney at 28m tall. The proposed incinerator building will be 36m tall with a 95m chimney!! which will be permanently lit so that aircraft do not hit it.The World Health Organisation advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and yet this facility will be adjacent tothe North Horsham Development with the plume heading over nearby conurbations.</p> <p>4) The poposal is purely for profit, proposed and funded by a private developer, not the council. In 2009m the people of West Sussex rejected proposals for incineration, so the Tax Payer helped fund the BIFFA Mechanical Biological Treatment facility on North Horsham instead. Horsham has already done it's share.</p> <p>Mr and Mrs Browning Lipscombes Cottage, Friday Street, Rusper</p>	

242	Nick Day	38, Lanyon Close, Horsham, RH12 5JP	Object	07-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I am writing to you to express my concern and objection over the proposed incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>I understand the World Health Organisation advises that a waste incinerator should not be sited near a populated area, in which case it should not be built in Horsham or the surrounding area.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>Nick Day</p> <p>38 Lanyon Close Horsham West Sussex RH12 5JP</p>	
243	John & Kim Carter	18, Station Road, Warnham, RH12 3SR	Object	07-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>We would like to submit our objections to WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>This planning application is to build an incinerator in the beautiful village of Warnham. We are residents of Station Road , Warnham and we will be directly affected by this monstrous building and excessive chimney.</p> <p>Our objections are listed;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This proposal is purely for commercial profit funded by a private company. We, the taxpayer, paid for the existing Biffa Mechanical Biological Treatment Facility in 2009 and rejected proposals for an incinerator. We feel that Horsham has contributed more than enough to the waste issue.2. Waste to feed the incinerator will be transported by HGV's across our county borders, polluting our air with Co2 and Nox emissions. We have more than enough traffic in our county without consenting to industrial pollution.3. Incineration increases Co2 levels which is damaging to our health and our wonderful environment.4. We are being encouraged (and forced) to recycle more. Our refuse collection has reduced to fortnightly to limit the amount of waste we have collected. This is in spite of a massive increase to our council tax of 4.95% for 2018. This is disgraceful in itself considering we are paying more money for less services. The fact that the council is even considering this application is a complete contradiction to the recycling programme. MPs are already considering evidence that there are already too many incinerators in the UK so why on earth would we allow a private company to build something that there is no demand for and conflicts with the recycling goals of our country and our county?5. The proposed chimney for the incinerator is 95m high. This is same height as Big Ben and 40m higher than Nelson's column! According to Britannia Crest, the height of chimney is out of their control and is being depicted by the Environment Agency. This indicates that the Environment Agency is greatly concerned that the fumes and pollution will affect our village of Warnham and Horsham town itself. The chimney will also be lit 24hrs to ensure that aeroplanes do not hit it. How dreadful is that. The fact it could put air passengers lives at risk and the effect on local residents quality of life should be enough on its own for this proposal to be rejected. The incinerator building itself will be bigger and taller than Swan Walk. This will also be lit up 24hrs. I cannot object strongly enough to this absurd planning proposal.6. The World Health Organisation advises that incinerators should NOT be build near populated areas. Horsham District is the 2nd largest Local Authority District in West Sussex and has a total population of 132,900. This is a populated area and is yet another reason to reject this absurd application.7. Horsham has regularly been identified as one of the best places to live in the UK. With this monstrous incinerator blighting our landscape and pumping pollution into the air I cannot see that this accolade will last. <p>This planning application is the wrong plan, on the wrong site, and should not be allowed to proceed.</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>John Carter & Kim O'Dell 18 Station Road Warnham West Sussex RH12 3SR</p>	
244	Raquel Adrados Gil	-	Object	07-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir or Madam,</p> <p>I would like to send my objection of the construction of an incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>I believe that if the proposal WSCC/015/18/NH goes ahead, the pollution that this will generate, will have a high impact in the air quality and contamination of surrounding land and fields. The large size of the site will also generate more traffic (heavy transport) on the local roads.</p> <p>We need more schools and a hospital to absorb the increase in Horsham's population, due to new building developments, not an incinerator to take refuse for the whole of West Sussex.</p> <p>Regards, Raquel Adrados Gil</p>	
245	Mrs Rosemarie Dent	House, 7, Lansdown Close, Horsham, RH12 4GN	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>This incinerator will be close to my house and will be unsitely, increase Co2 levels, damaging my health and the environment and vastly increase traffic movements on already overcrowded and congested roads. I am totally opposed to this planning application.</p>	
246	Keith Little	-	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>Please do not build this.. tbs country/world/planet has enough toxic polluted sickness spued out into our planet.. we do not need another monstrosity doing the same. Especially in sussex and in our town horsham!!! Keith little rh12 1jx</p>	
247	Carole Hailston	-	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>My main objections to this are</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1 More traffic on already congested roads and possibly causing more potholes2 Air pollution from the chimney and also from the extra traffic adding to health problems3 Noise pollution <p>From Carole Hailston</p>	

248	Mrs Sara Pagden	4, Nymans Close, Horsham, RH12 5JR	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the application by Britaniacrest to build a massive incinerator on land at the Wienerberger Brickworks site off the A264 close to Great Daux roundabout, Horsham. When the land was bought by Britaniacrest, planning permission for recycling and transfer waste operations was obtained, not to build an incinerator 36 m tall with a 95 m chimney, which will be permanently lit so that aircraft do not hit it. The existing chimney is 28 m tall, the size of the proposed construction is enormous, massively higher than the existing chimney, and will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages. The proposed structure will be totally over powering and intrusive day and night as it sits way above the natural tree height canopy and will be permanently lit. Even more so, when exhaust plumes are being emitted.</p> <p>This proposal is purely for profit, proposed and funded by a private developer. Waste to feed the incinerator will be transported by HGVs across county borders, polluting our air with CO2 levels, damaging our health and the environment. Incineration itself increases CO2 levels, causing further damage to our health and environment. The World Health Organisation advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and yet this facility will be adjacent to the North Horsham Development with the plume heading over nearby conurbations. This planning application, if it gains approval, gives grave concern for the health of people living in the locality.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Sara Pagden</p> <p>4 Nymans Close Horsham RH12 5JR</p>
249	Mrs Ian Pagden	4, Nymans Close, Horsham, RH12 5JR	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the application by Britaniacrest to build a massive incinerator on land at the Wienerberger Brickworks site off the A264 close to Great Daux roundabout, Horsham. When the land was bought by Britaniacrest, planning permission for recycling and transfer waste operations was obtained, not to build an incinerator 36 m tall with a 95 m chimney, which will be permanently lit so that aircraft do not hit it. The existing chimney is 28 m tall, the size of the proposed construction is enormous, massively higher than the existing chimney, and will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages. The proposed structure will be totally over powering and intrusive day and night as it sits way above the natural tree height canopy and will be permanently lit. Even more so, when exhaust plumes are being emitted.</p> <p>This proposal is purely for profit, proposed and funded by a private developer. Waste to feed the incinerator will be transported by HGVs across county borders, polluting our air with CO2 levels, damaging our health and the environment. Incineration itself increases CO2 levels, causing further damage to our health and environment. The World Health Organisation advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and yet this facility will be adjacent to the North Horsham Development with the plume heading over nearby conurbations. This planning application, if it gains approval, gives grave concern for the health of people living in the locality.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Ian Pagden</p> <p>4 Nymans Close Horsham RH12 5JR</p>
250	Jan Ryan	-	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>Re planning application for Britanniarest incinerator</p> <p>I wish to object strongly against this application. Horsham has plans in place to reduce the need for an incinerator. A new Treatment Facility was built for the region. This already involves many large lorries using our roads. If an incinerator is built, to make it financially viable, it will have to rely on large amounts waste being transported in.</p> <p>The incinerator and chimney will be large ugly buildings. A greater objection is to the waste products which are released. The site for the proposed incinerator is already close to large residential areas, the new North Horsham development will be even closer. Having lived in Coventry when their incinerator was built, with assurances that it was completely safe, and then closed when dioxins were found to be in the plume, I do not think that there are safe incinerators of waste.</p> <p>To allow this incinerator to be built next to Horsham would be blighting the lives of everyone, it must be refused,</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Jan Ryan</p>
251	Robert Fitter	-	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>Dear Planning,</p> <p>I object to the application for the incinerator. My main concern is the toxic emissions from the incinerator which will pollute the surrounding area including the north of horsham where I live.</p> <p>Regards Robert Fitter</p>
252	Ellie Fitter	-	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>Dear planning,</p> <p>The proposed WSCC/015/18/NH huge incinerator right outside where I live is not the right way to tackle the waste issue we are facing! West Sussex is already becoming more and more industrial and urban with many big housing developments. It is destroying the countryside all with the intention of making money. It is not worth it. Please don't make a once rural and quiet town into a busy polluted one! Please take this into account.</p> <p>Ellie Fitter</p>
253	Judith Fitter	-	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>Dear planning,</p> <p>I object to the Horsham incinerator. It will cause pollution and destroy the countryside, it is not worth it.</p>
254	James Hudson	-	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>I object to the incinerator planning application WSCC/015/18/NH on the grounds of the effect to the local environment with additional HGV traffic and in particular the siting of the incinerator near to the North Horsham Development with increased levels of Co2 may have a detrimental effect to the health and well-being of the local population . The proposed siting of the incinerator along with the excessive height of the chimney , I believe, will also be of a hazard to aircraft in this area.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Mr James Hudson</p>
255	J Reader	23, Ashurst Close, Horsham, RH12 4JN	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir or Madam</p> <p>With reference to planning application WSCC/015/18NH. I wish to register my objection to this application.</p> <p>Kind regards Mrs J Reader 23, Ashurst Close</p>

					RH12 4JN	
256	Anne Munson	-	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>As a resident of North Horsham, I wish to register an objection to the planning application to develop an incinerator in this area for the following reasons:</p> <p>1. The area has an existing waste treatment plant which was developed in conjunction with the local authority and part-funded by the taxpayer. The current facility already generates a significant volume of heavy lorry traffic that impacts on the local road system and increases air pollution. Another waste treatment plant in the same area is not appropriate.</p> <p>2. The proposed new incinerator site is located adjacent to the anticipated massive North Horsham housing development north of the A264, and close to the already-developed residential area south of the A264. The increase in emissions from the plant itself and the associated heavy lorry traffic (including out-of-county vehicles) would inevitably impact on the local population. WHO advice is that areas near to waste incinerators should not be populated, yet this site will be very close to the homes of thousands of Horsham residents.</p> <p>3. The visual impact of the infrastructure on the surrounding area, including a 95m (permanently lit) chimney, is unacceptable.</p> <p>Anne Munson</p>	
257	Ms Rebecca Holmes Gupte	-	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the planning application for an incinerator to be built in North Horsham. I am objecting for a number of reasons, including the negative environmental implications from not only the use of the incinerator but also the increase in traffic around this local area.</p> <p>Regards, Rebecca</p>	
258	Katherine Holmes	78, Pondtail Road, Horsham, RH12 5HR	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>I wish to register a very strong objection to the above proposal on the following grounds:</p> <p>1. It is purely for profit by a private developer and is not needed by, or of any benefit to local residents, since Horsham already has a Mechanical Biological Treatment facility funded by the taxpayer.</p> <p>2. Transport of waste to the site by HGV's would create extra pollution in terms of air pollution and also noise.</p> <p>3. Incineration is known to damage health (and the environment) and the World Health Organisation advises against a waste incinerator being located near a populated area. I live in North Horsham which is already heavily populated and will be increasingly so in the future with many new houses. The proposal would be of particular concern to me because I suffer from chronic asthma, but I also worry about the health of the many children in the area. Who can say what the long term effects of pollutants on them could be?</p> <p>4. It is likely that increased incineration correlates with decreased recycling, which goes against councils' efforts to promote a greener society. We are all being encouraged by our councils to recycle much more so what sort of message does this proposal send out? Dispose responsibly or just chuck out all your rubbish because someone else will burn it for you?</p> <p>Katherine Holmes</p> <p>78 Pondtail Road</p>	
259	Caz Caswell	-	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>I request this application be turned down/rejected for the health and safety of human and wildlife within the area.</p> <p>Thank you</p>	
260	Kenny Franks	-	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>I object to the creation the Horsham incinerator.</p>	
261	Lorraine Caswell	-	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>Please be advised that I do not want a Britaniacrest incinerator built in/or near my home in North Horsham as I do not want any health issues or the wild life affected. DEFINITELY NO</p>	
262	Mr Darren Francis	Buckland House, Marches Road, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SF	Object	08-APR-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed plans to build an Incinerator at the former Warnham brick works.</p> <p>The area has a recycling and Landfill already creating a large amount of traffic on a small rural road which will only get worse with more development and waste treatment. The air quality on the area is already extremely poor and any additional development will only add to this.</p> <p>Best regards</p> <p>Daren Francis Buckland House Marches Road Kingsfold West Sussex RH12 3SF</p>	
263	Anglea Charlton	-	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>Dear County planning,</p> <p>I am writing to object to the Britaniacrest incinerator and quote the planning reference WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>Angela Charlton</p>	
264	Mr Francis Gordon Dent	7, Lansdown Close, Horsham, RH12 4GN	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>The construction of such a large, tall industrial complex is entirely inappropriate in what is a primarily rural area albeit with large residential towns e.g. Horsham, Crawley/Horley areas in very close proximity.</p> <p>1- Pollution (CO2, Nox etc) levels will increase with the burning of waste.</p> <p>2- The number of HGVs will increase substantially resulting in further pollution and congestion on already over crowded, poorly maintained roads.</p> <p>3- The project is located immediately adjacent to the proposed new town to the North of the Horsham by-pass. The WHO advice is that incinerators should be located away from populated areas.</p> <p>4- Current evidence indicates that with increased recycling there is insufficient material for incineration.</p> <p>5- The project is purely speculative and is not based on any proven need for such a facility in this part of the country.</p>	
265	D Chapman	-	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>I write to object to the proposed incinerator on the following grounds:</p> <p>Road Conditions</p> <p>The highways surrounding Horsham are often bottlenecks and choke-points for road traffic, which would be further exacerbated by</p>	

					<p>the regular HGV return journeys required to feed the facility. It is vehicles such as these that cause a disproportionate amount of air pollution, noise, and wear to road surfaces, which are all long standing nationwide concerns as it stands.</p> <p>The unsightly HGVs would increase the visibility of industrial activity in our region, greatly detracting from our attractive rural community.</p> <p>Air Pollution The proposed facility would act as an industrial-scale pollution generation plant, releasing vast quantities of harmful CO2 into our atmosphere constantly.</p> <p>There is now more evidence than ever to help reveal just how important clean air is to our collective health and well-being. To approve a facility such as this would be to knowingly and willingly disregard the known environmental effects of industrial CO2. We should be taking steps to reduce the CO2 and other harmful gases in our air, such as Carbon Capture facilities, rather than contributing to the problems and leaving it to future generations to remedy.</p> <p>Light Pollution & Appearance I understand that the stack is of such enormity that it is of interest to Air Traffic. This would be in stark contrast to the rural surroundings. It is easy to point out that obstacles lit for the benefit of aircraft are illuminated for visibility over great distances and therefore would obviously negatively affect the optics and skyline of Horsham and its surroundings, being an obvious and glaring new addition to the local scenery.</p> <p>Ownership As motorists we are charged Vehicle Excise Duty based on the CO2 emissions of our cars, whilst the proposed incinerators will pollute vast quantities of pollutants on an industrial scale for profit. We all know that burning waste on such a scale as proposed would contaminate our air, compromising the health of local residents today and for many years into the future until sites such as these are decommissioned and replaced by a genuinely green and sustainable method waste disposal.</p> <p>It is on these grounds that I add my objection to that of many other concerned residents.</p> <p>David C Roffey, Horsham RH12</p>
266	Pete Watson	-	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>Dear Planning</p> <p>With regard to the above reference about the Horsham Incinerator that a private company want to establish in North Horsham we as a family of three living in Holming End RH12 4UW Horsham strongly object to the possibility of this being built and used.</p> <p>Having moved last year from Billingshurst to North Horsham we accepted before we moved the fact that hundreds of houses are to be built half a mile from where we live. We live in a wonderful area that is quiet, the air is fresh and the roads acceptable. We cant afford to move again nor want to, this is the place where my wife and I will spend our last days.</p> <p>Its seems all about greed and money should this go ahead. It will blight the skyline. Will be fed by lorries constantly and add to the safety on the roads round here mostly the dual carriageway, and indeed will increase Co2 levels badly, affecting the environment and our health.</p> <p>We as home owners have it seems little voice over the powerful charge of so many houses being built, mostly to help those escaping London who can afford to buy them. However this would be one step too far and you at planning should back with the Horsham Council the total blocking of such a project being passed.</p> <p>Like many others this is something I will fight with all my might in any legal way I can.</p> <p>This does not benefit Horsham in anyway whatsoever, unless of course someone has shares in such a project.</p> <p>Please do not let this happen.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Pete Watson North Horsham.</p>
267	Mr Leonard Bruce	3, Stanford Orchard, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3RF	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>Why build a new incinerator which allegedly will service the South East of the country when there is already one in Newhaven, surely if recycling is happening already then there will not be enough "waste" to feed the incinerator 24/7, thus making it a very expensive white elephant. Plus, pollution, ridiculously high chimney,the North Horsham housing development. Horsham has done more than enough for the environment with the BIFFA treatment facility. Stop this madness now.</p>
268	Miss Carly Meech	15, Platts Meadow, Billingshurst, RH14 9HX	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>I wish to object to the proposed incinerator case no WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>I keep my horse on livery in the local area and believe the incinerator will cause noise and environmental pollution. It is also unknown what effects the incinerator will cause to human and animal health. The incinerator will be an eyesore and the local area has endangered wildlife species which this would affect.</p>
269	Mr Nick Hopkins	8, Greenfields Road, Horsham, RH12 4JU	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>Reference: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I strongly object to this application for a privately-owned for-profit 'Britanniacrest' incinerator in North Horsham. This is a retrograde step which I oppose on environmental, aesthetic and practical grounds. I would argue instead for an increase in recycling and a decrease in incineration and I would expect WSCC and Horsham Council to take a lead in this via the Waste Local Plan rather than abrogate their responsibility.</p>
270	Fiona Bashford	-	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing to submit an objection to the plans for the proposed incinerator in Horsham as per the above reference number.</p> <p>I feel very strongly that we should all be taking steps to reduce the amount of waste produced and to recycle more of it. In my opinion it would be a backward step to signal that waste be disposed of by incineration.The idea that we can just burn everything we waste , no matter how much we throw away is irresponsible and not sustainable in the long term.</p> <p>An incinerator would emit pollution as would the HGV vehicles necessary to service the incinerator. This would be harmful to both people living in the area and to the environment as a whole.</p> <p>I believe that the council should take a robust long term view to the problem of waste generation and management, and should reject this planning application.</p>

					<p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>Fiona Bashford.</p>	
271	Michael and Norma Quinn	6, Bramber Close, RH12 4LY	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>We strongly object to the building of a new incinerator at North Horsham by Britaniacrest. The W.H.O advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated. I would say that North Horsham is very populated and on health grounds we strongly object to the building of this incinerator. Which is being built purely for financial gain.</p> <p>Michael and Norma Quinn 6 Bramber Close RH12 4LY</p>	
272	Ian Bashford	-	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I would like to register my objection to the proposed building of the incinerator in Horsham. As a nation we should be encouraging the recycling of waste, a fact that you, as a council, have been very active in recently. Incineration is not the answer, as it creates pollution and therefore is harmful to the environment and local population. Again protecting the environment is a massive issue with National Government and I would like to think that my local council is supportive of such initiatives.</p> <p>The increase in traffic locally again would be harmful to the environment and would congest some of the main thoroughfares even more than they are already.</p> <p>Yours Faithfully Ian Bashford</p>	
273	Anne Cockram	-	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>Reference WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>As a long term resident of the town, I am writing to strongly oppose the proposal by Britaniacrest to build a waste incinerator in North Horsham.</p> <p>The extra traffic bringing the waste will pollute our environment and bring many heavy goods vehicles into our area.</p> <p>We already have a treatment facility in north Horsham.</p> <p>As we continue to recycle more waste there may be no need for more incinerators.</p> <p>As North Horsham develops, this facility would be adjacent to housing and families and would be far too close to a populated area.</p> <p>Please reject this proposal.</p> <p>Sincerely</p> <p>Anne Cockram.</p>	
274	Mr J Lewis	5, Marlborough Place, Rushams Road, Horsham, RH12 2NR	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>I wish to object to the proposed Incinerator for Britaniacrest Planning ref - WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>Mr J Lewis of 5 Marlborough Place, Rushams Road, Horsham, RH122NR.</p> <p>Reasons for my objection are below</p> <p>Non-compliance with West Sussex County Council's Waste Local Plan</p> <p>The size of the construction is excessive large and high and will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages as well as potentially Surrey areas of outstanding natural beauty.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises.</p> <p>The scale of this plant seems to be seeking waste from outside the local area and thus will encourage commercial waste being transferred over great distance to feed a very large incinerator.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will protect or enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 11: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is nothing to suggest that this will enhance the local area in fact it will detract and blight being visible from 15kms away in areas of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. We should question the pollution from the emissions including lead, mercury, dioxins, the increase in road traffic and the impact it will have on business travel in delays and detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County</p> <p>It is questionable if this policy will be met by this proposal, as it will be seen from rural villages and detrimental impact on Horsham and surrounding rural communities.</p> <p>Policy W12: High Quality Developments. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they are of high quality and, where appropriate, the scale, form, and design (including landscaping) take into account the need to: (a) integrate with and, where possible, enhance adjoining land-uses (b) have regard to the local context including: (iii) the topography, landscape, townscape, streetscape and skyline of the surrounding area; (iv) views into and out of the site.</p> <p>The Britaniacrest proposal does not meet the criteria set out above.</p> <p>Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that: lighting, noise, dust, odours and other emissions are controlled to the extent that there will not be an unacceptable impact on public health and amenity.</p> <p>The proposals will require aviation lighting as well as have a night-time noise impact on the neighbouring communities creating light pollution for the area.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Mr Lewis</p>	
275	Mrs GINA DENMAN	31, Amberley Road, Horsham, RH12 4LJ	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs, I strongly object to the Britaniacrest incinerator being erected anywhere. The fumes from landfill in Langhurst wood road is bad enough</p>	
276	Mr Roger Amon	2, Cottage Close, Horsham, RH12 4GS	Object	09-APR-2018	<p>I object to the proposed incinerator on the basis of :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">-increased HGV traffic-import of other counties' undesirable waste into West Sussex-unsightly building structure-questionable requirement for an incinerator plant	

277	Mrs TINA Bellchamber	51, Amberley Road, Horsham, RH12 4LJ	Object	09-APR-2018	waste to feed the incinerator will be transported by HGVs across county borders, polluting our air with CO2 and Nox emissions Incinerators increases CO2 levels, damanging our health and the enviroment The world organisation advised that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and yet this facility will be adjacent to the north horsham housing with the plume heading over nearby conurbations
278	Mr and Mrs R Deane	-	Object	09-APR-2018	I strongly objectbto this planning application for incinerator in north horsham so does my wife The tiny dioxin particles it will spread in air are so detrimental to health and wildlife Im a resident of north horsham for 30 odd years and have seen the massive increase in building on green fields with a lot more to come if / when the liberty holdings project gets underway which will put even more people at risk from the incinerator !!! Surely people should come before pollution and profit !!! Mr and Mrs R Deane
279	SJ Mortimer	-	Object	09-APR-2018	Dear Sir/Madam, Re: Wsc015/18/nh I am writing to object regarding Britanicrest plans to build an incinerator plant. Traffic: Horsham and surrounding areas are being hit after hit with new builds on our beautiful country side. This unsightly proposal will increase HGVs to our area along a already very dangerously busy A24 or A264. I can't imagine the increase into Co2 levels but I fear for safety along these roads too. Our market town: Horsham market town and surrounding areas are blessed with views of both the south and North downs, to spoil these views of our protected heritage sites and FROM these protected heritage sites is just plain wrong and I have to be honest we will see this site from our house (we currently have a beautiful view of Leith Hill. Our health: we have a very large landfill site which has an awful smell, increased traffic due to more and more houses being built is causing a rise in Co2 levels which will only increase with the number of HGVs visiting the incinerator. Please can we just STOP building around our beautiful country side and market town - there is hit after hit with new builds. PLEASE TREASURE OUR COUNTRY SIDE, HEALTH AND SAFETY. Thank you for your time. Yours faithfully, SJ Mortimer
280	Mrs Grace Bligh	Fairway, Horsham Road, Rusper, Horsham, RH12 4PR	Object	09-APR-2018	Too large - not just for local needs. Too big, height, with a chimney that will be visible from 2 areas of outstanding beauty - the south downs and the surrey hills. it will blight the views from both. The roads are too small locally to support that level of traffic - no substantial roads and the A24 is not even a dual carriageway here. Fall out of pollution across all of the new houses currently being built in North Horsham, and those with planning consent as well, thousands of new homes. Warnham wildlife reserve could well be impacted. Danger to aircraft from Gatwick will mean the chimney has to be lit 24x7. Noise from traffic in a quiet local area, as well as the industrial noise associated with this kind of activity.
281	Mr Vincent Collingwood	5, Wyvern Place, Warnham, Horsham, RH123QU	Object	09-APR-2018	I object for the following reasons : Health. We in Warnham regularly smell the discharge from the current waste disposal site - therefore an increase in the discharge will be even more detrimental to our health and wellbeing (it is stressful to smell the discharge - let alone wonder what we are breathing in when we cannot smell it) Infrastructure. The Horsham district is inundated with new housing developments and the population has and is rising dramatically meaning more people on the roads and increased traffic. Add all of the trucks that the new incinerator will bring and the roads will just become more congested, leading to additional noise and air pollution on top of what the new incinerator itself will bring. Unightly - Horsham district is well known for its beauty and tranquillity - to add a huge incinerator building and its associated 90+ metre tower will blight the landscape for miles around our beautiful district
282	Lucinda and Navin Othendee	3, Rowlands Road, Horsham, RH12 4LH	Object	09-APR-2018	Dear Sir/Madam, We have recently been made aware of the proposals for a 36 metre tall, permanently lit incinerator situated in Horsham, and have been advised to submit our objections to you quoting the reference WSCC/015/18/NH. We are both against this proposal and believe that the raised CO2 levels and increase in heavy goods vehicles will be damaging to the area, affecting house prices and the health of the local population. Please take this email as notice of our objections. Kind regards, Lucinda and Navin Othendee
283	Mr Philip Clyne	34, Amberley Road, Horsham, RH12 4LN	Object	10-APR-2018	I object to this on health grounds, the extra cross county traffic it will cause and the size of the chimney is disproportionate to anything else in the whole area, the lighting of the chimney will further add to horsham's increasing light pollution.
284	Mr Barry Steers	Littlehaven Cottage, Rusper Road, Horsham, RH12 5QW	Object	10-APR-2018	The construction of incinerators on or near populated areas is frowned upon by the World Health Organisation yet one is still being proposed it seems regardless. I strongly object to this positively dangerous and dubious incinerator being constructed near a populated area. The rise of CO2 levels, lorries full of noxious waste and the erection of a large chimney are certainly not conducive with not only a historic town such as Horsham but also to the short and long term health of it's population either. This incinerator should not be built here.
285	Mrs Lynne Crockett	Acorn Cottage, Oakleigh Road, Horsham, RH12 4JG	Object	10-APR-2018	This will be built far too close to existing and proposed new building of houses. It will be an eyesore and could have an impact on health issues. Basically our lovely historic, picturesque town will be blighted by this. PLEASE DO NOT LET THIS APPLICATION GET APPROVED.
286	Mr David Gibson	16, Warnham Court, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QE	Object	10-APR-2018	I object to this development, and note rejection of the original application was recommended by planning officers only last year. The new application does not ameliorate the overwhelmingly negative environmental impact of the proposed development. The development would be contrary to WSCC strategic objectives on waste policy, and would cause increased air pollution from HGVs transporting waste and from the incineration process itself. I strongly encourage planning officers to reject this application.
287	Miss Jennifer Hannan	16, Hatchlands, Horsham, RH12 5JX	Object	10-APR-2018	honestly I don't know why you would want this in our town, we have already objected to this once and it needs now to go away.

					It is too close to the town and the houses around the area, we do not know the health affects it will have on us and our children. it is going to destroy the reputation of this area.	
288	Mr Mark McCord	15, Warnham Court, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QE	Object	10-APR-2018	I object to this incinerator on the following grounds: * It will increase CO2 levels in the area * Incineration is a counterproductive means of ridding the world of waste * The building and chimney will be an eyesore * It will be generate huge amounts of heavy vehicle movements in and around an area that's due to be redeveloped over a period of many years * Britaniacrest will be profiting from locals' misery.	
289	Mr W Papworth	Little Wren, 2, Wren Close, Pulborough, West Sussex, RH20 1BF	Object	10-APR-2018	I object to the incinerator. There has been enough change in Horsham with the North Horsham development and the Broadbridge Heath development.	
290	Miss Sophie Thom	18, Chervilles, Maidstone, ME16 9JE	Object	10-APR-2018	I cannot stress the importance of not going ahead with this proposed project. A monstrosity like this would burn resources which could be recycled for the next 30/40 years. Not only that but it would increase pollution and emissions to air which as a nation we are strongly trying to decrease to meet our set climate change targets by the Paris Climate Change Act. Finally you would be damaging the landscape of a historical town which is populated by residential areas, schools and leisure facilities who are all going to be affected in some aspect. I strongly advise you to reconsider this application which has no long term benefits and only problems attached to it.	
291	Mr Edward Wain-Heapy	16, Kempshott Road, Horsham, RH12 2EY	Object	10-APR-2018	Outrageous application for an incinerator almost adjacent to homes in Horsham and now next to the North Horsham development, recently approved for 2750 homes. Horsham will become known as the dirty Town in Sussex, with the facility and Chimney being seen from miles around. It is not clearly known, what the long terms effects of the air pollution will cause on local residents including my young family. This application, should not be considered in any way appropriate for this site.	
292	Me Keiron Palmer	-	Object	10-APR-2018	Morning, Please can I lodge my formal rejection to the planning application for the proposed monster chimney chugging out pollution as a massive eyesore into the beauty of the Sussex countryside. Britania Crest wish to build a tower taller than the Queen Elizabeth tower that houses Big Ben at the Wealden brick works site in Langhurst Road, Horsham, in order to burn tonnes of waste polluting the air for nature and humans. This beast from the east will also be a huge blot on the landscape as it will be seen from miles around with the company logo spread down the length of the structure which will be visible space according to some Nasa experts. This part of Sussex is a place of natural beauty with roaming wild Muntjaxk deer, red kites, sparrow hawk's, Barn Owls, Tawney Owls, to name a few all thriving in this area. These are all under threat when a huge chimney is to be built in Horsham that will go on to pump out clouds of toxic waste into the atmosphere killing the wildlife and ruining the local eco-system. Local residents will suffer breathing problems and unborn babies maybe be born with extra limbs and lung disease all adding to the NHS burden due to the toxic waste. Horsham is a historic town that already suffers from Noise pollution from Gatwick as well as the fumes from low flying aircraft. Please protect this area and the people of Horsham by refusing the planning application, let Horsham be known as a Historic market town, not Horsham.... the town with the massive chimney!!! Kind regards Mr Palmer	
293	Michael Ford	-	Object	10-APR-2018	Ref : WSCC/015/18/NH The thought of building an incinerator that has been proposed by Britaniacrest is totally unacceptable to right minded people. How this can even be considered is beyond me. With planning permission already approved for 2750 homes adjacent to the proposed site surely approval for such a scheme must be completely rejected. Michael Ford	
294	Ms Julia McDermott	7, Tennyson Close, Horsham, RH12 5PN	Object	10-APR-2018	Dear Sir I am writing to object to yet another attempt to build a monstrous incinerator adjacent to my home. The objections I raised last time are still as valid today - namely - it will impact hugely on the environment. We already have to look at an enormous hill of rubbish which has been accumulating over recent years and now dwarfs the defunct brick works factory chimney. I understand this new chimney will be vastly bigger. So big, it will need to be lit as a hazard to aircraft landing at Gatwick Airport! - by allowing such a facility to be built adjacent to existing, and proposed housing, you are acting against the advice of the WHO which warns against the location of such an incinerator in a populated area. Why are you choosing to use a site in this populated area with a total disregard of potential health risks to local people? You cannot incinerate without pollution - this is why the chimney has to be so high! - the local road system is already overcrowded with traffic, and this is before the approved 3,000+ houses are built. There has been a huge increase in traffic since all the new housing at Broadbridge Heath, with enormous lorries now careering along the A24 at hazardous speeds. More and more building is allowed with no regard to transport links or schooling. NB how easy to do you think it will be to sell these proposed new properties if they are adjacent to such an environment hazard?! - with the current research into the effectiveness of incinerating waste material, it appears that you may be proposing to build a "white elephant" which will not be needed in years to come. Who is going to take it down when the profit dries up and the "owners" decide to move on? In conclusion, why do WSCC keep agreeing to projects which are against the wishes of the local people? I was not surprised to hear quoted in the media that only two towns in the UK have met their housing planning target, Horsham being one of them. Please act for the good of the local population. Julia McDermott	
295	Mr Tayler Messenbird	33, Crown Road, Edenbridge, TN8 6AN	Object	10-APR-2018	-	
296	Mr Joshua	16, Hatchlands, Horsham,	Object	10-APR-2018	SERVERLY OBJECT. I am extremely worried about the health effects to the local area, especially with the amount of new homes	

	Parsons	RH12 5JX			being built.	
297	Eleanor Ramsay	-	Object	10-APR-2018	<p>Dear West Sussex Council,</p> <p>I am writing to inform you of my and my family's rejection of the plans for Horsham's incinerator (WSCC/015/18/NH). We live already within viewing and smelling distance of where the incinerator is planned to be installed and to make that area more industrial would be further ruining the beautiful countryside which surrounds the area. Not to mention the increase of air pollution of Co2 and Nox emissions damaging our health and the environment.</p> <p>For the wellbeing of the residents of Sussex who live in my area who will be effected by the incinerator, we please ask that you might reconsider.</p> <p>Yours Sincerely, Eleanor Ramsay</p>	
298	K Young	., Horsham, RH12	Object	10-APR-2018	<p>FAO Mr. Dumbrell</p> <p>I am writing to register my outright objection to the proposal WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>As a resident of Horsham and a First Class Geography BSc (Hons) graduate from the University of Sussex, I am extremely concerned at such a non-sustainable proposal in my local area.</p> <p>My grounds for opposition are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The proposal is not in line with your own West Sussex County Council Waste Local Plan. It is a large-scale plant and will have a detrimental effect on the natural and historic environment and resources of the county.2. My particular concern is the emissions from the plant and the associated damage to public health. On a personal note, myself and my partner have just purchased our first house in Horsham less than a year ago and are hoping to start a family here - I would be very worried about the harmful effects of breathing in pollutants, particularly on unborn babies and infants. Incinerators should not be sited in populated areas. Increasing carbon dioxide emissions is in direct conflict with all current national and international directives aimed at reducing greenhouses gases in our atmosphere and in turn global warming.3. Light pollution as the proposal states the stack would need to be illuminated for aircraft. This is likely to have a harmful effect on the natural Circadian rhythms of wildlife in the vicinity.4. Noise pollution of bringing heavy industry to a rural area.5. The increase in traffic associated with the development would also raise noise and pollution levels as well as having a negative impact on the local infrastructure e.g. more potholes due to large lorries and congestion and bottlenecks.6. The visual impact of such an unsightly plant.7. The environmental impact to wildlife - the construction, noise and pollution will damage fragile local ecosystems.8. Reduce-reuse-recycle should be being promoted as a sustainable policy, not incineration. <p>I would hope that as our local authority, West Sussex County Council could surely propose a more viable solution for waste management than allowing a private company to profit from irreversibly damaging our region.</p> <p>Please don't hesitate to contact me should you require any further information.</p> <p>Yours Sincerely,</p> <p>Miss K Young, Roffey, RH12</p>	
299	Terry Evans	12, Pondtail Road, Horsham, RH12 2NL	Object	10-APR-2018	<p>To Whom it may concern,</p> <p>WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>As a resident of Horsham (12 Pondtail Road, RH12 2NL) I strongly object to the proposed waste incinerator. My objections are based on the following factors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1 As an engineer I spent over 23 years working for a major company specialising in large utility plant, principle boilers. As such I am thoroughly aware of the problems that will be encountered in reducing pollution from the combustion process. I very much doubt that Britaniacrest will invest in anything but the minimum requirements for pollution control as the technology involved is very expensive.2 The proposed erection will be an unavoidable eye sore destroying the natural beauty of our area. Its obvious that it will be impossible to disguise a building 38m tall with a 95m chimney.3 The roads in the local area are already being overloaded due to all the new housing and no additional investment in improving the infrastructure. It is without doubt that the increase in HGV traffic will cause massive disruption, additional wear on the roads and additional risks to the safety of the general public.4 In the 21st century, with our knowledge of environmental damage caused by pollution and global warming, it seems ludicrous to construct a new facility which will add to the problems, compounded by the additional pollution caused by transporting the waste to it. <p>Regards</p> <p>Terry Evans</p>	
300	Jean Johnson	-	Object	10-APR-2018	<p>Good afternoon</p> <p>The proposed Britaniacrest incinerator installation for Horsham is inappropriate. A catastrophic decision has already been made in that over 2000 houses are going to be built in the vicinity of this proposed site, please do not add to this severe problem by allowing this incinerator to be installed.</p> <p>This proposal is proposed and funded by a private developer for profit, not for the benefit of the environment. Horsham has already done it's share in the recycling programme by helping to fund the BIFFA Mechanical Biological Treatment facility. The Horsham area does not have the infrastructure of accommodate the HGVs which would transport the waste to feed the incinerator. This plant would increase the levels of CO2 which damages both health and the environment. In addition the proposed height of the chimney is unacceptable at 95m - this would be visible from everywhere in Horsham - is this the sight we would like visitors to the area to be greeted with?</p> <p>Please review the above points and and reconsider. Horsham is an attractive market town and the residents wish to keep it that way - it is a good place to live and we would like it to remain so.</p> <p>Regards Jean Johnson</p>	
301	Colin Charlton	7, Durfold Road, Horsham, RH12 5HZ	Object	10-APR-2018	<p>Dear County Planning,</p>	

					<p>I wish to register my objection to the Britaniacrest incinerator planning application reference WSCC/015/18/NH for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The proposed incinerator will increase CO2 levels in a heavily populated area, damaging health and the environment.2. The waste for the incinerator will be transported by road increasing CO2 and NOX emissions in heavily populated areas.3. The proposed chimney will be an eyesore.4. There is already a recycling treatment facility in place, part funded by local taxpayers. <p>Regards,</p> <p>Colin Charlton. 7 Durfold Road, Horsham, West Sussex. RH12 5HZ.</p>	
302	V Golden	14, Bell Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QL	Object	10-APR-2018	<p>I wish to object to the proposed incinerator in Horsham. The present site is already an eyesore and emits an unpleasant smell which can be detected in Warnham Village. It is unreasonable to expect Horsham residents to accept any further imposition of this kind.</p> <p>V Golden 14 Bell Road Warnham RH12 3QL</p>	
303	John Vaughan-Davies	., Broadbridge Heath, Horsham	Object	10-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I object to the proposed Britaniacrest incinerator application WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>The main reason for my objection is there will be less and less of a need for incineration in the future as we will all be reducing the use of plastics and we will all be recycling more meaning this monstrosity of a building will be of no use in the near future.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>John Vaughan-Davies Broadbridge Heath</p>	
304	Miss Jodie Pickles	18, Marlborough Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5FF	Object	10-APR-2018	-	
305	Miss Nicole Bodman	105, Shelley Drive, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3NU	Object	10-APR-2018	<p>I object for the unknown effects it could have on everyone's health in Horsham. I've been in Horsham my whole life, its a great town and very safe and wouldn't want any young children to grow up with health issues in the town because of this.</p>	
306	Mrs jean bilbrough	14, Conifers Close, Horsham, RH12 4QH	Object	11-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to the incinerator being built, as I consider it a danger to the people of North Horsham, due to the increase in heavy traffic travelling to and from the site, on what is already a very busy road. Also pollution caused by these HGVs travelling on our roads surely goes against the latest Government policy. The increasing of CO2 levels will damage the environment and the health of the population of North Horsham. There has already been reports concerning the damage done to the lungs of young children and the elderly from air pollution. It is the responsibility of those we have elected, to act for the good of those people and the future people of Horsham.</p>	
307	Mr J W G Davies	8, Plough Lane, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5TN	Object	11-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>Reference WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I wish to make an objection to the proposed development reference above, viz the planned construction of a commercial and industrial waste incinerator plant in North Horsham at the site of the former Wealden Brickworks in Langhurstwood Road Horsham.</p> <p>As a local resident, my objection centres on the following:</p> <p>This development does not comply with the West Sussex Local Plan County Council's Waste Local Plan, demonstrating a deviation from Strategic Objectives and Policies.</p> <p>Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that: lighting, noise, dust, odours, and other emissions are controlled to the extent that there will not be an unacceptable impact on public health and amenity. The increase in HGV traffic, along with plans to include aviation lighting will detrimentally impact local residents and the environment.</p> <p>I consider that this proposed development is in appropriate as it represents a deviation from WSCC waste management objectives and policies. Furthermore, it will negatively impact the quality of life of local residents and have a detrimental impact on the local environment.</p> <p>Yours Sincerely</p> <p>J.W.G. Davies 8 Plough Lane HORSHAM West Sussex R12 5TN</p>	
308	Shaun Browning	11, Greenfinch Way, Horsham, RH12 5HB	Object	11-APR-2018	<p>Why do, we as residents, have to go through this nausea every few years. We have twice rejected having this polluting monstrosity in our countryside, you know the town is going to reject it again and with even more good reason. As I live just inside the A264 bypass I will be looking at it rising above the trees every day spewing out smoke and foul chemicals. Even the WHO supports us, saying incinerators should not be built near housing conurbations and you already have planning for a new Horsham village right next to it. Do you really think people will buy houses right next door to this abomination. Don't tell Britaniacrest to modify their plans, support the people who put you in power and totally reject it for the sake of Horshams population now and in the future. We should not become the Sussex Pariah.</p> <p>Yours Shaun Browning 11 Greenfinch Way RH12 5HB</p>	
309	Suzanne Bond	3, Ryder's Way, Horsham, RH12 5QT	Object	11-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to the planned incinerator ref WSCC/015/18/NH the north of Horsham - we have already put up with the landfill site and now recycling plant in this area as well as pollution from Gatwick airport. I think we have had enough and this proposal is just one too many. We also don't need any additional heavyweight traffic or pollution in this area.</p> <p>Suzanne Bond 3 Ryders Way</p>	

					Horsham West Sussex RH12 5QT.	
310	Mrs Alison Martin	5, Norfolk Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 1BZ	Object	11-APR-2018	I object strongly to the application for the incinerator. This is based on the impact to peoples health in the nearby area and the pollution that this would bring. I also object due to increased traffic from large waste vehicles on local roads. I also object to the visual impact this will bring to the area.	
311	Mrs Alison Preston	-	Object	11-APR-2018	Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing to express my objection to Britaniacrest's planning application for an incinerator close to North Horsham, reference WSCC/015/18/NH. Your sincerely, Alison Preston (Mrs)	
312	Alan Barnes	-	Object	11-APR-2018	Dear Sirs, Regarding the application for an incinerator in this area I wish to complain vigorously with this idea. There is so many new houses being built in this area also four retirement villages where I live less than 1/12 miles away it is dreadful for an old person who suffers from breathing difficulty anyway to have to put up with this pollution 24 hours a day. Myself, I have a mechanical heart, unfortunately the surgeon placed the wrong size valves in me which at the time of my operation could not be changed. This has left me with severe breathing problems and have to have my breathing checked every year. Please think of other people before a decision is made as it will leave many old people and children in great difficulty. Thank you for your help to keep us all safe. Alan Barnes	
313	Mr Ian Tandy	3, Warminghurst Court, Blenheim Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 5GZ	Object	11-APR-2018	How can we comment fully if no renewed map is provided ? I objected to the last application and do so again on the same grounds: 1. The increase of heavy construction and refuse vehicles in any area already at road capacity - shortly before the building of thousands of new homes is tantamount to inviting accidents to happen on the A264 and surrounding roads. 2. The new home development will be, at times, downwind of the exhaust from the incinerator. How can this be healthy for residents and their children ? 3. It is in the wrong place and arguably national research is indicating that this type of waste management is extremely damaging to the environment. How could it not be ? 4. Myself and my neighbours often smell diesel fumes from the A264 in North Horsham. How much more do we have to put up with for private company gain ? 5. This waste is going to predominantly NOT from our district. Why are we planning to contaminate what should be green land with fallout from burning waste from Romford, or Lewisham, Luton or Heathrow ? Shouldn't those areas be tackling their waste issues themselves ? This is a rehash of a terrible idea with potentially deadly consequences.	
314	Mr John Deszberg	2, Warnham Court, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QF	Object	11-APR-2018	Dear sirs I would like to object strongly to the above application. I live at Warnham Court, Warnham and the proposed incinerator building and chimney will be clearly seen from the grounds and will be an unsightly intrusion on this beautiful part of the countryside. Furthermore the increase in Co2 emissions will be harmful to housing in the vicinity, and without doubt will waft towards Warnham with prevailing winds. There will be a significant increase in HGV traffic, some of which will inevitably have to travel along the A24 from Surrey. The stretch between Capel r/o and Daux r/o is narrow and winding and totally unsuitable for existing traffic volume let alone any increase. There have been several fatal accidents along this stretch of road. There is an intensive development of housing in an around Horsham which will also add to the volume of road traffic to the detriment of local communities and the large development of housing scheduled for land to the North of A264 will be too close to the proposed incinerator which will impact on their environment and will affect their values and sale-ability. Why is there an invasive drive by Britaniacrest to construct this large and unwanted development. They have a large site on the A217 near Reigate which arguably would be suited for an incinerator without impact on surroundings. We already have an incinerator in Newhaven and another being completed near Sunbury. These can service Surrey and Sussex so why do we need this extra capacity. Please, please turn down this application. We do have the Biffa plant which in its own way is undesirable but we live with it! John Deszberg	
315	Sandra Morris	The Gorings, 2, Horsham, RH13 5UD	Object	11-APR-2018	Sirs I would like to object to the planning application for a recycling, recovery and renewable energy facility and ancillary. I am concerned about safety with the increase of large vehicles using the narrow roads. The visual impact from the chimney stack. The light and air pollution. Regards Sandra Morris 2 The Gorings Horsham RH13 5UD	
316	Mrs Lucy Stevens	None, 12, Nuthatch Way, Horsham, RH12 5HN	Object	11-APR-2018	I write to object to this application. An incinerator this close to homes most of which are occupied by young families should not be subjected to the pollution this site will cause. If you look at projected plumes our area (including a number of primary schools) is in a high level of pollution which will effect the health of the inhabitants. It will be an eyesore to the area which is currently semi-rural and one of the first sights seen entering Horsham. We have already lost a large area of the surrounding rural land that we use for walking to another large development which is in progress which will also include a large number of homes even closer to the proposed incinerator. Our area is doing well with recycling and the rubbish to be incinerated will be from other areas delivered by lorries increasing traffic on an already busy road (also causing increased pollution) when the new housing estate is already set to increase the traffic in this area. The incinerator is profit based and our health and quality of life should not be compromised in order for a company to make money. It should be situated in a suitable location a safe distance from homes close to where it is actually needed, the country is trying to reduce incinerators in order to increase recycling, therefore new incinerators should not be needed.	
317	Mr Malcolm Watts	5, Kingsfold Court, Dorking Road, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SW	Object	12-APR-2018	This Refuse Incinerator will not only be an Environmental nightmare but has the extremely high potential to affect the health and well being of EVERY resident in the surrounding area, Kingsfold, Farnham, Horsham and Crawley etc. Not withstanding the fact that heavy commercial traffic on the A24 is already reaching dangerous levels especially on the Single Carriage stretch between Capel and the A264 / A24 junction at the Great Daux roundabout, so to be adding extra DIESEL polluting poorly maintained HGV dumper trucks heading to this facility is a recipe for health and environmental disaster. To watch some of the HGV's coming through KINGSFOLD at the moment fully laden at between 30 and 40 mph it is wonder there has not been major carnage before now.	

					<p>Adding to this HGV traffic is pure unadulterated madness. This together with the extra DIESEL and Nitrous Oxide fumes being emitted by this extra HGV traffic plus the emissions from the 95 Metre high chimney is against our Human Rights to clean and unpolluted air. The roads and the environment of North Horsham cannot be allowed to suffer because of this proposal, bearing in mind that there are also thousands of extra houses to be built on land close to this proposed facility adding to the environmental pollution by causing extra traffic on the Single Carriage section of the A24 and the A264. Therefore our objection is on Environmental and Health grounds owing to the massive additional Pollution which will be caused by this Proposal contravening our Human Rights to a clean and healthy environment to live in. Malcolm & Christine Watts</p>	
318	Jacqui Birch	Lower Chickens Farm, Dorking Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3RY	Object	12-APR-2018	<p>Dear Councillors and Mr Jeremy Quin MP,</p> <p>I am writing to you today to strongly object to the planning application for a recycling and renewable energy facility at the former Wealden Brickworks in Warnham, and to urge you to refuse this application in full. I was shocked to get the news that Horsham District council had voted 'in favour' of this application at a recent meeting and I would like to (i) confirm this is correct and (ii) understand the reason for the decision.</p> <p>I think this facility is in the wrong location, close to populated areas and is certainly not in keeping with the rural area, my objection is based on my points below:</p> <p>(i) Visual impact The building and the size of the chimney (95m) is excessive and is way above the tree canopy, it will be seen for miles from areas of outstanding natural beauty (Surrey Hill's) spoiling the views and that of the surrounding conservation areas. It will not fit into its surroundings and be easily visible and completely out of place.</p> <p>(ii) Increase in traffic In order for the facility to be used 24/7 vehicles will be required to transport waste, this will lead to an increase in traffic on a road infrastructure which is already struggling to cope with existing traffic volumes.</p> <p>(iii) Pollution The fumes from incineration of industrial waste will cause pollution in the area to rise to unacceptable levels. This will have a huge detrimental impact on the local and surrounding communities, both in health and quality of life.</p> <p>(iv) Light Pollution Due to the excessive height of the chimney, it will be required to be visible to Gatwick airport air traffic at night and therefore will require substantial lighting, this will increase light pollution in the area and have a negative impact on the local communities living standards.</p> <p>(v) Noise Both the additional traffic and the facility running 24/7 will lead to unacceptable noise levels in an area where there is little background noise. This will have a negative impact on the local communities living standards.</p> <p>(vi) Wildlife The facility due to size, light pollution, noise and pollution will be detrimental to local wildlife, including Warnham Deer Park and nature reserve.</p> <p>(vii) Detrimental to the area Horsham is a historic town and has many areas of beauty and conservation, it's a nice place to live ! this facility will bring a huge negative impact to the area both to live in and visit. Horsham also has a reputation as a very desirable area to live in and if this facility goes ahead this will no longer be the case.</p> <p>This facility is not part of the WSCC waste plan and is a private venture which is NOT in the interests of the local and wider community and brings no net benefits. I would strongly challenge WSCC motives if this application is successful.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p> <p>Jacqueline Birch Lower Chickens Farm Dorking Road Horsham West Sussex RH12 3RY</p>	
319	Lucy Spiers	-	Concern Raised	12-APR-2018	<p>Planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>The proposals for an incinerator does not meet WSCC waste plan:</p> <p>Visual Impact</p> <p>The chimney of the brickworks is 26.5m high. The proposed incinerator building will be taller than this chimney some 35.92m in height.</p> <p>The building will be bigger than Horsham's shopping centre, Swan Walk, and taller than the brickworks chimney, 26.5m.</p> <p>It will be seen from far and wide, including areas of outstanding natural beauty. By the proposers own submission it will be seen as far as Box Hill.</p> <p>Noise Pollution</p> <p>As the site will be 24/7 it will create noise above the ambient noise enjoyed by rural areas of 30-35dB. This ambient noise levels decrease at night.</p> <p>Not Needed</p> <p>It would seem that West Sussex already has given planning permission for an incinerator at Ford in 2014. With an incinerator already permitted to be built on the coast it is highly questionable why an incinerator is needed on the edge of the county.</p> <p>Lucy Spiers</p>	
320	Mr MJB Davies	3, Capton Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5FJ	Object	12-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam, Reference WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I wish to make an objection to the proposed development referenced above, namely the planned construction of a commercial waste incinerator plant in North Horsham at the site of the former Wealden Brickworks in Langhurstwood Road, Horsham.</p> <p>As a local resident, my objection centres on the following key areas:</p> <p>1) This development is not in line with the West Sussex County Council's Waste Local Plan, demonstrating a deviation from Strategic Objectives and Policies, specifically:</p> <p>2) Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste</p>	

					<p>arises. (This is clearly not the case, when the proposal involves transporting commercial and industrial waste from across South East England by road to be processed at this plant).</p> <p>¿ Strategic Objective 11: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. (I'm unclear as to how the construction of such a large edifice and accompanying chimney will enhance the environment. More significantly, the emissions from the incinerator and the increased HGV traffic required to ship waste to the plant are unlikely to help enhance the local natural environment or quality of life of the residents).</p> <p>¿ Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County. (The current proposal will have a detrimental impact on the surrounding area, and certainly will not enhance the lives of local residents or the environment).</p> <p>¿ Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that: lighting, noise, dust, odours and other emissions are controlled to the extent that there will not be an unacceptable impact on public health and amenity. (The aforementioned increased HGV traffic, along with plans to include CAA-mandated aviation lighting will detrimentally impact local residents and the environment).</p> <p>2) The potential health and environmental impacts of this proposal have not been elucidated clearly in the public domain. Given that the plant will process commercial and industrial waste, it is reasonable to expect that projections of the expected constituents (and concentrations) of the emissions should be openly provided to the public - referencing other similar incinerator facilities. Projections of expected HGV traffic from across the South East might offset any purported environmental benefits of waste incineration versus landfill. The combined impact of the incinerator and increased HGV emissions will certainly be detrimental to air quality. Additionally, from an environmental, and even a practical waste management standpoint, the incineration of waste does not complement the drive for increased recycling.</p> <p>These are my key concerns, although I am aware that there are several other points of objection which have been raised by other parties separately.</p> <p>In conclusion, I consider that this proposed development is inappropriate as it represents a clear deviation from WSCC waste management objectives and policies. Furthermore, it will negatively impact the quality of life of local residents and have a detrimental impact on the local environment.</p> <p>Yours Sincerely, Mr MJB Davies MSc, BSc (Hons), PgCert 3 Campion Road Horsham West Sussex RH12 5FJ</p>	
321	Jo Storer	-	Object	12-APR-2018	<p>I write to reiterate my objections to the original proposal from Britanniacrest of which this latest version is similar in all but words. An incinerator would DAMAGE My and my family's health The planet The local environment The taxpayer who has already paid for a bio mechanical digester. Above all, there is NO NEED for incinerators, here or anywhere else, as shown by government's own studies.</p> <p>Please let us put this proposal to bed, once and for all, it is wrong on so many counts.It beggars belief that in 2018, with all that we know about the environment, we are seriously considering this toxic plan.</p> <p>Jo Storer</p>	
322	Mike and Maggie Stephenson	Fivens Place, North Heath Lane, 6, Horsham, RH12 5AS	Object	12-APR-2018	<p>Sirs/Madam,</p> <p>We object to the proposed Britanniacrest Incinerator on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Incineration increases Co2 levels2. It is proximate to existing high density residential areas damaging our health and the environment3. It will encourages cross county border movement of waste thus adding additional Co2 levels and NOX emissions4. The chimney will be high and spoil the landscape vistas <p>Regards</p> <p>Mike and maggie Stephenson 6 Fivens Place, North Heath Lane, Horsham, RH12 5AS</p>	
323	Phill Brewer	.. Glendale Close, Horsham	Object	12-APR-2018	<p>I would like to register an objection to the proposed incinerator proposal. My objections are: -</p> <p>¿ There is already a treatment facility that gives rise to far too many HGV¿s who join the A264 without regard to other road users. It is surprising there are not more serious accidents already. Any increase in traffic volume will not only increase the accident risk but also add to congestion for other road users.</p> <p>¿ There is potentially increased health risk to residents and adverse environmental impact (Co2)</p> <p>¿ Recycling more not burning is politically more beneficial</p> <p>¿ The chimney would be an eyesore and potential risk to aircraft</p> <p>¿ No justification for positioning this adjacent to the new residential development.</p> <p>¿ Potential breach in WHO guidelines.</p> <p>Phil Brewer Glendale Close Horsham</p>	
324	Ms Michelle Baker	Orchard Cottage, 32, School Hill, Warnham, West Sussex, RH12 3QN	Object	12-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I write to strongly object to the proposed incinerator referenced as above.</p> <p>I live on the edge of Warnham village on School Hill and as such have direct views of not just the tip, the brickyard, their existing chimneys, the bright lights polluting our night sky but what is now proposed is an even bigger blight on this part of the countryside.</p> <p>My objection is not just because of the visual impact but also the increase in traffic on an already over congested road forcing even more motorists to use our village as a cut through, which is already at a ridiculous level. The A24 is one of the most dangerous roads in Britain and this proposes to increase the traffic with large goods vehicles without any consideration for the potential increase in traffic accidents, already at an all time record high for one stretch of road. The increase in fumes as traffic sits on the road waiting to get to Great Daux Roundabout, the increase in pollution from the proposed chimney and light pollution at night that is going to be the result of the huge chimney stack having to be lite for aircraft purposes plus the potential 24 hour nature of the plant causing increase in noise pollution.</p> <p>Enough is enough, Warnham and surrounding countryside in this area has taken its fair share of industrial burden without any additional infrastructure to support it and as such I strongly urge you to turn down this proposal.</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>Michelle Baker Orchard Cottage</p>	

					32 School Hill Warnham West Sussex RH12 3QN	
325	Andrew Stevens	.. Horsham	Object	12-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir or Madam,</p> <p>It was hugely disappointing to hear that our very council `Horsham Council¿ has approved the application, I strongly urge West Sussex to decline the application for the right reasons, I also hear that the traffic impact hasn¿t been properly considered and therefore the application should be declined.</p> <p>I¿m write to strongly object to this application. An incinerator this close to homes most of which are occupied by young families should not be subjected to the pollution this site will cause. If you look at projected plumes our area (including a number of primary schools) is in a high level of pollution which will effect the health of the inhabitants.</p> <p>It will be an eyesore to the area which is currently semi-rural and one of the first sights seen entering Horsham and West Sussex. We have already lost a large area of the surrounding rural land that we use for walking to another large development which is in progress which will also include a large number of homes even closer to the proposed incinerator.</p> <p>Our area is doing well with recycling and the rubbish to be incinerated will be from other areas delivered by lorries increasing traffic on an already busy road (also causing increased pollution) when the new housing estate is already set to increase the traffic in this area.</p> <p>The incinerator is profit based and our health and quality of life should not be compromised in order for a company to make money. It should be situated in a suitable location a safe distance from homes close to where it is actually needed, the country is trying to reduce incinerators in order to increase recycling, therefore new incinerators should not be needed.</p> <p>Kind regards Andrew Stevens North Horsham</p>	
326	Ms Alison Diver	Littlehaven Lane, 86, Horsham, RH12 4JB	Object	12-APR-2018	<p>Objection</p> <p>Planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>With complicated medical conditions we moved to an area where air quality was better. It would seem that you (the council) are proposing to change this. Does this mean that I either bury a child or have to move yet again! Be revolutionary not a follower.</p> <p>The proposals for an incinerator does not meet WSCC waste plan:</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale and throughput of the proposed plant is incompatible with the disposal of local waste and will attract material from outside of the county.</p> <p>It is clear that this proposal goes against the WSCC waste policy to recycle close to origin of waste.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County¿¿</p> <p>The proposal will have a dramatic effect on the character of Warnham and Horsham and so we believe it does not meet the criteria.</p> <p>Policy W12: High Quality Developments. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they are of high quality and, where appropriate, the scale, form, and design (including landscaping) take into account the need to: (a) integrate with and, where possible, enhance adjoining land-uses¿¿ (b) have regard to the local context including: (iii) the topography, landscape, townscape, streetscape and skyline of the surrounding area; (iv) views into and out of the site.</p> <p>This incinerator clearly does not meet this requirement.</p> <p>Visual Impact Light Pollution Noise Pollution Air quality</p> <p>It would seem that West Sussex already has given planning permission for an incinerator at Ford in 2014. With an incinerator already permitted to be built on the coast it is highly questionable why an incinerator is needed in the middle of a residential town.</p> <p>I firmly object to the incinerator being built.</p> <p>Kind regards Alison diver 86 littlehaven lane horsham West Sussex Rh12 4jb</p>	
327	Vera Amon	-	Object	13-APR-2018	<p>Ref: WSCC/01/18/NH</p> <p>I wish to express my objections to the proposed Britaniacrest incinerator on the grounds that it will be damaging to health, too close to populated areas, will increase traffic and is probably not necessary anyway in light of the evidence being considered by MP¿s that there are too many incinatuion plants.. Horsham has already done its share by contributing to the BIFA treatment plant.</p> <p>Vera Amon</p>	
328	Carol Cullum	Haybarn Cottage, Graylands Farm Barns, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, RH12 4QD	Object	13-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir</p> <p>I would like to object to the incinerator proposed by BritaniaCrest for many reasons but my main objections are:</p> <p>It will be a blot on the landscape for the lovely town of Horsham .</p> <p>The toxic fumes emanating from the chimney and spreading throughout Horsham and beyond.</p> <p>By burning certain rubbish it discourages recycling which this government is so keen to promote.</p> <p>There have been many studies to show no more incinerators are needed.</p> <p>The increase in traffic which will inevitably add to more pollution.</p> <p>It could certainly affect many people throughout Horsham who have breathing problems.</p> <p>In view of the ideas for the future expressed by Secretary of State Mr Gove I am sure it's something he would not approve of.</p> <p>Finally I would like to add that it makes a mockery of "HORSHAM IN BLOOM"</p> <p>It will now be " HORSHAM IN FUMES" !!!!!!!!!!!</p> <p>Carol Cullum</p>	
329	Mrs and Mrs. May	-	Object	13-APR-2018	<p>We wish to object to the above planning application on the grounds of:</p> <p>- Waste feed will be transported by HGVs across county borders, polluting the Horsham air with carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide</p>	

					<p>emmissions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Incineration increases carbon dioxide emission levels, damaging health and the environment. - Recycling is more environmentally friendly, encourage that not incineration. - The chimney is too tall and will be an eye sore, plus a damager to air traffic. - The area around the incinerator will be populated by the North Horsham Development and others North Horsham homes, but this is against the World Health Organisation's advice. <p>Please consider the population of North Horsham, we have had an increase in building, an increase in air traffic and and increase in polluton - enough is enough.</p>	
330	Rosie Duff	Resident, Horsham	Object	13-APR-2018	<p>Re: Planning application for an industrial incinerator known as a Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure ¿ planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Location: Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I am writing in objection to the above incinerator in Horsham. I am completely shocked such a polluting structure would be considered in/near such a thriving residential community. I urge you to reconsider its necessity and look into more 'green' solutions.</p> <p>Sincerely</p>	
331	Mrs Emma Cavallo	28, Kempshott Road, Horsham, RH12 2EY	Object	13-APR-2018	<p>I object because of the increased pollution it will bring, affecting our lives and of our children. The smell that's carried on the breeze when the farmers lay their muck is very obvious across town when it occurs, so you can only imaging what the toxic waste from an incinerator will be like.</p> <p>There'll be increase in traffic by vehicles transporting waste 24/7 Therefore increased damage to highways.</p> <p>Will it be recycling local waste? Or overseas waste that's coming over from other countries to make money, and pollute our town?</p>	
332	Mr Nigel ROBERTS	115, Ropeland Way, Horsham, RH12 5NZ	Object	13-APR-2018	-	
333	Mr Phil Brewer	29, Glendale Close, Horsham, RH12 4GR	Object	13-APR-2018	<p>¿ There is already a treatment facility that gives rise to far too many HGV¿s who join the A264 without regard to other road users. It is surprising there are not more serious accidents already. Any increase in traffic volume will not only increase the accident risk but also add to congestion for other road users.</p> <p>¿ There is potentially increased health risk to residents and adverse environmental impact (Co2)</p> <p>¿ Recycling more not burning is politically more beneficial</p> <p>¿ The chimney would be an eyesore and potential risk to aircraft</p> <p>¿ No justification for positioning this adjacent to the new residential development.</p> <p>¿ Potential breach in WHO guidelines.</p>	
334	Mrs Lucinda EDWARDS	14, Edwards Close, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3PL	Object	14-APR-2018	I strongly object to this proposal on the grounds of the pollution that will affect the surrounding areas and the ugly chimney that will ruin the landscape.	
335	Ms Alison Ingram	Bailing Hill Farm Cottages, 2, Broadbridge Heath Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3RS	Object	14-APR-2018	I object to the proposed incinerator at the brickworks. I am appalled that such a monstrosity is even being considered in our beautiful countryside. The roads are horrendously busy already and in a terrible state of repair, the roads are not going to be able to cope with even more traffic and certainly not extra lorries. I am also very concerned about the air pollution that Horsham and the surrounding villages will have to suffer. It is bad enough with Gatwick sending planes over us without extra air pollution from an incinerator that no-one wants. We should all be recycling our waste not incinerating it. We recycle absolutely everything we possibly can and now HDC are considering allowing a horrendous incinerator to be built which will pollute our air and discourage recycling. The area is being ruined by the amount of housing being built on farmland and you now want to allow an industrial size incinerator to be built to further ruin our town!	
336	Mr John Meyer	3, The Poplars, Horsham, RH13 5RH	Object	14-APR-2018	<p>Ref WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>If this Planning Application is allowed to go forward the increase of massive HGVs on local road infrastructure including the A24, A264, and A29, that will be required to supply this mammoth 36m tall incinerator the pressure on the infrastructure which we are told would run 24/7 hours per day would be un-acceptable with the increase in CO2 and Nox levels , further more although it is claimed a 95m high chimney would carry away any CO2 waste, the World Health Organisation advises that no Incinerator should be populated close to housing so where does that leave the North Horsham Development?</p> <p>This proposal has already been refuse and I feel that nothing change, don't let Our Horsham become the South Easts dumping ground and ruin our lovely town</p>	
337	Michael & Alan Cole	11, Pondtail Road, Horsham, RH12 2NL	Object	14-APR-2018	<p>Good evening,</p> <p>Your ref: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>My Father (Michael Cole) and I (Alan Cole) wish to object in the strongest possible terms to the proposed new incinerator in North Horsham, which presence can only be deleterious both to the local people and to the surrounding countryside and wildlife.</p> <p>Since the council is trying to encourage people to move to all the new housing developments in the town, the incinerator should it proceed, would likely have the exact opposite effect and drive people away, starving the town centre of much needed new business.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Michael & Alan Cole</p>	
338	John Eastman	-	Object	14-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir</p> <p>I would like to raise my objection to the Britaniacrest incinerator.</p> <p>My reasons are that the WHO advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be Populated and yet this facility will be adjacent to the NorthHorsham development with the plume heading over nearby conurbations.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>John</p>	
339	Mrs S Napper	Treetops, Pondtail Drive, Horsham, RH12 5HY	Object	14-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing to object to the proposed incinerator north of Horsham. It makes no sense for the proposal to build the incinerator to be approved. There is no benefit to Horsham or the surrounding area, yet there are many reasons why it could be detrimental to the town and it's inhabitants.</p> <p>Horsham is a town popular with young families, and there are several primary schools not too far from the proposed site (as well as a possible new school in the proposed North Horsham development) - do we want our young population growing up here and having to accept the potential health risks that come with additional air pollution? As a fairly affluent town, should we not be trying our best to reduce pollution and encourage recycling - this is not something that can be left to a central government - every local authority has a responsibility to make a difference.</p> <p>As a town which appears to be keen to expand by means of new home building, the local authority needs to consider what will draw</p>	

					<p>people to live in the town, settle here, and pay their council tax. Having an incinerator on our doorstep is not an inducement to settling in the town, and instead will be a reason to move away from the area.</p> <p>Please take this objection into consideration when making the decision. I would like to think that WSCC are able tell Britanniacrest that their incinerator is not wanted here today, next year, or any year in the future.</p>	
340	Mr Stephen Swain	18, Rycroft Drive, Horsham, RH12 2AP	Object	14-APR-2018	<p>Sir / Madam</p> <p>I strongly object to the Britanniacrest Incinerator for many reasons some of which I list below:</p> <p>¿</p> <p>¿ Non-compliance with West Sussex County Council¿s Waste Local Plan</p> <p>¿ The size of the construction is excessive large and high and will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages as well as potentially Surrey areas of outstanding natural beauty.</p> <p>¿ The scale of this plant seems to be seeking waste from outside the local area and thus will encourage commercial waste being transferred over great distance to feed a very large incinerator.</p> <p>¿ There is nothing to suggest that this will enhance the local area in fact it will detract and blight being visible from 15kms away in areas of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. We should question the pollution from the emissions including lead, mercury, dioxins, the increase in road traffic and the impact it will have on business travel in delays and detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole.</p> <p>Yours faithfully, Stephen Swain, 18 Rycroft Drive, Horsham, RH12 2AP</p>	
341	Mr Philip Smith	1, North Holmes Close, Horsham, RH12 4hb	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>As a North Horsham resident for almost 40 years I strongly object to the placement of this waste incinerator so close to a heavily urbanised area. The area has plans to increase the numbers of houses further exacerbating the dangers to a greater number of people. The toxicity of the gums generated is known to be dangerous to health both short term and long term to consider placement so close to a large densely populated area is completely illogical and unnecessary. Adding to the dangers to health caused by the incinerator itself is the increase in waste transportation on the local roads as no doubt other areas would then see Horsham as a safe sight to dump their waste making horshams long standing reputation as a good place to live. The reputation of our whole district will be defined by the waste incinerator not the benefits of the area. Generations to come would suffer the consequences of a rash selfish decision, a decision based on financial considerations only.</p>	
342	Ms Alison Peters	-	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>I would like to raise my objection to the proposed incinerator for a number of reasons.</p> <p>1. The shere scale and size of the chimney is very shocking. A structure so tall and so intrusive to views will have an incredibly negative impact on the area and countryside . This will have a very detrimental impact of the surrounding area and is hardly 'acceptable impact of the character,distinctiveness and sense of place ' as stated in policy w11</p> <p>2. Non compliance with the county council¿s own Local Waste Plan. Strategic Objective 11 is 'to protect and where possible enhance the historic environment ' . This is hardly the case with a chimney of this height.The size of the proposals leads to concerns about this not just being for local waste but waste being brought infrom other areas in the southern counties and transfer to this very large incinerator.</p> <p>3. Noise and light pollution is also a concern as the low background noise will affect those living closer to the site on 3 locations.</p> <p>4. Increased levels of pollution. The increased levels of dust and pollution in such a highly populated area is very concerning and seems a very odd choice of location for such a building.</p> <p>5. Negative impact on local countryside and wildlife. I should say even more impact on the the natural environment and wildlife. I live in Broadbridge Heath and wildlife and environment has already had the impact of 2,500 new homes and 250 houses in the remaining fields in this area. I am seriously concerned that areas of unaffected countryside for wildlife are becoming increasingly scare and we are changing entirely the character of the surrounding countryside. Whilst appreciating the need for housing the need for an industrial incinerator in addition to this is at best questionable and at worst disastrous.</p> <p>Overall the scale of this proposal is unacceptable it is detrimental to the character and natural environment of Horsham and the surrounding area. This cannot possibly sit within your own policy W12.¿Proposals for waste development are permitted providing that they are of high quality, and where appropriate , the scale, form and design take in the need to intergrate and where possible enhance adjoining landscapes....¿ . It is extremely difficult to see how this will happen.</p> <p>Thank you and I do hope you will consider the concerns and objections of so many residents. Please do not ruin the entire skyline and remaining countryside of Warnham and Horsham as a whole.</p> <p>Alison Peters</p>	
343	Mr Steven Atkins	22, Springfield Park Road, Horsham, RH12 2PW	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>I wish to object to this proposal for the following reasons:</p> <p>1. The height of the chimney would be visually intrusive in the surrounding area</p> <p>2. Concern in respect of the impact potential pollution could have on the health of the local population</p> <p>3. Potential increased HGV traffic movements</p>	
344	Mr Colin Bishop	71, Farhalls Crescent, Horsham, RH12 4BT	Support	15-APR-2018	<p>We should be grateful to a business that will imaginatively deal with waste from a rapidly increasing population that shows no signs of determined recycling and/or reducing consumption. Any facility that may or may not be visible will demonstrate why it is there and not out of sight in the same way critics would wish their waste to be kept.</p>	
345	Mrs Lynne Bullock	3, Speedwell Way, Horsham, RH12 5WA	Object	15-APR-2018	-	
346	Mr Frank Carpenter	Magnolia House, 8, Blunts Way, Horsham, RH12 2BL	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>I am most concerned about the size of the proposed building and chimney, but more particularly I am concerned about the detrimental health implications from fumes (possibly unseen) containing toxins of all kinds which will affect my generation, my children and grandchildren. To be plain, I shall no longer in future vote for a Councillor who supports this application. Frank Carpenter, 8 Blunts Way, Horsham.</p>	
347	Mr Duncan Lawrence	25, Larkspur Way, Southwater, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 9GR	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>I have huge concerns about the pollution, not just from the incinerator itself but also the additional HGV traffic it will require to build and run.</p>	
348	Mrs Zoë Lawrence	25, 25, Larkspur Way, Southwater, RH13 9GR	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>Objecting on the basis of increased air pollution in our district from the incinerator and the pollution from the vehicles that will bring the waste from all over the South East to our district. People are already dying prematurely from high levels of air pollution. A chimney taller than Big Ben will be a blight on our beautiful landscape. There must be another way.</p>	
349	Mrs Simone Roffe	14, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>The size of the building is still too large for the site and is out of proportion for the area. The chimney stack is also too tall and would be a visible eyesore. The pollution from this site causes concern for the health of Horshsm residents. The operation is proposed to be 24hrs so fumes would be spread across the district all day everyday again raising concerns about pollution. The traffic increase to feed this site would dramatically increase and I feel the roads would not be able to cope with such heavy duty vehicles. This would also bring an increase in traffic pollution to the area.</p> <p>The size of the building would also dominate the landscape and would not be a positive addition the a market town.</p> <p>The light from the site would also blight the area. It¿s in countryside and at present has little light pollution from the industry already present. The noise of the operation would be constant. All in all this is a very poor site and a poor idea for Horsham. I can not see any benefit to our community from the erection of this enormous building and chimney stack.</p>	
350	Mrs Chelsey Sas	67, Sargent way, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3TE	Object	15-APR-2018	-	
	Mrs	67, Redford Avenue,	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>*Will affect population suffering with respiratory issues.</p>	

351	Katherine Seifert	Horsham, RH12 2HW			<p>* Close proximity to Horsham and Crawley population.</p> <p>* Proximity to Gatwick and change of flight paths again affecting residents with noise pollution.</p> <p>*Unresolved design of flues.</p> <p>*Unresolved issues over what are acceptable levels of noise.This plant will be active 24 hours.</p> <p>*Effective measures to be taken to minimise the amount of rubbish taken to landfill by Government changing the law on packaging.Use glass containers instead of plastic.No use of plastic in packaging full stop.The technology is there.There is a supermarket in Amsterdam trialling this.Pay a visit, find out how we can follow their lead.The whole idea is to reduce landfill, to alter a " throw away" culture.We functioned perfectly well without plastic packaging before and, in the 21st century,we have solutions.It would be a severe dereliction of duty if, at your level and with your resources, you are unable to explore these alternatives.</p> <p>*Maintenance on the plant.What assurances have Britaniacrest given about long term maintenance and the cost of this?Will this become a cost cutting exercise later down the line, resulting in pollution?</p>
352	J.D Hastings	-	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>I wish to object to planning application WSCC/015/18/NH on the grounds that:</p> <p>1. It will increase Co2 levels and damage the environment and health of the general public living in the Horsham area.</p> <p>2. It will lead to increased levels of HGVs delivering waste materials from outside the immediate area polluting the atmosphere with Co2 and nitrogen oxide emissions, a family of poisonous highly reactive gases which form when fuel is burned at high temperatures. These gases are associated with adverse health effects.</p> <p>Yours faithfully, J. D. Hastings.</p>
353	Jeanna Barrett	24, Friday Street, Warnham, RH12 3QX	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>Please register my strong objection to the installation of a new incinerator in this area.</p> <p>Jeanna Barrett 24 Friday Street, Warnham RH12 3QX</p>
354	Michael G Foley	49, Friday Street, Warnham, RH12 3QY	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the above planning application for a proposed incinerator submitted by Britaniacrest. Once more as a resident of Warnham, we see the imposition of a third parties interests being considered above those of the local residents.</p> <p>If as, elected officers, you are there to represent the people's views, for the second time of asking, reject formally and finally this impositon of third party profit on our local parish.</p> <p>Yours sincerely and a degree of hope that sense will prevail.</p> <p>Michael G Foley</p> <p>49 Friday Street Warnham Horsham RH12 3QY</p>
355	Lara Fisher	28, Kings Road, Horsham, RH13 5PR	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>To Whom It May Concern,</p> <p>I am writing to object to the proposal by Britaniacrest to build an incinerator in Horsham. As a parent, I am strongly against this toxic proposal--as is every person living in and around Horsham. I grew up in New York, and drove through a landfill/incinerator site frequently. It is unbearable: the smell burns your eyes and nostrils and is beyond repugnant. There must be another solution for waste management and disposal. There has to be.</p> <p>In addition to the health hazards, the size of the construction is excessive large and high and will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages as well as potentially Surrey areas of outstanding natural beauty. Next, the scale of this plant seems to be seeking waste from outside the local area and thus will encourage commercial waste being transferred over great distance to feed a very large incinerator.Further, there is no element of the proposals that will protect or enhance the natural environment. To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. Also, there is nothing to suggest that this will enhance the local area in fact it will detract and blight being visible from 15kms away in areas of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. We should question the pollution from the emissions including lead, mercury, dioxins, the increase in road traffic and the impact it will have on business travel in delays and detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole.</p> <p>I could go on. There is absolutely no benefit to accepting this proposal. It will be a health and environmental hazard to those in and around Horsham. Find another way.</p> <p>Yours faithfully, Lara Fisher 28 Kings Road Horsham RH13 5PR</p>
356	Richard Sales	-	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>Please accept my objection to any proposed incinerator in the Horsham area</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>Richard Sales</p>
357	Sonia Cox	22, Speedwell Way, Horsham, RH12 5WA	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>Sonia Cox 22 Speedwell Way Horsham RH12 5AS</p> <p>Reference WSCC/015/18/MY</p> <p>I am writing to raise my objection to the proposed Horsham Incinerator under the above reference.</p> <p>As the tax payer helped find the MBT facility, Horsham have done their bit.</p> <p>There will be pollution caused by HGV transportation</p>
358	Anne Davies	Warnham Resident, Warnham, Horsham	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>Having considered this application carefully I do not support it and as such am writing to object for the following reasons.</p> <p>¿ whilst appreciating the Great British public generate a lot of waste and are difficult to educate on the three Rs, however Britania Crest are not intending this site to be used for the benfit of the county, it is purely for the profit of a PLC business with no connection to the community</p>

					<p>¿ incineration only encourages business who are the main producers of waste to produce more, businesses need to be educated and incentivised to reduce waste, this facility nor Britania Crest will not do that</p> <p>¿ the builing is too large and obtrusive for the location</p> <p>¿ the lorry traffic in the area will be far in excess of what the roads and locality can cope with and there is investment by Britaniacrest to resolve this</p> <p>¿ the lorry traffic will add to the polution in the area which is damaging to local health and wildlife</p> <p>¿ this chimney is too high and will dominate the locality which has now recovered from the eyesore of the landfill and back to Sussex hillside</p> <p>¿ the emissions from the chimney will polute the locality</p> <p>¿ the World Health Organisation advises such a facility should not be located in a populated area</p> <p>Your sincerely</p> <p>Anne Davies Warnham resident</p>	
359	Tim Royle	-	Object	15-APR-2018	<p>Quoting Reference - WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I object to the incinerator proposed for Horsham on the grounds that the Co2 the plant would produce will be harmful to the residents who live in and around Horsham.</p> <p>The World Heath Organisation advice is these plants should not be near populated areas this would not be the case if the proposed plant went ahead at Horsham.</p> <p>Regards, Tim Royle</p>	
360	Mrs Sarah Turfrey	69, Hazelhurst Crescent, Horsham, RH121XB	Object	15-APR-2018	-	
361	Mrs Deborah Hughes	67, Old Guildford Road, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3JY	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>I am shocked and angry that this proposal has been made again. I have lived in Horsham all my life and never has a proposal so detrimental to the wellbeing of the people of the town been made. My children are at Warnham primary school and will be directly affected if this incinerator is built. The emissions from it wil undoubtedly impact air quality and my daughter air day has breathing difficulties and suffers from asthma. Furthermore the already gridlocked roads in and around hormahm will bec9me even more Choked with cars and fumes, particularly with the massive increase on lorry traffic. Horsham¿s houses will be devalued by the 24/7 operating of this facility, if it goes ahead. It will not incentivise recycling or promote Horsham as the lovely place to live that it once was. Please please please DO NOT ALLOW this to go ahead. It will not benefit the people in of Horsham in any way and it will cause harm the the unfortunate people living, working and going to school in the shadow of the terrible facility.</p>	
362	Tracy Long	76A, Friday street, Warnham, RH12 3QX	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>Dear Planning Department</p> <p>As a resident of the Warnham/Horsham area I would like to strongly object to the proposed incinerator being built :</p> <p>Planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>The proposals for an incinerator does not meet WSCC waste plan:</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale and throughput of the proposed plant is incompatible with the disposal of local waste and will attract material from outside of the county.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County¿¿</p> <p>The proposal will have a dramatic effect on the character of Horsham and so believe it does not meet the criteria.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Tracy Long</p>	
363	Mr Rod Cuming	3, 3, White Horse Road, Horsham, RH12 4UL	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>1. This would be a massive industrial building out of character with Horsham as an historic market town.</p> <p>2. The chimney would have a serious negative visual impact and would convey an image out of character with Horsham.</p> <p>3. Heavy vehicle movements would increase dramatically from those currently experienced with corresponding impact on noise and emission pollution.</p> <p>4. This part of the road network where the A24 meets the A264 is already extremely busy and will become more so as housing developments west of Horsham (2000 homes) and north of Horsham (2750 homes)are completed. The huge increase in heavy vehicle traffic arising from an incinerator would both add to the congestion and to road safety concerns.</p> <p>5. The heavy vehicles would be routed through the planned north of Horsham housing development with associated risks to residents health, safety and quality of life.</p> <p>6. Given the existing number of incinerators operational in the UK plus applications already approved it has been forecast that by 2020 the UK will have an overcapacity for incineration of residual waste (Eunomia). Therefore, no further expansion of capacity is needed per se. Such approvals could lead to avoidable expense and import of residual waste to support long-term contracts.</p>	
364	Mrs Laura Davies	7, St Leonards Park House, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 6EG	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>No incinerator for Horsham/Warnham. The fumes would be toxic and harmful to our town and surrounding areas. Its proposed location is too close to family homes and schools. It would increase traffic and be an eyesore, spoiling our lovely landscape.</p>	
365	Mrs Tracy Francis	Ashleigh, Dorking Road, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SB	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>I wish to lodge my objection to the plans submitted by Britaniacrest for an incinerator just outside Horsham. I cannot believe that after reading the list of pollutants that this enormous chimney will be spewing out 24/7, that this application has not been thrown out immediately. Both Horsham District Council and West Sussex County Council MUST act to stop this area becoming a dumping ground for other Counties waste and blighting the lives of everyone who lives here. How can a huge incinerator be built literally next door to the North Horsham development. Your constituents will be breathing in a cocktail of chemicals which include greenhouse gases, acidic gases, heavy metals and poisons for the rest of their lives The site is also upwind of one of the County¿s most densely populated areas (Crawley and Horley), and of a number of important drinking water reservoirs (Ardingly, Wierwood and Bewl Water).</p> <p>CPRE Sussex¿s Dr Roger Smith is warning that the list of substances involved reads like ¿an environmental horror story.¿ They include heavy metals such as arsenic and mercury which are cumulative and could cause irreversible damage to the environment and human health when they come to ground.</p> <p>¿The cumulative impact of dioxins and of any other persistent pollutants emitted by the facility, after coming to earth, seems not to have been assessed!</p> <p>¿Mapping, showing where pollutants emitted by the proposed facility would come to earth and the extent of resulting ground fall/downwind-hazard areas ought to have been provided for public scrutiny, as part of the consultation. However, the data submitted with this application was obtained at Charlwood, eight miles to the north, and not from the site of the proposed facility.¿</p> <p>A full investigation into how and where the pollutants emitted by the facility, individually, collectively, and cumulatively over time, could or would impact on farmland and livestock and the natural environment, including habitats, biodiversity and ecology needs to be conducted, before any decision to allow this monstrosity is taken</p> <p>Britaniacrest¿s plans also include a vast 95m chimney which will have a far reaching visual impact, blighting the countryside for miles around and the natural beauty of vast areas of rural landscape within Sussex and Surrey.¿</p> <p>I believe that to permit such a monstrous industrial incinerator in the heart of Sussex countryside would inflict far reaching environmental damage and should be refused on every level.</p>	

					We have no infrastructure to deal with the vast amounts of extra lorries trucking this waste into the incinerator on already fast, dangerous roads. Planning permission has already been granted for an incinerator in Ford in Sussex so there is absolutely no need for another facility in Sussex. Let another County take the burden for a change and put your people first. We voted you in and it is your job to stand up for what we want.	
366	Mrs Alison Rowlands	109, 109, Tanbridge Park, Horsham, West Sussex, Rh12 1SF	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to this application on multiple grounds, but significantly:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Additional traffic and the ensuing congestion, and traffic associated pollution this will bring2. Environmental pollution and detrimental effect from incineration on health to people and wildlife3. Proximity to airport as additional hazard and impact on air circulation of pollutants4. Adverse impact on waste management systems -contradicts recycling policy and associated environmental impact5. Impact on local environment, dust, smell, smoke, noise and light pollution6. Waste management proximity principle-environmental impact of transporting waste from all over south east7. Adverse Visual impairment	
367	Mr David Rowlands	109, Tanbridge Park, Horsham, West sussex, Rh121sf	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>This goes against wscs waste policy.</p> <p>This will increase traffic, polution and quality of life</p>	
368	Mr Allan Stevens	11, The Forge, Windmill Plat, Handcross, Haywards Heath, RH17 6BS	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>Our environment does not need this we have enough pollution in our atmosphere</p> <p>Already and our children and grandchildren must grow up in a better environment</p>	
369	Mike Nix LLB (Hons)	87, Hazelhurst Crescent, Horsham, RH12 1XB	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I am writing with great concern in regards to the above planning application. It would appear that to give this approval would vastly degrade the allure of Horsham, a town my family have recently moved to for many reasons but mainly it still has that `rural¿ feel about it. Building, what you have described almost paradoxically as a Renewable Energy facility, will surely add to the pollution in the local area due to the vast amounts of waste that will be burnt and the industrial processes that a plant such as this will undertake, including the increased number of HGVs, which will also add to the pothole situation in bad weather conditions, as we have seen recently.</p> <p>Aesthetically, it will definitely not add anything to Horsham and will more than likely be an eye-saw. You have already had many objections on this issue including from neighbouring councils.</p> <p>There must be many other sights, in less populated areas that can accommodate Britaniacrest¿s plans, so please take this as my objection to the approval of this application .</p>	
370	Mrs Susan Horne	82, Hill Mead, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2PX	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>I objected to this proposal the last time a planning application was made by Brittaniacrest, just a few months ago.</p> <p>An incinerator of this scale will not only be a huge eyesore for miles around Horsham and leave a scar on the landscape, it will more importantly open our children up to highly toxic and carcinogenic pollutants and irreversibly damage their health, as well as ours.</p> <p>No one in their right mind, let alone locally, should be supporting such a proposal. Horsham should not be incinerating waste from the South East!</p> <p>If this incinerator goes ahead, it will unequivocally change our beautiful market town, there will be a mass exodus and slash house prices. Is this what WSCC & HDC want? What are they gaining from this proposal?</p>	
371	Lucinda Brock	-	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>I object to an incinerator being built in and near Horsham by Britaniacrest.</p> <p>Lucinda Brock</p>	
372	Timothy and Jennifer Marsden	Roebuck House, Farm Close, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QT	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>Sirs,</p> <p>We strongly object to the proposed incinerator, as residents of Warnham, for reasons so very obvious we won¿t rehearse them. I would add that we are very disappointed in WSCC that it could even consider this as a viable proposal. This is surely NOT in the interests of its residents.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Timothy and Jennifer Marsden, Roebuck House, Farm Close, Warnham, RH12 3QT</p>	
373	Claire Briscoe	18, Lucas Road, Warnham, RH12 3RG	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>Dear County Planning Office.</p> <p>Please note my strong objection towards the building of the Britaniacrest Incinerator.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Claire Briscoe</p>	
374	Alan and Sue Sheridan	Saykers, Faygate Lane, Rusper, Horsham, RH12 4RF	Concern Raised	16-APR-2018	<p>Dear WSCC County Planning,</p> <p>I live with my family at Saykers Faygate Lane Rusper RH12 4RF</p> <p>We have lived there for 20 years in June.</p> <p>We suffer from noise from the airport and at times a considerable amount of aircraft exhaust fumes. This increases with taxi and take off noise when the wind is blowing west. We can stand in our garden and smell the fumes, this is not a vague odour, this is the same strength as being at the airport.</p> <p>There is no dispersion, we live in the dip below Rusper. There is already a very serious air quality problem in the area surrounding the airport caused, I believe, by increased flights and road use. During the 20 years all of the moss and lichen on our fence has died, the post and rail fence is bare wood.</p> <p>Should the Capel incinerator be granted planning the proposed chimney will clear the exhaust for the very local residents only, the rest of us will suffer from even more pollution.</p> <p>I think we are already suffering enough pollution from the airport.</p> <p>In all honesty, it is almost beyond belief the County Council would even consider siting the incinerator so close to the airport.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p>	

					Alan and Sue Sheridan Saykers Faygate Lane Rusper	
375	Mr & Mrs Gerry and Heather Treacy	Byfleets, Byfleets Lane, Warnham, RH123RB	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>We object to the above Planning Application WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>We live in the village of Warnham, the extent of pollution from this plant is immeasurable; air pollution alone and the consequential danger to health would plague the village for all time if it were to be allowed.</p>	
376	Mr John Browning	55, Friday Street, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QY	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I must object very strongly to the proposed construction of an incinerator in Langhurst Road, Warnham near Horsham. The fumes, which will NOT be pure, will drift over the proposed north Horsham development on the prevailing wind and over Warnham in the opposite direction if the wind is from the east.</p> <p>Further to all the above the fact that there will be a huge increase in heavy vehicles destroying our already overcrowded and crumbling roads and the eyesore of the building and ridiculous chimney.</p> <p>I trust you will reject this proposal.</p> <p>Regards</p>	
377	Mr Dennis Constant	Durfold Place, Mayes Lane, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SG	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>Attention: Planning</p> <p>As a resident of Warnham, Horsham, I strongly object to the proposed incinerator being built</p> <p>The proposals for an incinerator does not meet WSCC waste plan:</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale and throughput of the proposed plant is incompatible with the disposal of local waste and will attract material from outside of the county.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County; (b) The proposal will have a dramatic effect on the character of Horsham and so we believe it does not meet the criteria.</p> <p>Policy W12: High Quality Developments. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they are of high quality and, where appropriate, the scale, form, and design (including landscaping) take into account the need to: (a) integrate with and, where possible, enhance adjoining land-uses; (b) have regard to the local context including: (iii) the topography, landscape, townscape, streetscape and skyline of the surrounding area; (iv) views into and out of the site. This incinerator clearly does not meet this requirement.</p> <p>Visual Impact</p> <p>The chimney of the brickworks is 26.5m high. The proposed incinerator building will be taller than this chimney, at some 35.92m in height.</p> <p>The building will be bigger than Horsham's shopping center, Swan Walk, and taller than the brickwork's chimney, 26.5m.</p> <p>It will be seen from far and wide, including areas of outstanding natural beauty.</p> <p>Light Pollution</p> <p>There will be a significant increase in light pollution.</p> <p>Noise Pollution</p> <p>As the site will be operating 24/7, it will create noise above the ambient noise enjoyed by rural areas of 30-35dB.</p> <p>Air Quality</p> <p>The air quality is declining in the area due to the congestion surrounding our parish. Lack of investment in highways means that we are subjected to cut through traffic on our country lanes every day bring car pollution to our rural doorsteps. WSCC in their recent Connect magazine detailed that 80% of nitrogen dioxide concentration at the roadside is caused by road transport.</p> <p>This proposal would bring lorries on the dangerous A24, congested A264, A29, M23, and so the list goes on, as waste will be imported into Horsham to burn.</p> <p>It is clear that the small particles are not captured by the current levels of air quality and thus are seen to be causing breathing issues, especially in the young and old.</p> <p>Dennis Constant</p>	
378	Simon & Karen Parnell	-	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>As residents of Warnham in the horsham district we strongly object to the proposed incinerator. We can't believe that with all the extra traffic already inflicted on us through housebuilding that we will get even more. Our roads are not suited to all the lorries that are bound to ratrun through our local villages. We have enough pollution from the airport - please no more</p> <p>Simon & Karen Parnell</p>	
379	Mrs Hannah Cresswell	33, Bell Road, Warnham, Horsham, West Sussex, Rh123qj	Object	16-APR-2018	<p>This will be detrimental to my existent asthma condition and may accelerate my child's asthma symptoms. This does not need to be erected so close to so many people, it should be placed in the middle of nowhere, rather than so close to a town and satellite villages.</p>	
380	Mr Peter Raby	18, Willow Road, Horsham	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>Britaniacrest have an incinerator on the site so for them the logical thing to do is to rebuild on the site but make it bigger. The council will probably wAve it though. Wrong.</p> <p>Apart from the fact that adults and children live in the vicinity and their health could be affected, no small thing, there is also the fact that rubbish will be brought into the area on more lorries causing traffic problems.</p> <p>The existing traffic to and from the site is bad enough, you only have to travel on the A264 and have a lorry swing out from the slip road forcing you to either brake or swing out into the outside lane, an accident waiting to happen.</p>	

					<p>The proposed North Horsham plan proposes that houses be built near the incinerator but who would want to buy a house there ?</p> <p>If Britaniacrest wishes to build another incinerator why can't they build in another area, Surrey maybe, or do they know that permission will defiantly refused?</p> <p>It's a bad plan and must be refused.</p>	
381	Mrs Jo Ryan	3, Collingwood Road, Horsham, RH122qn	Object	17-APR-2018	I strongly object to this. Burning rubbish is not the solution. Please do not agree to add more pollutants to our environment.	
382	Jean and Leslie Howes	27, Stanford Orchard, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3RF	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>Please note that both my wife and I do strongly object to this planned construction of a 24 hour- burning incinerator at Warnham.</p> <p>There will be extra traffic using the narrow roads and the chimney is far too high for this rural district. Easterly winds will disseminate any polluted air over all our properties. Britaniacrest should be instructed to build elsewhere..There are plenty of isolated area within the local boroughs.</p>	
383	Ann Whitton	-	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>I am writing to object most strongly to the proposed incinerator for Horsham.My two major reasons are (a) the height of the whole structure together with a chimney which will be an eyesore for miles around,and totally out of keeping with the rural area .(b) Not only will the HGVs be polluting our air with CO2 and Nox emissions 24 hours a day but they will also be driving on the already congested narrow roads in the area and further adding to the wear and tear.</p> <p>Ann Whitton</p> <p>Reference. WSCC/015/18/NH</p>	
384	Sonia Cox	22, Speedwell Way, Horsham, RH12 5WA	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>Reference WSCC/015/18/MY</p> <p>I am writing to raise my objection to the proposed Horsham Incinerator under the above reference.</p> <p>As the tax payer helped fund the MBT facility, Horsham have done their bit.</p> <p>There will be heavy toxic and noise pollution caused by HGV transportation.</p> <p>Incineration will also increase Co2 levels, damaging both our health and the environment.</p> <p>Increased incineration correlates with decreasing recycling.</p> <p>The existing chimney you see when driving on the A24 or A264 is 28m tall. The proposed incinerator building will be 36m tall with a 95m chimney. This will be permanently lit so that aircraft do not hit it.</p> <p>The World Health Organisation advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and yet this facility will be adjacent to the North Horsham Development with the plume heading over nearby conurbations.</p> <p>Sonia Cox</p>	
385	Ian Cox	22, Speedwell Way, Horsham, RH12 5WA	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>Reference WSCC/015/18/MY</p> <p>I am writing to raise my objection to the proposed Horsham Incinerator under the above reference.</p> <p>As the tax payer helped fund the MBT facility, Horsham have done their bit.</p> <p>There will be heavy toxic and noise pollution caused by HGV transportation.</p> <p>Incineration will also increase Co2 levels, damaging both our health and the environment.</p> <p>Increased incineration correlates with decreasing recycling.</p> <p>The existing chimney you see when driving on the A24 or A264 is 28m tall. The proposed incinerator building will be 36m tall with a 95m chimney. This will be permanently lit so that aircraft do not hit it.</p> <p>The World Health Organisation advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and yet this facility will be adjacent to the North Horsham Development with the plume heading over nearby conurbations.</p> <p>Ian Cox</p>	
386	James Weller	11, Rowan Way, Horsham, RH12 4NS	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>To Whom it may Concern,</p> <p>I wish to express my objection in the strongest possible terms to this proposed construction of an incinerator in the North Horsham area.</p> <p>As per the previously rejected application, I feel that this incinerator is not necessary for the area for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- other ways of waste management are available, and new cleaner technologies are being developed all the time and indeed the Government is starting to take notice of these- the resultant environmental pollution near residential areas is not acceptable, especially given the number of children living in the greater Horsham area- the taxpayer has already assisted funding a waste treatment facility in North Horsham (BIFFA Mechanical Biological Treatment Facility)- if nothing else, it will be a permanent eyesore and blight on our natural environment and views around Horsham <p>I urge you to reject this application.</p>	
387	Mrs Annamarie Stepney	103, Trafalgar Road, Horsham, RH12 2QL	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>I oppose this application the following grounds:</p> <p>1-RECYCLING Vs INCINERATION: There is a huge demand for the reduction in use of plastics. The Government are backing such initiatives. An incinerator runs 24/7 365 days a year and requires huge amounts of high calorific material - one of the biggest being plastics. With the current drive to reduce plastic use, what exactly will happen to this Incinerator as plastic use dwindles in time? Inevitably recycling rates and the impetus for WSCC to encourage them will fall if this goes ahead. This will run at huge cost to the environment with increased levels of pollution, and to the detriment of local people's health. Furthermore, Britanicrest argues that the Incinerator is a better alternative to landfill. It's been proven time and time again that incinerators do NOT replace the need for landfill. Incineration reduces recycling (e.g. Case points being S.Wales and Derby Incinerators whereby local recycling collections have been stopped so they can use the high calorific items from waste to keep the incinerators burning at full efficiency! https://insidecroydon.com/2016/09/28/viridor-incinerator-doomed-to-be-our-splott-on-the-landscape/), produces waste (including toxic waste!), and that waste creates more pollution as it is then transported away to landfill.</p> <p>2-HEALTH ISSUES: There are no 'safe' levels of pollution. There are no 'safe' Incinerators. What is ?safe? as defined by Incinerator companies refers to ?safe? limits of poisons and pollutants that the current legislation allows. So the measure of ?safe? comes down to the levels that are technically achievable with current technology. The WHO (World Health Organisation) accepts that dioxins cause cancer. The current ?safe? level for dioxin emissions is based on what limited studies have established to be a level at which there is no obvious and immediate harm to a fully grown adult. Children and fetuses, however, were discounted in all</p>	

					<p>the government backed research despite being the most vulnerable groups and those most susceptible to toxins and poisons. As a mother of a young child this is of great concern to me. Health Protection Scotland, a division of the Scottish NHS, published a report 'Incineration of Waste and Reported Human Health Effects' in 2009 which reviewed the evidence available on the human health risks associated with incinerators. The report acknowledges that 'the lack of conclusive or consistent evidence does not preclude there being some adverse effect' in other words, the absence of evidence does not equate to evidence of absence. It is a fact that the Incinerator will emit PMs (particulate matter). Public Health England state, 'There is no evidence for a threshold below which effects would not be expected. This means that current levels of particulate air pollution in the UK...have a significant impact on life expectancy of the population'. As the mother of a young child, I am extremely concerned about the potential impact of the PMs to her health. It is well researched and documented that people who live near to Incinerators experience poorer health and quality of life.</p> <p>3-WEST SUSSEX WASTE LOCAL PLAN, LOCATION ISSUES & SUSTAINABILITY: This application contradicts the objectives of the West Sussex Waste Local Plan (April 2014) in relation to various strategic objectives, and also in relation to specific policies too.</p>	
388	Mr John Whitton	15, Stanford Orchard, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3RF	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>For a large number of reasons the proposed development is totally unsuitable for the area.</p> <p>The appearance and size of the proposed new building is entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. It is out of scale with the present neighbourhood, even to the extent of dwarfing the existing defunct industrial building. Attempts by the architect (as seen on his or her computer aided drawings) to ameliorate the impact and justify the appearance do not alter the fact that the proposed building is not in character with its surroundings.</p> <p>This development will not just affect adjoining residents but be a huge blot on the landscape seen from far and wide. The bulk will be gargantuan.</p> <p>Inevitably there will be great disturbance from increased traffic to and from the site and the congestion on the already overcrowded roads will be exacerbated.</p> <p>The effect on the landscape is my greatest concern. If it is allowed to proceed it will be irreversible and a permanent blot on this beautiful Wealden landscape, which will be regretted for evermore.</p>	
389	Mrs Joanna Byford	11, Milnwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2BU	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>"an environmental horror story" - CPRE Sussex Director, Kia Trainor.</p> <p>I strongly object to the planning proposal to build an incinerator near Horsham. Britaniacrest propose to burn toxic materials which will emit gases, heavy metals and poisons into the atmosphere near to where my family lives and works. Other densely populated areas - Crawley and Horley - as well as drinking water reservoirs in Ardingly, Wierwood and Bewl Water will be affected too. These pollutants will impact farmland, livestock and our natural environment.</p> <p>Please do not let this happen. What other options are there for the disposal of toxic materials? Why is an incinerator it being considered for this location? At a time when the detrimental effects of plastic waste is hitting the headlines and responsibility is being - quite rightly - levelled at individuals as well as big corporations - how can such a dangerous, toxic incinerator be contemplated or considered suitable for our district?</p> <p>Please consider the health of the current and future residents of Sussex and Surrey. We should be protecting our counties at all costs - NOT being persuaded to sell our countryside and health off to Britaniarest or similar companies.</p>	
390	Mrs Lucy Hammond	72, Billingshurst Road, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3LP	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to the planned incinerator for several reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">1 - Environmental concerns2 - Potential health concerns3 - Impact on the landscape4 - Increased traffic5 - Potential lack of awareness of HDC of local feeling and substantial risk of amendments to these plans (living in Broadbridge Heath, I am all too aware of the high risk of these factors).	
391	Mrs Carolyn Lee	April Cottage, Bell Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QL	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to the above application for the following reasons:-</p> <p>Incineration increases Co2 levels therefore damaging the health and environment in areas surrounding. There will be an increase in HGV's on our roads again polluting the air with Co2 and Nox emissions. In an age where the government are supposed to be doing all they can to clean the air, to allow this application to go ahead makes a complete mockery of it.</p> <p>It must not be allowed to go ahead under any circumstances.</p>	
392	Mr Mark Lee	April Cottage, Bell Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QL	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to the above application for the following reasons:-</p> <p>Incineration increases Co2 levels therefore damaging the health and environment in areas surrounding. There will be an increase in HGV's on our roads again polluting the air with Co2 and Nox emissions. In an age where the government are supposed to be doing all they can to clean the air, to allow this application to go ahead makes a complete mockery of it.</p> <p>It must not be allowed to go ahead under any circumstances.</p>	
393	Miss Remy Lee	April Cottage, Bell Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QL	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to the above application for the following reasons:-</p> <p>Incineration increases Co2 levels therefore damaging the health and environment in areas surrounding. There will be an increase in HGV's on our roads again polluting the air with Co2 and Nox emissions. In an age where the government are supposed to be doing all they can to clean the air, to allow this application to go ahead makes a complete mockery of it.</p> <p>It must not be allowed to go ahead under any circumstances.</p>	
394	Mr James Munden	103, Corsletts Avenue, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3NY	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>I raised previous concerns on the previous application. The effect on local health and children from burning rubbish is unacceptable for this area.</p> <p>An increase in lorry loads will add to congestion on already busy roads together with future housing developments will become a major issue. The impact off a small road closure on the A264 when accidents occur caused Horsham to be at a standstill if you add lorry loads this will be a MAJOR issue.</p> <p>Thanks for consideration. James Munden</p>	
395	Mrs Jayne Crate	14, Chennells Way, Horsham, RH12 5TW	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>This proposal will cause damage to the road infrastructure though increased use. It is unclear where recycling will be coming from to support the business plus possible pollution risk. The building wll not be in keeping with the rural nature of the West sussex countryside and will cause light pollution in a rural area.</p>	
396	Mr Andrew Harvey	13, Edwards Close, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3PL	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>Horsham is a rapidly growing town with many of the new developments located near to the proposed development appealing to young families in particular. The long term health impact particularly on children is impossible to determine even with the best current expertise. There is no demand or need other than commercial gain to have this site so close to a town like horsham.</p> <p>As well as the potential impact on local residents' health there will also be a significant risk to the environment. Areas such as Warnham wildlife reserve will likely be impacted by any pollution and the noise and sit created by the additional traffic the site will bring could be significant. The additional HGV traffic will also take a toll on the town's already pot holed roads and will inflict additional costs on local residents through taxation and potential damage to vehicles.</p> <p>the impact on local rivers particularly during times of heavy rain must also be considered- a large site like this will likely lead to some contamination of ground water no matter how careful the organisation is.</p> <p>In summary the direct health risks are sufficient to object to this application alone however there are also many other implications (a few mentioned here- I'm sure others will raise more) that need to be considered before the council approves any such proposal. The council should be approving projects which bring real benefits to the local community- this project besides a small number of jobs is unlikely to bring anything for any of us to be proud of in the future.</p>	
397	Miss Anne-Marie Waby	4, Gateford Drive, Horsham, RH12 5FW	Object	17-APR-2018	-	
398	Mrs Elisabeth Hughson	13, Heron Way, Horsham, rh12 6dp	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>The haulage company, Britaniacrest wants to build an incinerator to burn toxic materials at Wealden Brickworks near Horsham. The process will emit greenhouse gases, acidic gases, heavy metals and poisons into the atmosphere just west of the Sussex High Weald AONB. The site is also upwind of one of the County's most densely populated areas (Crawley and Horley), and of a number of important drinking water reservoirs (Ardingly, Wierwood and Bewl Water).</p>	

					<p>CPRE Sussex’s Dr Roger Smith is warning that the list of substances involved reads like ‘an environmental horror story.’ They include heavy metals such as arsenic and mercury which are cumulative and could cause irreversible damage to the environment and human health when they come to ground.</p> <p>‘The cumulative impact of dioxins and of any other persistent pollutants emitted by the facility, after coming to earth, seems not to have been assessed,’ he says.</p> <p>Mapping, showing where pollutants emitted by the proposed facility would come to earth and the extent of resulting ground fall/downwind-hazard areas ought to have been provided for public scrutiny, as part of the consultation. However, the data submitted with this application was obtained at Charlwood, eight miles to the north, and not from the site of the proposed facility.</p> <p>CPRE Sussex is now demanding a full investigation into how and where the pollutants emitted by the facility, individually, collectively, and cumulatively over time, could or would impact on farmland and livestock and the natural environment, including habitats, biodiversity and ecology.</p> <p>‘We take the air we breathe and the water we drink for granted,’ adds Dr Smith, ‘but this proposal puts both at risk.’</p> <p>Britaniacrest’s plans also include a vast 95m chimney which, say campaigners would have a far reaching visual impact, blighting the countryside for miles around.</p> <p>‘By the company’s own admission the incinerator will be seen for miles around,’ says CPRE Sussex Director, Kia Trainor. ‘This would blight the natural beauty of vast areas of rural landscape within Sussex and Surrey.’</p> <p>‘CPRE Sussex believes that to permit such a monstrous industrial incinerator in the heart of Sussex countryside would inflict far reaching environmental damage and should be refused on every level.’</p> <p>As a long-term resident of Horsham, I totally agree. An incinerator of this size and environmental impact must be easy money for HDC - but the cost to health, the environment and the local impact FAR outweighs this.</p>	
399	Mrs Jemma Wilson	Fairdene, Fairdene, Bell road, Warnham, Rh12 3qj	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to the building of this incinerator. The fact that it will be working 24 hours a day. We moved to a village to get out into the country side my child’s physical health will be badly effected not to mention the meany elderly residents of the village. Ask yourself, would you want this where you live affecting the health of YOUR child and loved ones? I’m guessing the answer is no</p> <p>We live on the outskirts of an area of outstanding beauty and want to keep or area as beautiful as it is now This incinerator would be a grotesque monstrosity DO NOT allow this to go ahead</p>	
400	Mrs Jemma Wilson	Fairdene, Fairdene, Bell road, Warnham, Rh12 3qj	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to the building of this incinerator. The fact that it will be working 24 hours a day. We moved to a village to get out into the country side my child’s physical health will be badly effected not to mention the meany elderly residents of the village. Ask yourself, would you want this where you live affecting the health of YOUR child and loved ones? I’m guessing the answer is no</p> <p>We live on the outskirts of an area of outstanding beauty and want to keep or area as beautiful as it is now This incinerator would be a grotesque monstrosity DO NOT allow this to go ahead</p>	
401	Sara Ingleson	-	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>We don’t want this INCINERATOR in HORSHAM. Please please don’t let this happen</p>	
402	Mrs Joan Roberts	16, Firs Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 1GD	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>Dear Planning Department</p> <p>As a resident of the Horsham area I would like to strongly object to the proposed incinerator being built :</p> <p>Planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>The proposals for an incinerator does not meet WSCC waste plan:</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale and throughput of the proposed plant is incompatible with the disposal of local waste and will attract material from outside of the county.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County’</p> <p>The proposal will have a dramatic effect on the character of Horsham and so I believe it does not meet the criteria.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	
403	Mia Kazi-Fornari	-	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>Dear Planning Department</p> <p>As a resident of the Horsham area I would like to strongly object to the proposed incinerator being built :</p> <p>Planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>The proposals for an incinerator do not comply with WSCC waste plan:</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale and throughput of the proposed plant is incompatible with the disposal of local waste and will attract material from outside of the county.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County’</p> <p>The proposal will have a dramatic effect on the character of Horsham and so I believe it does not meet the criteria.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	
404	Dawn Pope	3, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir or Madam,</p> <p>Planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>I wish to strongly object against this planning application for an incinerator.</p> <p>Visual Impact</p> <p>The chimney of the brickworks is 26.5m high. The proposed incinerator building will be taller than this chimney some 35.92m in height which is taller than the existing chimney which is already visible above the treeline. Therefore, just the new proposed building itself will tower over the treeline.</p> <p>The building will be bigger than Horsham’s shopping centre.</p> <p>The new proposed chimney will be 95m tall - it will be seen from far and wide, including areas of outstanding natural beauty. By the proposers own submission it will be seen as far as Box Hill.</p> <p>Light Pollution</p> <p>For the CAA to demand middle and top of the stack is lit at night is due to flight paths. The CAA would not be demanding such comprehensive lighting if the routes did not go over the proposed site.</p>	

					<p>The stack will be lit like a Christmas tree producing significant increase in light pollution from the plant and the skyline.</p> <p>Noise Pollution As the site will be operating 24/7 it will create noise above the ambient noise enjoyed by rural areas of 30-35dB. This ambient noise levels decrease at night.</p> <p>There is no offer of compensation for noise and light pollution to the surrounding communities.</p> <p>There is no compensation being offered to those whose home will be devalued by the building of an industrial incinerator of this magnitude adjacent to their homes.</p> <p>Air Quality The air quality is declining in the area due to the congestion surrounding our parish. Lack of investment in highways means that we are subjected to cut through traffic on our country lanes everyday bringing car pollution to our rural doorsteps. WSCC in their recent Connect magazine detailed that vehicles, 80% of nitrogen dioxide concentration at the roadside is caused by road transport.</p> <p>This proposal would bring lorries on the dangerous A24, congested A264, A29, M23, and as waste will be imported into Horsham to burn.</p> <p>Recycling WSCC have shown a 2% increase in recycling and so to burn would captivate the council into long term contracts to keep a hungry incinerator burning. It is inevitable that recycling will drop, as is the case in London. The Biffa bio-mechanical digester that taxpayers paid for to deal with household waste will virtually become redundant as the proposer has stated that they intend to burn black sack waste as well as industrial. Burning waste is short sighted and damaging to the long-term prosperity to the planet on demands for resources.</p> <p>Not Needed It would seem that West Sussex already has given planning permission for an incinerator at Ford in 2014. With an incinerator already permitted to be built on the coast it is highly questionable why an incinerator is needed on the edge of the county. NB Gatwick already has an incinerator, which burns waste from Manor Royal Business Park.</p> <p>UK Win are behind the political cross party Early Day Motion (581)* to place a moratorium on new incinerators because there is not enough waste to feed the incinerators currently in use and being built in the UK, but this legislation will come way too late for West Sussex. Research increasingly shows that incineration decreases the rate of recycling and with the amount of plastic in production set to decrease dramatically in the next few years, what will this Horsham incinerator burn? *http://www.parliament.uk/edm/2017-19/581</p>	
405	Mrs J. Aldrich	1, Graylands Farm Cottages, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, RH12 4QD	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to an incinerator being built on the edge of Horsham.</p> <p>Britaniacrest has no restriction on their planning permission to allow domestic waste at their site. So while we are sorting our waste at home, washing plastic waste and bottles etc to do our bit, they will be taking other peoples domestic waste from outside the area and burning it. This is not acceptable. There will be more HGV's on the local roads which cause more noise and emissions. BC is not asking for anymore lorries now but if they need to have more in the future they will just apply for planning to increase them and get it, as they increased their tonnage before. We already suffer with the continual increase in lorries on Langhurstwood road. The mud, dust and litter they cause has got so bad over the last few years, this will only get worse. The litter from these lorries on the A264 is terrible.</p> <p>The increase in noise at night will not be acceptable, we already hear the droning from the MBT plant at night with the windows open, no-one has come to my home to listen to the noise we already have to put up with. We do not want to look at a lit up chimney at night either.</p> <p>With the new development in North Horsham starting soon, an incinerator is too close to even more homes and schools. There will be green spaces and play areas just metres away from this site. The health implications are not clear. How can you risk any one becoming ill from this?</p> <p>Horsham should not be taking commercial and domestic waste from miles away, we have the MBT plant, that is enough.</p> <p>Please listen to the residents of Horsham and the surrounding villages, we don't want this. This does not give any benefits to the environment or the residents of Horsham and the surrounding villages.</p>	
406	Mr Glynn Hughes	9, Winterbourne, Horsham, RH12 5JW	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>This planning application has been rejected before so it is unbelievable britanniacrest have reapplied. It is fact that despite so called guarantees of air quality of emissions the facility will emit toxic gasses and particles. This facility is located close to thousands of houses, schools and businesses and therefore should not be allowed. In addition more development is planned which will make the proposal more unviable. There are numerous cases of incinerators which have failed and have had to be closed down because of toxic emissions and proximity to housing. Furthermore my house will lose value and I will sue Britannicrest and HDC for the loss in value if the proposal is allowed to go ahead. I therefore STRONGLY OBJECT to this proposal.</p>	
407	Philippa Kate Weaver	2, Standon Cottages, Standon Lane, Ockley, Dorking, RH5 5QR	Object	17-APR-2018	<p>For the attention of the planning office (west sussex)</p> <p>I understand that the plans for an incinerator have been resubmitted (ref WSCC/015/18/NH).</p> <p>I object to these plans for environmental reasons (including air quality, decreased recycling, lighting in a rural area, increased traffic in a rural area) and would hope that the planning office rejects them.</p>	
408	Mrs Louise Holt	Temple Wood, Temple Lane, Capel, Dorking, RH5 5HJ	Concern Raised	18-APR-2018	<p>Concerned about a massive industrial scale incinerator that will severely impact Warnham, Horsham and the wider area, visually, environmentally and economically - increasing noise, traffic and emissions.</p> <p>Traffic generation/access/highway safety; the Warnham area and the A24 are dangerous already, with out additional industrial vehicles. It is an all too familiar sight to see the remnants of crashed vehicles in the vicinity. It is not uncommon for the A24 from Dorking to Horsham to be shut for serious road traffic accidents. Additional industrial traffic will increase the risk of collisions.</p> <p>Emissions will include dust/odour/fumes; the site is only a mile away from Wanham Primary and along the direction of prevailing wind to Rusper and it's primary school. The young are particularly at risk from harm by airborne pollutants.</p> <p>Effect on landscape or character of area; the site will be very visible on the approach to Horsham from the A24. It will significantly blight the landscape. It will change the character of the outskirts of the town, which would harm other local beneficial economic development.</p>	
409	Mr John Terry	10, Thatchers Close, Horsham, RH12 5TL	Object	18-APR-2018	<p>Horsham has a Biological Treatment plant and to have an incinerator to dispose of waste from others is not beneficial/fair to residents. This plant will pollute the environment and cause transport problems. A massive plant/chimney is detrimental to the green belt and Horsham environment.</p>	
410	Nicola Curtis	-	Object	18-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir and Madam</p> <p>I feel there is enough pressure on the Horsham area development plans to consider including a large incinerator within a close proximity to the resident population. The fumes will be brought down into the surrounding area by the wind and weather conditions.</p> <p>When the weather is cold and the wind is coming from the north east we still experience very unpleasant smells of rotting refuse from the tip at Warnham brickworks. Biffa have been landscaping the huge mound of refuse for many years and efforts have been made to stop the smells coming up the valley to Warnham but it still continues.</p> <p>Also I would like the planners to consider the fact that, with the possibility of increased air traffic over our area, that the aircraft will be flying through the gases from the chimney. Who knows at this stage what pollution and chemical changes this will cause.</p>	

					<p>Our ever increasing community do not need a further polluting industry in the area.</p> <p>Yours faithfully Nicola Curtis</p>	
411	Jonathan B Smith	-	Object	18-APR-2018	<p>I would like to register an objection to the Horsham Incinerator planning application (as above).</p> <p>This will bring unnecessary large scale industrial development into the Horsham area degrading the local natural environment and particularly increasing road traffic considerably with large waste carrying vehicles. Once established it is only likely to increase this traffic putting pressure on already congested local roads not designed (or likely to be upgraded) to handle this traffic.</p> <p>Regards, Jonathan B Smith</p>	
412	Mrs Diane Brassington	-	Object	18-APR-2018	<p>I would like to object to the proposed incinerator for north Horsham for the following reasons</p> <p>1 -the site is to small for the development proposed. The building will dominate the skyline 2 This works against our recycling plans, and I thought the Mechanical & Biological waste treatment facility was an alternative and much more environmentally friendly. 3 The incinerator would require more rubbish than Horsham district would provide, therefore Lorries will be adding to the pollution levels in the area bringing rubbish in to keep the incinerator going 24 hours a day. 4 Can Horsham Council guarantee that an incinerator is completely safe and absolutely no pollution would be added to the area, I have not read that they are considered safe, and certainly there would be added pollution from Lorries. 5 In view of the north Horsham development, why would anyone propose an incinerator so close to the proposed new schools etc.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing your decision to reject this proposal</p> <p>Diane brassington</p>	
413	Paul, Tracey, Ryan, Joe Johnson	59, Woodfield Road, Rudgwick, RH12 3EP	Object	18-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>We strongly oppose the revised proposal for the Warnham incinerator you are playing roulette with the health/lives of those in the area !</p> <p>Paul Johnson Tracey Johnson Ryan Johnson Joe Johnson</p> <p>(all 18+ & residing at 59 Woodfield Road, Rudgwick, RH12 3EP)</p>	
414	Mr Sean Rogers	70, Ellis Road, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3GR	Object	18-APR-2018	<p>I object to these plans on the following grounds.</p> <p>The site is too small for the development proposed.</p> <p>¿ The building design, size and location will create a view of intense industrialisation overshadowing and causing long-term damage to the character of Horsham and Warnham and the local environment. Harmonisation has not been achieved.</p> <p>¿ The waste source extends significantly beyond West Sussex¿s waste needs and so is contrary to the West Sussex Waste plan.</p> <p>¿ West Sussex¿s Waste plan aims to protect, and where possible, enhance the health and amenity of residents, businesses and visitors. This cannot be guaranteed if the proposal goes ahead with resultant and cumulative pollution, land contamination, and poor air quality.</p> <p>¿ Potential impacts of incinerator traffic, sought in advance under planning applications WSCC/018/14/NH and WSCC/021/15/NH. Sustainable methods of transport are not being used</p> <p>¿ Cumulative effects of waste processing on the local area and how this is at odds with the need to expand the residential footprint in very close proximity</p> <p>¿ Loss of amenity for residents, including: noise, odour, traffic, light pollution</p> <p>¿ Inadequate public consultation of Horsham District residents, including input into the design and sharing of the Environmental Statement</p> <p>¿ Limited benefit of the energy developed as a by-product of the incineration process</p> <p>¿ Increased risk of fire and resultant health</p>	
415	Mrs Janice Oaten-Wareham	31, East Street, Littlehampton, West Sussex, Bn17 6au	Object	18-APR-2018	<p>This must be stopped. This is being planned adjacent to an area of outstanding beauty. What will happen to all of us, wildlife and growth of anything at all, if you allow this monstrous thing to happen? Illness, death? Please refuse this planning application.</p>	
416	Mrs Tala Levin	6 The Meadows, 6, The Meadows, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 9AB	Object	18-APR-2018	<p>It is cruel to expose our children to this level of pollution, I am very much against these plans and would like to ask you to please reject this plan for following reasons:</p> <p>- Increased pollution across Horsham putting lives of ourselves and our children at risk - Doesn't help to meet counties recycling targets - Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney - Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7 - Increased damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair - The incinerator hinders WSCC/HDC's plans, it doesn't help them.</p>	
417	Tito Sacchi	-	Object	18-APR-2018	<p>Re: Objection to WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I would like to record my strong objection to the proposed plan for an incinerator for the following reasons:</p> <p>1. Environmental. The plant will cause excessive air pollution. Levels have already been on the increase due to the close Gatwick airport and regular traffic increase along the Horsham bypass and A24.</p> <p>2. Health. The pollution will certainly be detrimental to resident¿s health and quality of life in the area, with a negative effects on asthma, allergies, etc.</p> <p>3.Traffic. An increased number of hg vehicles will travel to and from the site, with effect on noise levels, damage to country lanes and lead to potential accidents with other vehicles.</p> <p>4. Noise. Noise from new hgv traffic as well as the waste processing itself will have an impact on the quality of life and the population enjoying the countryside in the area.</p> <p>5. Scale and location. The size of the plant is not in keeping with other smaller rural operations and facilities in the area. It has the scale of an industrial plant so it should therefore belong to a more industrial area of the region.</p> <p>I would be grateful to receive assurances that our concerns are being taken into account. Please kept us up to date on the status of</p>	

					<p>this application.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>Tito Sacchi</p>	
418	Rob Tully	-	Object	18-APR-2018	<p>Hi</p> <p>I wish to voice my objection for the incinerator the has had a planning request</p>	
419	Bernie Butler	24, Barttelot Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 1DQ	Object	18-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern</p> <p>I would like you to note my objection to the above being built in my town. I hope that your make your final decision about agreeing to this application that it reflects the voice of the people of Horsham and the surrounding areas.</p> <p>Best Wishes,</p>	
420	Mr Jonathan Light	Greystone Quarry, Rancombe Lane, Southerham, Lewes, BN8 6JN	Support	19-APR-2018	<p>Please accept the following email in support of the need for the development proposed at Wealden Brickworks Site, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex.</p> <p>As correctly identified in the non-technical summary: ĳit has been the objective of the UK and Europe for many years to reduce the quantity of waste being sent to landfill. This can only be achieved if there is infrastructure available to allow the waste to be recycled or recoveredĳ.</p> <p>There is a need for further landfill alternatives for waste arising in the south east of the UK and more specifically a requirement for new facilities to allow commercial and industrial waste to be treated to a high standard. The proposed facility provides a further quantifiable benefit through the generation of electricity for consumption in the UK. This is a widely welcomed and efficient practice for waste management; the Defra website states: ĳ[we] support efficient energy recovery from wasteĳ.</p> <p>At the present time there is additional need for UK based facilities as historic waste export markets are closing. Furthermore, there is a degree of political uncertainty attached to the sustainability of EU export routes for the treatment of UK waste.</p> <p>For the above reasons facilities such as the 3Rs proposal by Britaniacrest Ltd are badly needed for the treatment of commercial and industrial waste.</p>	
421	Cris O'Connor	-	Object	19-APR-2018	I object to the plan for the Britaniacrest incinerator in Horsham	
422	Mr Martin Oswell-Penton	Ferncroft, Nuthurst Road, Maplehurst, Horsham, RH13 6RE	Object	19-APR-2018	It would be dangerous to have any fumes or pollutants in the air as it will cause damage to the country side, our well being.	
423	Chris Garner	-	Object	20-APR-2018	<p>I would like to object to this planning proposal of the incinerator in Warnham due to the fact that, it will increase heavy lorry movements, and a lot more rubbish being blown out from the top of these lorries when in transit and depositing it on the roadside thus spoiling our environment.</p> <p>Also, the big building, including the chimney will be an eyesore on our lovely countryside</p>	
424	Mrs Ellen Whitefield	57, Deer Way, Horsham, RH12 1PX	Object	20-APR-2018	-	
425	Mr John Webb	Wordsworth, Place	Object	20-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I wish to register my objection to the proposed building of a waste incinerator in North Horsham (WSCC/015/18/NH) on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * The inevitable increased levels of pollution which will be emitted and drift over built up areas. *. Increased traffic caused by HGVs delivering waste to the plant possibly 24hrs a day. The roads around Horsham are already overcrowded due to the large number of houses being built, add in large HGVs and the situation is much worse. * the size of the proposed buildings and chimney will be an eyesore which will be seen for miles around * with the drive to increase recycling, the need for a large incinerator is reduced *. Horsham already has Biological Treatment facility. This area has done its fair share to deal with waste management <p>For reference my name is John Webb and I live in Wordsworth Place which is about 2 miles from the proposed incinerator.</p> <p>John Webb</p>	
426	Mr & Mrs Hizli	12, Cheesmer Way, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham	Object	20-APR-2018	We object to the incinerator in Horsham.	
427	Mr David Cornwell	Polhawn, 25, Fay Road, Horsham, RH12 2LG	Object	20-APR-2018	<p>I would like to register my objections to the proposed incinerator for Horsham.</p> <p>I recently moved from Horley in Surrey to get away from the Gatwick Airport pollution, I felt that Horsham offered a nicer cleaner environment in which to live.</p> <p>We are now faced with more pollution from this site.</p> <p>We are being asked to recycle more of our waste, (which we are doing) now you a being asked to allow MORE burning of waste, not only from Horsham but from outside the area!</p> <p>What is the point of recycling more of our waste if you are only going to transport, on our ever busier roads, and burn more waste from outside Horsham?</p> <p>In conclusion I strongly object to this proposal in what should remain a country area.</p> <p>Yours sincerely D F CORNWELL</p>	
428	Mr David Cornwell	Polhawn, 25, Fay Road, Horsham, RH12 2LG	Object	20-APR-2018	<p>I would like to register my objections to the proposed incinerator for Horsham.</p> <p>I recently moved from Horley in Surrey to get away from the Gatwick Airport pollution, I felt that Horsham offered a nicer cleaner environment in which to live.</p> <p>We are now faced with more pollution from this site.</p> <p>We are being asked to recycle more of our waste, (which we are doing) now you a being asked to allow MORE burning of waste, not only from Horsham but from outside the area!</p> <p>What is the point of recycling more of our waste if you are only going to transport, on our ever busier roads, and burn more waste from outside Horsham?</p> <p>In conclusion I strongly object to this proposal in what should remain a country area.</p>	

					Yours sincerely D F CORNWELL	
429	Mrs Kerry Botes	Furzeffeld House, Flat 5, Fairbank road, Southwater, Rh13 9le	Object	20-APR-2018	This is unnecessary and will cause pollution and health problems for residents	
430	Mrs Kimberley Carter	11, Acres Gardens, Tadworth, KT20 5LP	Object	20-APR-2018	I strongly object to this planning permission. It will cause untold pollutants and high levels of traffic in the area and will run down a beautiful town like Horsham and out many off visiting.	
431	Mrs Marie Harding	2, Wordsworth Place, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5PR	Object	20-APR-2018	-	
432	Mr Robert Harding	2, Wordsworth Place, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5PR	Object	20-APR-2018	-	
433	Mrs Jo Weide	101, Pondtail road, Horsham, West Sussex, Rh12 5ht	Object	20-APR-2018	I object to the proposed application as I feel it will have a awful effect on the area that I live in both in a environmental way and also effect the value of my property.	
434	Mrs Leonie Edwards	19, Millais, Horsham, RH13 6BS	Object	20-APR-2018	-	
435	Dr Matthew Edwards	19, Millais, Horsham, RH13 6BS	Object	20-APR-2018	I am writing as I have significant concerns regarding the planning application for the Horsham incinerator. Even though efforts have been described to minimise levels of CO2, NO2 and particulates, it is clear the activity of the incinerator will result in elevations in the surrounding area. This could also under certain environmental conditions mix with pollutants from the greater London area and elevate levels higher than has been suggested by the proposal. My concerns are based on relatively recent data suggesting the role for particulates and NO2 in driving airway sensitisation to allergens and increasing the potential for children in our area to become asthmatic. With these new findings reported safe level of these gases must be considered with some doubt. Therefore I think we should try to minimise any increases of these substances into our local environment and hence I would like to strongly object to this proposal.	
436	Mrs Ruth Garner	4, Ramsey Close, Horsham, Rh12 5af	Object	20-APR-2018	Main objection is not fulfilling WSCC plans for waste as Britannia intend to import their waste from anywhere necessary to make it commercially viable, NOT just West Sussex. There will be extra costs for West Sussex residents, both in terms of financial impacts such as road infrastructure required and in terms of health as nobody really knows the effect of the stuff coming out of the chimney (and from the exhausts of all the lorries moving through residential areas). There is no need for any new incinerators in Western Europe as the existing ones need to run at capacity and there is not enough material to supply any more.	
437	Mrs Aleda Kay	41, Timms Close, Horsham, RH12 4TN	Object	20-APR-2018	Regarding planning application: WSCC/015/18/NH I wish to strongly object to this and hope the council is strong enough to turn this down. It is not in the local or national interest. There are thought to be too many incinerator plants for waste already so this could be a horrible white elephant. The chimney is too tall and will blight the beautiful countryside. It is inappropriate for it to be located right by a whole new community of North Horsham, this is against WHO guidelines. It will destroy any community benefits from that development. Its ill advised to commit to something that needs to be fed 24 hours a day and can't be turned off. It encourages the supply of waste that could be avoided with effective policy. It will create air pollution which will cause health problems that will cause a burden on the health service which will cost the taxpayer. Easier to tax rubbish at source to reduce usage. Govt need to tax the manufacturers on their packaging (to take a full cost of any disposal into account) to encourage change and a reduction in waste to insist manufacturers turn to more viable products . Technology in this area is moving fast and supermarkets are under huge pressure now to address the unnecessary packaging. We do not want to see waste being burned when there are better alternatives. There is a cultural shift at the national level so this proposal is ill advised at this time. At the national level we are seeing bans on plastic straws and return schemes for plastic bottles by putting a value on rubbish we are reducing waste. Blue planet has inspired this nation to say enough is enough, this proposal only encourages more waste. The plastic bag charging has been a huge success and indicates what can be achieved in a small amount of time. Our council has recently moved over to less bin collections indicating a firm policy of less waste more recycling. The technology has a bad record worldwide and is not a proven technology-no record of successful operation on an industrial scale, it conflicts with meeting recycling targets which the local authority and country is committed to. There is not the infrastructure in place to handle vast amounts of waste being transported around the countryside. The main roads around Horsham's perimeter are already very busy and laden with rubbish from trucks transporting waste to sites/landfill and this would only get worse under this proposal. This transportation of waste by road is inefficient and causes emissions. The environment minister Therese Coffey told the Commons: "In environmental terms, it is generally better to bury plastic than to burn it."Greenpeace says we should reduce, reuse, and recycle, in that order. "When we get to the stage of deciding whether to burn or bury waste, we have already failed, failed some more and then failed again. "However, it is safer to contain that failure than to spread it through the atmosphere in the form of toxic gases." Will our local government fail this community or opt to help us further reduce waste in the first place?	
438	Mr Robert Kay	41, Timms Close, Horsham, RH12 4TN	Object	20-APR-2018	I strongly object to this planning application, due to the following reasons. The size of the building and chimney height will far exceed anything else in the area and will be a blight on the north downs area of national beauty. There will be an increase in heavy haulage to the local roads, increasing congestion and adding further road safety concerns to local road users, pedestrians and cyclists. i.e. presently no pedestrian crossings or safe bike crossing on the A264. Thirdly, this facility will increase dust and fumes in the highly populated area of North Horsham, which directly conflicts with the new planned residential areas of North Horsham.	
439	Mrs Emily Webster	11, Heath Close, Mannings Heath,	Object	20-APR-2018	Air pollution has many negative effects and I don't want our children growing up anywhere near an incinerator.	

		Horsham, RH13 6EE				
440	Mr Andrew Eggs	1, Pryors Wood, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SZ	Object	20-APR-2018	<p>Dear Strategic Planning Department,</p> <p>I am writing as a local resident to object to the planning application to build an incinerator at The Brickworks, Langhurst Road, North Horsham.</p> <p>My objection is based on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The incinerator is contrary to WSCC Waste Local Plan as transfer, recycling and treatment facilities should be as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale indicates a far wider area beyond will be sought, especially as increased recycling regulations will mean a reduced supply of local waste to fuel the incinerator.2. The incinerator will therefore result in a significant increase in HGV traffic, in and around Horsham's already congested road network.3. There is already a well-documented surplus incinerator capacity in mainland Northern Europe, with potential decommissioning of incinerators so there is no need to build a new one in Horsham. Planning permission was granted for an incinerator in Ford West Sussex in 2014, so again there is no need for another incinerator in Horsham.4. Emission levels will be detrimental to the local community and countryside. There is no long term understanding of the fumes(toxic) impact to communities, countryside, wildlife and farming. Also, the location is too close to the local conservation area.5. With Gatwick airport seeking a 2nd runway (recent consideration of using its emergency runway to increase capacity) there is an unknown impact on the spread of emissions with aircraft vortex.6. Given that one tonne of waste burnt gives off one tonne of CO2 it makes no sense to generate electricity so inefficiently when we are trying to decarbonise our energy supplies. <p>I hope you will conclude that based on the critical points listed above, the application should be rejected.</p> <p>Please do contact me by email if you wish to discuss further?</p> <p>Regards</p>	
441	R Simmonds	-	Object	21-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I would like to object to the above planning application for the new 'recycling' facility in North Horsham.</p> <p>My reasons are as follows:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. There is already a recycling facility run by BIFFA at the site which is already detrimental to the area. This already has enough giant Virador type lorries entering the area.2. This new facility will only be for built for financial gain for Brittanicrest and its agents and will be of no benefit to the residents of Horsham nor WSSC.3. The facility will have waste transported from a wide area so HGV's will be arriving at the site from all the A roads feeding the area at all times of day so introducing further traffic and wear and tear on the already busy roads. The vehicles are large, dirty and polluting.4. This type of facility should not be sited anywhere near to a residential area so how can anybody agree to such an application. <p>Please reject this application unanimously, it is not required, it has no benefit to the Horsham Community. It is not wanted.</p> <p>Yours Faithfully, R Simmonds</p>	
442	Mr Andrew Baldwin	5, Dutchells Copse, Horsham, RH12 5PD	Object	21-APR-2018	<p>I am very much opposed to this application. Whilst I have real concerns about the health implications of living close to an incinerator the main reasons for my objection are the enormous size of the place in a rural location, 300 ft chimney and all the vehicle movements. Incinerators need to be constantly fed on waste and in order to do this they will be taking in waste from all over not just West Sussex.</p> <p>I also note Members of Parliament are discussing having a ban on all new incinerators.</p>	
443	Mrs Mollie Dixon	119, Blackbridge Lane, Horsham, RH12 1SD	Object	21-APR-2018	<p>As a mother of a premature baby with weak lungs, I am terribly worried that an incinerator will cause the air pollution in the area to rise, which could cause him complications. I am also concerned it could cause a smell across the local vicinity as well as a blot on our beautiful countryside.</p> <p>Many thanks</p>	
444	Mr Peter Edwards	6, Foxwood, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3ST	Object	21-APR-2018	<p>WSCC must find an alternative location for the waste incinerator. Kingsfold often used to get smoke and fumes from the old brickworks where the proposed incinerator would be located. We are about 70m higher than that site and in direct line of fire for any fumes when the wind is in that direction. There are too many residents living in the surrounding area. Find a less populated area for the build.</p> <p>In addition the incinerator is contrary to WSCC Waste Local Plan as transfer, recycling and treatment facilities should be as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale indicates a far wider area beyond will be sought, especially as increased recycling regulations will mean a reduced supply of local waste to fuel the incinerator. This will result in a significant increase in HGV traffic, in and around Horsham's already congested road network.</p> <p>There is already a well-documented surplus incinerator capacity in mainland Northern Europe, with potential decommissioning of incinerators so there is no need to build a new one in Horsham. Planning permission was granted for an incinerator in Ford, West Sussex in 2014, (Which we understand has not yet been built) so again there is no need for another incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>Emission levels will be detrimental to the local community and countryside. There is no long term understanding of the fumes(toxic) impact to communities, countryside, wildlife and farming. The location is too close to the local conservation area.</p> <p>With Gatwick airport seeking a 2nd runway (recent consideration of using its emergency runway to increase capacity) there is an unknown impact on the spread of emissions with aircraft vortex.</p> <p>As 1 tonne of waste burnt gives off one tonne of CO2 it makes no sense to generate electricity so inefficiently when we are trying to decarbonise our energy supplies.</p> <p>Please do not give permission to build this incinerator in North Horsham</p>	
445	Mrs A Fletcher	2, Tannery Court, Brighton Road, Horsham, RH13 5AB	Object	21-APR-2018	-	
446	Mrs Faye Francis	82, Depot Road, Horsham, RH13 5HF	Object	21-APR-2018	<p>As I understand, this incinerator will not benefit Horsham in anyway but will give profit to a private company. Furthermore, the waste transported to the incinerator will come from outside Sussex. The location of this incinerator will impact the air of Horsham, surrounding villages and new developments in a negative way. This area of Sussex needs a new Hospital not a building that will contribute to generations requiring medical help because the air they breathe was severely tainted by a council greedy to please these profiteering developers.</p>	
447	Mrs Nicola Francis	11, Walton Drive, Horsham, RH13 6RQ	Object	21-APR-2018	-	

448	Mrs Maria Heron	Ardea, 23, Highdown Way, Horsham, RH125JJ	Object	21-APR-2018	The proposed location of this industrial incinerator will lie on the western edge of the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Not only that, its location also lies a few miles south of the Surrey Hills Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and a few miles east of the South Downs National Park. That aside, the increased traffic movement, impact on the local roads and the irreversible damage to the landscape will be vast. Not forgetting that in this day and age of reusing, recycling and recovery and the promoting of less pollution and cleaner air, the building of this incinerator would be in conflict with the councils¿ waste management and recycling schemes. Lastly, and most importantly, serious consideration must be taken into what the impact of the emissions of particulates, heavy metals and dioxins from this incinerator would have on our public health and climate change.	
449	Miss Tamzin Hindle	87, College Road, Southwater, RH13 9TQ	Object	21-APR-2018	This incinerator can cause infertility in girls, as a mother of 2 girls who will be going to school in Horsham, I object strongly to this planning application! My concern is with our future generation!!	
450	Mrs Karen John	63, Deer Way, Horsham, RH12 1PX	Object	21-APR-2018	No to an incinerator. The polution and heath risks far out way any benefits. It will make Horsham an undesirable place to live and therefore affect the local economy and property prices.	
451	Mrs Aleda Kay	41, Timms Close, Horsham, RH12 4TN	Object	21-APR-2018	Regarding planning application: WSCC/015/18/NH I wish to strongly object to this and hope the council is strong enough to turn this down. It is not in the local or national interest. There are thought to be too many incinerator plants for waste already so this could be a horrible white elephant. The chimney is too tall and will blight the beautiful countryside. It is inappropriate for it to be located right by a whole new community of North Horsham, this is against WHO guidelines. It will destroy any community benefits from that development. Its ill advised to commit to something that needs to be fed 24 hours a day and can't be turned off. It encourages the supply of waste that could be avoided with effective policy. It will create air pollution which will cause health problems that will cause a burden on the health service which will cost the taxpayer. Easier to tax rubbish at source to reduce usage. Govt need to tax the manufacturers on their packaging (to take a full cost of any disposal into account) to encourage change and a reduction in waste to insist manufacturers turn to more viable products . Technology in this area is moving fast and supermarkets are under huge pressure now to address the unnecessary packaging. We do not want to see waste being burned when there are better alternatives. There is a cultural shift at the national level so this proposal is ill advised at this time. At the national level we are seeing bans on plastic straws and return schemes for plastic bottles by putting a value on rubbish we are reducing waste. Blue planet has inspired this nation to say enough is enough, this proposal only encourages more waste. The plastic bag charging has been a huge success and indicates what can be achieved in a small amount of time. Our council has recently moved over to less bin collections indicating a firm policy of less waste more recycling. The technology has a bad record worldwide and is not a proven technology-no record of successful operation on an industrial scale, it conflicts with meeting recycling targets which the local authority and country is committed to. There is not the infrastructure in place to handle vast amounts of waste being transported around the countryside. The main roads around Horsham's perimeter are already laden with rubbish from trucks transporting waste to sites/landfill and this would only get worse under this proposal. This transportation of waste by road is inefficient and causes emissions. The environment minister Therese Coffey told the Commons: "In environmental terms, it is generally better to bury plastic than to burn it."Greenpeace says we should reduce, reuse, and recycle, in that order. "When we get to the stage of deciding whether to burn or bury waste, we have already failed, failed some more and then failed again. "However, it is safer to contain that failure than to spread it through the atmosphere in the form of toxic gases." Will our local government fail this community or opt to help us further reduce waste in the first place?	
452	Mrs Sally Kent	15, Agate Lane, Horsham, RH12 4BE	Object	21-APR-2018	Pollution concern	
453	Mr Toby Kent	15, Agate Lane, Horsham, RH12 4BE	Object	21-APR-2018	-	
454	Mr Alex Mason	9, Rook way, Horsham, Rh125fr	Object	21-APR-2018	This project is a travesty not only will it reduce recycling rates locally this will billow toxic fumes into our air these fumes are proven to cause asthma and have demonstrable links to infertility in girls. Not only is this a personal tragedy for each of these girls but it will add untold financial burden on our nhs and the nations future health This will also damage our roads and cause congestion¿s due to heavy good vehicles leading to roads in disrepair and greater financial burden on our council for repairs In Europe these incinerators are being decommissioned due to lack of rubbish available to burn as they need to be fed 24/7! How ridiculous of us to think that this is a viable solution for our rubbish I cannot express the extent to which I object to this outrageous project Best	
455	Miss Elise Mason	9, 9, Rook way, Horsham, Rh125fr	Object	21-APR-2018	This project is a travesty not only will it reduce recycling rates locally this will billow toxic fumes into our air these fumes are proven to cause asthma and have demonstrable links to infertility in girls. Not only is this a personal tragedy for each of these girls but it will add untold financial burden on our nhs and the nations future health This will also damage our roads and cause congestion¿s due to heavy good vehicles leading to roads in disrepair and greater financial burden on our council for repairs In Europe these incinerators are being decommissioned due to lack of rubbish available to burn as they need to be fed 24/7! How ridiculous of us to think that this is a viable solution for our rubbish I cannot express the extent to which I object to this outrageous project Best	
456	Mrs Georgina Mason	9, Rook way, Horsham, West Sussex, Rh125fr	Object	21-APR-2018	This project is a travesty not only will it reduce recycling rates locally this will billow toxic fumes into our air these fumes are proven to cause asthma and have demonstrable links to infertility in girls. Not only is this a personal tragedy for each of these girls but it will add untold financial burden on our nhs and the nations future health This will also damage our roads and cause congestion¿s due to heavy good vehicles leading to roads in disrepair and greater financial burden on our council for repairs In Europe these incinerators are being decommissioned due to lack of rubbish available to burn as they need to be fed 24/7! How ridiculous of us to think that this is a viable solution for our rubbish I cannot express the extent to which I object to this outrageous project	

					Best	
457	Miss Laura Mitchell	202, St Leonards Road, Horsham, RH13 6AU	Object	21-APR-2018	Harmful pollution to the local environment and residential areas, causing negative impact on resident short and long term health due to decline in air quality.	
458	Ms Laura Phypers	None given, 1, Shepherds Way, Horsham, RH12 4LY	Object	21-APR-2018	I visit my parents in Horsham twice a week and have been noticing this last 5 years particularly, the Weinerberger Brickworks yellow/brown smoke which seems to be getting worse. I've now seen the plans for incinerators in the area and believe this will tip the air quality (under the flight paths from Gatwick) into totally unbreathable soup. My father is already on oxygen 24/7 and mother has a constant cough. As I drive past the new builds on the A24 past Christ's Hospital, I notice first the smell of the sewage works, then this yellow dust/smoke and finally (on denser days) the smell of airline fuel. If this plan should go ahead, I will never consider living in Horsham as I consider it is already showing itself to be backward thinking with respect to the environment. Whilst my family home is currently Horsham, I notice the difference already with air quality between Horsham and the coast.	
459	Mrs Caryn Smith	16, The Brook, Southwater, RH13 9UY	Object	21-APR-2018	Particulates released by this type of incinerator have been linked to numerous health problems, not limited to asthma, cancers and infertility if exposed in childhood.	
460	Mrs Nicola Sturt	11, Woodland Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 6AN	Object	21-APR-2018	WSCC/015/18/NH I object to the places incinerator in Holbrook due to pollution concerns. The height of this monstrosity belching into the sky is frankly terrifying. It has the potential to cover our primary and secondary schools. With fortnightly refuse collections and recycling encouraged as much as possible, why do we even need this? Of it's fur another area then we definitely don't want it dumped here. I worry fit the future of our children and this area. If there's too much waste, stop building so many houses too.	
461	Mrs Cathryn Uphill	80, Earles Meadow, Horsham, RH12 4HR	Object	21-APR-2018	<p>The size of the construction is excessively large and high for the site.</p> <p>The scale of this plant seems to be seeking waste from outside the local area and thus will encourage commercial waste being transferred over great distance to feed a very large incinerator.</p> <p>There is nothing to suggest that this will enhance the local area in fact it will detract and blight being visible from 15kms away in areas of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. We should question the pollution from the emissions including lead, mercury, dioxins, the increase in road traffic and the impact it will have on business travel in delays and detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole.</p> <p>Policy W11: It is questionable if this policy will be met by this proposal, as it will be seen from rural villages and have a detrimental impact on Horsham and surrounding rural communities.</p> <p>Policy W12: The Britaniacrest proposal does not meet the criteria of this policy in any way.</p> <p>Policy W19: The proposals will require aviation lighting as well as have a night-time noise impact on the neighboring communities creating light pollution for the area.</p> <p>WSCC have shown a 2% increase in recycling. Burning waste may hold the council in long term contracts to keep a hungry incinerator burning. It is inevitable that recycling will drop, as is the case in London. Government is already beginning to consider compelling evidence that there is over capacity of waste incineration in the UK. The experience of the EU is that they have to import waste in order to feed their incinerators and there is a correlation between increased incineration and decreased recycling.</p> <p>The proposer has stated that they intend to burn black sack waste as well as industrial waste. WSCC taxpayers paid for the Biffa biomechanical digester, and visitors to the public exhibition have reported being told by Britaniacrest that the digester would become redundant due to the incinerator. This is an unacceptable waste of taxpayer's money.</p> <p>As the site will operate 24/7 it will create noise above the ambient noise enjoyed by rural areas of 30-35dB. Ambient noise levels decrease at night and Britaniacrest have admitted that they are struggling to reduce the noise to a level compatible with a rural location.</p> <p>The proposal does nothing to hide the impact it will have on the rural countryside for which it will sit amongst, being totally over powering and intrusive day and night as it sits above the natural tree height canopy.</p> <p>The intrusion of the stack will be particularly intimidating at times when exhaust plumes are being emitted. The application documents state that the plume height could range from 6m to over 400m from the top of the 96m chimney.</p> <p>At the operational stage it is acknowledged in the application that at night, with low background noise levels, the noise exposure would be increased by 6dB at three locations. This is intolerable for local residents.</p> <p>Incineration plants in the EU are already being decommissioned because reduced availability of suitable waste has significantly reduced the amount of material available to fuel the burners. Many countries are now having to import material to incinerate.</p> <p>With the increased push in the UK to reduce our reliance on plastics and recycle more, many experts predict that within 5 years we will have solved the plastics issue. Industry is changing and will no longer rely on plastic packaging.</p> <p>Lastly, I must say that I love living in Horsham but will definitely consider moving my family away from this pollution monster if it is built. We have enough pollution to breathe already from aircraft overhead and the current amount of traffic on our roads. This building will damage the reputation of our fine town and damage the value of our properties.</p>	
462	Mr Rodger Whitefield	57, Deer Way, Horsham, RH12 1PX	Object	21-APR-2018	I object to this on the grounds of air pollution to the surrounding area, traffic congestion on the surrounding roads, adverse effects on local house prices.	
463	Mr Michael Wilson	None, 38, Erica Way, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5XL	Object	21-APR-2018	I object on the grounds of appalling risk to the health of local residents with the shocking array of toxins and poisons that will be released into the air. The levels of harmful chemicals which would be carried by wind over North Horsham is of great concern. As a parent of young children, I worry about the long-term implications for their health in particular. Please don't let this go ahead. Not to mention how ugly it would be and the potential risk of decreased property prices. Local roads were just not intended for such an increase in HGVs.	
464	Mr Oliver Wilson	None, 38, Erica Way, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5XL	Object	21-APR-2018	I object on the grounds of appalling risk to the health of local residents with the shocking array of toxins and poisons that will be released into the air. The levels of harmful chemicals which would be carried by wind over North Horsham is of great concern. Please don't let this go ahead. Not to mention how ugly it would be and the potential risk of decreased property prices. Local roads were just not intended for such an increase in HGVs.	
465	Mrs Francesca Wilson	None, 38, Erica Way, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5XL	Object	21-APR-2018	I object on the grounds of appalling risk to the health of local residents with the shocking array of toxins and poisons that will be released into the air. Please don't let this go ahead. Not to mention how ugly it would be and the potential risk of decreased property prices. Local roads were just not intended for such an increase in HGVs.	
466	Mr . Seynat	., Horsham	Object	21-APR-2018	<p>Dear Planning Department</p> <p>As a resident of the Horsham area I would like to strongly object to the proposed incinerator being built :</p> <p>Planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>The proposals for an incinerator do not comply with WSCC waste plan:</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale and throughput of the proposed plant is incompatible with the disposal of local waste and will attract material from outside of the county.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County</p> <p>The proposal will have a dramatic effect on the character of Horsham without counting the air pollution which is going to be generated</p> <p>So I believe it does not meet the criteria.</p>	

					Yours sincerely	
467	Mrs Mishka Watson-Price	Mayfield House, Pondtail Drive, Horsham, RH12 5HY	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>This application is substantially the same as the previous one and the negative impact on the area around has not changed. There is absolutely no requirement for an incinerator in this area and a number of studies have already shown that to provide sufficient fuel for the incinerator it would have to be imported into Horsham from other parts of the country. Given the location of the proposed site and the negative impact of increased traffic, emissions, visual impact of the exceptionally tall chimney etc this is the wrong site for such a development.</p> <p>The site proposed is too small for such an incinerator, access would be through a substantially residential area, the visual, noise and health impact would be necessarily negative, and all to provide a facility which is not required in this area. I therefore object strenuously to this application.</p>	
468	Dawn Day	-	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I object to the application and progress of an incinerator in Horsham, it is not safe carrying waste across county borders polluting our air with CO2 and Nox emissions in order to feed the incinerator.</p> <p>With regard to the planning application of the Horsham incinerator, I reject the plans as taxpayers from Horsham have already helped fund the BIFFA Mechanical biological treatment facility in North Horsham, as already said, Horsham have already done their bit!</p> <p>I believe it is a private business which wants to profit from this and not the council and therefore is unnecessary.</p> <p>We do not need a 36m building permanently lit (to avoid aircraft).</p> <p>Down with the planning application.</p> <p>Here here!</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>Dawn Day</p>	
469	Sean Collins	., Warnham, Horsham	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>As a resident of Warnham and a regular commuter from Warnham Station I would like to submit my objection to the above planning application.</p> <p>In the mornings I watch the current chimney billowing fumes and watch the wind pushing it out & downwards to the surrounding area.</p> <p>I can only assume the proposed plan will have a similar detrimental and further reaching effect.</p> <p>Having read the request from CPRE Sussex for clarification on the toxicity of the proposed incinerator, and read reports of the similar facility built in Newhaven, I am very concerned for the environmental damage to the surrounding area, including Sussex High Weald AONB and to the local Water Reservoirs.</p> <p>Also of concern will be the increased traffic pollution from heavy vehicles bring waste in from around the country to fuel the incinerator.</p> <p>Finally, studies are showing increased incineration has an effect on recycling.</p> <p>The current headlines and publicity on plastic pollution worries me that and easy fix will be incineration, moving the pollution to another form and location.</p> <p>Kind regards, Sean Collins</p>	
470	Norman Campbell	-	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>I wish to object to the planning application for an incinerator at North Horsham (planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH)</p> <p>1. The World Health Organisation advises that areas close to a waste incinerator should not be populated and this application would site the incinerator in the north Horsham area populated by housing.</p> <p>2. The existing chimney is 28m tall, the new building would be 36m tall and the chimney 95m tall. This would be an eye sore and totally out of character for the area and the planned North Horsham development.</p> <p>3. Waste to feed the incinerator would be brought into the area using large HGVs adding to pollution and damage to the surrounding roads.</p> <p>4. The incinerator would add to CO2 and noxious gas levels in the area damaging health.</p> <p>5. The proposal is purely for profit, proposed and funded by a private developer.</p>	
471	The Murphy Family	-	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>I am writing with concern's regarding the Britaniacrest incinerator.</p> <p>I am also not writing this email with a not in my back yard approach.</p> <p>I fully understand and am not ignorant to the fact that waste has to be disposed of.</p> <p>I cannot however understand the economical sense from Horsham district council thinking that going ahead with this incinerator is going to help and encourage people to purchase new properties going to be built in the surrounding area.</p> <p>Recycling should be top priority regarding waste not burning rubbish that should be reused. Two weeks ago following a waste lorry heading for the treatment centre rubbish was spilling from the lorry into the road and grass verges. I could not take the lorries registration due driving. I can only see this happening even more with increased lorry deliveries.</p> <p>Horsham is doing its bit with regard to waste disposal, there will be more HGV pollutants in the air with all the traffic coming and going due to the New housing developments.</p> <p>The A24 looks like a rubbish dump with the waste on grass verges. The wildlife in the surrounding areas are affected with all this rubbish effecting their habitats.</p> <p>And the health of Horsham residents and wildlife is at stake.</p> <p>How do you expect us to trust you and Britaniacrest when they can't keep a simple act of transporting rubbish without it falling into the surrounding environment and trust them with a serious waste disposal chimney .</p> <p>The U.K. Is one of five countries contravening European air pollution. If the U.K. does not show Brussels how it intends to comply with EU law a court hearing with the power to impose heavy fines could begin later this year , a penalty payment and a five figure fine daily if the country does not comply. West Sussex is one of the areas failing to address repeated breaches of legal pollution</p>	

					<p>limits.</p> <p>I ask you on behalf of my family, local wildlife and the environment do not go ahead with this environmental disaster, it will be your legacy to Horsham.</p> <p>The Murphy Family</p>	
472	Judith Edward	12, Lemmington Way, RH12 5JG	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>I am writing to OBJECT to the proposed planning application by Britaniacrest (ref: WSCC/015/18/NH). I object for the following reasons:</p> <p>1) Air quality, dust and fumes.</p> <p>From your own environmental health report, the planning application does not meet the air quality criteria, with excesses in heavy metals. Air quality is a serious, under-reported issue. It has a long term effect on health. For this alone the application should be rejected.</p> <p>2) Visual impact</p> <p>The height of the tower is too great. The existing chimney is 28m tall, this will now go to 95m. This will detrimentally impact the visual environment.</p> <p>3) Over supply of incineration facilities. There is no need for the facility for the waste that is generated in W.Sussex, there is the risk that WSCC get tied to a facility that has not economic value, and to feed it waste is travelling many road miles, again another air quality issue. MPs are considering the evidence that there are too many incineration plants. In this situation to reject the application and keep the status quo, is the best economic case.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	
473	Mr Deryck & Mrs June Thomson	Petworth Drive, Horsham	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>From Deryck & June Thomson Petworth Drive Horsham</p> <p>We wish to raise the following objections to Britaniacrest building a huge waste Incinerator on the Former Wealden Brickworks (Site HB), Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>This proposed development is not in keeping with overall approved changes for Horsham area. This is a very detrimental development that will spoil areas of outstanding natural beauty, the chimney will be seen for miles around and also the whole development will cause numerous other problems, see below.</p> <p>This area north of the Horsham bypass is already scheduled for housing development, a new school and industrial units. Any waste recycling scheme is too close to these new developments. Surely that cannot be healthy for the teachers, pupils, parents, the people who move into the new houses or are employed or visit the new industrial units!</p> <p>It is also too close to the rest of Horsham a very short distance away from the old brick works as the crow flies.</p> <p>Pollution for the incinerator will be spread all across Horsham residents depending on the wind direction. We have had the land fill smell/traffic for many many years, let some other area have the waste smell, pollution etc. The land fill traffic is 7 days a week, saw lorries going up there today, Sunday 22/04. The existing land fill is further away for housing than the proposed development and North Horsham residents can very often smell the land fill site.</p> <p>We do not want Horsham residents' health damaged by pollution from this incinerator and the increase in air pollution that is sure to result, should the plans go ahead, from the many lorries visiting the site to deposit waste. Diesel fumes from lorries and cars are now considered very dangerous to health, we thought the Government had plans to cut this pollution not increase it!</p> <p>What about site security, how can Horsham Residents be guaranteed that no harmful substances or liquids will not be disposed of in the incinerator. Can Britannia guarantee the site to be secure 24 x 7 and that nothing 'illegal' will be disposed of, either through the books or as a favour when staff will be under great pressure to accept bribes to dispose of substances at the risk of residents becoming ill or even dying from fumes and emissions from the chimney. You only have to look at Salisbury to know how easy it is to pollute an area with such devastating consequences to all around!</p> <p>The chimney is also in our opinion a potential danger to aircraft, too close to Gatwick airport flight paths! Will any of the burnt waste get into aircraft engines!!! Can this be guaranteed not to happen! The risk must be too great!!</p> <p>Roads around Horsham are already very busy at all times of the day/night, without a significant increase in traffic from the Waste lorries transporting stuff in for burning. Further traffic problems will be experienced when all the proposed housing in the North Horsham area is completed, our roads cannot cope with current levels of traffic and definitely not cope with any further traffic increases!</p> <p>Locate the incinerator in a less densely populated area if it does have to be built in Southern England!</p> <p>We also still believe that some of this proposal does not meet current 'planning' regulations from this country and recommendations from European Commission.</p> <p>IT MUST NOT GO AHEAD!!!!!!!!!!!!!!</p> <p>Deryck & June Thomson</p>	
474	Mrs Jenny Ellis	11, Helyers Green, Wick, Littlehampton, Bn17 7HB	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>planning reference: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>NO WAY Enough of our countryside is being ruined by house building do NOT allow this and spoil what is left of our Beautiful Green spaces</p>	
475	Mrs Jessica Harris	4, Gardeners Close, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3RJ	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>Objection due to environmental welfare concern</p>	
476	Mrs Lorna Dimmock	37, 37, Barleycroft, Cowfold, West Sussex, RH13 8DP	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>Highways England are cutting down trees, Networkrail are removing trees from their railway lines, building projects around Horsham are removing mature trees; all these provide us with oxygen to breathe. One mature tree provides enough oxygen in a year for 10 adults. The trees purify the air we breathe and so are vital what with all the pollutants being added to it by Gatwick, increased road traffic caused by lorries bringing waste to us from the south east and the toxic particles emitted from the 95m chimney (which needs to be that high to disperse the toxins higher into the atmosphere).</p> <p>We are discouraged from having bonfires & open fires due to polluting the air; this project will pollute the air with the toxic fallout from the waste disposal. It will affect the health of a huge number of Sussex population's health resulting in an increase of respiratory problems.</p> <p>In addition, the infertility report/study carried out by Dr Marilyn Glenville, on the effect of toxins on the fertility & menstruation of girls highlights this and makes a direct correlation to the air we breathe and the damage to the female reproductive system. You can be sure that more academic research will be done in the future and could prove to make this project even more controversial should you choose to continue with this application.</p> <p>Horsham is an area that is already having an enormous amount of homes built thus increasing the local population. It will increase demand disproportionately on the NHS due to respiratory illnesses and fertility problems caused by this project. There may be other effects to health that I am not aware of but I hope you would commission studies by unbiased agencies to appraise you of the consequences.</p> <p>There will be the increase of heavy vehicles on country roads that the council cannot maintain now.</p>	

					<p>Waste is a problem but it needs addressing back at source not just at end point.</p> <p>Please think again, really seriously. It could be your family members whose lives will be shortened due to poor air quality, or your daughters/granddaughters who find they are unable to conceive through breathing poisoned air as a result of this incinerator being built. Real people to you and to me, not just unknown people who are nothing but numbers to the people in power.</p>	
477	Mr Peter Hellyer	Mallaig, 8, North Heath Lane, Horsham, RH12 5AH	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>I am opposed to this application on the grounds that incineration of waste as proposed is an outmoded method damaging to the health of local residents and the environment. It beggars belief that any such plan should be countenanced that is adjacent to residential development in North Horsham and the Horsham/Crawley conurbation against the advice of the World Health Organisation. The transportation of waste to the incinerator from outside the County will exacerbate the impact of the incinerator. We already have the BIFFA Mechanical Biological Treatment Unit funded partly by tax payers, why, following this not insignificant investment, make the retrograde step of allowing an incinerator?</p>	
478	Mr Mike Ivell	19, Sorrell Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5FL	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>I wish to object to the proposed Incinerator for Horsham for multiple reasons:</p> <p>Visual impact air and light pollution noise road congestion short sighted solution which will reduce the more effective recycling</p>	
479	Mr Matthew Lee	29, Sloughbrook Close, Horsham, RH12 5JD	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>1. Non-compliance with West Sussex County Council - Waste Local Plan. The size of the construction is excessive large & high. It will have a major impact on Horsham & surrounding villages and potentially Surrey areas of outstanding natural beauty.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: The scale of this plant seems to be seeking waste from outside the local area & will encourage commercial waste being transferred over great distance to feed a very large incinerator.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: There is no element of the proposals that will protect or enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 11: This will enhance the local area, it will detract & blight being visible from 15kms away in areas of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. The pollution from the emissions including lead, mercury, dioxins, the increase in road traffic and the impact it will have on business travel in delays & detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole.</p> <p>Policy W12: High Quality Developments. The Britaniacrest proposal does not meet the criteria set out in this policy.</p> <p>Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. The proposals will require aviation lighting as well as have a night-time noise impact on the neighbouring communities creating light pollution for the area.</p> <p>Light Pollution For the CAA to demand middle and top of the stack is lit at night is due to flight paths. The CAA would not be demanding such comprehensive lighting if the routes did not go over the proposed site.</p> <p>The mapping of routes included by the proposers does not include the departure route that flies over North Horsham. The mapping does not show arrivals. The stack will be lit like a Christmas tree producing significant increase in light pollution from the plant and the skyline.</p> <p>Recycling The proposer has stated that they intend to burn black sack waste as well as industrial.WSCC taxpayers paid for the Biffa biomechanical digester, and visitors to the public exhibition have reported being told by Britaniacrest that the digester would become redundant due to the incinerator. This is an unacceptable waste of taxpayers money.</p> <p>Burning waste is short sighted and damaging to the long-term prosperity and well-being of the environment.</p> <p>Noise Pollution As the site will operate 24/7 it will create noise above the ambient noise enjoyed by rural areas of 30-35dB.</p> <p>Ambient noise levels decrease at night and Britaniacrest have admitted that they are struggling to reduce the noise to a level compatible with a rural location.</p> <p>Visual Impact of the development The proposal does nothing to hide the impact it will have on the rural countryside for which it will sit amongst, being totally over powering and intrusive day and night as it sits above the natural tree height canopy.</p> <p>The intrusion of the stack will be particularly intimidating at times when exhaust plumes are being emitted. The application documents state that the plume height could range from 6m to over 400m from the top of the 96m chimney.</p> <p>Noise intrusion At the operational stage it is acknowledge in the application that at night, with low background noise levels, the noise exposure would be increased by 6dB at three locations. This would seem a significant increase in noise that local residents would have to tolerate.</p> <p>The Environmental Arguments Research increasingly indicates that incineration reduces recycling. Incineration plants in the EU are already being decommissioned because reduced availability of suitable waste has significantly reduced the amount of material available to fuel the burners. Many countries are now having to import material to incinerate.</p>	
480	Mr Ian Philpott	61, 61, Oakhill Road, Horsham, Rh13 5le	Object	22-APR-2018	-	
481	Ms Beverley Valley	61, 61, Oakhill Road, Horsham, Rh13 5le	Object	22-APR-2018	Object	
482	Ian Rattray	19, Cissbury Close, Horsham, RH12 5JT	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>Re: Proposed Britaniacrest Incinerator</p> <p>I wish to raise the strongest possible objections to the proposed Britaniacrest Incinerator on the grounds of the increased Co2 levels and consequent damage to health and the environment in the area of the North Horsham conurbation, that would be caused by siting an incinerator here.</p> <p>The W.H.O. advises against siting waste incinerators close to populated areas, yet this proposed facility would be adjacent to the North Horsham Development with the plume heading over nearby areas. There are two existing schools in the area that will be most affected ¿ what a horrible legacy to leave our youngsters.</p>	

					<p>As taxpayers we funded the BIFFA Mechanical Biological Treatment Facility in North Horsham as an alternative to incineration, so let's not allow a private developer's thirst for profits to blight the local landscape.</p> <p>Please refuse planning permission for the Britaniacrest Incinerator once and for all.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
483	Susanna Yau	-	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>Re: Proposed Britaniacrest Incinerator</p> <p>I wish to raise the strongest possible objections to the proposed Britaniacrest Incinerator on the grounds of the increased Co2 levels and consequent damage to health and the environment in the area of the North Horsham conurbation, that would be caused by siting an incinerator here.</p> <p>The W.H.O. advises against siting waste incinerators close to populated areas, yet this proposed facility would be adjacent to the North Horsham Development with the plume heading over nearby areas. There are two existing schools in the area that will be most affected & what a horrible legacy to leave our youngsters.</p> <p>As taxpayers we funded the BIFFA Mechanical Biological Treatment Facility in North Horsham as an alternative to incineration, so let's not allow a private developer's thirst for profits to blight the local landscape.</p> <p>Please refuse planning permission for the Britaniacrest Incinerator once and for all.</p> <p>Thank you, Susanna Yau</p>
484	Emily Sacchi	-	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>Re: Objection to WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I would like to record my strong objection to the proposed plan for an incinerator for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Environmental. The plant will cause excessive air pollution. Levels have already been on the increase due to the close Gatwick airport and regular traffic increase along the Horsham bypass and A24.2. Health. The pollution will certainly be detrimental to resident's health and quality of life in the area, with a negative effects on asthma, allergies, etc.3.Traffic. An increased number of hg vehicles will travel to and from the site, with effect on noise levels, damage to country lanes and lead to potential accidents with other vehicles.4. Noise. Noise from new hgv traffic as well as the waste processing itself will have an impact on the quality of life and the population enjoying the countryside in the area.5. Scale and location. The size of the plant is not in keeping with other smaller rural operations and facilities in the area. It has the scale of an industrial plant so it should therefore belong to a more industrial area of the region. <p>I would be grateful to receive assurances that our concerns are being taken into account. Please kept us up to date on the status of this application.</p> <p>Kind regards, Emily Sacchi</p>
485	Mr Daniel Wylie	114, Depot Road, Horsham, RH135HP	Object	22-APR-2018	<p>I am opposed to the increased air pollution, the impact on my families health, the increased road congestion, the potential to reduce house prices.</p>
486	Mrs Julie Sullivan	Dairy farm, Bognor road, Broadbridge Heath, Rh12 3ps	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>The area of which this is to be cited is beautiful area ...the size of the development and chimney attached to it will spoil the countryside around it. It will not fit in with the landscape. Also I feel the health implications for the surrounding residence far and wide will be affected it is not legally right or morally right to be putting this here</p>
487	Mrs Angela Meredith	13, Earles Meadow, Horsham, RH12 4HP	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the planning application from Britaniacrest:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Non-compliance with West Sussex County Council's Waste Local Plan The size of the construction is excessively large and high and will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages as well as AONB. Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises. To feed a plant of this scale waste will have to come from outside the local area and thus will encourage commercial waste being transferred over long distances to feed this incinerator. Strategic Objective 10: to protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will protect or enhance the natural environment. Strategic Objective 11: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. A large and unsightly incinerator will not enhance the local area but blight this area and be visible from kms away in areas of AONB. There are also unanswered questions about the pollution resulting from emissions, increase in road traffic and impact it will have on business travel due to congestion and detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole. Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County. It is questionable if this policy will be met by this proposal, as it will be seen from rural villages and have a detrimental impact on Horsham and surrounding rural communities. Policy W12: High Quality Developments. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they are of high quality etc. <p>This proposal does not meet these criteria.</p> <p>Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that: lighting, noise, dust, odours and other emissions are controlled etc. The proposals will require aviation lighting as well as have a night-time noise impact on the neighbouring communities creating light pollution for the area.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. Visual Impact of the development The proposal does nothing to hide the intrusive visible impact it will have on the rural countryside. The stack will be particularly overpowering at times when exhaust plumes are being emitted. The application documents state that the plume height could range from 6m to over 400m from the top of the 95m chimney. This is still the same even though the building height is now lower.3. Noise intrusion At the operational stage it is acknowledge in the application that at night, with low background noise levels, the noise exposure would be increased by 6dB at three locations. This would seem a significant increase in noise that residents would have to tolerate.4. The Environmental Arguments

					<p>With the current push for greater recycling and for reducing the use of plastics especially for packaging the availability of suitable waste to feed the incinerator will be impacted. This will mean waste having to be imported from further afield than West Sussex. This will mean greater emissions in terms of road vehicle movements as well as the emissions from the incinerator.</p> <p>In fact there was the Parliament Early Day motion 581 in November 2017 stated ?That this House notes in the UK there is now more waste incineration capacity built and under construction than it is forecast there will be genuinely residual combustible waste to burn; further notes that incineration overcapacity can be a barrier to achieving the recycling society?.</p> <p>For this reason and the others outlined above I object to the Britaniacrest incinerator application.</p>	
488	Rick Pope	-	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir or Madam,</p> <p>Planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>I wish to strongly object against this planning application for an incinerator.</p> <p>Visual Impact</p> <p>The chimney of the brickworks is 26.5m high. The proposed incinerator building will be taller than this chimney some 35.92m in height which is taller than the existing chimney which is already visible above the treeline. Therefore, just the new proposed building itself will tower over the treeline.</p> <p>The building will be bigger than Horsham's shopping centre.</p> <p>The new proposed chimney will be 95m tall - it will be seen from far and wide, including areas of outstanding natural beauty. By the proposers own submission it will be seen as far as Box Hill.</p> <p>Air Quality</p> <p>The air quality is declining in the area due to the congestion surrounding our parish. Lack of investment in highways means that we are subjected to cut through traffic on our country lanes everyday bringing car pollution to our rural doorsteps. WSCC in their recent Connect magazine detailed that vehicles, 80% of nitrogen dioxide concentration at the roadside is caused by road transport.</p> <p>This proposal would bring 140 lorries a day on the dangerous A24, congested A264, A29, M23, and as waste will be imported into Horsham to burn.</p> <p>Light Pollution</p> <p>For the CAA to demand middle and top of the stack is lit at night is due to flight paths. The CAA would not be demanding such comprehensive lighting if the routes did not go over the proposed site.</p> <p>The stack will be lit like a Christmas tree producing significant increase in light pollution from the plant and the skyline.</p> <p>Noise Pollution</p> <p>As the site will be operating 24/7 it will create noise above the ambient noise enjoyed by rural areas of 30-35dB. This ambient noise levels decrease at night.</p> <p>There is no offer of compensation for noise and light pollution to the surrounding communities.</p> <p>There is no compensation being offered to those whose home will be devalued by the building of an industrial incinerator of this magnitude adjacent to their homes.</p> <p>Recycling</p> <p>WSCC have shown a 2% increase in recycling and so to burn would captivate the council into long term contracts to keep a hungry incinerator burning. It is inevitable that recycling will drop, as is the case in London.</p> <p>The Biffa bio-mechanical digester that taxpayers paid for to deal with household waste will virtually become redundant as the proposer has stated that they intend to burn black sack waste as well as industrial.</p> <p>Burning waste is short sighted and damaging to the long-term prosperity to the planet on demands for resources.</p> <p>Not Needed</p> <p>It would seem that West Sussex already has given planning permission for an incinerator at Ford in 2014. With an incinerator already permitted to be built on the coast it is highly questionable why an incinerator is needed on the edge of the county.</p> <p>NB Gatwick already has an incinerator, which burns waste from Manor Royal Business Park.</p> <p>UK Win are behind the political cross party Early Day Motion (581)* to place a moratorium on new incinerators because there is not enough waste to feed the incinerators currently in use and being built in the UK, but this legislation will come way too late for West Sussex.</p> <p>Research increasingly shows that incineration decreases the rate of recycling and with the amount of plastic in production set to decrease dramatically in the next few years, what will this Horsham incinerator burn</p> <p>Thank you for your time.</p> <p>Sincerely</p>	
489	Luke Dalton	-	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>I object to the planning application with the following reference WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Best,</p> <p>Luke Dalton</p>	
490	Viv and Ian Anderson	5, Tillets Lane, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3RE	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>We would object to the new Horsham incinerator on the grounds that there would be an increase in large lorries on the A264 going into and out of the plant. There is also the prospect of an increase in Co2 levels for the surrounding area.</p>	
491	Catherine Edgar	17, Highlands Avenue, Horsham, RH13 5LW	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>I wish to formally register my objections to the above planning application.</p> <p>This industrial incinerator is surrounded by homes. It has no place being within proximity to homes. Also taking into account the many new homes built in the district to accommodate young families. Young children are particularly vulnerable to toxins in the environment. That this stack will be pumping out into the atmosphere and the particles will rain down on unsuspecting people. This is not protecting and enhancing the environment with any stretch of the imagination.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>Catherine Edgar</p>	
492	Wendy Allen	-	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing to object to the above planning application. Incineration is an outmoded technology because when waste is incinerated it is no longer part of the circular waste economy that western countries are striving to achieve. This is supported by the European Commission. Since technology is moving so fast it would be very retrograde to develop a system which is already on the way out.</p> <p>This is in addition to our objections based on aesthetic and environmental values.</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>Wendy Allen MRSOB</p>	
493	Fintan Keevens	-	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>I object to the plans for an incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>Ref WSCC/015/18/NH</p>	
494	Ms Rebecca Shaw	8, Pondtail Road, Horsham, RH12 2NL	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir or Madam</p> <p>I am writing to object to repeat plans for a Briattaniacrest incinerator at the old Wealden Brickworks on Langhurstwood Road. I find it very difficult to understand why we are back to a point where we are again having to object to this. Apart from the very obvious eyesore that such a structure would represent there are countless reasons why this is not something that would benefit any of the</p>	

					<p>community in Horsham.</p> <p>The roads surrounding the area are already badly in need of repair without the added traffic this plant would create. The pollution associated with the increased vehicles as well as the CO2, dioxins, NOx and ultrafine particles that would be produced by the site itself represent a very real human health (and environmental) danger and are a real worry particularly to those of us with vulnerable young children. Surely, Horsham Council won't would agree to such a harmful planning application when there are no less than twelve schools in under a five mile radius of this site ? The World Health Organisation advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated; what would they make on the positioning of this tower in relation to the 50,000 odd people that live in this area let alone the schools?</p> <p>Horsham is already doing enough in with BIFFA Mechanical Treatment facility. We are also surrounded with new residential developments on all sides. I feel it would be truly hypocritical of Horsham Council to sanction all these new residential developments on the one hand and then promptly allow a development so obviously harmful to human health on the other. Please don't allow this to happen.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Rebecca Shaw</p>	
495	Joseph Hannan	-	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>Hi there,</p> <p>I am against an incinerator for Horsham because of the CO2 issues and I do not want one anywhere near my house.</p> <p>Many thanks,</p> <p>Joseph Hannan</p>	
496	Joanne King	-	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>Dear sir/madam,</p> <p>></p> <p>> I would like to raise my objection against the proposed incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>></p> <p>> The incinerator would damage the beautiful landscapes, be an eye sore and cause potential health issues for the local residents. The increase in traffic from the HGVs delivering the waste would damage the roads and add to the volume of traffic.</p> <p>></p> <p>> Kind regards,</p> <p>></p> <p>> Joanne King</p> <p>></p> <p>></p>	
497	Mrs Kate Lee	163, Pondtail Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5HT	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>Re: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Dear West Sussex County Council</p> <p>I am writing to object to the possible incinerator proposed for Horsham. I believe this is a harmful and inappropriate option that would damage the local environment and have a negative impact on health, and is an outdated mode of waste disposal. I am also concerned about the increase in traffic volume that would accompany such a facility when the local roads are already a challenge at many times of the day and this will only get worse when the new development north of the A264 begins.</p> <p>I look to WSCC to think more creatively about this issue and inspire others with leadership that embraces a forward-looking approach.</p> <p>Best wishes</p> <p>K.Lee</p>	
498	Mr Paul Meredith	13, Earles Meadow, Horsham, RH12 4HP	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the planning application from Britaniacrest:</p> <p>1. Non-compliance with West Sussex County Council's Waste Local Plan</p> <p>The size of the construction is excessively large and high and will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages as well as AONB.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises.</p> <p>To feed a plant of this scale waste will have to come from outside the local area and thus will encourage commercial waste being transferred over long distances to feed this incinerator.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: to protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will protect or enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 11: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. A large and unsightly incinerator will not enhance the local area but blight this area and be visible from kms away in areas of AONB. There are also unanswered questions about the pollution resulting from emissions, increase in road traffic and impact it will have on business travel due to congestion and detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County.</p> <p>It is questionable if this policy will be met by this proposal, as it will be seen from rural villages and have a detrimental impact on Horsham and surrounding rural communities.</p> <p>Policy W12: High Quality Developments. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they are of high quality etc.</p> <p>This proposal does not meet these criteria.</p> <p>Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that: lighting, noise, dust, odours and other emissions are controlled etc.</p> <p>The proposals will require aviation lighting as well as have a night-time noise impact on the neighbouring communities creating light pollution for the area.</p> <p>2. Visual Impact of the development</p> <p>The proposal does nothing to hide the intrusive visible impact it will have on the rural countryside. The stack will be particularly overpowering at times when exhaust plumes are being emitted. The application documents state that the plume height could range from 6m to over 400m from the top of the 95m chimney. This is still the same even though the building height is now lower.</p> <p>3. Noise intrusion</p> <p>At the operational stage it is acknowledge in the application that at night, with low background noise levels, the noise exposure would be increased by 6dB at three locations. This would seem a significant increase in noise that residents would have to tolerate.</p> <p>4. The Environmental Arguments</p> <p>With the current push for greater recycling and for reducing the use of plastics especially for packaging the availability of suitable waste to feed the incinerator will be impacted. This will mean waste having to be imported from further afield than West Sussex. This will mean greater emissions in terms of road vehicle movements as well as the emissions from the incinerator.</p> <p>In fact there was the Parliament Early Day motion 581 in November 2017 stated ¿That this House notes in the UK there is now more waste incineration capacity built and under construction than it is forecast there will be genuinely residual combustible waste to burn; further notes that incineration overcapacity can be a barrier to achieving the recycling society¿.</p> <p>For this reason and the others outlined above I object to the Britaniacrest incinerator application.</p>	
499	Mr Clive Phillips	44, Cissbury Close, Horsham, RH12 5JT	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>WSCC Waste Local Plan. Size excessively large and high. Major impact on Horsham and villages and potentially on Surrey AONBs.</p>	

					<p>Strategic Objective 5: Scale of plant signals waste import from outside local area, with long-distance transfer of commercial waste to feed outside incinerator.</p> <p>SO 10: Nothing in proposal protects or enhances natural environment.</p> <p>Re SO 11: Nothing in proposal enhances local area. Detracts and blights it, visible from 15km in AONBs. Pollution from emissions including lead, mercury and dioxins, road traffic increase and detrimental impact on Horsham.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Not met, as stack will be seen from villages and have a detrimental impact on Horsham and surroundings.</p> <p>Policy W12: High Quality Developments. Does not meet criteria.</p> <p>Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. Will require aviation lighting and exert night-time noise and light pollution impact on local communities.</p> <p>Light Pollution. CAA requires stack to be lit at night. The CAA would not demand such comprehensive lighting if flight paths did not go over the proposed site. Mapping of routes in the proposal does not include the departure route over North Horsham. Flight paths are not lines on the ground but in fact have an impact some 3-5nm either side of the line. Mapping does not show arrival paths. Stack will be lit like a Christmas tree producing significant increase in light pollution on the skyline.</p> <p>Recycling. WSCC have shown a 2% increase in recycling. Burning waste will tie Council into long term contracts to keep incinerator burning. Recycling will consequently drop, as in London. Government is already considering compelling evidence that there is overcapacity of waste incineration in UK. EU countries have to import waste to feed incinerators. There is correlation between increased incineration and decreased recycling.</p> <p>Proposer intends to burn residential waste and industrial waste. WSCC taxpayers paid for Biffa biomechanical digester, and Britaniacrest representatives say the digester would become redundant if the incinerator is built. Unacceptable waste of taxpayers' money. Burning waste is short sighted and damaging to long-term prosperity and well-being of the environment.</p> <p>Noise Pollution. As site will operate 24/7 it will create noise above the ambient noise experienced by rural areas of 30-35dB. Ambient noise levels decrease at night and Britaniacrest have admitted they are struggling to reduce the noise to a level compatible with a rural location.</p> <p>Visual Impact of the development. Proposal does nothing to hide impact on countryside, being totally overpowering and intrusive day and night as it protrudes and projects above the tree canopy.</p> <p>Intrusion of the stack will be particularly intimidating at times when exhaust plumes are emitted. Plume height could range from 6m to over 400m from the top of the 96m stack.</p> <p>Noise intrusion. Proposal acknowledges that at night, with low background noise levels, the noise exposure would be increased by 6dB at three locations. Significant increase in noise confronting local residents.</p> <p>Environmental Arguments. Research indicates incineration reduces recycling.</p> <p>Incineration plants in EU countries are already being decommissioned because reduced availability of suitable waste has significantly reduced the amount of material available to fuel the burners. Many are now having to import material to incinerate.</p> <p>As we reduce our reliance on plastics and recycle more, experts predict that within 5 years we will have solved the plastics issue. Industry is changing and will no longer rely on plastic packaging. Government ministers are starting to push for a moratorium on incineration facilities because we already have surplus capacity for burning waste in the UK. If the proposal is granted planning consent, West Sussex be left with a harmful white elephant.</p>
500	Mr David Searle	7, Earles Meadow, Horsham, RH12 4HP	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>The size of the construction is excessive large and high and will have a major impact on the Market Town of Horsham and surrounding villages as well as potentially Surrey areas of outstanding natural beauty. If this proposal goes ahead Horsham will become an Industrial Town.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: States that provision should be made for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises. Britaniacrest have said waste will come from anywhere. This may be from abroad. This proposal does not meet the criteria.</p> <p>Waste will come from outside the local area and thus will encourage commercial waste being transferred over great distance to feed a very large incinerator. This will bring addition litter to our roads and verges. Roads and verges are already littered from the actives in Langhurstwood Road and such a facility will only make the problem worst.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will protect or enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 11: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is nothing to suggest that this will enhance the local area in fact it will detract and blight being visible from 15kms away in areas of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. We should question the pollution from the emissions including lead, mercury, dioxins, the increase in road traffic and the impact it will have on business travel in delays and detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County; (b) the topography, landscape, townscape, streetscape and skyline of the surrounding area; (c) views into and out of the site.</p> <p>The Britaniacrest proposal does not meet the criteria set out above.</p> <p>Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that: lighting, noise, dust, odours and other emissions are controlled to the extent that there will not be an unacceptable impact on public health and amenity.</p>
501	Marcus & Daisanne Summersfield	51, London Road, Horsham	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>This email is our formal note of our opposition to the proposed incinerator. No matter what you say, we know from very personal experience that noxious and toxic particles do escape into the air. You need to be finding ways to reduce air pollution not involving* yourselves in schemes which will add to the pollution problem .</p>
502	Mrs K Fisher	-	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>Hello</p> <p>REF: WSCC/015/15/NH</p> <p>I would like to register my objections to the proposed planning application of the incinerator in Horsham with the above reference.</p> <p>I believe the we do not have the proper road infrastructure to cope with the extra lorries. I travel on that part of the bypass twice a day and it is already very dangerous and difficult with flow of traffic trying to join anymore would be an accident waiting to happen. I also think the size of the chimney will be unsightly and does not fit in with the area.</p> <p>I think more time need to be taken to look into if another incinerator is need if UK MPs are already looking into the fact we may already have too many!</p> <p>I also believe this will have an effect on air pollution with the incinerator itself and the additional traffic.</p> <p>Please do not allow this to happen!</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Mrs K Fisher</p>
503	Mrs Anna Lancaster	., Wagtail Close	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>I am writing again to object to the Horsham Incinerator.</p> <p>We moved from London to a quiet town with plenty of green areas for walks and peace and quiet, and fresh air.</p>

					<p>We live near the proposed site and do not want the Incinerator for a number of reasons.</p> <p>Our daughter has daily medication for asthma, and I do not want to air quality to be poorer, due to the incinerator. (We live on Wagtail Close) Also due to increased heavy duty lorries bringing in waste to be burnt, from across the County. I do not want the light quality to be affected due to 24hr lighting - affecting our lives but also near by Warnham Nature reserve, where we frequently watch the birds.</p> <p>I would also like to see the County reduce packaging and recycle more, but not burning more waste. Also consider food waste management, like they do in London.</p> <p>Therefore I am opposing the incinerator and hope you will reconsider its application and location.</p> <p>Regards</p>	
504	Mr Philip Dee	6, Freeman Road, Warnham, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3RQ	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>Hi there,</p> <p>I am writing to protest against the revised proposals for an Incinerator at Langhurstwood Road, Horsham.</p> <p>The reason for there being so much objection to the first proposal is that this is absolutely the wrong site for an Incinerator. Tweaking the detail of the plans and re-submitting them does not change this fact. Horsham is STILL NOT the right place for an incinerator.</p> <p>The amount of traffic on Langhurstwood Road is already unbearable. This is a quiet county lane and should not have heavy industrial LGV's travelling up and down it. The litter from the trucks is also a massive problem at the moment as this spreads all over the A264. It's always a bit of a joke to me when I see the council putting up signs in the area about not littering when the fact is it's the lorry's servicing the rubbish tip that are causing the problem.</p> <p>This wasn't the right sight for a landfill and it's even less of an appropriate sight for an incinerator which is going to be an ugly blot on the lovely landscape. I really think that Warnham has suffered enough, what with the increased in air traffic flying over the area, the demand for new houses. The Warnham area with its quiet country side lines and rural appeal really shouldn't be the dumping ground for everyone else. Enough is enough! There are plenty of brownfield sites with good road connections that are not in tranquil areas, so one of these sites should be chosen for any incinerator.</p> <p>Can we please, please, please put a stop to this rather than just inviting further revised proposals. This is the wrong site and always will be.</p> <p>Thankyou, Philip Dee.</p>	
505	Mr & Mrs M Barron	-	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>We would like to object most strongly to this monstrous plan.</p> <p>Please have the foresight to use the more modern technologies to deal with the waste which has value !</p> <p>Building any incinerator as planned would be such a retrograde step when we are all supposed to be looking to the future for our planet.</p>	
506	Mr John Cooban	109, Hazelwick Road, Three Bridges, Crawley, West Sussex, RH10 1NQ	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Former Wealden Brickworks (Site HB), Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure</p> <p>I object to this application on the grounds that pollution and fallout from an incinerator of a scale so huge - and most significantly requiring a chimney so high - that it would impact on views from heavily populated areas and protected landscapes for miles around, will be bad for the long term health and wellbeing of people - particularly in Crawley, downwind from the site.</p> <p>John Cooban CMLI M.Arbor.A</p> <p>109 Hazelwick Road, Three Bridges, Crawley.</p>	
507	Mr V Saunders	Hawkesbourne House, Rusper Road, Horsham, RH12 4QR	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>To the Head of planning department.</p> <p>Planning Reference WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Objection to the Grant of planning permission for a waste incinerator located to the North of Horsham and adjacent to the new housing development.</p> <p>Grounds of objection.</p> <p>Dumping Co2 into the environment by burning waste 24 hours a day.</p> <p>Disregard to Dark skies policy with light pollution from the site by 24h operating.</p> <p>Industrial noise pollution causing disturbance to local residents by considering this location.</p> <p>Not fully exploring and or considering EU regulations of waste recycling and reduction.</p> <p>Disregard to health of people living in the district due to concentrated HGV traffic pollution on route to waste site location.</p> <p>Short term Sustainability and viability to HDC tax payers with regard to UK waste deduction policy.</p> <p>Regards</p>	
508	Mr & Mrs Salter	North, Horsham	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>I write to confirm our objection to the Incinerator proposed for North Horsham</p> <p>Reference WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>As a resident of North Horsham area i wish to state that we moved to this location from further afield to enjoy the good things that Horsham had to offer and enjoy some of the Greenbelt and delightful countryside around us. That is slowly being destroyed with ridiculous levels of new housing and now a potential proposal for this increased size chimney and incinerator.</p> <p>We do not wish to live near such a blot on the landscape but also the potential exposures it poses of increased Co2 levels and increased transportation to feed this incinerator nor do I wish to pose any risks to my two small children in what I had hoped would be a long term plan to reside here.</p> <p>The idea of the chimney size increasing to 36m tall will not only be ugly but Im sure take a huge negative impact on house pricing and desirability for the area, as why would anyone chose to live near this..</p> <p>The WHO advise that areas near an incinerator should not be populated - therefore how is this proposal even being considered as it will clearly create plumes that will head over to nearby conurbations.</p> <p>Please note our objections</p> <p>Many thanks</p> <p>Mr and Mrs Slater</p>	

509	Mr David Anderson	Pondtail Cottage, Na, Langhurst Wood Road, Horsham, RH12 4TL	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>Severe concerns due to the close proximity of our property. Concerns are environmental, potential air quality loss and air pollution which may effect health (myself and family). It is also highly likely to produce an odour in the air, which again living so close will effect us.</p> <p>This will also negatively effect the landscape and character of the area.</p>	
510	Ms Carlie Morris	16a, Littlehaven Lane, Horsham, RH12 4JA	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>I object to having an incinerator in my location. Please look into renewable energy instead.</p>	
511	Mrs Jane Nash	18, 18, Sandeman Way, Horsham, RH136EL	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>Please note my objection to the planning application WSCC/015/18/NH for an incinerator in North Horsham. Horsham sits in a valley, the polluted air will sink into this valley with disastrous consequences for the people living here. My grandchildren live in north Horsham, and it may be best to leave the area, having lived here for 55 years it would be a shame. Horsham is a lovely town, do you really want it blighted after having done so much to make it such a sought after place to live.</p> <p>Also, I really hope this is not financially motivated on the part of the council. We are all trying so hard to reduce waste, and will continue to do so, this is not the way to motivate the community. There is nothing at all to commend this proposal, it must be decisively rejected and not ever considered again. Please ask Britanniacrest to give up.</p>	
512	Mrs Sarah Scott	9, Lakeside, Horsham, RH12 2LS	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>The proposals for an incinerator does not meet WSCC waste plan:</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale and throughput of the proposed plant is incompatible with the disposal of local waste and will attract material from outside of the county.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County??</p> <p>The proposal will have a dramatic effect on the character of Horsham and so we believe it does not meet the criteria.</p> <p>Policy W12: High Quality Developments. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they are of high quality and, where appropriate, the scale, form, and design (including landscaping). This incinerator clearly does not meet this requirement.</p> <p>Visual Impact</p> <p>The chimney of the brickworks is 26.5m high. The proposed incinerator building will be taller than this chimney some 35.92m in height, bigger than the shopping centre and impose across an AONB.</p> <p>Light Pollution</p> <p>For the CAA to demand middle and top of the stack is lit at night is due to flight paths. The CAA would not be demanding such comprehensive lighting if the routes did not go over the proposed site. The site would become a permanent hazard for all aircraft and significantly increase light pollution in the area.</p> <p>Recycle</p> <p>WSCC have shown a 2% increase in recycling and so to burn would captivate the council into long term contracts to keep a hungry incinerator burning. It is inevitable that recycling will drop, as is the case in London.</p> <p>The Biffa bio-mechanical digester that taxpayers paid for to deal with household waste will virtually become redundant as the proposer has stated that they intend to burn black sack waste as well as industrial.</p> <p>Burning waste is short sighted and damaging to the long-term prosperity to the planet on demands for resources.</p> <p>Noise Pollution</p> <p>As the site will be 24/7 it will create noise above the ambient noise enjoyed by rural areas of 30-35dB. This ambient noise levels decrease at night</p> <p>Flue Stack</p> <p>At the Britaniacrest exhibition the organisers detailed that the stack will be similar to a plant in Cornwall. This plant has two larger chimneys and so what is proposed seems to be questionable to its final proportions and subsequently visual impact as it is suggested that the chimney will be far bigger than illustrated by the proposer.</p> <p>Not Needed</p> <p>It would seem that West Sussex already has given planning permission for an incinerator at Ford in 2014. With an incinerator already permitted to be built on the coast it is highly questionable why an incinerator is needed on the edge of the county.</p> <p>Air Quality</p> <p>The air quality is declining in the area due to the congestion surrounding our parish. Lack of investment in highways means that we are subjected to cut through traffic on our country lanes every day bring car pollution to our rural doorsteps. This proposal would bring lorries on the dangerous A24, congested A264, A29, M23, and so the list goes on, as waste will be imported into Horsham to burn.</p> <p>Operations</p> <p>Britaniacrest have made it clear that they do not intend to run the site and so we are very concerned about the on going operation of an incinerator as previously experienced with the landfill site before Biffa took over.</p> <p>Not linked to the national grid</p>	
513	Mrs Joanna Stone	18, Cambridge Road, Horsham, RH13 5ED	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>I would like to object to the planning application WSCC/015/18/NH for in incinerator on the site of the Wealden Brickworks in Horsham.</p> <p>I'm objecting (aagain, this time to the second application) on the grounds that:</p> <p>a) the proposal is still going to be emitting pollutants over Horsham and the surrounding area, including Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, new housing developments and existing settlements and drinking water reservoirs.</p> <p>b) there will still be an increase in traffic transporting waste to be incinerated, which will have a detrimental affect on the area.</p>	

					<p>c) The proposed chimney and building is very tall and large and will be noticeable for many miles.</p> <p>d) there are recognised concerns about this method of rubbish disposal for its environmental impact, and it goes against the reduce, recycle and reuse approach encouraged by many environmental groups.</p> <p>Therefore I object to this proposal in the strongest terms. It is not an appropriate site, or indeed, waste disposal solution.</p>	
514	Mr Peter Turley	17, Heron Close, Crawley, RH11 7QX	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>This planning application has only come to my attention thanks to a letter from the Sussex section of CPRE in the April 18 "Crawley Observer." There is no point plagiarising the eloquent, informed narrative of this letter, there is nothing I can add. Suffice to say that everyone I have spoken to is appalled at the prospect that as Crawley residents we are where all this dangerous poison will make groundfall. Living as I do, less than 80 yards downwind from Crawley by-pass we are already exposed to all the traffic pollution, as residents we do not need this adding to with this incinerator. I object in the strongest possible terms.</p>	
515	Mrs Helena Wicks	77, Ropeland Way, Horsham, RH12 5NZ	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>I confirm that I object to the proposed development on the grounds of non-compliance with a number of existing policies and concerns regarding the adverse impact related to the proposed development. I believe that the proposed development does not comply with policies related to the West Sussex Waste Local Plan 2014:</p> <p>Policy W16 as it will not have an unacceptable impact on Air Quality. There will be an increase in emissions compared with the current baseline and there is significant potential for the proposed development to impact on the adjacent North Horsham Approved Development comprising residential properties and school which will place children and families in extremely close proximity for prolonged and continual periods of time.</p> <p>Policy W18 as it will not minimise lorry movements and the use of local roads for the movement of waste. When asked at the Public Exhibition about the assertion that the proposed development would result in a reduction in transport emissions it was acknowledged that there may be a region wide reduction but in the local area there may actually be an increase. WSCC Highways have requested more details on transport movements and for local residents any increase over the existing can be seen as adverse and detrimental to their health. Notwithstanding the fact that the proposed access road for which vehicles would access the site is due to be re-routed through the North Horsham Approved Development and therefore through the mixed use development.</p> <p>Policy W19 as it will harm the health and amenity of existing and proposed local residents, businesses and visitors-see above for comments.</p> <p>Moving onto the design there may have been a re-design however the height of the stack remains at 95m due to the need for plume dispersion. This in itself shows that air quality requirements can not be maintained without a large stack. Having viewed the photomontages and spoken to the Landscape Architect from RPS it was acknowledged that it would be visible and ugly (not my words but their own consultant's). Arguably this is an understatement as the proposed building and specifically the stack will be considerably higher than any of the existing buildings. The ES does not appear to include a large amount of information on plume visibility which previously was likely to be up to 400m and now appears to be dismissed as not being significant.</p> <p>With regard to the wider requirement for incinerators as a whole I am concerned that research increasingly appears to indicate that incineration reduces recycling. Incineration plants in Europe are already being decommissioned because reduced availability of suitable waste has significantly reduced the amount of material available to fuel the burners. Many countries are now having to import material to incinerate.</p> <p>In the news we are seeing increased pressure from Central Government for the reduction in the use and reliance on plastics and aiming to recycle more. With this change then large facilities such as this will be redundant. More information on wider policies for waste facility requirements (more recent than the West Sussex Waste Local Plan 2014) should be considered before determining that this proposed development, which will take time to be built, will not be surplus to requirements before it has been commissioned.</p>	
516	Mr brian jeffs	Drumlin, 58, Gateford Drive, Horsham, RH12 5FW	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>Ref: WSCC/015/18NH</p> <p>I am contacting your office objecting to the proposed planning of an Incinerator to be erected at the Biffa Mechanical Biological Treatment facility North Horsham the chimney is to be on a building 36m tall plus the chimney an extra 95m.</p> <p>The existing site has already defaced the country side with a massive mound, the fumes from this site in the summer is a total disgrace without all the large flies which come into the house and gardens, Gateford Drive is a very short distance from this site.</p> <p>Then there is all the litter and rubbish left along the road side of the A24 & A264. The whole town and surrounding area is a total mess with rubbish left all over the district. Tesco's being one of the worst offenders along with the Biffa trucks dropping waste.</p> <p>It has become apparent that there is no environmental inspection on this site to monitor its operation, spraying scented chemicals on the waste does not work.</p> <p>The council need to clean its act up with these companies and start to take into account the opinions of the residents.</p> <p>I say again my wife and I object to the Incinerator being built.</p> <p>Regards B.S.& L.S.Jeffs</p>	
517	Mrs Marion Daniels	49, Brioadwood Close, Horsham	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to the proposed waste incinerator in North Horsham. It is totally inappropriate for a highly populated area and the emissions will result in high CO2 and NOX gasses being dispersed. The increased HGV traffic supplying the plant would also be a huge problem.</p> <p>This ghastly proposal should be thrown out!</p>	
518	LJ Daniels	49, Broadwood Close, Horsham	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>I object to the above planning application on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) It is environmentally bad, since it would encourage more plastic waste, create more CO2 and NOX emissions.2) It is an unacceptable advance in the degradation of rural countryside.3) HGV traffic movements would be hugely increased on local roads, which are already seriously congested.4) The health of residents far and wide would be seriously affected by even more emissions into the atmosphere.5) I am an asthma sufferer and I already have huge problems with aircraft emissions due to the increase of air traffic at Gatwick. An incinerator, however 'clean', would be extremely bad for my health and no doubt for that of many other people.	
519	Mr Graham Atkins	5, Fivens Place, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5AS	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>Attention: County Planning, West Sussex County Council, County Hall, Chichester PO19 1RH</p> <p>I am writing to object to the planning application WSCC/015/18/NH the location of which will be adjacent to the Daux roundabout off the A24 / A264.</p>	

					<p>The proposed site is too close to heavily populated areas and soon to be the location of new housing estates, local schools, the Warnham deer park, and the Warnham Local Nature Reserve.</p> <p>I have particular concern on the pollution caused by emissions into the air that will enter the soil, surface water and groundwater and eventually the food chain that will pose a major risk to human health. We are talking about dust, nitrogen oxides, sulphur dioxide, hydrogen chloride, hydrogen fluoride, heavy metals and dioxins and furan. Furan is a colourless, flammable, highly volatile liquid that is toxic and may be carcinogenic in humans.</p> <p>Britaniacrest propose to squeeze a massive incinerator (180,000Te per annum) capacity onto the site named above to take the industrial waste materials from many of the southern counties of England. The chimney will be 96 metres high.</p> <p>The size of the construction is very large, the chimney very high and they will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages as well as potentially areas of outstanding natural beauty in Surrey.</p> <p>The proposed incinerator is non-compliant with West Sussex County Council's Waste Local Plan in the following respects:</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises.</p> <p>Observation: The facility is so large it must be designed to accept waste from all over the South East of England.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County.</p> <p>Observation: There is no element of the proposal that will protect or enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 11: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County.</p> <p>Observation: Far from enhancing the environment, it will detract and blight it. The chimney will be visible from 15kms away in areas of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County.</p> <p>Observation: It will have a major detrimental impact on local rural villages, Horsham and surrounding communities.</p> <p>Policy W12: High Quality Developments. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they are of high quality and, where appropriate, the scale, form, and design (including landscaping) take into account the need to: (a) integrate with and, where possible, enhance adjoining land-uses; (b) have regard to the local context including: the topography, landscape, townscape, streetscape and skyline of the surrounding area site.</p> <p>Observation: The Britaniacrest proposal does not meet any of the criteria set out above in W12.</p> <p>Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that: lighting, noise, dust, odours and other emissions are controlled to the extent that there will not be an unacceptable impact on public health and amenity.</p> <p>Observation: Such a large facility must necessarily produce such emissions that it must make an unacceptable impact upon public health and amenity.</p> <p>Observation: Light pollution will be significant. The CAA have demanded middle and top of the stack is lit at night. The stack will increase in light pollution from the plant and the skyline</p>
520	Mr John Cooban	109, Hazelwick Road, Three Bridges, Crawley, West Sussex, RH10 1NQ	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>I object to this application on the grounds that pollution and fallout from an incinerator of a scale so huge - and most significantly a chimney so high - that it would impact on views from heavily populated areas and protected landscapes for miles around, will be bad for the long term health and wellbeing of people - particularly in Crawley, downwind from the site.</p>
521	AM Costello	-	Object	23-APR-2018	<p>WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Objection</p> <p>Planning permission should be refused.</p> <p>Too much industrial traffic</p> <p>More pollutions</p> <p>Unsafe levels of pollution from incinerator concerns over asthma</p> <p>This should not be located in our countryside. It should be located in an industrial area where the plume will not cover residential and school areas</p>
522	Mr CJ Morris	13, Tennyson Close, Horsham, RH12 5PN	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>1. The proposal does not comply with WSCC Waste Local Plan</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5 - The size of facility and proposed throughput are way in excess of local needs and will require waste to be transported into the site from other counties - bringing increased road traffic and associated pollution.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10 - There would be no enhancement of the natural environment, quite the contrary.</p> <p>Policy W11 - The scale of the proposal would have a significant adverse effect on the character of the area.</p> <p>Policy 12 - The scale, form and design are such that the plant will not integrate with let alone enhance adjoining land uses; the proposal has no regard for local context, topography, landscape or skyline of the surrounding area.</p> <p>2. Environmental Pollution</p> <p>Before any consideration is given to the Application a full report should be provided detailing what pollutants could be emitted by the facility, how and where; detailing individually, collectively, and cumulatively over time; the impact on residents and visitors, farmland, livestock and the natural environment. In addition, an assessment should be made of the pollution generated by vehicles bringing waste from outside the area of local need.</p> <p>3. Scale, location and visibility</p> <p>The proposed main building structure is vast compared to existing structures. In addition the horizontal dimensions of the chimney have not been specified but are likely to be many times that implied in the scale drawings. The whole structure constitutes a major adverse visual impact across a wide area of the District and beyond.</p> <p>4. Lighting</p> <p>Multiple aviation lights on the chimney and building, and general building lighting, will cause significant light-pollution across a wide area.</p> <p>5. Noise</p> <p>Operation of the plant, especially at night, will cause noise pollution to nearby residents. The undisclosed horizontal dimensions and design characteristics of the chimney could generate noise intrusions during different wind conditions. Increased noise will be generated by the large vehicles required to transport waste to the plant.</p> <p>6. Chimney</p> <p>The planning application should not be given any consideration until both the vertical and horizontal dimensions and the design characteristics of the proposed chimney have been stated in the Application.</p> <p>7. Other matters</p> <p>The European Commission in describing the Role of Waste-to-Energy in the Circular Economy, 26/01/2017, warned that incineration would hamper the circular waste economy. They recommend investment in more recycling capacity and anaerobic digestion instead - a local tax-payer funded facility we have already invested in.</p> <p>In addition, DEFRA chief scientific adviser, Ian Boyd, has said that incineration extinguishes innovation and is worse than landfill because it destroys value.</p> <p>Furthermore, developments in technology now present us with useful alternatives to incineration and there is a sea-change taking place in terms of a reduction in plastic products.</p>

					Incineration is an outmoded waste of valuable resources a well as directly and indirectly introducing environmental pollution. The Application has no merit and the proposal would have a significant adverse environmental impact.	
523	Mr MICHAEL LEWIS	1, Horsham Road, Capel, Dorking, RH5 5JP	Object	24-APR-2018	-	
524	Mrs Emily Reynolds	25, Crawley Road, Horsham, RH12 4DS	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>I am writing to raise my objection to the proposed Horsham Incinerator.</p> <p>As a mother to three young children residing in Horsham, I am extremely concerned by the health implications of having an incinerator so close to home. There is no 'safe pollution' and it is unthinkable that this application could be considered, let alone passed. How does this benefit anyone living in the locality, when our health and quality of life is at risk?</p> <p>Aside from the plume of noxious gases that will be emitted into the atmosphere, there is the increased traffic to the incinerator site that will in turn create further pollution. Then there is the factor of increased noise that would undoubtedly cause a disturbance for people living nearby.</p> <p>The incinerator is totally unsuitable for the site at the Wealden Brickworks, given its close proximity to residential areas. This goes directly against the advice of The World Health Organisation! What about the thousands of homes that the council has already decided are to be built in this area?</p> <p>The impact that an incinerator would have upon the environment would be immeasurable. We should be seeking ways to preserve our countryside and wildlife for the future generations, not destroying and poisoning it. The scale and size of the chimney that is proposed, will create a permanent blot on the landscape that would be visible for miles around. The fact that it would need to be permanently lit due to being on a flight path, further shows how intrusive the chimney is upon the environment around it.</p> <p>Please dismiss this application and protect the wellbeing of everyone living in the area</p>	
525	Mrs Cheryl Smith	29, Coney Croft, Horsham, RH124ew	Object	24-APR-2018	Concerned for the health and safety of my children. Too close to schools and communities. It will devalue the town and properties	
526	N Heymans	-	Object	24-APR-2018	I write to state my objection to the incinerator being built at Horsham.	
527	Rachel Laving	., Durfold Road, Horsham, RH12 5HZ	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>Dear Planning,</p> <p>Re: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I am dismayed to learn that Britaniacrest have submitted a new application for the incinerator in North Horsham.</p> <p>I live within a mile of this proposed site in Durfold Road RH12 5HZ. I have 2 children ages 8 and 3 who will be exposed to the waste that will reach our property from the chimney. They will also breathe in the increased Co2 emissions from the HGVs that will be accessing the site.</p> <p>Over the last 7 years of living in Horsham we have suffered the increase in traffic noise from the A264 due to ever rising housing developments and the extremely unpleasant smell from the waste already processed at Langhurst. Further applications such as this one and the North Horsham Housing development (as it is) are decreasing the desirability of living in North Horsham, not just for those of us already there, but for new buyers also.</p> <p>Please do not approve the new application thus prioritising your Horsham residents' health and quality of living over a profit making developers plans and let's put our energy into increased recycling instead.</p> <p>Best wishes</p>	
528	Rev Mike Nelson	-	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>Hello,</p> <p>I wish to register my objection to the planning application WSCC/015/18/NH to build an incinerator in North Horsham.</p> <p>My objections are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Quality of air and quality of life ŷ it is likely that particulates from the incinerator will be in the air over Horsham and in the surrounding areas. It is quite obvious from research that even with quality filtration this will still have an impact on air quality around the area and across the town. 2. Location ŷ the site of the incinerator is likely to be on the doorsteps of the local authority housing in the new liberty property development coming to the North of Horsham. There are people who have such little choice over where they live and the accommodation available to them, that to then place them next to something that could have considerable impact on their health and quality of life seems completely unjustifiable. <p>Please register my objection based on the above reasons.</p> <p>Yours in Christ,</p> <p>Mike</p>	
529	Eric, Julie, Amy & Kate Lawrence	-	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>We are against the new Incinerator proposed by Britaniacrest to be based in Horsham (Ref WSCC/015/18/NH): -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I have a young family and believe the new plant will be a risk to their health: citing the World Health Organisation, a waste incinerator should not be placed near a populated area 2. The proposal is planned by a private company just for profit, the roads will be clogged up with large HGV's shipping waste in from other counties, causing further pollution and damaging the local roads 3. The new building and especially the revised chimney of 95m will be an eye sore <p>A few years ago Horsham was high up on a poll of best places to live in the UK, an incinerator polluting our air with carbon dioxide and Nox emissions will make this a laughable proposition!</p> <p>Please say NO.</p> <p>Yours Sincerely</p>	
530	Myles Laving	., Durfold Road, Horsham, RH12 5HZ	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>To Whom It May Concern,</p> <p>I am shocked to learn that Britaniacrest have submitted a new application for the incinerator in North Horsham.</p> <p>I live within a mile of this proposed site in Durfold Road RH12 5HZ. I have 2 children ages 8 and 3 who will be exposed to the waste that will reach our property from the chimney. They will also breathe in the increased Co2 emissions from the HGVs that will be accessing the site.</p> <p>Over the last 7 years of living in Horsham we have suffered the increase in traffic noise from the A264 due to ever rising housing developments and the extremely unpleasant smell from the waste already processed at Langhurst. Further applications such as this one and the North Horsham Housing development (as it is) are decreasing the desirability of living in North Horsham, not just for those of us already there, but for new buyers also.</p> <p>Please do not approve the new application thus prioritising your Horsham residents' health and quality of living over a profit making developers plans and let's put our energy into increased recycling instead.</p>	

					Kind Regards, Myles	
531	Ms Julia Edwards	The Chase, Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9BX	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir or Madam</p> <p>I strongly object to the proposed plans to build an incinerator in the Horsham area as outlined in reference WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>I have just signed a petition to support the local objection of the proposed plans at www.change.org which has generated almost 2,500 signatures already.</p> <p>This is my objection:</p> <p>Building an incinerator in Horsham would not only be an eyesore on the horizon, but it could eventually contribute to health defects in the local population, and transform our beautiful natural landscape into an industrial wasteland. In addition to the extra traffic, noise and light pollution, it would degrade air, soil and water quality with its enormous towering chimney, that would be churning out tonnes of poisonous fumes into our atmosphere.</p> <p>There is still a strong agricultural presence in the area, so this pollution would affect our cultivated crops, livestock, and food production. This incinerator is unsafe and unsuitable for Horsham.</p> <p>Consideration should be given to relocate the proposed development to an already established industrial site away from densely populated areas, nature reserves, and agricultural food production.</p> <p>Moving forward, we need to address society's insatiable throw-away consumer culture. We need to be more conscious about the materials we use, recycle more, and send less non-biodegradable waste to landfill.</p> <p>I would be grateful if you could please acknowledge receipt of my objection.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you soon.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p>	
532	Honor Locke	-	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>RE WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I have very strong objections to the erection of incinerator in North Horsham. How can anyone agree to that and a development of 2500+ houses , shops and schools all in one small area. Just think what it would be like if you lived in the shadow of an incinerator!</p> <p>Honor Locke</p>	
533	Bill & Janice Sorrell	Bank Cottage, Friday Street, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QY	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>This is my formal objection to the proposed incinerator near the Warnham brickworks for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It will be very close to Warnham village and the North Horsham housing development.2. The previous proposal was rejected and I see little difference in the new application.3. This is in a rural area and the increase in Co2 levels will be damaging to people's health.4. The additional HGV vehicle movements from other parts of the country will both increase traffic in the nearby roads and pollution generally.5. The proposed chimney is 3 times the height of the present chimney which will be an i-sore at best and an additional pollutant to the environment.6. Is this incinerator necessary when the government are gradually introducing new laws regarding the reduction of plastic and household waste generally? <p>Regards</p>	
534	Katherine, Peter, Thomas, Stefan Seifert	67, Redford Ave, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2HW	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern</p> <p>We the signatories file the following objection to the building of an incinerator plant in the North of Horsham.</p> <p>1) The incinerator is a purely commercial undertaking by the company Britanniacrest and is not part of WSCC waste management plan. At this moment in time a taxpayer funded BIFFA operated Mechanical Biological Treatment facility is in operation at the same site where the incinerator would be build. An incinerator would make a mockery of recycling targets set by the government and already in Westminster politicians move away from building of incinerators in favor of recycling.</p> <p>2) The proposed incinerator is a stand alone unit, whereby the heat generated is not converted into electricity or heating for houses. This is happening with the operation of incinerators in Europe particularly in Germany, which result in highly efficient incinerator plants that allows the operators to bid for waste from the UK to be incinerated in Germany. This will led to an under utilization of the plant to built in Horsham and this in turn will make the operation uneconomical and continuous operation in order keep extremely byproducts from the incineration process such as dioxins at the lowest possible levels rather unlikely.</p> <p>3) The proposed plant needs feeding with large amounts of waste from outside the county that will lead to enormous amounts of HGV traffics in particular the A264 and makes the single carriage part of the A24 between Capel and Horsham a highly dangerous road to drive on. Judging by the WSCC and HDC often underwhelming efforts to keep these and other roads in good state repair to date, potholes and broken road surfaces will be the result of this unsustainable increase of HGV traffic.</p> <p>4)) Another area of concern are environmental and health implications with increasing CO2 release in the atmosphere highly toxic byproducts from the incineration process.</p> <p>5) What has changed from the previous to the current application in our opinion nothing. The proposer Brittanniacrest has made no effort to address the facts above and will try with well paid consultants and high calibre legal experts to scare those in WSCC responsible for the planning into submission.</p> <p>Please remember the application has no benefit for West Sussex and the Horsham area. You are representatives of the West Sussex electorate and not bound to the commercial interests of companies such as Britanniacrest.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	
535	Mrs Janet Sidey	14, Blake Close, Crawley, West Sussex, RH105LN	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>I am very concerned over the proposed building of an Incinerator by Britaniacrest near Horsham, which would release a cocktail of harmful substances into the atmosphere. These include greenhouse gases, acidic gases and heavy metals.The site would be downwind of Crawley and Horsham,which is one of the County's most populated areas,and important drinking water reservoirs and farmland would be affected.Many of the heavy metals are cumulative,causing Environmental damage.Crawley is already polluted from Gatwick Airport,and the incinerator would only exacerbate the problem. Many of the inhabitants, including my two Grandsons,suffer from Asthma and breathing problems.Please reject this proposal.</p>	
536	Miss Tracey Hodgkiss	Jordans, Partridge Lane, Rusper, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4RW	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>I would like to strongly object to this development due to the increase traffic, noise and pollution this will cause. There are residential houses in this area and schools close by. I understand the world health organisation advises that areas near waste incinerators should not be populated so why is this even being considered again?</p>	

					This development would be of huge detriment to the area.	
537	Mr Craig Birch	Lower Chickens Farm, Dorking Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3RY	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to this planning application and urge WSCC to refuse this application in its entirety.</p> <p>The facility is excessive in size with the chimney reaching 95m, this can not be hidden in the natural tree canopy and will be seen for miles obscuring views of outstanding natural beauty (Surrey Hills, South Downs National Park and local conservation areas). It is not in keeping with the surrounding enviroment and will do nothing to enhance the local area. In fact it will be a hugely deterrental visually.</p> <p>The omission from the chimney is a huge worry, and will cause unacceptable pollution levels in the local and surrounding areas. This concerns myself and my family a great deal due to the massive negative impacts on health which have been documented, with the safety of these facilities yet to be opined on by central Government, further there is no need for additional incinerators, this is just a for profit making venture for corporate gain.</p> <p>The road traffic will increase due to the amount of HGV needed to take rubbish which is NOT local, but from areas far and wide, further increasing pollution and putting a strain on a road infrastructure which is already not fit for the current level of traffic . This I strongly object to... bringing rubbish to Horsham to burn, how can this be in the interest of Horsham communities, given that its not part of the WSCC waste plan. Burning rubbish will hamper recycling rates and is not the correct message to send to companies who manufacture packaging.</p> <p>The noise and lighting from the facility will severely decrease quality of life to the local communities and the facility will run 24/7 with excessive lighting due to the proximity to Gatwick Airport.</p> <p>Local wildlife will suffer due to the noise, lighting and pollution that this facility will bring to a highly desirable area devaluing the area for ever. There is absolutely no benefit to the area if this facility goes ahead, Horsham is a desirable historic market town, visited by people all over the country and a good family town. If this facility goes ahead this will no longer be the case and the local economy will suffer negatively as a result. I appeal to WSCC to decline this application in full and NOT allow this facility to go ahead at this site.</p>	
538	Mrs Hannah Paxton	137, Cootes Avenue, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>I am really concerned about the detrimental effect within the Horsham area regarding large lorries trundling through our lovely surrounding countryside.</p> <p>Also to have a chimney of a 95 metre stature which has to be lit due to the airport (one of the busiest in Europe!!!) vicinity.</p> <p>The residents of Station Road are already effected with the stress of what is to be for their future when they have invested life savings in living in a once beautiful area which is now going to be the opposite.</p> <p>Why don't they relocate this idea to the edge of Kent as we have enough to put up with in this area given the effects we have living next to a major airport.</p>	
539	Mr Thomas Shadbolt	7, Oaks Close, Horsham, RH12 4TZ	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>There is absolutely no need for an industrial sized incinerator on the outskirts of Horsham. This would be of no benefit to us, only for lining the pockets of external companies who won't even be based in the local area or contributing to Horsham residents actual needs. How will the increased heavy vehicle traffic on our already busy roads benefit us? More pot holes which take months to fix, more fumes for my children to breathe in and zero gain to our countryside.</p> <p>How about Britanniacrest build the incinerator on the outskirts of Chichester? With an increased population perhaps you might actually gain from it and reap the wonderful rewards of under performing Councils all over the South of England ferrying large trucks full of excess waste to your location instead.</p> <p>For once instead of helping to make people who don't even live locally or pay into the local economy richer wouldn't it be nice if Horsham District Council or WSCC in Chichester made a GOOD difference in the local area?</p> <p>Regards, A life-long Horsham resident.</p>	
540	Mrs Teresa Beacher	Stane Street Hollow, Stane Street, Codmore Hill, Pulborough, RH20 1BG	Object	24-APR-2018	-	
541	Mrs Teresa Beacher	Stane Street Hollow, Stane Street, Codmore Hill, Pulborough, RH20 1BG	Object	24-APR-2018	Increased pollution from both the incinerator itself and the increased traffic and heavy good vehicles.	
542	Mrs Julie Carruthers	23, Greenfinch Way, Horsham, RH12 5HB	Object	24-APR-2018	I am very concerned depending on wind direction that the plume will reach my property and and very worried to how it will effect my health and the health of the little children I look after. Therefore I strongly object.	
543	Mr Gary Heron	Ardea, 23, Highdown Way, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5JJ	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>At a time when the Council is increasing taxation on the grounds of being a less polluting council and on environmental grounds, it is beyond the highest hypocrisy should this be granted.</p> <p>Our recycling collections have been reduced- so we are told- to force us to recycle more. So why do we now want to go polluting the environment by burning more?</p> <p>No doubt Britannia Crest will get a juicy council contract and make lots of money whilst filling the air with toxins and our health will deteriorate. This will put extra strain on local- overstretched- NHS services in the long run.</p> <p>There is no need for a ?jobs for the boys? public enquiry. It is clear in the modern and CIVILISED world that this proposal is anachronistic at best and has no place in modern society. Do what my industry is doing- be less polluting, NOT MORE.</p>	
544	Mrs Anne Jack	16, Petworth Drive, Horsham, RH12 5JH	Object	24-APR-2018	This is an unnecessary development to have near a residential area. The increased heavy vehicular road traffic and unwanted waste products from the recycling process out weigh the benefits. The health implications are not fully known.	
545	Mr Rod Kitchin	3, Trefoil Close, Horsham, RH12 5FQ	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>In summary; wrong location; wrong time and wrong solution.</p> <p>Wrong location</p> <p>The proposed development of an incinerator by Britaniacrest would be right next to a housing development with a housing capacity of 5000 to 10000 people. The impact of emissions from such a facility are still unknown and therefore a very cautious approach should be taken to the siting of such facilities. Transportation by road will introduce pollution from vehicles as well as the depositing of waste in bushes and hedgerows which is already very evident around the roads of North Horsham. It is clearly not just coffee cups and the like thrown from cars by inconsiderate drivers and passengers but extends to more commercial waste that comes from lorries, probably on their way to the current facilities</p> <p>Wrong time</p> <p>There is significant change coming in the near future to alter the way we package items and therefore reduce in particular the amount of plastic used in the supply chain. Public opinion will continue to press for change to a more sustainable economy as a result of recent publicity about the effects of pollution on the worlds seas and environment in general. There is likely to be an over capacity of incineration facilities in the UK which will lead to a reduction of recycling rates which cannot be a good thing. West Sussex is currently well behind the recycling rates of the best councils in the UK and facilities to increase recycling should be introduced in preference to burning.</p> <p>Wrong solution</p> <p>A far better use of the site would be to extend the recycling capabilities of the site and perhaps develop transportation by rail of the sorted waste to recovery facilities. Burning waste will be equivalent to introducing a power plant running on fossil fuels.</p>	
546	Mrs Kristy	163, St Leonards Road,	Object	24-APR-2018		

	Mannimv	Horsham, RH13 6BD			Horsham is a lovely market town with green fields and clean fresh air. I want my family and I to continue to live in this clean environment and the plan for an incinerator will not support this. I believe that a more suitable location should be found away from Horsham especially with the ever growing population. If you want to support the thousands of houses bring built then a school would be more appropriate.	
547	Ms Rachel McConnell	24, Acorn Avenue, Cowfold, Horsham, RH13 8RS	Object	24-APR-2018	-	
548	Mrs AC Morris	13, Tennyson Close, Horsham, RH12 5PN	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>1. The proposal does not comply with WSCC Waste Local Plan Strategic Objective 5 - The size of facility and proposed throughput are way in excess of local needs and will require waste to be transported into the site from other counties - bringing increased road traffic and associated pollution.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10 - There would be no enhancement of the natural environment, quite the contrary.</p> <p>Policy W11 - The scale of the proposal would have a significant adverse effect on the character of the area.</p> <p>Policy 12 - The scale, form and design are such that the plant will not integrate with let alone enhance adjoining land uses; the proposal has no regard for local context, topography, landscape or skyline of the surrounding area.</p> <p>2. Environmental Pollution Before any consideration is given to the Application a full report should be provided detailing what pollutants could be emitted by the facility, how and where; detailing individually, collectively, and cumulatively over time; the impact on residents and visitors, farmland, livestock and the natural environment. In addition, an assessment should be made of the pollution generated by vehicles bringing waste from outside the area of local need.</p> <p>3. Scale, location and visibility The proposed main building structure is vast compared to existing structures. In addition the horizontal dimensions of the chimney have not been specified but are likely to be many times that implied in the scale drawings. The whole structure constitutes a major adverse visual impact across a wide area of the District and beyond.</p> <p>4. Lighting Multiple aviation lights on the chimney and building, and general building lighting, will cause significant light-pollution across a wide area.</p> <p>5. Noise Operation of the plant, especially at night, will cause noise pollution to nearby residents. The undisclosed horizontal dimensions and design characteristics of the chimney could generate noise intrusions during different wind conditions. Increased noise will be generated by the large vehicles required to transport waste to the plant.</p> <p>6. Chimney The planning application should not be given any consideration until both the vertical and horizontal dimensions and the design characteristics of the proposed chimney have been stated in the Application.</p> <p>7. Other matters The European Commission in describing the Role of Waste-to-Energy in the Circular Economy, 26/01/2017, warned that incineration would hamper the circular waste economy. They recommend investment in more recycling capacity and anaerobic digestion instead - a local tax-payer funded facility we have already invested in.</p> <p>In addition, DEFRA chief scientific adviser, Ian Boyd, has said that incineration extinguishes innovation and is worse than landfill because it destroys value.</p> <p>Furthermore, developments in technology now present us with useful alternatives to incineration and there is a sea-change taking place in terms of a reduction in plastic products.</p> <p>Incineration is an outmoded waste of valuable resources a well as directly and indirectly introducing environmental pollution. The Application has no merit and the proposal would have a significant adverse environmental impact.</p>	
549	Fiona Hamilton	., Broome Close, Horsham	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam I am writing in objection to the proposed development and erection of the Horsham Incinerator.</p> <p>Horsham is steeped in family history for me, my Great Grandfather was Earle Turnbull, dairy farmer to the town and who Earle's Meadow was named after. My other Great Grandparents lived in both Moated farm and Rapelands farm with much of our family's memorabilia being held and displayed at Horsham Museum.</p> <p>Despite moving out of Horsham when I was a student, I chose to come back and start a family of my own in this town, just far enough away from London to breathe fresh country air but also forward thinking in continuing to develop and provide all the amenities a modern family require.</p> <p>It has taken my husband and I nine years to finally get on the housing ladder and buy our first home in Broome Close, North Horsham. I am extremely concerned about the proposal of the incinerator and cannot believe that this is even being considered so close to town.</p> <p>The wind already carries the smell of gases from the landfill on Langhurstwood Road to North Horsham, I don't see how the council proposes to steer the pollution caused by this incinerator away from the town. Surely the council is aware of how dangerous incinerators can be when in close proximity to developed areas as referenced by the World Health Organisation, BBC news, British Society for Ecological Medicine as well as several other UK councils.</p> <p>Even if the proposed incinerator has an air pollution control device, there is still a real risk of nanoparticles and toxic fly ash reaching our homes and effecting the health of our children. I truly am baffled by the application of this archaic monstrosity and believe that money can be saved if we are more savvy with our recycling and stop taking money for taking other towns' waste. The UK are so behind in our efforts towards sustainability, it's embarrassing. Please help focus local councils on improving individual carbon footprints instead of leaving a giant one where a beautiful town called Horsham used to be.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	
550	Mr R L Lawes	Rusty Grackle, Langhurst Wood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I wish to place my objection to the planning application 2 WSCC/015/18/NH for an Incinerator Plant at the former Wealden Brickworks on Langhurstwood Road in North Horsham.</p> <p>My reasons for rejection of this application are:-</p> <p>1. The site today is immediately adjacent to the high density residential area of North Horsham and will be surrounded by new</p>	

					<p>residential housing estates as the area north of the A264 is developed over the next 5 years. Placing an industrial complex with some polluting exhaust is putting the health of a large population at risk.</p> <p>2. The road system surrounding the site is extremely busy at all times and suffers significant congestion at peak times every day. Adding more HGV traffic will further aggravate an already difficult traffic congestion situation.</p> <p>3. The site is at the lower side of rising high ground which places many houses and the villages of Rusper and Kingsfold directly in line with the output of the chimney as the prevailing winds blow directly up the hill from the site.</p> <p>Yours Sincerely</p>	
551	Ms Catherine Pinder	., Old Holbrook	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I'm writing to object to the application to build the Britaniacrest Incinerator in North Horsham.</p> <p>I live on Old Holbrook, in close proximity to the site of the proposal. This is an area that already has a significant number of residents, and many more planned with the North of Horsham development, therefore I believe it to be an unsuitable location for the incinerator. This is based on the World Health Organisation advice that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated. The CO2 emissions that the incinerator will give off are damaging to our health therefore locating it in a residential area, which has 12 schools in a 5 mile radius, is irresponsible.</p> <p>In addition the incinerator will be bad for the environment. As already mentioned the CO2 emissions from the incinerator itself will damage the environment, as will the increased CO2 and Nox emissions coming from the huge increase in HGV traffic transporting waste to the site. Additionally, the towering chimney will be a blot on the landscape, not just because of its height but also by the fact it will have to be permanently lit to ensure it isn't hit by aircraft.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p>	
552	Irene Leverett	-	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>I do not see that this incinerator is suitable for the area for the following reasons:</p> <p>Layout and appearance of the building will dominate the area with its Tower.</p> <p>North Horsham suffered for 2 years with smells coming night and morning friends at Rusper say they still get them from the incinerator that is already installed. The impact on the wildlife, Warnham Sanctuary trees and hedgerows will be devastating. Traffic will get worse and the fact that houses and schools are to be built near it is wrong. I consider we have already done our bit by having 1 incinerator and the hill full of animal carcasses. This area will be totally destroyed.</p>	
553	Graeme Whillis	-	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir / Madam,</p> <p>I wish to register my strong objection to the planning application for an incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>I trust that you will dismiss this ill-judged proposal which is wholly unnecessary and would damage the local community.</p> <p>Kind Regards, Graeme</p>	
554	Ms Eileen Lawes	Rusty Grackle, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, RH12 4QD	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs.</p> <p>I wish to object to the above planning application by Britaniacrest in the strongest terms, for the following reasons.</p> <p>I understand an incinerator built at this location does not comply with WSCC own planning objectives on many grounds., all existing rules must be met.</p> <p>The incinerator will rely on waste being trucked in from out of the county from all over the country.</p> <p>The state of the art MBT unit has already been paid for out of our tax's, recycles and doesn't create pollution.</p> <p>An industrial factory of this enormity is out of keeping with the surrounding rural area.</p> <p>The near by villages of Rusper and Kingsfold are at a higher sea level and in the line of the prevailing winds, of the proposed incinerator site , creating an unacceptable risk of smoke and pollution.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	
555	Feyona Maas	-	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>I am objecting to the Britaniacrest incinerator being planned for the Horsham area.</p> <p>I live in Horsham, a beautiful and well developed town.</p> <p>Having the incinerator so close would be bad for the environment.</p> <p>Thank you Feyona Maas</p>	
556	Carolanne Berry	12, Wickhurst Lane, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3LX	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>On behalf of myself and my family including three children, I wish to voice my strongest possible objection to the construction of an industrial incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>This would be a plight on the local area, detrimental to health, wildlife, nature and mostly the polar opposite direction in which we should be taking our approach to waste and it's production/ management.</p> <p>Please refuse this application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Carolanne Berry</p>	
557	Rick Pope	-	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir or Madam,</p> <p>Planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>I wish to strongly object against this planning application for an incinerator.</p> <p>Visual Impact</p> <p>The chimney of the brickworks is 26.5m high. The proposed incinerator building will be taller than this chimney some 35.92m in height which is taller than the existing chimney which is already visible above the treeline. Therefore, just the new proposed building itself will tower over the treeline.</p> <p>The building will be bigger than Horsham's shopping centre.</p> <p>The new proposed chimney will be 95m tall - it will be seen from far and wide, including areas of outstanding natural beauty. By the proposers own submission it will be seen as far as Box Hill.</p> <p>Air Quality</p> <p>The air quality is declining in the area due to the congestion surrounding our parish. Lack of investment in highways means that we are subjected to cut through traffic on our country lanes everyday bringing car pollution to our rural doorsteps. WSCC in their recent Connect magazine detailed that vehicles, 80% of nitrogen dioxide concentration at the roadside is caused by road transport.</p> <p>This proposal would bring 140 lorries a day on the dangerous A24, congested A264, A29, M23, and as waste will be imported into Horsham to burn.</p> <p>Light Pollution</p> <p>For the CAA to demand middle and top of the stack is lit at night is due to flight paths. The CAA would not be demanding such comprehensive lighting if the routes did not go over the proposed site.</p> <p>The stack will be lit like a Christmas tree producing significant increase in light pollution from the plant and the skyline.</p> <p>Noise Pollution</p> <p>As the site will be operating 24/7 it will create noise above the ambient noise enjoyed by rural areas of 30-35dB. This ambient noise levels decrease at night.</p> <p>There is no offer of compensation for noise and light pollution to the surrounding communities.</p> <p>There is no compensation being offered to those whose home will be devalued by the building of an industrial incinerator of this magnitude adjacent to their homes.</p>	

					<p>Recycling</p> <p>WSCC have shown a 2% increase in recycling and so to burn would captivate the council into long term contracts to keep a hungry incinerator burning. It is inevitable that recycling will drop, as is the case in London.</p> <p>The Biffa bio-mechanical digester that taxpayers paid for to deal with household waste will virtually become redundant as the proposer has stated that they intend to burn black sack waste as well as industrial.</p> <p>Burning waste is short sighted and damaging to the long-term prosperity to the planet on demands for resources.</p> <p>Not Needed</p> <p>It would seem that West Sussex already has given planning permission for an incinerator at Ford in 2014. With an incinerator already permitted to be built on the coast it is highly questionable why an incinerator is needed on the edge of the county.</p> <p>NB Gatwick already has an incinerator, which burns waste from Manor Royal Business Park.</p> <p>UK Win are behind the political cross party Early Day Motion (581)* to place a moratorium on new incinerators because there is not enough waste to feed the incinerators currently in use and being built in the UK, but this legislation will come way too late for West Sussex.</p> <p>Research increasingly shows that incineration decreases the rate of recycling and with the amount of plastic in production set to decrease dramatically in the next few years, what will this Horsham incinerator burn?</p> <p>*http://www.parliament.uk/edm/2017-19/581</p> <p>Thank you for your time.</p> <p>Sincerely</p>	
558	Anna Davison	-	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I do understand why the council are considering placing this incinerator close to where they have approved a development of hundreds of homes in North Horsham. Not only will this impact the existing residents, it will greatly impact the new residences. In addition:</p> <p>¿ The A264/A24 will have the double impact of increased traffic for the incinerator AND the new housing development - greatly impact noise, traffic build ups and pollution</p> <p>¿ As Horsham does not need this - why place it near our homes - we are working on reducing our waste, not burning it</p> <p>¿ The Britaniacrest site is already and eyesore when driving / walking in the area - the increase in size will be huge, and further reduce the desirability of Horsham</p> <p>¿ The plume from this incinerator will impact the houses - and this is against WHO advice - it is too close to residential areas</p> <p>¿ We should be reducing our waste - not creating more and burning it</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Anna Davison</p>	
559	Nick Paxton	137, Cootes Avenue, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2AF	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir or Madam,</p> <p>Planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>I wish to strongly object against this planning application for an incinerator.</p> <p>Visual Impact</p> <p>The chimney of the brickworks is 26.5m high. The proposed incinerator building will be taller than this chimney some 35.92m in height which is taller than the existing chimney which is already visible above the treeline. Therefore, just the new proposed building itself will tower over the treeline.</p> <p>The building will be bigger than Horsham's shopping centre.</p> <p>The new proposed chimney will be 95m tall - it will be seen from far and wide, including areas of outstanding natural beauty. By the proposers own submission it will be seen as far as Box Hill.</p> <p>Air Quality</p> <p>The air quality is declining in the area due to the congestion surrounding our parish. Lack of investment in highways means that we are subjected to cut through traffic on our country lanes everyday bringing car pollution to our rural doorsteps. WSCC in their recent Connect magazine detailed that vehicles, 80% of nitrogen dioxide concentration at the roadside is caused by road transport.</p> <p>This proposal would bring 140 lorries a day on the dangerous A24, congested A264, A29, M23, and as waste will be imported into Horsham to burn.</p> <p>Light Pollution</p> <p>For the CAA to demand middle and top of the stack is lit at night is due to flight paths. The CAA would not be demanding such comprehensive lighting if the routes did not go over the proposed site.</p> <p>The stack will be lit like a Christmas tree producing significant increase in light pollution from the plant and the skyline.</p> <p>Noise Pollution</p> <p>As the site will be operating 24/7 it will create noise above the ambient noise enjoyed by rural areas of 30-35dB. This ambient noise levels decrease at night.</p> <p>There is no offer of compensation for noise and light pollution to the surrounding communities.</p> <p>There is no compensation being offered to those whose home will be devalued by the building of an industrial incinerator of this magnitude adjacent to their homes.</p> <p>Recycling</p> <p>WSCC have shown a 2% increase in recycling and so to burn would captivate the council into long term contracts to keep a hungry incinerator burning. It is inevitable that recycling will drop, as is the case in London.</p> <p>The Biffa bio-mechanical digester that taxpayers paid for to deal with household waste will virtually become redundant as the proposer has stated that they intend to burn black sack waste as well as industrial.</p> <p>Burning waste is short sighted and damaging to the long-term prosperity to the planet on demands for resources.</p> <p>Not Needed</p> <p>It would seem that West Sussex already has given planning permission for an incinerator at Ford in 2014. With an incinerator already permitted to be built on the coast it is highly questionable why an incinerator is needed on the edge of the county.</p> <p>NB Gatwick already has an incinerator, which burns waste from Manor Royal Business Park.</p> <p>UK Win are behind the political cross party Early Day Motion (581)* to place a moratorium on new incinerators because there is not enough waste to feed the incinerators currently in use and being built in the UK, but this legislation will come way too late for West Sussex.</p> <p>Research increasingly shows that incineration decreases the rate of recycling and with the amount of plastic in production set to decrease dramatically in the next few years, what will this Horsham incinerator burn?</p> <p>*http://www.parliament.uk/edm/2017-19/581</p> <p>Thank you for your time.</p>	
560	Jean & Keith Haynes	48, April Close, Horsham	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>We wish to register our objections to the proposed incinerator in North Horsham for the following reasons:</p> <p>1. Incineration damages our health & the environment.</p>	

					<p>2. The World Health Organisation recommends that incinerators should not be placed near populated areas and yet the proposed facility would be adjacent to one of the most populated areas of the town ie the North Horsham development.</p> <p>3.Plans for an incinerator in Horsham were withdrawn last year after a recommendation by planning officers to reject the plans. Surely the objections raised then are still valid for this application by a private company for their own profit.</p> <p>4.Taxpayers contributed to the BIFFA Biological treatment facility in North Horsham on the understanding that there would be no incinerator.</p> <p>We urge that these plans be rejected forthwith.</p>
561	Ms Monica Collins	71, Earles Meadow, Horsham, RH12 4HR	Object	24-APR-2018	<p>The size of the construction is excessively large and high and will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages, as well as potentially Surrey areas of outstanding natural beauty.There is nothing to suggest that this will enhance the local area in fact it will detract and blight being visible from 15kms away in areas of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. The pollution from the emissions including lead, mercury, dioxins, would add to that caused by the increase in road traffic and impact on business travel resulting in delays. It would also have a detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole.</p> <p>Burning waste may hold the council in long term contracts to keep a hungry incinerator burning. The proposer has stated that they intend to burn black sack waste as well as industrial. WSCC taxpayers paid for the Biffa biomechanical digester, and visitors to the public exhibition have reported being told by Britaniacrest that the digester would become redundant due to the incinerator. This is an unacceptable waste of taxpayers money.</p> <p>Burning waste is short sighted and damaging to the long-term prosperity and well-being of the environment.</p> <p>As the site will operate 24/7 it will create noise above the ambient noise enjoyed by rural areas of 30-35dB.</p> <p>Government ministers are starting to push for a moratorium on incineration facilities because we already have surplus capacity for burning waste in the UK. Will West Sussex be left with a white elephant?</p>
562	Mrs R Padhiar	1, Ropeland Way, Horsham, RH12 5NY	Object	24-APR-2018	-
563	Barbara Best	-	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>I wish to object to the planning of an incinerator in Horsham, because of the pollution, and public health issues if thus were to happen.</p> <p>We are slowly destroying this beautiful planet, man has a lot to answer for, don't let this be another mistake that our children will inherit!</p>
564	Ms Lorraine Barry	1, Howard Road, Horsham, RH13 6AB	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>I would like to object to this planning application on the following grounds:</p> <p>-independent reports suggest that by 2023 the UK will be at over capacity. This incinerator is simply not needed.</p> <p>http://www.eunomia.co.uk/uk-2030-recycling-already-limited-to-66-and-falling/</p> <p>- incineration involves a relatively long comittment of 20-25 years. In that time, what improvements could be made to the recycling industry that negate the use of incineration? Horsham council would be expected to sign a contract to reduce a certain amount of waste every year for incineration. Other councils have been fined for notmeeing this. As a council your focus should be on reducing waste and increasing recycling.</p> <p>- areas where incineration is introduced see a fall in recycling rates.</p> <p>- there will be toxins, however small. Therez not been enough long term research into the environmental and physical harm it may cause.</p> <p>I therefore would like to object to this proposal.</p>
565	Mr Jeremy Carmichael	1, 1, Tintern Road, Gossops Green, Crawley, West Sussex, RH11 8NG	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Polluting the surrounding countryside and the area where I live</p>
566	Mr Robert Simmonds	2, Reynard Close, Horsham, RH12 4GX	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I would like to object to the above planning application for the new recycling facility in North Horsham.</p> <p>My reasons are as follows:-</p> <p>1. There is already a recycling facility run by BIFFA at the site which is already detrimental to the area.This already has enough a considerable number of Virador lorries entering the area.</p> <p>2.This new facility will only be for built for financial gain for Brittanicrest and its agents and will be of no benefit to the residents of Horsham nor WSSC.</p> <p>3.The facility will have waste transported from a wide area so HGVs will be arriving at the site from all the A roads feeding the area at all times of day so introducing further traffic and wear and tear on the already busy roads.The vehicles are large,dirtly and polluting.</p> <p>4. This type of facility should not be sited anywhere near to a residential area so how can anybody agree to such an application.</p> <p>Please reject this application unanimously, it is not required, it has no benefit to the Horsham Community. It is not wanted.</p> <p>Yours Faithfully, R Simmonds</p>
567	Mr Matt Simons	6, Gordon Road, Horsham, RH12 2EF	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>I wish to object to this application. An incinerator so near a populated area will be detrimental to the residents health and the environment of our district. This will be exacerbated by the additional heavy goods vehicles transporting waste to this facility. Given the general drive for the populace to increase recycling and thus reduce waste, there is no need for a incinerator in our district.</p>
568	Mrs Rebecca Struthers	1A, Guildford Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 1LU	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to the proposal from Britaniacrest to develop a waste incinerator on the edge of our town. I fail to understand how we are encouraging waste to be disposed of in this way with all the associated risks of increased traffic and pollution to name a few. Investment should be made on environmentally friendly waste disposal and encouraging a much better rate if recycling - money should be being spent specially in this area. From the west side of Horsham we can already smell fumes from the current incinerator and I can't begin to imagine how horrendous this would be with a new processing plant. Please think of our younger generations, we should be encouraging outdoor play in safe and healthy environments but passing this application would prevent this.</p>
569	Gatwick Diamond Business	Unit 14, Basepoint, Metcalf Way, Crawley, RH11 7XX	Support	25-APR-2018	<p>We are writing in support of the above Planning Application for the Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure.</p> <p>Gatwick Diamond Business is one of the largest business organisations in the Coast to Capital region with just under 450 members across the region. We work to bring economic prosperity to those members and to the wider region.</p> <p>We support the development of this Facility as it will</p> <p> Provide the opportunity to generate electricity from waste that would be taken to landfill</p> <p> Provide the opportunity to recycle materials that otherwise might go to landfill</p>

					<p>¿ Create around 35 jobs</p> <p>¿ The developer (Britaniacrest) are an experienced and approved waste recycler and processing company</p> <p>¿ The site is already handling industrial and commercial waste so there will be no change of use to the site and traffic already accommodates up to 230,000 tonnes of waste per annum</p> <p>¿ Provides micro-generation of electricity & an opportunity to use waste heat from the facility, possibly in the proposed housing developments nearby.</p> <p>The concept of incinerating waste (with or without energy generation) is an established, if slightly old fashioned, one. While this development does not address the longer term issue of resource management (where a circular economy makes best use of existing materials rather than simply disposing of them), it is the duty of the County Council to develop its own strategic Waste Plan taking into account alternative waste management options.</p> <p>With rising costs of energy, lack of availability of landfill, along with the environmental impact of waste, this Facility will contribute positively to the Gatwick Diamond region as well as address the disposal of locally generated waste.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Jeremy Taylor Chief Executive</p>	
570	Ms Amalia Lovett	-	Object	25-APR-2018	I object to the Incinerator.	
571	Mr M I Darby	78, Comptons Lane, Horsham, RH13 6BJ	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I oppose the Application to build an industrial incinerator in Warnham.</p> <p>The need for such a very tall chimney stack (95m) shows there are substantial potential health risks to the large number of residents of the nearby towns and villages. There must be of order 100,000 people living within a 6 mile radius of the proposed site, and this number will rise by at least 20,000 by the time the present house building plans are completed.</p> <p>The modelling of air quality in Section 7 uses a particle emission rate from the stack of 0.5 grams per second (table 7.8). In 24 hours the stack would therefore emit 43kg of particulates. For short periods this could rise to 129kg. Where does this go? The Application should show how this mass is distributed on the ground for the area around the site, at least within a 3 mile radius. Only then would it be possible to see how different districts would be affected.</p> <p>The wind-rose (fig.5.1.1) shows a prevailing wind from the SW, which suggests that the proposed North Horsham housing development, Faygate, and the nearest Crawley estates would be most affected. There is also a high frequency of winds from the NE suggesting Warnham, Broadbridge Heath and Southwater would also be affected. However, no account seems to have been taken of climate change and of the possibility of future changes to the wind patterns. The worse case scenario is that all the emission is deposited in Horsham.</p> <p>A 95m stack would be a blot on the landscape, made even worse if it emits a plume. The diagram of the modelled plume, fig5.1.2, looks wrong. Because of the high efflux velocity of the gases and the buoyancy effect due to their high temperature (140C), the effective height¿ of the stack in the modelling should be greater than 95m. The plume will be higher than shown and hence more visible.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p>	
572	Liz Turner	-	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir / Madam,</p> <p>I wish to register my strong objection to the planning application for an incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>I trust that you will dismiss this ill-judged proposal which is wholly unnecessary and would damage the local community.</p> <p>Kind Regards,</p>	
573	G Gough	9, St Chistophers Close, Horsham, RH12 2EH	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>There are so many reasons to object to the proposed plan. and I fully support all the reasons listed at ni4H.com.</p> <p>It is of particular concern to me that the plan will not enhance the rural area in any way. It will impinge on the area both local and more distant.</p> <p>The height of the chimney is visually inappropriate.</p> <p>This plan is a totally commercial venture and does not have the interests of the residents of West Sussex or Surrey at heart. In all probability the A264 and the A24 will require extra maintenance as out-of-county waste will be transferred in and toxic residues will be transferred out.</p> <p>There is a rapidly changing approach to the use of plastics across the country and there is growing support to address more effective recycling. A parliamentary motion is being prepared to address a moratorium on incineration facilities. because we already have surplus capacity for burning waste in the UK.</p> <p>It seems possible that the technology proposed for this site may be out of date in just a few years.</p> <p>I would ask the planning committee to adhere to its existing local waste plan and reject the proposal in the best interests of Horsham, and West Sussex.</p>	
574	Robert Dawson	43, Brook Road, Horsham, RH12 5FS	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I feel that the proposed incinerator is just too large and would have such a negative impact on the environment, both directly and indirectly through increased traffic during construction and operation.</p> <p>Not only would this affect existing residents, further homes are proposed in the area.</p> <p>This is at a time when there is a sensible push to increase the extent of recycling, which has made considerable progress recently.</p> <p>Although I would prefer that the incinerator is not built at all, at the very least WSCC should have a full council meeting to properly debate the matter.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p>	
575	Sarah Butler	12, Earles Meadow, Horsham, RH12 4HP	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>This is my formal objection to the incinerator.</p> <p>WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>This is for the following reasons</p>	

					<p>1 Outlandish and over powering look on the landscape. If you can refuse simple housing requests like dormer windows to the front of my house, this makes a mockery of any future planning decisions you make! This will look unsightly from miles away.</p> <p>2 Unhealthy development. The smoke from this will impact on future generations. Please consider the increase in cases of asthma etc that will be caused by this.</p> <p>3 Devaluation of homes in the surrounding area.</p> <p>4 Rubbish already litters the A264 and other West Sussex Roads. This will probably increase.</p> <p>5. Over development of North Horsham. This has already been earmarked for housing and development. Please look for development plans further South.</p> <p>6. Local schools are existing and to be built nearby. The children's health and well being should be considered.</p> <p>7. Additional transport strains placed on our already busy roads.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p>	
576	Tim Walker	-	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear West Sussex CC</p> <p>I wish to register an objection to the above incinerator</p> <p>regards</p>	
577	Dianne Walker	-	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>To WSCC</p> <p>Please take this email as an objection to the above planning application</p> <p>regards</p>	
578	Mr Gary Heron	Ardea, 23, Highdown Way, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5JJ	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>At a time when the Council is increasing taxation on the grounds of being a less polluting council and on environmental grounds, it is beyond the highest hypocrisy should this be granted.</p> <p>Our recycling collections have been reduced- so we are told- to force us to recycle more. So why do we now want to go polluting the environment by burning more?</p> <p>No doubt Britannia Crest will get a juicy council contract and make lots of money whilst filling the air with toxins and our health will deteriorate. This will put extra strain on local- overstretched- NHS services in the long run.</p> <p>There is no need for a 'jobs for the boys' public enquiry. It is clear in the modern and CIVILISED world that this proposal is anachronistic at best and has no place in modern society. Do what my industry is doing- be less polluting, NOT MORE.</p>	
579	Mr May May	Crown Cottage, Gosden Common, Bramley, Guildford, GU5 0AQ	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>We have family members who live in Horsham whom we visit regularly. I find it incredible that the council is even giving consideration to this outrageous proposal for a tall incinerator in Horsham, which will be extremely detrimental to the local environment and inhabitants.</p>	
580	Mr Steven Rowley	41, Vale Drive, Horsham, RH12 2JU	Object	25-APR-2018	-	
581	Ms Elizabeth Charlton	4, Hazelwood Cottages, Knowle Lane, Cranleigh, GU6 8JP	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Object. this would be a ghastly monstrosity totally detrimental to the local area</p>	
582	Mr & Mrs Brandon & Jill Pleasant	103, Ropeland Way, Horsham, RH12 5NZ	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear West Sussex Planning Applications</p> <p>This email is in response to the consultation on the Britanniacrest revised planning application.</p> <p>Objection.</p> <p>We continue to strongly oppose this application on numerous grounds.</p> <p>The application remains at odds with the West Sussex Local Plan to protect the health and amenity of local residents. In addition Britanniacrest have provided little or no information on toxicity data, the detail of the resultant pollution or the possible impact on air quality. The fact that the Environment Agency has decreed the chimney must be 95 metres high must say something about ensuring the plume and undefined pollutants are kept as far away as possible. The visual impact of a chimney this size that will be permanently lit cannot be underestimated.</p> <p>The generation of any electrical power has to have the infrastructure in place along with specific customers in mind in order to be of any value, there remains little detail on either of these key points.</p> <p>The proposal far exceeds the requirements for West Sussex, if the anticipated waste source is a combination of domestic, commercial and industrial waste from outside the county then a site more suitable to this volume in an existing industrial area should be considered rather than a site that is on the edge of an area of outstanding natural beauty.</p> <p>Britanniacrest have failed to demonstrate any recognition of the impact HGV traffic will have on the local roads that are unsuitable for the transport of such a large volume of waste materials and the associated noise pollution.</p> <p>The recent approval of the local building plan to allow at least 2 thousand homes to be built on land to the north of the A264 will site these homes in close proximity of the proposed incinerator, who is going to want to purchase a home downwind of a plume of unknown toxicity and pollutants?</p> <p>Horsham District Council has a recycling target to reach 50% by 2020, this is the national target which it is hoped will be supported by the recent change in bin collections to fortnightly instead of weekly to encourage local residents to recycle more. It is claimed that 57% of the waste we currently throw away can be recycled so what is the incentive to recycle more when this proposal will only encourage more waste to justify its existence?</p> <p>Furthermore some incinerators in Europe are struggling to find sufficient waste to be fed enough to keep going and are therefore facing decommissioning as a consequence.</p> <p>In summary this proposal represents nothing more than further industrialisation of our rural landscape and a profit centre for what is an outdated method of handling a dwindling supply of waste and is the wrong solution in the wrong place at the wrong time. Please make the right and only decision and reject outright this proposal.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p>	
583	Charlie Phethean	-	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir / Madam,</p> <p>I wish to register my strong objection to the planning application for an incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>I trust that you will dismiss this ill-judged proposal which is wholly unnecessary and would damage the local community.</p> <p>Kind Regards,</p> <p>Charlie</p>	
584	Abbie Healy	-	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir / Madam,</p> <p>I wish to register my strong objection to the planning application for an incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>I trust that you will dismiss this ill-judged proposal which is wholly unnecessary and would damage the local community.</p> <p>Kind Regards,</p>	

585	Matthew Roberts	35, Oak Tree Way, Horsham, RH13 6EQ	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>Please accept this email as an objection notice to the building of the planned incinerator in Horsham as per the above planning reference.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>	
586	Ethan Maynard	-	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir / Madam,</p> <p>I wish to register my strong objection to the planning application for an incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>Kind Regards, Ethan</p>	
587	Lauren Tait	-	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir / Madam,</p> <p>I wish to register my strong objection to the planning application for an incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>I trust that you will dismiss this ill-judged proposal which is wholly unnecessary and would damage the local community.</p> <p>Kind regards, Lauren</p>	
588	Mr John Cooban	109, Hazelwick Road, Three Bridges, Crawley, West Sussex, RH10 1NQ	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>WSCC/015/18/NH Former Wealden Brickworks (Site HB), Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure</p> <p>I object to this application on the grounds that pollution and fallout from an incinerator of a scale so huge - and most significantly requiring a chimney so high - that it would impact on views from heavily populated areas and protected landscapes for miles around, will be bad for the long term health and wellbeing of people ¿ particularly in Crawley, downwind from the site.</p> <p>John Cooban CMLI M.Arbor.A</p>	
589	Ann Swain	18, Shottermill, Horsham, RH12 5HG	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir</p> <p>I would like to object to this application on the following grounds:</p> <p>Safety: The height of the tower, closeness to Gatwick Airport routes and the need already identified for it to be permanently lit indicates that a clear safety risk has already been identified.</p> <p>Pollution: Waste incinerators should not be built near populated areas but this site is adjacent to the North Horsham site which is only separated from Horsham built up area by a by-pass.</p> <p>Recycling v Incineration: It is clear Government policy to encourage recycling instead of disposal for landfill or incineration. This application is in opposition to that policy.</p> <p>Existing treatment facilities: We already have a Mechanical Biological Treatment Facility in North Horsham.</p> <p>We do not want Horsham to become the known as the town where other towns send their waste. This role must be shared around and we have already taken our share.</p> <p>Where are other treatment facilities located in West Sussex?</p> <p>Even if they clean the waste gases being emitted from the tower they are going to contain higher levels of CO2 which is now recognised as being harmful to health.</p> <p>It is not clear about access to this site - the local roads are not suitable for more HGVs let alone the increased pollution they will bring.</p> <p>The North Horsham site includes a primary as well as a secondary school. It is totally unacceptable for an incineration plant to be built near these.</p> <p>Regards</p>	
590	Emma Coope	-	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I strongly oppose the Britaniacrest incinerator planned for Horsham. This will be damaging to the environment and more importantly to the health of our children.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>	
591	Lucy Forster	-	Object	25-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I am sending this email to object to the above planning application for the planned Incinerator to be built by Britanniacrest. This is not what is needed here in Horsham or anywhere else. If one has to be built it should be put where there is no population, let alone schools as this one will be very close to the planned new North Horsham Development. NOT GOOD. It is also being funded by a private investor purely for money making ¿ greed.</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p>	
592	Jane Nash	18, Sandeman Way, Horsham, RH13 6EL	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Dear All</p> <p>I see on Facebook it seems that HDC is going to support the application by Britanniacrest.</p> <p>I sincerely hope this is not the case, please listen to the people of Horsham who will have to live with this for ever and our children and grandchildren. I have lived here for 55 years, my children and grandchildren live here and it breaks my heart that such a thing could be allowed to happen. I can move, but others can't.</p> <p>What is the motivation to allow such a thing I wonder.?</p> <p>There are reports that the emissions from this facility have been seriously underestimated. This is a terrible legacy, how can you, in all conscience, recommend it.</p> <p>Please be absolutely assured that I, and everyone I know, will not vote for your party ever again. You will not be in power next year after this. You were elected by the people of this area and must take notice of us, not walk roughshod over our wishes.</p> <p>Please please listen to all the objections, you cannot believe that this is good for the area, there is no justification for such a travesty.</p>	

					I don't want a reply,just action to stop this before it is too late.	
593	Derek Hardman	66, Downland Drive, Crawley, RH11 8QU	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>26th April 2018</p> <p>Dear Mr Dumbrell</p> <p>WSCC/015/18/NH; Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure, former Wealden Brickworks, Langhurst Wood Road, RH12 4QD</p> <p>I write in astonishment and appalled at the proposed planning application as headed above. As a Crawley resident I would be downwind of the proposed incinerator and like other residents be subjected to varying degrees of toxic waste depending on wind direction and strength.</p> <p>Speaking from the perspective of someone very concerned for the preservation of our shared environment and a huge supporter of generation of energy by renewable means I feel the proposed project is being misrepresented as being of benefit by generation of energy by a renewable method. At best and putting it politely, it is a wolf in sheep's clothing. True renewable energy is generated by non toxic wind, solar and tidal means.</p> <p>Simply having a tall, 95metre, chimney stack will not negate the emitted pollutants but instead ensure further dispersal. No filtration system will ensure 100% extraction of toxic heavy metals, such as arsenic and mercury, which are known to be cumulative causing ill health to both humans and other animals (farm livestock included) through pollution of air, soil, water and plant life.</p> <p>Whilst the income created by revenue received from Britaniacrest (or any other subsequent contractor) and employment generated by the incinerator may seem compelling, the long term and knock on costs in terms of healthcare and lowering of quality of life to thousands will far outweigh the benefits of short term income.</p> <p>Strong regulation is frequently cited as an insurance against any damage caused but, in truth, such regulation in this country does not exist. Nor is legal representation accessible to those likely to be affected due to its prohibitive cost.</p> <p>Passing the application, would at best, be totally irresponsible and it therefore, for the wellbeing of the environment and health MUST THEREFORE BE REJECTED.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	
594	Colin Williams	-	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I wish to log my objection to the proposed incinerator in Noth Horsham as I believe it will have a negative impact on the area and will adversely impact my family and the local community.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>	
595	Jane Nash	18, Sandeman Way, Horsham, RH13 6EL	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Dear All</p> <p>I see on Facebook it seems that HDC is going to support the application by Britaniacrest.</p> <p>I sincerely hope this is not the case, please listen to the people of Horsham who will have to live with this for ever and our children and grandchildren. I have lived here for 55 years, my children and grandchildren live here and it breaks my heart that such a thing could be allowed to happen. I can move, but others can't.</p> <p>What is the motivation to allow such a thing I wonder.?</p> <p>There are reports that the emissions from this facility have been seriously underestimated. This is a terrible legacy, how can you, in all conscience, recommend it.</p> <p>Please be absolutely assured that I, and everyone I know, will not vote for your party ever again. You will not be in power next year after this. You were elected by the people of this area and must take notice of us, not walk roughshod over our wishes.</p> <p>Please please listen to all the objections, you cannot believe that this is good for the area, there is no justification for such a travesty.</p> <p>I don't want a reply,just action to stop this before it is too late.</p> <p>Jane Nash 18 Sandeman Way. Horsham.</p>	
596	Mrs Olga Prudits	31, Arundale Walk, Horsham, RH12 1QW	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>I put a lot of thought into this response so I hope you find it useful.</p> <p>There is no question about the fact that we as society have to find a sustainable solution to deal with waste. A solution that is sustainable environmentally and sustainable in long term without causing harm to anyone.</p> <p>I think we need to ask the question whether we are solving the right problem with this proposed facility. It may be a quick fix to get rid of the waste that would otherwise go to landfill but this will not solve the problem of waste existing that can't be recycled. The aim would be a cradle to cradle system where there is no waste as everything is used to be recycled.</p> <p>The reason that we have materials e.g packaging that can't be recycled is that it is being produced and sold by e.g supermarkets. Why should the people living around the incinerator suffer for the fact that manufacturers and retail are not being responsible? We as a family will go through a great deal of effort to buy produce without packaging, recycle everything we can, compost green waste in our garden. And why do we do this if we have to breathe in the air with toxins from a nearby incinerator because others are not so conscious? I CAN CHOOSE THE MATERIALS I BUY NAD TO RECYCLE BUT I CAN NOT CHOOSE THE AIR I BREATHE.</p> <p>I do understand that the output gases will be filtered, but what if there is a leak, what if there is a malfunction, what if the filters are not cleaned? And at the end of the day there are toxins produced even if it is within the limit, it is produced.</p> <p>Packaging that can not be recycled is just one part of the problem. What about food waste? The county does not collect and recycle food waste, and while some of it can be composted there is a substantial amount of organic waste that could be managed sustainable way. The food waste would be burned while it could have been recycled.</p> <p>The Swedish are famous for their waste to energy programme and facilities (incinerators) but they put a GREAT effort into recycle EVERYTHING they can including food.</p> <p>And lastly, what will encourage us, the manufacturers and retailers to be more sustainable if it is easier to burn and than it is out of sight out of mind.</p> <p>I hope I can trust the council to make a decision that will be taking in consideration the long term wellbeing of the people they are representing.</p>	
	Miss Emily	Rose Cottage, 5, Station	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>I live very close to this proposed incinerator and do not want to grow up in Horsham living by something of this magnitude. As a</p>	

597	Robins	Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR			<p>young Horsham resident I am sad to say I will be looking to move away from the area if this is approved. If I am thinking this what would other young residents of Horsham think! also no young families will want to move into the Horsham area either especially the North Horsham Development. This could have a far reaching impact on Horsham and must not be approved. Furthermore I have the following comments:-</p> <p>1) The proposal does not meet WSCC waste plan</p> <p>2) The visual impact of the structure and more importantly the chimney will have a huge impact on Horsham and the surrounding areas and will be visible from as far as Box Hill!!!!</p> <p>3) Light pollution will increase resulting from the high level lighting on the chimney to alert overhead planes</p> <p>4) Recycling should be the key driver from WSCC not incineration as currently being considered by the government on the political cross party Early Day Motion (581) as there is insufficient waste in the UK to operate these facilities so waste would have to be imported from other EU countries like Germany!!!!!!!!!!!! how is this going to save our planet!!!!</p> <p>5) Horsham is already a very high risk area in terms of air pollution. If you were to add such a facility these levels would potentially become dangerous</p> <p>6) Although there are no official government facts relating to risks associated with incineration there are independent assessments that show them as being directly associated with increases in child mortality rates and other general health issues with people living close to incinerators. These potential risks cannot be ignored. Lets face it we were all told that Diesel cars were better for the environment until recently!!!! so what could future reports on incinerators throw up!!!!!!!!</p> <p>7) This is purely a private enterprise and a money making scheme for Britaniacrest as we understand that they will not be building and running the facility they merely want to achieve planning to sell of to the highest bidder</p>
598	Miss Katy Robins	Rose Cottage, 5, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>I live very close to this proposed incinerator and do not want to grow up in Horsham living by something of this magnitude. As a young Horsham resident I am sad to say I will be looking to move away from the area if this is approved. If I am thinking this what would other young residents of Horsham think! also no young families will want to move into the Horsham area either especially the North Horsham Development. This could have a far reaching impact on Horsham and must not be approved. Furthermore I have the following comments:-</p> <p>1) The proposal does not meet WSCC waste plan</p> <p>2) The visual impact of the structure and more importantly the chimney will have a huge impact on Horsham and the surrounding areas and will be visible from as far as Box Hill!!!!</p> <p>3) Light pollution will increase resulting from the high level lighting on the chimney to alert overhead planes</p> <p>4) Recycling should be the key driver from WSCC not incineration as currently being considered by the government on the political cross party Early Day Motion (581) as there is insufficient waste in the UK to operate these facilities so waste would have to be imported from other EU countries like Germany!!!!!!!!!!!! how is this going to save our planet!!!!</p> <p>5) Horsham is already a very high risk area in terms of air pollution. If you were to add such a facility these levels would potentially become dangerous</p> <p>6) Although there are no official government facts relating to risks associated with incineration there are independent assessments that show them as being directly associated with increases in child mortality rates and other general health issues with people living close to incinerators. These potential risks cannot be ignored. Lets face it we were all told that Diesel cars were better for the environment until recently!!!! so what could future reports on incinerators throw up!!!!!!!!</p> <p>7) This is purely a private enterprise and a money making scheme for Britaniacrest as we understand that they will not be building and running the facility they merely want to achieve planning to sell of to the highest bidder</p>
599	Mr Christopher Wilmott	56 Cook Way, Cook Way, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3US	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>As I see it, this incinerator will not benefit Horsham or Sussex, as the waste is coming from outside the county, but it will provide profit for a private company at our expense. This Incinerator would have an impact on our local infrastructure. Our roads within the North Horsham boundary are heaving with the current amount of traffic and most of them are in a poor condition and in need of repair. It would pollute our local land, air and have a huge impact on the health and well-being of residents. Horsham already has a Biological Waste Plant, we do not need this monstrosity!</p>
600	Mr matthew noble	7, Pinehurst, Horsham, RH12 2DL	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>I do not want this application to go ahead because of added pollution traffic and a hideously large chimney</p>
601	Jennifer Pearce	22, Friday Street, Warnham, RH12 3QX	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>I would like to formally register my objection to the planned incinerator on the following grounds:</p> <p>a. the increase in Co2 levels which are damaging to the environment</p> <p>b. proposed changes in dealing with the use of plastics can make this incinerator unnecessary</p> <p>c. WHO advice that incinerators should not be built close to populated areas & this incinerator will be too close to North Horsham development plans</p>
602	Mr & Mrs Ken & Sue Howlett	The Glebe, Church Street, Warnham, RH12 3QW	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir</p> <p>We wish to object to the planning application Planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD.</p> <p>There is no justification for the building of a massive waste incinerator in this area and the proposed location will be an eyesore in a beautiful area of natural beauty. It is not the place for a 26.5 m high chimney that will be visible from miles around in all directions. It clearly fails to meet most of the objectives of the WSCC waste plan and as West Sussex has already given planning permission for an incinerator at Ford it is very difficult to understand why another should be built close to the county boundary.</p> <p>As Council Tax payers we have already contributed to the cost of the Biffa biomechanical digester, which is a more environmentally friendly way to dispose of waste than incineration. Britanniacrest has confirmed that if the incinerator is built it will burn black sack waste and the biomechanical digester will become redundant. We strongly object to this waste of our money and shifting to incineration is simply going against the tide of improving policy on recycling and waste management.</p> <p>We urge WSCC planning committee to issue an unequivocal rejection of this application.</p>
603	Robert, Teresa & Jonathan Seymour	-	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>We object to proposed incinerator</p>
604	Mick Adams	Units 1-2, 37, Chartwell Road, Lancing Business Park, Lancing, BN15 8TU	Support	26-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>As an established Waste management company located in West Sussex we, Rabbit Waste Management Ltd, would like to lend our support in favour of the above referenced planning application relating to Britanniacrest's vision for a Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility in Horsham.</p> <p>Having been operating in the Waste Management sector in West Sussex for the last 30 years and specifically within the Renewable Energy sector for the last 10 years we can only support the necessity for this type of local facility to sustainably dealing with local waste arising's and contributing to Central Government targets for increasing and implementing waste recycling, recovery and decentralised power generation, whilst minimising reliance on landfill and fossil fuel derived fuels.</p> <p>There is a lot of adverse misinformation irresponsibly circulated about this type of facility which is in no one's best interests. The fact that waste will continue to be generated has to be accepted and even at reduced levels reliance on landfill can no longer continue and correctly designed and operated built waste facilities of this nature should be encouraged and indeed local populations should become proud of these facilities rather than scared through misinformed opinions.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p>

					Mick Adams	
605	Mr Paul Wingham	25, Cootes Avenue, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2AD	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Hi,</p> <p>I am writing to register an object to the building of the incinerator in North Horsham.</p> <p>From: Paul Wingham 25 Cootes Avenue Horsham West Sussex RH12 2AD</p> <p>Many thanks Paul Wingham</p>	
606	Ms Tracey Gidman	25, Cootes Avenue, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2AD	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Hi,</p> <p>I am writing to register an object to the building of the incinerator in North Horsham.</p> <p>From: Tracey Gidman 25 Cootes Avenue Horsham West Sussex RH12 2AD</p> <p>Many thanks Tracey Gidman</p>	
607	Mr David Hutchins	24, Earles Meadow, Horsham, RH12 4HP	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Objecting due to:</p> <p>Proposed building is substantially larger than anything in the area and new design will not make it fit in any better into the local environment which is predominantly residential. Chimney height, requirement to be lit and plume will make it visible for miles around, round the clock. With the national move against plastic use and similar incinerators already not working at capacity, the long term business case does not appear to be viable. Currently no incineration plume exists so the impact of the gases emitted will be detrimental to the health of those in the local area irrespective of any filtration. Additional HGV traffic required to supply the facility will stretch capacity on local roads which are already increasingly congested from current and future approved developments further increasing pollution from extra volume and more frequent queues.</p>	
608	Mrs Rebecca Newell	The Lodge, Harbolets Road, West Chiltington, Pulborough, RH20 2LQ	Object	26-APR-2018	I am writing to object to the building of the planned incinerator. My reason for this objection is due to the increase in pollution this will cause across Horsham and surrounding villages, putting our lives and our children's lives at risk	
609	Mr Felix Risley	Hazel Cottage, Worthing Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 8NH	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>1 The chimney will be an eyesore in an area of beautiful countryside</p> <p>2 There will be s massive impact on traffic on local roads that are already overcrowded</p> <p>3 Having compared the location with other recent incinerators in the context of the prevailing winds, it is apparent that there will be significant pollution with detrimental health impacts on new and established residential communities in the area.</p>	
610	Mrs Katherine Risley	Hazel Cottage, Worthing Road, Dial Post, Horsham, RH13 8NH	Object	26-APR-2018	I object in the strongest possible terms. The chimney will be a local eyesore (higher than the Statue of Liberty and nowhere near as symbolic) that is in no way in keeping with the local area of beauty. It is completely unsympathetic to nearby growing areas of population and those planned for the next few decades. In terms of the high levels of pollution created by burning waste will be added to by pollution from exhaust emissions from vehicles accessing the site from throughout the south-east of England. It has been suggested in reports that the levels of pollution may become higher than government targets, indicating that to locate such a plant so near residential areas is irresponsible to those who live there. Whatismore, roads are already heavily congested with local traffic, and to add more heavy goods vehicles into the mix is likely to exacerbate this at peak times. it is important to realise that the idea of approving and allowing the construction of incinerators is in direct opposition to government policies for all plastics and packaging to be either recyclable or biodegradable by 2025. A 7 year programme such as this suggests that it is highly feasible to achieve and thus an incinerator of this size should not be on the regional waste management agenda..	
611	Miss Daisy Roffe	14, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	26-APR-2018	It is not a suitable site for an incinerator to be built. It will spoil the view of surrounding areas and will stick out like a sore thumb. Instead of Horsham being known for its beauty and historic town, it will be recognised for the incinerator. Building the incinerator will ruin the reputation of the town and it will ruin any desire for new families to move to Horsham and surrounding areas when there is a massive eyesore ruining the countryside surrounding the town.	
612	Mr BRIAN STONE	18, Cambridge Road, Horsham, RH13 5ED	Object	26-APR-2018	To whom it may concern. I wish to object strongly to the proposal WSCC/015/18/NH. Fundamentally, i believe it will damage the quality of life for residents of the town of Horsham, and it is a fundamentally short sighted approach to the issue of of waste management. I am deeply concerned with the affect on the health of my family (my children in particular). I also feel that it will damage the reputation of the town of Horsham as a pleasant place to live and work, a reputation that is increasingly coming under pressure anyway. I am also due to work next to the incinerator with an impending office move nearby to the site (schroeders). Fellow staff members are expressing disquiet about this incinerator and some are considering whether they want to stay at the company. If this goes ahead i will consider whether i feel Horsham is the kind of town that i want to raise my children in. Sincerely. Brian Stone	
613	Mr Barry Smith	41, Calvert Link, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AF	Object	26-APR-2018	This is already a populated area and about to get more populated. The concerns of the WHO should be listened to. Also the increase in those massive HGV.s on the nearby A 264 would be horrendous. Already the road is so dangerous.If this was just for local use and on a much smaller scale then possibly.Do not allow	
614	Mrs Heather Smith	2, Red Deer Close, Horsham, RH13 5UG	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Very unsightly building which would have to be floodlit</p> <p>Toxic smells and pollution over a wide area</p> <p>Yet more Lorries tearing up the roads and creating more massive potholes</p> <p>Why, why why when here in Horsham our refuse collections have just been increased to encourage more recyclable waste</p> <p>Very close to new housing developments in the North Horsham area</p> <p>NO. NO. NO</p>	
615	Mr Ian Smith	2, Blackthorn Close, Horsham, RH13 6BL	Object	26-APR-2018	I am very concerned about the impact that this proposed site will have on the lives of Horsham residents. The proposed plant will increase the level of pollution, both from the plant itself and from the increased heavy traffic going to and from the plant. That increased traffic will also affect our roads and increase levels of congestion, already a problem in the area. The proposal also doesn't help to support the stated plans of WSCC or help to meet our recycling targets.	
616	Mr William Smith	2, 2, Red Deer Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 5UG	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Unightly. Very tall.Lights to warn aircraft.</p> <p>Very significant increase of pollution - noise, dirt, noxious chemicals.</p>	

					HGVs and other vehicles - extra traffic on our roads causing severe further damage to our roads and congestion.	
					Significant conflict with the new development in North Horsham	
617	Mrs Sue Surita	12, Camelot Close, Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9XP	Object	26-APR-2018	We should be encouraging recycling, not incineration. More HGV's on our road and pollution - no thank you!	
618	Mr martin odonnell	none, 2, Albery Close, Horsham, RH12 2HY	Support	26-APR-2018	Great to see a really innovative reclamation and renewable project on our doorstep. It has to be the way forward rather than just sending stuff to landfill.	
619	Mr NDUESO UDIA	48, Churchill Way, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3TZ	Object	26-APR-2018	noise pollution, poor design and unacceptable visual impact	
620	Mrs Kathleen Woodward	19, Keats Close, Horsham, RH12 5PL	Object	26-APR-2018	My husband and I think this proposal is inappropriate visually and that pollution from the stack and from increased road movements is unacceptable. The roads are already congested and smells have not gone unnoticed. An investment has to make financial sense so even if waste produced in Horsham itself reduces all efforts will be made to find sources from further afield. This planning application must be refused.	
621	Mr Paul Bellringer	1, Quarry Close, Rusper Road, Horsham, RH12 5QN	Object	26-APR-2018	Until we can incinerate waste that cannot be otherwise recycled without harmful emissions being released into the air this is not the way to deal with rubbish. I strongly object to this particular application on grounds of the above comment and that it is, in any event, the wrong location. The North Horsham development scheme with 2,750 new homes plus infrastructure is scheduled to be built adjacent to the proposed site. It would increase pollution levels and exacerbate traffic problems to an intolerable level by increasing lorry movements from the existing very high level due to the MBT facility in Langhurstwood road. Added to which it has the potential to adversely affect road surfaces with additional lorry movements and disfigure verges with more litter.	
622	Mrs Lucy Holloway	21, Trafalgar Road, Horsham, RH12 2QD	Object	26-APR-2018	Please please reconsider this :- (I am totally against this not only for the residents of Warnham who will have to live with this eyesore but more importantly for increased pollution across Horsham. We moved here from London for better air quality!	
623	Mr Tim Kimber	78, Lambs Farm Road, Horsham, RH124DG	Object	26-APR-2018	I STRONGLY object to the proposed construction of an incinerator in Langhurst Road, Warnham near Horsham. The fumes, which will NOT be pure, will drift over the proposed north Horsham development on the prevailing wind and over Warnham in the opposite direction if the wind is from the east. Further to all the above the fact that there will be a huge increase in heavy vehicles destroying our already overcrowded and crumbling roads and the eyesore of the building and ridiculous chimney. I trust you will reject this proposal.	
624	Mr Roy Boud	8, Tugglesplat, Warnham, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3RA	Object	26-APR-2018	Objection on the following grounds: Non compliance with many of the policies of WSCC's Waste Local Plan Scale, location and visibility of the development. The waste plant would be an immense industrial building in an essentially rural area. The chimney would dominate the local countryside. Aviation lights and site illumination Night time noise intrusion The dangers of pollution from the chimney stack have not been fully explored. Considerable increase in heavy goods traffic as waste is transported from all points of the compass.	
625	Mr Anthony Cocks	30, 30, Queensway, Horsham, West Sussex, RH135AY	Object	26-APR-2018	RE:Suggested INCINERATOR NEAR HORSHAM This application seems to have some very wide and long lasting implications. So I would like to know under what circumstances the plant will be shut down if- (a) Doubts about the health consequences arise, or (b) Traffic and noise is a problem for local residents. I would like the final decision whether or not to approve the application to be taken by councillors who live in the Horsham area.	
626	Mr John Crockford	5, The Marches, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SY	Object	26-APR-2018	Dear Sirs, My wife & I have lived at our current address for almost 19 years. During that period, we have suffered from various problems from the land fill site at Langhurstwood Road Horsham. These include periodic objectionable smells, particularly when cloud cover was low, litter on the A24 through our village and pollution, caused by many heavy lorries delivering to the landfill site from many destinations further afield than just the local area. We understand that an application for an incinerator at that site is being consider by WSCC - application no, WSCC/015/18/NH We strongly object to these plans for the following reasons: 1. The scale of the proposed incinerator suggests that contrary to WSCC guidelines that recycling & treatment facilities should be as close as possible to where waste arises, in this case, a far wider area will be sought. 2. This will result in a significant increase in HGV traffic on our already congested local road network (particularly the A24). 3. We understand that planning permission was given in 2014 for an incinerator to be built in Ford West Sussex. We also understand that this has not yet been built. Why, therefore, do we need another incinerator in Horsham? 4. Emission levels will be detrimental to the local community & countryside, The location is very close to the local conservation area. 5. Apparently 1 tonne of waste burnt, gives off 1 tonne of CO2. Is it sensible to generate electricity so inefficiently, when we are attempting to de-carbonise our energy supplies. Yours sincerely, Mr J & Mrs C Crockford	
627	Mrs Yota Crouch	2, Park Farm Close, Horsham, RH12 5EU	Object	26-APR-2018	I object to the above planning application for the following reasons Horsham would effectively become the waste dump for the southeast which will not benefit us that live in Horsham or our future generations who will have to deal with the fall out of such an industrial plant. The incinerator would have a chimney as tall as Big Ben, 95m, with buildings towering above any native UK trees pumping out a concentration of fumes towards highly populated areas of North Horsham, Kilnwood Vale, Crawley and Horley whilst the local residents suffer a decline in value of rural Horsham areas. There are no guarantees that our local authorities will actually use the Britaniacrest incinerator. If planning is permitted this commercial incinerator owners would have to negotiate waste tonnage prices with anyone wanting them to burn their waste so it may be cheap for WSCC to transport waste out of the county as it does currently The planned incinerator would have adverse affects of visual amenity and noise pollution of the proposed Noth horsham development by Liberty. Liberty have also claimed that mitigating measures such as planting of trees will take 15 years to fully establish, too long a time to provide adequate reduction in the severe impact local residents will experience. Members of the Friends of Warnham Local Nature Reserve have expressed concerns over the application. In particular, they are worried about the environmental effects of discharges from the proposed incinerator on the Nature Reserve.	

					<p>Ford already has planning for an incinerator (planning obtained in 2014 by Grundon) and Gatwick Airport has recently built one, so there is no need for another incinerator in West Sussex.</p> <p>Lastly this site would add to the misery of our potholed roads, adding just under 300 of non-green HGV trucks movements everyday as waste is transported to the Wealden Brickworks site from surrounding counties.</p>	
628	Mrs Helen Currington	25, Kingfisher Way, Horsham, RH12 2LT	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>1.2m signing because it is ridiculous that this is being built in such a residential area! Also 1.2m against just burning rubbish adding more pollution to the atmosphere</p>	
629	Miss Nuala Derwin	Vaddicott House, Shebbear, Beaworthy, EX21 5QU	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Although no longer a resident of Horsham I frequently visit as this is my home town, I cannot see how an incinerator will benefit the area, Horsham is a beautiful town with lots of history. I understand that with the influx of housing there needs to be waste management but can that not be located somewhere where the impact on residential dwellings and the natural habitat will be minimised, we already have pollution from Gatwick Airport and our areas of outstanding natural beauty seem to be shrinking. Have all options for a greener alternative been investigated?</p>	
630	Mrs Charlotte Francis	82, Honeysuckle Drive, Billingshurst, RH14 9UL	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>The visual impact on surrounding areas, along with the Health implications from poor air quality will be devastating to residents and visitors alike. Properties in the shadow will be devalued.</p> <p>This needs to be sited away from residential area.</p>	
631	Mrs Sally Gonzales	57, Greenway, Horsham, RH12 2JT	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Absolutely disgusting!</p>	
632	Ian Fitzgerald	-	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Good Afternoon,</p> <p>My name is Ian Fitzgerald and I reside in Ropeland Way in North Horsham.</p> <p>I would like to object to the Horsham Incinerator Ref:WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Regards,</p>	
633	Sarah Martin Rusper	-	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>To who it may concern,</p> <p>We object to the proposal for the above as the new incinerator is simply not required and it will increase CO2 levels which is harmful to the environment and our health. There are plans to have new housing in that area also so thought must be given to future residents about long term environmental and health issues.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>	
634	Steve Martin Rusper	-	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>To who it may concern,</p> <p>We object to the proposal for the above as the new incinerator is simply not required and it will increase CO2 levels which is harmful to the environment and our health. There are plans to have new housing in that area also so thought must be given to future residents about long term environmental and health issues.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>	
635	Michael Tunstall	4, Ghyll Crescent, Horsham, RH13 6BG	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>I would like to register my objection the proposed Incinerator in Horsham referenced above.</p> <p>East of the the proposed site, in a natural valley down wind, there is going to be a significant housing development.</p> <p>The prevailing wind and the channelling effect of the valley will mean that emissions will directly affect the residents. This appears to be an oversight by somebody, as the effect on an urban population is patently obvious.</p> <p>I have lived in an area where a chemical plant was allowed to be built upwind of a village. The average life span dropped by 10 years.</p> <p>Please don't allow this to happen to the residents of Horsham.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p>	
636	Athene & Stephen Maher	-	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Subject: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>We object to the Britaniacrest application. This ludicrous proposal is purely for profit. We don't waste the Horsham area becoming a waste dumping ground as it will be transported across the county's borders by HGVs who will be further polluting the atmosphere with their Co2 and Nox emissions. The roads are dangerous and clogged up enough without further traffic and noise pollution. We need to encourage more recycling by burning the waste people wont bother.</p> <p>The chimney is not only a blight on the landscape but dangerous due to aircraft and it will cause light pollution and produce cariogenic smoke with long term effects on members of the public. Our children will be breathing this in. If you allow this to happen you are putting lives at risk. The world health organisation advises that no waste incinerators should be built in a populated area and yet this is next to the North Horsham Development.</p> <p>If this is allowed to happen you should be held responsible for any ill effects to individuals health in the future.</p> <p>We urge you to object this proposal please show some responsibility to the people that live in the Horsham area.</p> <p>Many thanks</p>	
637	Mark Graham	-	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir / Madam</p> <p>I wish to register my objection to the proposed incinerator subject of the above application.</p> <p>1. The existence of incinerators does not encourage recycling which is where we should all focus our efforts.</p> <p>2. Recent developments in the recycling of plastics, plus the Government drive on the subject, will lead to more plastic recycling. Incinerators merely burn plastic releasing all kinds of toxins to the atmosphere.</p> <p>3. Whatever claims are made about the filtering of particulates from the incinerator chimney stack, fine particulates are released to the atmosphere.</p> <p>4. There will never be sufficient sorting of material brought to the incinerator to take out articles such as batteries, chemicals and various polyvinyls - they will end up in the incinerator.</p> <p>5. For an incinerator in Horsham to be profitable the company will need to import considerable waste from surrounding counties - including London, this of course produces further pollution from traffic as well as considerable traffic movements.</p> <p>6. The welcome development of housing in North Horsham will be adversely affected by traffic and pollution, the two developments simply do not belong together.</p> <p>Please reject this application.</p>	

					Regards	
					Mark Graham BSc (Hons) ChemEng	
638	Neil Brenson	23, Bostock Avenue, Horsham, RH124EY	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Dear sir or madam</p> <p>I object to the Planning application for an industrial incinerator known as a Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary. This is not something that should be built in Horsham bringing down the house prices and creating more noise and pollution to our community.</p> <p>Location Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>Best regards,</p>	
639	Jacqui Birch	Lower Chickens Farm, Dorking Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3RY	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Mr Dawes,</p> <p>></p> <p>> I write to you today as an extremely concerned member of the community living in Warnham about the proposed application WSCC/015/18/NH. I also wrote to you and your council colleagues some time ago and have yet to receive a reply. I cc'd Mr Jeremy Quinn on the correspondence who kindly replied to me and I would respectfully ask that you do the same.</p> <p>></p> <p>> As you may recall I wrote highlighting that I strongly oppose this application and I have no idea why HDC would support this as it brings no benefit at all to the community but will have a negative impact on the area forever. I made multiple points in my previous mail and I again summarise them below:</p> <p>></p> <p>> 1. The chimney is 95m tall and the building is excessive, it is not in keeping nor will enhance the natural surroundings. It will be seen for miles and will ruin areas of natural beauty and conservation areas.</p> <p>></p> <p>> 2. Pollution and omissions is extremely worrying and causes me and my family great concern for our health and that of the community.</p> <p>></p> <p>> 3.Noise and lighting will be excessive due to its proximity to Gatwick Airport and the fact that it will be running 24/7.</p> <p>></p> <p>> 4. Traffic will increase due to the waste being transferred from all over the country for burning here in Horsham.</p> <p>></p> <p>> 5. Already central government has been served with a report which shows currently incinerators that exists are not to capacity so why do we need another in Horsham.</p> <p>></p> <p>> 6. This incinerator will impede any progress to increase recycling rates and is not part of the WSCC waste plan.</p> <p>></p> <p>> To conclude this is a private profit making business for the facility owners and is detrimental to the community negatively impacting Horsham and surrounding villages for ever. Horsham is currently an affluent historic market town, if his facility goes ahead this reputation will be eroded and the local economy will be negatively impacted. I urge HDC to oppose this venture in its entirety and I look forward to your reply.</p> <p>></p> <p>> Kind regards</p> <p>> Jacqui Birch</p> <p>> Lower Chickens Farm</p> <p>> Dorking Road</p> <p>> Warnham</p> <p>> Horsham</p> <p>> RH12 3RY</p>	
640	Mr R Surley	9, Walton Drive, Horsham, RH13 6RQ	Object	26-APR-2018	<p>Reference: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I wish to object to the proposed development of a large scale recycling, recovery and renewable energy facility to be located the former Wealden Brickworks site.</p> <p>The reasons for my objection are based on the need for such a large scale development in the North Horsham Area is unproven and it's long term viability is also unproven.</p> <p>Significant change is taking place in our attitude to waste and it's disposal, that the inclusion of a large scale incinerator at this facility will in the medium term be economically unviable.</p> <p>This application relies on waste being brought into the area for commercial gain, the residents of the area will not directly benefit and to the contrary will suffer all the downsides in terms of pollution of the atmosphere, noise from plant operations and vehicle movements, congestion and damage to public highways by heavy commercial vehicles, detrimental health implications for the local population from airborne particulates and loss of view of the green landscape.</p> <p>This application should not receive consent bearing in mind the close proximity of the proposed North Horsham Development with it's high density of housing and thousands of new residents looking for somewhere safe and clean to live in an attractive environment and bringing direct economic benefit to the area and paying local taxes.</p> <p>These developments have a history of unreliability and when things go wrong the operators simply deny culpability leaving the local authorities the expense of remedial work.</p>	
641	Mrs Rachel Bowman	13, Smithbarn, Horsham, RH13 6DR	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>This recycling plant is inappropriate for two reasons:</p> <p>- there has been insufficient analysis of the particulate composition that will be released and the dispersion across the area. There is a public health risk</p> <p>- the chimney stack is very tall and will be an eyesore across a very large area of otherwise beautiful countryside.</p>	
642	Christopher Obbard	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I object this application due to environmental and health impacts.</p> <p>Toxic fumes and more traffic on the roads is an obviously bad.</p> <p>I would urge the applicant to try to find another more environmentally friendly idea.</p>	
643	Mrs Patricia Bass	1 Stammerham, Cottages, CapelRoad, Rusper, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4PZ	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>We already are doing our bit for waste treatment here with the landfill hill and the Digester. The smells from the landfill hill are at times revolting and it seems unfair to the surrounding area to have to tolerate more in order to increase Britaniacrest's profits. There is also the fact that progress is now being made with recycling of waste so perhaps they could be encouraged to go down this route rather than burning it</p>	
644	Mr Andrew Beckett	13, Brickyard Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AE	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>This will have huge detrimental effects to the quality of air over Kilnwood Vale in turn affecting health and quality of life.</p>	

645	Miss Kirsteen Bethel	110, Beeches Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AD	Object	27-APR-2018	Object to the incinerator	
646	Mr Peter Burgess	27, Haybarn Drive, Horsham, RH12 5JF	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>This site is unsuitable for such a large building and very high chimney. It will look totally out of place in such a pleasant rural area.</p> <p>Whether an incinerator is safe or not depends on many factors including very precise operation. There are no details as to who will operate the system.</p> <p>In addition they need a constant supply of fuel, in this case waste, to perform safely. The Local supply will not be enough and waste supplies will have to come progressively from a great distance including from other countries. Britanniacrest say there will be no increase in lorry movements, but this is inevitable adding to an already large number.</p> <p>Incinerators are already becoming irrelevant to waste disposal systems and the future is lees waste and recycling. Such an application should be refused as it will delay or even reduce recycling processes.</p>	
647	Mr Peter Lucas	9, Martlet House, Durrants Drive, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 4GA	Object	27-APR-2018	Do not want this Large & polluting Plant in an area where I live	
648	Mr Deepak Menon	54, Illett Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AJ	Object	27-APR-2018	As a resident living in Kilnwood Vale, the building of an incinerator would affect near our are would affect the health of me and my family. Both me and my daughter as asthma patients and would like to object to the proposal.	
649	Family Lacey	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Sir,</p> <p>I write to object in the strongest manner to the proposed new incinerator. It would be a blight on the landscape, producing additional and unacceptable levels of CO2, smell and noise, of a type that just about every sane person is trying to reduce. It would be an incongruous and unsightly addition to a rural environment. In simple terms , it is the wrong solution and in the wrong place.</p> <p>Regards</p>	
650	Kay Pollen	22, Tennyson Close	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern</p> <p>Please take this email to be an objection to the planned incinerator in the Northern area of Horsham. I am concerned around volume of traffic and level of pollution, I do not think it is in the towns best interest to have this incinerator. I would request a different site is found that is away from housing, schools and in an area where people will not be affected.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Kay Pollen 22 Tennyson Close</p>	
651	Sue Lloyd	-	Object	27-APR-2018	I,m lodging my objection to the above. Very concerned about the extra traffic this will bring to the area. I was not made aware of an incinerator being built in the area when I purchased this property on Kilnwood Vale and do not want to be poisoned by toxic fumes.	
652	Kate Lewis	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I am objecting to the above planning application</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Kate Lewis</p>	
653	Dr Rosemary Fox	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I wish to register the strongest possible objection to allowing the planning application for a Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy facility to proceed any further.</p> <p>Inevitably, emissions from this facility would have serious detrimental effect on the population in the development expected in North Horsham. Relatively small quantities of toxins are now understood to cause permanent injury to human and other animal systems. The damage to the ecology of the area around the facility, both during the building and later operation, could not be repaired. I do not need to expand the above comments as the detailed and scientific reasons for the claims have been given by other objectors, for example Liberty Property Trust and the Council for the Preservation of Rural England. May sound judgement with recognition of future effects result in the rejection of the application.</p> <p>(Dr) Rosemary Fox, M.A., D.Phil (Oxon)</p>	
654	John Winstanley	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I appeal to all on West Sussex council to reject the incinerator planning application and support Rural England to remain pristine. Has there been an environmental impact survey been carried out? I believe that the council should listen to the people whose homes,jobs,livelihoods and environment will be effected should this proposal go to approval.Please support your electorate.Thank you.</p>	
655	Paul Dinham	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern</p> <p>I am writing to formally lodge my objection to the above application WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>I feel this will blight the landscape, lower house prices and worsen quality of life for residents.</p> <p>I would urge the relevant authorities to prevent this eye sore being built.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Paul Dinham - Horsham resident</p>	
656	Simon Davey	10, Watson Drive, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 6RQ	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Dear sir/madam,</p> <p>I object to the planning application for this Incinerator in Horsham due to its location and it is also against World Health Organisation advise due its proximity to North Horsham.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>Simon Davey</p>	
657	Chris Middlehurst	., Beeches Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AB	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>In relation to the above planning reference number, I object to this going ahead.</p> <p>The increase in pollution from both the incinerator and the trucks going to and from is unacceptable. Plus the increased traffic on an already busy commuter routes will lead to delays. Furthermore the council's should be pursuing greener technology, not seeking the cheapest route that will simply result in increased carbon emissions when it is proven to be harmful.</p> <p>Chris Middlehurst</p>	
658	Miss Amanda Cunningham	27, Leeds Close, Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9XN	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Please reject this application!</p> <p>There must be more innovative ways of getting rid of waste rather than incinerators because of the negative impact on the environment. It was assumed that plastics didn't have a devastating impact on our world and look where we are today. We do not want these pollutants getting in our food supply chain through farm animals and crops that are grown nearby or having to keep children inside to prevent them breathing in the fumes.</p>	

					Reject the applications and any future ones on incinerators.	
659	Ms Paula De Gouveia	33, Gateford Drive, Horsham, RH12 5FW	Object	27-APR-2018	This is a terrible idea, so close to a large town. Traffic and congestion is bad enough trying to get out of horsham at busy times. And with so many homes and schools the pollution will be detrimental to so many people including asthma suffers like my family. Save Horsham from this awful thing!	
660	Mrs Nicola Durward	8, 8, Nuthatch Way, Horsham, RH12 5HN	Object	27-APR-2018	None of the people in the town or surrounding areas agree with this. Find a new site way away from where people live and breath. This is NOT what Horsham is known for! Keep it that way please	
661	Mrs Phillipa Hatton	24, Wealdon Close, Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9HP	Object	27-APR-2018	Health worries, unsightly, impact on environment and local Wildlife, impact to landscape	
662	Miss Ana Efigenia Herrero Gsrcia	15, Medway Court, Birches Road, Horsham, RH12 4NL	Object	27-APR-2018	To whom might concern The reasons I object this proposal are health concerns due to the worsening of the air quality. It is going to be affected, noise pollution, increased traffic to the area, visual impact on this lovely and rural landscape. Please consider my objection to this project for the profound effects mentioned above that the project is having in Horsham area. Kind Regards Ana Herrero Garcia	
663	Mrs Joanne Lavanaway	42, 42, Longhurst Avenue, Horsham, Rh12 1bh	Object	27-APR-2018	Much traffic not already accommodated in infrastructure Pollution for our children This needs to be in a location away from housing and schooling, more rural	
664	Ms Helen McAweeney	55, Earles Meadow, Horsham, RH12 4HR	Object	27-APR-2018	Noise pollution, increased traffic on an already buckling road system, pollution, the incinerator will spew out emissions in the direction of our town. This would be a very bad thing for Horsham, not just environmentally, but socially, and economically. It shouldn't need spelling out to councillors who should know better.	
665	Mrs Dominique McEwen	12, Park Farm Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5EW	Object	27-APR-2018	I object to the proposals due to the environmental impact this will have, the potential to decrease the air quality for local residents, light and noise pollution plus the increased amount of road traffic.	
666	Miss Amy Rose	33, Bishopric Court, Horsham, RH12 1TJ	Object	27-APR-2018	The grounds for my objection are the following: Noise pollution Air pollution Risk to my child's health - she already has respiratory problems and a smoke filled environment will only worsen this. The proposed site is a residential area and it will be many children affected, as well as grown men and women. Consider moving this to a non-residential site so our town and members of the community won't suffer the consequences	
667	Mrs Amy Rothwell	5, Kingslea, Horsham, RH13 5PS	Object	27-APR-2018	This is ludicrous. You've approved the go ahead of multiple new domestic residences in the adjacent area and yet now propose the building of a commercial incinerator which will impact directly on the air quality and health of not only these new residents but existing Horsham and surrounding residents. As a parent to two preschool children I am appalled that you would sell out their health for profit. In addition, the road infrastructure to support the huge amount of increased HGV traffic is lacking and the impact of this proposal on our rural setting is too great. This is too residential an area to warrant a scheme of this sort.	
668	Mrs Lisa Slater	4, Wren Close, Horsham, RH12 5HD	Object	27-APR-2018	Why would Horsham Want this??? It's an ugly blot on our landscape, concern over pollution and air quality and will devalue our homes, already friends thinking of moving to the area are now looking elsewhere... Seriously HDC think of long term impacts here not some political agenda	
669	Mrs Becky Stevens	22, 22, Sycamore Rise, Horsham, RH130AU	Object	27-APR-2018	-	
670	Mrs Colleen Sutcliffe	29, Brecon Close, Mitcham, CR4 1XA	Object	27-APR-2018	I strongly object to this project going ahead in it proposed local. It is a beautiful area and the fact that it' propsed location is so close to residential home will not only cause detriment due to visual impact, but also real concerns for health of the surrounding population but also a decline in air quality is the immediate and surrounding area.	
671	Mrs Briony Uwins	16, Michell Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH121JT	Object	27-APR-2018	-	
672	Mrs Christine Velarde	Woody House, 102, Woodlands Way, Horsham, RH13 9DR	Object	27-APR-2018	This is a terrible idea. I wish I was more eloquent but please don't approve this incinerator.	
673	Mr Matthew Alder	45, 45, Station Road, Horsham, RH13 5EZ	Object	27-APR-2018	I don't want a massive incinerator polluting Horsham. I moved here from London to get away from pollution and loads of traffic and this incinerator will make Horsham a very unattractive place to live	
674	Sharon Davey	10, Watson Drive, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 6RQ	Object	27-APR-2018	Dear sir/madam, I object to the planning application for this Incinerator in Horsham due to its location and it is also against World Health Organisation advise due its proximity to North Horsham. Kind regards, Sharon Davey	
675	Martin Brown	23, Cheesmer Way, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3UY	Object	27-APR-2018	Hi I hear you're planning an incinerator for the local area. As a Horsham resident, 23 Cheesmer Way, RH123UY, I'd really rather you didn't. The noise is one thing but the air pollution is a big problem- not least for our health but also the environment when there are targets to reduce CO2 levels. This I would like to register my objection. Many thanks Martin Brown	
676	Stephen Madigan	41, Amberley Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4LJ	Object	27-APR-2018	Dear Sir/Madam, I object to the planning application for the Incinerator in Langhurstwood Road. Horsham due to its location and it's also against World Health Organisation advice due its proximity to North Horsham. Yours sincerely Steve Madigan	
677	Christine Le Penuizic	2, Little Hatch, Horsham, RH12 4BF	Object	27-APR-2018	OBJECTION to the proposal for Planning Ref. WSCC/015/18/NH & Planned Incinerator at Wealden Brickworks, Horsham, West Sussex	

					<p>I'm objecting to this latest WSCC planning application submitted by Britaniacrest on the following grounds.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. I moved to Horsham as it was such a clean town surrounded by fields with a clean air environment. This was essential to maintaining a healthier balance, given my medical conditions. If we have an incinerator in Horsham it will pollute the surrounding area and would impact my medical condition. WSCC should be looking after it citizens and not allow such pollution to invade the homes of the residents of Horsham and surrounding areas with toxic fallout from the 24/7 burning of waste from all over the South of England. This is totally unacceptable!!!!2. There is already surplus capacity in Northern Europe, so why not send our waste there? They would only be too happy to accept our waste!3. This is totally against the WSCC waste policy, whose Strategic Objective is to protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environments and resources of the County. It is also not compliant with its Policy W11: Character, which states that Proposal for Waste Development will be permitted PROVIDED that it WOULD NOT have an unacceptable impact on the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County. There is no possible way that this monstrosity of an industrial incinerator will enhance the natural and historic environment of Horsham.4. The plant is planned to recycle all the waste destined to be burnt from all over South East England. This will lead to lorries arriving all day long from all parts of SE England, adding to the already bad congestion Horsham and surrounding areas are experiencing in peak traffic times, and these vehicles will further add to the rising problem of traffic pollution. This is not acceptable!!5. What has happened to the WSCC push on recycling when now it seems they have performed a U Turn on that policy? The plant will demand a significant waste stream if it is to provide electricity by burning waste, so this pull will encourage people to think they no longer need to recycle and can throw away valuable materials that we are currently pushing hard to recycle.6. Not only that, an incinerator is the least efficient method of generating electricity, as for each tonne burnt it produces a tonne of CO2, which will fall on Horsham and the surrounding areas, GIVING RISE TO INCREASE IN RESPIRATORY AND OTHER HEALTH CONDITIONS and burn a bigger hole in our already depleted Ozone Layer. THIS IS ABSOLUTE MADNESS AND MUST BE STOPPED!!!!7. The Nation as a whole is slowly moving away from incineration to recycling, so why build such a polluting plant on our very doorstep, just to generate a wealthy income for the Shareholders of Britanniacrest who no doubt live far away from Horsham.8. Finally, if this planning application is approved it will also reduce the value of all properties in Horsham and the surrounding area. It will become difficult to sell a property, as nobody in their right mind will want to come to live in a town and bring up their family in an area that is under the shadow of a 95m tall chimney belching toxins 24/7. <p>Christine Le Penuizic</p>	
678	Charlotte Bishop	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>To Whom it may concern,</p> <p>I wish to express my objection to the plans for the Horsham incinerator (WSCC/015/18/NH) This facility would emit noise, light and air pollution, increasing Co2 levels and be an eye sore to the town and surrounding area.</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>Regards</p>	
679	Mr John C Terry	28, Orchard Road, Horsham, RH13 5NF	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>REF: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I consider my comments on the previous application to still be relevant and therefore please take these into account. However I would like to reinforce the following points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1.The visual impact of the proposed chimney on the adjacent High Weald AONB and surrounding countryside,as well as the town of Horsham will be massive.2.The dispersal of the plume from the chimney will aided by the planned height.However it will also be very dependent on prevailing weather conditions so the amount of pollution locally will vary and is really an unknown.It can be envisaged that in periods of still weather this could be a serious problem in the local area. <p>The approval of the North Horsham housing development make this consideration even more important and this application ignores the advice of WHO that areas near an incinerator should not be populated</p> <p>John C Terry</p>	
680	Mrs Nicola Palmer	Richmond House, 13, Carfax, Horsham, RH12 1AQ	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>The size of the construction is excessively large and high and will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages as well as areas of outstanding natural beauty. It will be an eyesore from miles away and is hardly going to be a great welcome to the Horsham area. It will be a deterrent to visitors. The whole character of Horsham and its surrounding villages will be damaged by such an intrusive structure.</p> <p>The fact that waste will be travelling over great distances (outside of this area) is unacceptable in an age when we should be reducing carbon footprints. The whole concept of an incinerator should not even exist anymore, as we should be reducing waste and recycling more. The increase in heavy goods vehicles will add to the already stretched road infrastructure in the area, as well as further pollution.</p> <p>The lighting, noise, dust, odours and other emissions will have a negative impact on the health and wellbeing of members of the local area and further afield, when the pollution travels with the wind. Also, I question what impact the plumes of pollution will have on visibility/engines in relation to flights to and from Gatwick. This area is already under pressure environmentally from Gatwick; we don't need to further poison ourselves!</p> <p>Quite frankly, I cannot believe that in an age when the council is telling us to reduce and recycle, it would even entertain the idea of accommodating such a monstrosity in this beautiful area. Find other ways to deal with the problem please, for the sake of all of our futures.</p>	
681	Mrs Natasha Pitman	11, Brushwood Road, Horsham, RH12 4PE	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Worried about health risks, smells</p> <p>House price as we are north Horsham And close to it</p> <p>Will look bad</p> <p>Worried about air quality issues</p>	
682	Ms Laura Rowley	., 7, Willow Street, Faygate, West Sussex, Rh120ay	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I object to the proposed planning application WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>This is not a healthy example of way of living. I have recently moved to the area wanting to start a family in a nicer environment than we were previously living.</p> <p>We already have Gatwick nearby and that added toxic fumes from the incinerator will cause multiple health issues for vulnerable people. Including the child I am carrying.</p> <p>I am sure that as planners you would not want to be held responsible for toxic fume related illnesses which are not currently common in this area.</p>	
683	Mr Chris Stocker	5, Mole Crescent, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AT	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Besides adding an unsightly chimney to a currently beautiful skyline and landscape, I am fearful that smoke and fumes will pollute the air around my home and the surrounding area. We moved to this area from the city for the stunning scenery and better air quality yet this incenrator and chimney will destroy that.</p>	
684	Miss Maggie Swalwell	5, Holmbush End, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AL	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Absolutely not! I objected the first time Round and I'll object again. The area cannot cope with the influx of traffic especially HGV's. The air pollution that will be caused by this will have a serious impact on the communities. The area is green and beautiful countryside, and does not need towering incinerators ruining the local area. The negatives clearly outweigh the positives for the</p>	

					need of such a monstrosity in an area Surrounded by people.	
685	Miss Jenna Weisner	18, Medway Court, Birches Road, Horsham, RH12 4NL	Object	27-APR-2018	-	
686	Mr Steven Wong	17, Illett Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AJ	Object	27-APR-2018	I can't believe this is still being considered. Shame on anyone that approves wanting this monstrosity built here!	
687	Ms Lavinia Bennett	Tanners, Hollands Way, Warnham, RH12 3RH	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Subject: Objection to the application for an incinerator at the Britanniacreast Site WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Having just submitted my online response, I am copying it to yourselves in the hope that you will take on board the strength of local residents objections to this application. I really do view this as a major factor when it comes to casting my vote at the next elections</p> <p>There are many grounds on which this application should be rejected:</p> <p>1. Non-compliance with many of the polices of WSCC's Waste Local Plan 2. Scale, location and visibility of the development 3. Aviation lights and site illumination 4. Night time noise intrusion 5. Lack of flue stack details.</p> <p>The strongly worded objection from Liberty (on the planning website) goes into great depth as to the specifics of the planning policies that would be contravened if this application is approved.</p> <p>I have huge concerns relating to the health impacts that the plume from the 95m high chimney would have on the people living in the area. My children will be playing in their playground at Warnham Primary school with the plume particulates falling onto them and being inhaled on a daily basis.</p> <p>There are simply far too many residential premises for this to be a suitable site.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Lavina Bennett</p>	
688	Russell Lacey	3, Bricklayer Lane, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AA	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Hi</p> <p>I would like to share with you my objections to the proposed new incinerator in the Horsham area.</p> <p>I strongly object to this new incinerator being built within then this proposed area.</p> <p>This building will be a visual monstrosity to the surrounding beautiful countryside that resides around this proposed area. The building will be considerably large and will be very noticeable from afar and ruin the landscape of Horsham and immediate areas.</p> <p>This incinerator will increase the air quality in the immediate area and if strong winds were to prevail then this would go further. We already have a large polluter in the form of Gatwick airport and having this will surely mean Horsham will be putting many lives at risk with such pollutants in the air.</p> <p>With many new housing developments occurring within the local area, more and more green lands are being consumed, and this will yet be another large site that will do the same. Horsham is slowly losing its precious country lands and green areas for such monstrosities such as this. Horsham will soon lose its appeal to many as the green and rural town that it is.</p> <p>The site will be 24/7 and will severely impact local and wider traffic with large lorries traversing the local roads and lanes to deliver their load. This again will further impact the air quality and health of local residents, along with potential increase to road accidents.</p> <p>Such consumption of our green lands must stop and this site be considered for a more suitable location.</p> <p>I will join the growing local support for this new incinerator to be stopped from being built in our lovely town and scaring our beautiful countryside for ever.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Russell Lacey</p>	
689	Georgy Mason	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Dear Councillors</p> <p>I feel compelled to write to you as I am extremely disappointed that you plan to support the incinerator planning application</p> <p>This project is a travesty for so many reasons. Not only will it reduce recycling rates locally such a shame given your huge investment and achievements in this area - and I believe it will do this given previously examples across the country and Europe have done so It will also billow fumes into our air these fumes are proven to cause asthma and have demonstrable links to infertility in girls.</p> <p>Now let me share with you what infertility treatment looks like for those of you that are parents to girls!!</p> <p>If you have Daughter's nieces sisters living in the area spare a moment for what infertility treatment will look like:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">* it will cost between £6,000 - 10,000 a round* that's after the 2 year of humiliating tests you will have to endure* each cycle will last about 6 weeks* where you will be injecting yourself 2-3 times a day, taking 1-3 tablets a day more than likely about 10-16 supplements at day* You will be travelling for scans every 3/4 days* You will do all of this not knowing if you will get a positive outcome* Only 1-6 cycles is succesful* If you do get pregnant having gone through all of this you will be constantly worried about miscarriage which occurs in normal pregnancy in 1 in 3 and 1 in 5 for fertility patients <p>so before you decide to support this project please consider if this is a risk you are willing to put your girls through.</p> <p>On a more practical and less emotive level this will increase traffic congestion and will cause our already damaged roads to be more so.</p> <p>the single carriage way section of the A24 is already woefully unsuitable to the size of the town growth but adding this to our existing planning is ridiculous.</p> <p>I appreciate many people want to move to this wonderful town and that is a great thing for our community and a reflection of what a special place we have here but I feel as our Councillors you have lost sight of why people are moving here in the first place. Country air, wonderfully community etc..</p> <p>In Europe these incinerators are being decommissioned due to lack of rubbish following increased recycling, therefore why can the council not see this technology is outdated.</p>	

					<p>I cannot express the extent to which I object to this outrageous project, and I would hope as my representatives in the council you will head my concerns.</p> <p>Best</p> <p>Georgy Mason Resident, Rook Way</p>	
690	Mr Michael Davis	Spring Dale, Spencers Place, Horsham, RH12 2EZ	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>To support this proposed incinerator waste will have to be imported from far afield. It is therefore a commercial operation not mainly for the people of West Sussex. This is old technology and we cannot burn our way out of producing too much waste that cannot be recycled. There will pollution for most of the residents of Horsham.</p> <p>This application should be rejected.</p>	
691	Ms Lepore Lepore	Flat 7 Holbrook Park, 7, Old Holbrook, Horsham, Rh12 4TW	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Air pollution is a problem throughout the UK and Europe and people appear to be unaware they are breathing in dangerous levels. This is in addition to the overall pollution situation. STOP THE HORROR and I say NO to the incinerator!!</p>	
692	Mrs Anne Sedlmayr	5, Langhurst Close, Horsham, RH12 4QB	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I am objecting to this proposed application, on the basis that not only will the proposed incinerator be a blot on the landscape of this rural area, but will omit gases and substances that are a danger to health. The UK Without Incineration Network has noted in their assessment of the Carbon Assessment submitted by the applicant that this is fundamentally flawed by virtue of not meeting the requirements set out by government in assessing such things. It noted that the incineration facility would be 16,479 tCO₂e per annum WORSE than sending that same waste directly to landfill. This therefore does not meet local and national plans and policies in relation to carbon emissions and climate change. It also notes that the applicants proposed worse case scenarios could be significantly underestimating the potential permitted emissions from the plant".</p> <p>Furthermore, the roads in this area cannot sustain any more heavy goods vehicles.</p> <p>I appreciate that these sorts of applications get through by way of monetary gain for certain parties, and 'backhanders' are common but HDC MUST think of its residents in this instance before it is too late.</p>	
693	Mr John Stace	32A, North Road, Crawley, RH10 1JX	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Plant to be built in rural (AONB)and densely populated urban areas.</p> <p>Will disfigure landscape with height of chimney - would be seen for miles.</p> <p>Crawley and Horley (densely populated towns and will be even more densely populated as new housing continues non-stop) will be the main beneficiaries of the polluted air.</p> <p>An environment danger and hazard to people, animals and facilities for a very large area.</p> <p>For the above reasons, I object most strongly to this proposed building of an incinerator to burn toxic materials.</p>	
694	Ms Penny Groome	10, Greenfinch Way, Horsham, RH12 5HB	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>In connection with the above proposal I would like to set out below my objections to be considered by the Planning Committee:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The BIFFA Mechanical Biological Treatment facility which has been in situ for some time has already been doing the appropriate refuse treatment for Horsham and surrounding areas.2. We understand that waste to feed the proposed incinerator will be transported from some far away places across borders by HGVs, polluting our air with Co2 and Noxious emissions, and will also cause traffic problems with the number of vehicles involved in this connection on a regular basis, which is not acceptable.3. We understand that incineration increases Co2 levels, damaging our health and the environment, again which is not acceptable.4. We understand the proposed incinerator chimney will be some 95metres high and will be a blight on the landscape, including light and noise pollution to the area, again which is not acceptable.5. We understand the WHO advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and yet this facility will be adjacent to the North Horsham Development with the plume heading over nearby conurbations. This cannot be allowed to happen. <p>I hope these objections will be added to those previously sent to the Council and considered in an effort to refuse the present application and any future application for an incinerator in this area.,</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>Penelope Groome</p>	
695	Mr Steven Wong	Kilnwood Vale, Crawley Road, Faygate, Horsham	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I'll keep this brief, I object to this application on the grounds of (if not blatantly obvious) this facility would emit far too much noise, light and air pollution, increasing Co2 levels at a time when governments around the globe are attempting to reduce the impact of Co2 emissions.</p> <p>This is madness that it's even been able to get to this stage.</p> <p>Regards Steven Wong Kilnwood Vale.</p>	
696	Sam Lepore	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>STOP THE HORROR!</p> <p>Please West Sussex County Council, reject the application for an incinerator and the constant industrialisation of rural West Sussex, forever impacting the character of Horsham and surrounding villages. This facility would emit noise, light and air pollution, increasing Co2 levels at a time when governments around the globe are attempting to reduce the impact of Co2 emissions.</p> <p>For this reason I strongly object and oppose the application.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Sam Lepore</p>	
697	Sandra Czaban	15, Bailey Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5QR	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern, I would like to object to the above planning application on the following grounds:</p> <p>The size of this building will have a detrimental impact on Horsham and surrounding villages, it is not in keeping with the beautiful countryside in which it is situated. My property is in the area where the incinerator is planned and I specifically moved here to enjoy the countryside.</p> <p>The scale of this plant and its operations will require substantial amounts of waste being shipped in from any area within the M25. West Sussex does not produce enough waste to keep this facility viable and indeed other incinerators are being scaled down because they cannot feed enough waste into the on a 24/7 basis.</p> <p>I do not believe the local roads will be able to support the huge increase in traffic, the noise is also a problem for local residents. From 4am in the morning traffic noise increases immensely, to feed the incinerator waste vehicles will be in transit all night and day.</p> <p>I have audited several incinerators in my role as Facilities and waste manager for a large pharmaceutical company, all audits have found major issues with emissions, storage, security and procedures not followed. There is nothing to make me believe that this</p>	

					<p>facility will be any different.</p> <p>Britaniacrest have a massive site between Gatwick and Reigate, why can they build it there?</p> <p>Please refuse permission for this monstrosity to built in Horsham. We don't need or want it.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Sandra Czaban 15 Bailey Close Horsham RH12 5QR</p>	
698	Clive Walker	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I would like to object to the planning application for an incinerator in North Horsham on the grounds of noise, light and air pollution, particularly CO2 levels. We need to dispose of waste in a non-polluting way and reduce CO2 levels as much as possible. The impact of the incinerator on the rural area, on north Horsham's new development, and on existing house in north Horsham is far too high.</p> <p>Thanks for your consideration. I hope you turn down this application.</p> <p>Best regards</p> <p>Clive Walker</p>	
699	Jack Magnus	39, The Boulevard, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 1EP	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Totally ridiculous to have an INCINERATOR built not far from an historic market town. Cannot take the risk of pollution,busier roads and all health concerns.</p> <p>Jack Magnus 39 The Boulevard Horsham RH12 1EP Jack Magnus</p>	
700	Mrs Natalie Sharp	46, Kempshott Road, Horsham, RH12 2EY	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>The incinerator will be such an eye sore, and a constant reminder of how it?s polluting the atmosphere, especially it?s immediate surrounding areas and our children. Please, this must be stopped. Horsham is a beautiful town. The incinerator will ruin it.</p>	
701	Mrs Louise Ansell	6, Petworth Drive, Horsham, RH12 5JH	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Not only are we concerned about the increase of traffic to an already busy area (and increasingly getting busier when the new development finally gets built). And the fact that it isn't just our waste as a town but non domestic waste too. Not to mention the visual impact of how unsightly it would look for so many residents. More worryingly, we are concerned about the health implications and air quality thanks to the incinerator being so close to so many residential areas. Our son already suffers from asthma and feel that this will be another trigger to contend with and goodness know what else later down the line.</p> <p>Horsham is a lovely town and so are the surrounding areas, hence why we moved here. Please don't ruin it by building the incinerator in our town, surely building it where it's easily accessible & not in a residential area would be far better for all!</p>	
702	Mrs Vicky Bassett	1, Kempshott Road, Horsham, RH12 2EX	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I am very concerned at the level of additional pollution this proposed incinerator will bring to Horsham and the surrounding villages. And with that the additional health concerns and destruction to local wildlife and environment.</p>	
703	Linda Lewis	24, Bartholomew Way, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5JL	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I wish to object to the plans for the Britaniacrest incinerator in North Horsham ¿ reference WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>It is extremely disturbing that such a facility is being considered so close to the proposed new building of 2750 homes. Any prevailing wind blowing from the West or South West, is likely to blow fumes over the proposed development area - potentially affecting the health of the inhabitants and spoiling the appeal of the new community.</p> <p>I therefore urge you to reject the proposal ¿ as the plans for the incinerator are wholly incompatible with the proposed North Horsham housing & community development.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p> <p>Linda Lewis</p>	
704	Joy Gartell	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>We do not want this insinuator to go ahead for health reasons the smell and the look this cannot be accepted to this countryside</p>	
705	Caroline Smith	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I am objecting as there seems to be a total lack of research into this project which is worrying.</p> <p>I would like to object as I think it goes against Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity and it would be would be seen for miles, from Leith Hill and all of the surrounding area.</p> <p>The number one important question in a project like this is, is it going to be safe and how much more is this going to effect global warming, could this get into the water and the ecosystems?</p> <p>The air quality controls had been done which appear to be very far from site.</p> <p>I was surprised at the fact no air quality reports had been done, no experts contacted. The only research that they are using is the web based data from a website (plume plotter).</p> <p>All research has been obtained from Plume Plotter which is a free website aimed at producing data on pollution level of current incinerators and models for prospective ones.</p> <p>The problem with this is that it is based on the American (AERMOD) and does not take account on the varying topography or the ground, and so places higher up might be more affected.</p> <p>This has website has been criticized by the</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">-National Specialist Centre for Radiation-Chemicals and Environmental Hazards-The Environment Agency-Halton Borough Council <p>The European NGO recently conduted a study (Air Pollution from Waste Disposal: Not for Public Breath¿ ¿ was carried out by Spanish consultancy ENT on behalf of Zero Waste Europe) warns that emission limits of hazardous air pollutants as designated by EU Air Quality Directives are ¿significantly higher¿. These are also significantly higher than recommended safety limits by the World Health Organization (WHO) (https://www.airqualitynews.com/2015/12/09/air-pollution-waste-incineration-significant-report-warns/)</p> <p>Incidents at other incinerators</p> <p>Poolbeg incinerator, Ireland</p> <p>https://www.irishtimes.com/news/environment/criminal-investigation-under-way-into-incinerator-lime-leak-1.3236249</p> <p>Dargavel in Dumfries, Scotland</p> <p>http://www.heraldscotland.com/news/13088864.Pioneering_waste_plant_faces_legal_action_after_pollution_leaks_and_an_explosion/</p> <p>Runcorn, London</p> <p>http://www.stoptheincinerator.co.uk/?p=1095</p> <p>Isle of Wight</p>	

					<p>https://friendsoftheearth.uk/groups/derby/news/Environment-Agency-cars-form-showing-dioxin-breached-by-800-</p> <p>Effects https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2000/may/18/pollution.uknews</p> <p>Fires at other incinerators Waste incinerator at Tockwith (York and North Yorkshire) In August, 2003 the old Crymlyn Burrows incinerator burned for four days. It caught fire again in February this year & after the council had to take it over when the operator went bankrupt. The Sita site in Teesside caught fire in August, 2009. Edmonton's incinerator did the same in November 2008, and the Byker incinerator in Newcastle managed it in May, 2001. The Kirklees incinerator exploded and leaked poisonous gas in September, 2006. Dundee's incinerator caught fire in September 1999. It did it again in January 2002. The council has had to buy the plant and pay off its losses to keep it running.</p> <p>What the EU think... Air quality eu costly that will need to resolve the issue of gases produced https://www.euractiv.com/section/energy/opinion/renewables-law-could</p> <p>Other facts Plastics, which are extremely stable. They don't break down in landfill, so don't emit greenhouse gases burning them not only releases toxins but add to greenhouse gases.</p> <p>The consultancy Eunomia says plastics burned in incinerators set up to generate only electricity create heat at 25% efficiency. This is much lower than the 55% efficiency for new gas-fired power stations.</p> <p>I see tons of offices putting recycling in waste bins. So much can be done between manufacturers and supermarkets. Burning waste is just transferring a problem that we don't want to deal with because it's easier to burn it and passing on the problems to future generations. What kind of world are we passing onto our kids, Caroline Smith</p>
706	Stephen Ford	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Dear Horsham Planners, RE: Proposed Horsham Incinerator, Application Number: WSCC/062/16/NH I am writing to express my concerns regarding the application to build a new waste incineration plant in North Horsham. For the following reasons I would like to submit my objections to the application. The proposed application does not comply with West Sussex County Council's Waste Local Plan. The size of the construction is excessively large, and the height of the chimney stack will have a major negative impact on the surrounding villages, countryside and areas of outstanding natural beauty. Commercial waste from businesses across the south east region will be transported to the site to be dealt with, which is not in accordance with strategic objective 5, to make provision for waste to be recycled as close to its source as possible. Contrary to Strategic Objective 11, protect and where possible enhance the natural and historic environment, the proposed plant will be visible from as far as 15km away causing a blight on the countryside and doing the opposite of enhancing the natural environment. Policy 26 of the Horsham District planning framework states that any proposal must be of a scale appropriate to it countryside character and location. I believe the proposed incineration plant is not of an appropriate scale and it does not enhance, conserve or protect any key features of its surrounding area, in direct contravention of policy 26. Furthermore, the policy states any proposed development should not increase the overall level of activity in the countryside, which would be the case with waste from across the south east being transported to the site. A major concern of ours that has not been properly considered, is that incineration does not remove waste. It simply converts it into another form (gas, particulates, ash) and these new forms are typically more hazardous, though less visible, than in the original form. As residents living less than a mile from the proposed site, this is a serious concern to us. Many large studies have been conducted which have shown significant increases in cancers, heart disease and birth defects around incinerators, with a real possibility that genetic changes will occur and be passed on to succeeding generations. Therefore, waste incineration is prohibitively expensive when health costs are taken into account. There has been insufficient analysis of the effects of incineration specifically relating to the North Horsham area, rather just a general argument for incineration versus landfill. For a fair assessment to be carried out the local area needs to be taken into account, the fact that there is a planned school development in the North Horsham Strategic Development directly adjacent to the site, demonstrates the need for a detailed environmental and health study on the impact of the incinerator specifically within the area of construction. Any such development should not be seriously considered without full consideration of the potential health impact to local residents. On a personal note, I have been looking to self-build for several years now in the Horsham area and would find it a travesty if this proposal went ahead given its severely negative impact on the countryside when I have been told that it's not possible to self-build a small family dwelling outside the town boundary as it would not positively enhance the countryside or its surroundings, while having far less impact than a 50m chimney stack emitting dangerous chemicals. The proposed incinerator contravenes the United Nations Commission on Human Rights, the European Human Rights Convention (the Right to Life), and the Stockholm Convention, and violates the Environmental Protection Act of 1990 which states that the UK must prevent emissions from harming human health. Yours sincerely, Stephen Ford</p>
707	Steve Noden	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Ref. WSCC/015/18/NH I'm emailing to voice my complaint to the proposed Incinerator. The horsham area is heavily populated and the burning of waste in close proximity is down right dangerous. We know so little about the risk of these pollutants and the toxic Ash that would be dumped on surrounding land and residents. Surely these sights are best placed many miles away from large towns like Horsham? Plus the danger of this waste being transported on the surrounding rural roads. Please think seriously before bringing this toxic waste to our doors. Regards Steve Noden</p>
708	Steve Noden	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I'm emailing to voice my complaint to the proposed Incinerator. The horsham area is heavily populated and the burning of waste in close proximity is down right dangerous. We know so little about the risk of these pollutants and the toxic Ash that would be dumped on surrounding land and residents. Surely these sights are best placed many miles away from large towns like Horsham? Plus the danger of this waste being transported on the surrounding rural roads. Please think seriously before bringing this toxic waste to our doors. Regards Steve Noden</p>
709	Gemma Lee	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern;</p> <p>Please think long and hard about the horrific impact this incinerator will have on our community. I'm not just concerned about the noise, traffic and unsightly view, but more about the impact on health for the residents and their children.</p> <p>The pollution will directly affect those struggling to live with severe lung health conditions, my own children struggle daily with asthma. The numerous housing developments exploding in every direct has already taken its toll on our health, but this incinerator is going to be the final nail in the coffin. It's a disgusting way to treat decent, tax paying individuals. I beg of you, to please ensure this does not go ahead, and destroy the beautiful Horsham community.</p>
710	Mr James Bennett	Tanners, 4, Hollands Way, Warnham, RH12	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Having objected to the initial withdrawn application, my objection has not been changed with this revised application. There are many grounds on which this application should be rejected:</p>

		3RH			<div>1. Non-compliance with many of the polices of WSCC?s Waste Local Plan</div> <div>2. Scale, location and visibility of the development</div> <div>3. Aviation lights and site illumination</div> <div>4. Night time noise intrusion</div> <div>5. Lack of flue stack details.</div> <div>The strongly worded objection from Liberty (on the planning website) goes into great depth as to the specifics of the planning policies that would be contravened if this application is approved.</div> <div>I have huge concerns relating to the health impacts that the plume from the 95m high chimney would have on the people living in the area. My children will be playing in their playground at Warnham Primary school with the plume particulates falling onto them and being inhaled on a daily basis.</div> <div>There are simply far too many residential premises for this to be a suitable site.</div>	
711	Mrs Lavina Bennett	Tanners, 4, Hollands Way, Warnham, RH12 3RH	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>Having objected to the initial withdrawn application, my objection has not been changed with this revised application. There are many grounds on which this application should be rejected:</div> <div>1. Non-compliance with many of the polices of WSCC?s Waste Local Plan</div> <div>2. Scale, location and visibility of the development</div> <div>3. Aviation lights and site illumination</div> <div>4. Night time noise intrusion</div> <div>5. Lack of flue stack details.</div> <div>The strongly worded objection from Liberty (on the planning website) goes into great depth as to the specifics of the planning policies that would be contravened if this application is approved.</div> <div>I have huge concerns relating to the health impacts that the plume from the 95m high chimney would have on the people living in the area. My children will be playing in their playground at Warnham Primary school with the plume particulates falling onto them and being inhaled on a daily basis.</div> <div>There are simply far too many residential premises for this to be a suitable site.</div>	
712	Mr Thomas Brown	24, Beeches Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AD	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>Worried about increased pollution and added traffic on already dangerous roads.</div>	
713	Mr Chris Butler	38, 38, Millpond Lane, Faygate, Rh120aq	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>I strongly object to planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH</div>	
714	Mr Chris Butler	38, 38, Millpond Lane, Faygate, Rh120aq	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>I strongly object to planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH as the smoke will be blown strait into my development and this will/could cause helth issues to me and my children plus the effects to the enviroment</div>	
715	Mrs Laura Castleton	121, Rusper road, Horsham, RH124bp	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>Huge eyesore to our beautiful countryside. No known impact to health from toxins as yet. Future of our children¿s health at risk.</div>	
716	Mrs Rachel Dickson	27, Ryecroft Meadow, Mannings Heath, Horsham, RH13 6JN	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>Pollution to local area</div> <div>Spoils view, unsightly</div> <div>Potential health risks for local population</div> <div>Increase in traffic</div>	
717	Miss Sabrina Gasson	6 rainbow field, 6, Faygate, Horsham, West Sussex, Rh12 0ar	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>The pollution will go right over my house we have just bought a new build in new kilnwood vAle estate this will be huge populated area with new primary school etc I couldn't think of a worse location to build this incenarator. I absolutely object for the health of horsham residents, how can you even allow this to go through into "considering application" ??</div>	
718	Mrs Emma Burns	8, Balmoral close, Rustington, Bn16 3ut	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>Soon to be returning to Horsham with my children, these incinerators are known to cause fertility issues with girls - this should NOT be allowed! Also this will be an eyesaw.</div>	
719	Mrs Lisa Butterworth	23, Oak Tree Way, Horsham, RH13 6EQ	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>I object to the planned works due to environmental impact and visibility of the site.</div>	
720	Stephanie Stringer	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>I object to the Horsham Incinerator because...</div> <div>Ni4H has been working with UKWIN (UK Without Incineration Network) and note in their assessment of the Carbon Assessment submitted by the applicant to be fundamentally flawed by virtue of not meeting the requirements set out by government in assessing such things. It noted that ¿the incineration facility would be 16,479 tCO2e per annum WORSE than sending that same waste directly to landfill. This therefore does not meet local and national plans and policies in relation to carbon emissions and climate change¿. It also notes that the ¿...applicants proposed worse case scenarios could be significantly underestimating the potential permitted emissions from the plant¿</div> <div>Yours Sincerely,</div> <div>Stephanie Stringer</div>	
721	Mrs Jacqueline Fallowfield-Cooper	Roffey Park, Forest Road, Colgate, RH12 4TD	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>-</div>	
722	Martyn Lewis	24, Bartholomew Way, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5JL	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>I wish to object to the plans for the Britaniacrest incinerator in North Horsham ¿ reference WSCC/015/18/NH.</div> <div>My reason for this - is because Horsham District council has already approved plans to build 2750 new homes immediately to the East of the proposed incinerator, along with a secondary school, two primary schools a sports hub and a business park. Building an incinerator so close to an area of future population density is extremely unwise. The prevailing wind, blowing from the West or South West, is likely to distribute fumes over the proposed development area ¿ potentially affecting the health of the inhabitants and spoiling the appeal of the new community.</div> <div>I therefore urge you to reject the proposal ¿ as the plans for the incinerator are wholly incompatible with the proposed North Horsham housing & community development.</div> <div>Thanks & Regards,</div>	

					Martyn Lewis	
723	Angela Maguire	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>In respect of the above planning application my Husband and I strongly object to the Horsham Incinerator.</p> <p>Firstly our son suffers from asthma and the increase of Co2 levels from the HGVs and the Incinerator will only make him suffer with this more. When choosing to buy a home we looked very closely into air quality for this very reason, and Horsham was a good option. However, should this go ahead we would feel very cheated and fear for our sons health. Our sons both go to school in Warnham which will be in very close proximity to the incinerator. Surely there are areas less inhabited that could be used for such plans.</p> <p>We also cannot see that if a similar application was turned down last time, how this has even been allowed to be applied for. What a waste of time and money, when such efforts would be much better off being put into Schools and doctors surgery so which are much needed in this town, and promised by developers who are building house upon house but never actually being done.</p> <p>Come on West Sussex CC, let's look after the town we have and start to value the people's opinions, health and education, rather than yet another corporate business make a profit.</p> <p>We trust that you will take my views into account.</p> <p>Yours faithfully Angela Maguire</p>	
724	Ms Ann Marchant	15, Weald Close, Horsham, RH13 6HE	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>To Strategic Planning, West Sussex County Council, County Hall, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 1RH</p> <p>I would like to register my total objection to the Planning Application WSCC/015/18 for a Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility by Britaniacrest. I think allowing a company to build and operate this facility would be detrimental to the local inhabitants, the environment and to the surrounding area as a whole for the following reasons:</p> <p>Public Health: The necessity of building a 95m tall chimney makes it obvious the emissions aren't going to be harmless and will in fact spread out over a wide area. There doesn't appear to be any information as to what all the emissions will be or where harmful particles will go. The CO2 emissions alone should be considered unacceptable and as the results of other emissions aren't known, the potential risk is too great.</p> <p>WSCC Waste Local Plan: It would not fulfil the Strategic Objective 5 of the Waste Local Plan as the scale of the incinerator would mean waste would also need to be transported in, as local waste won't be enough to keep it working. This in turn would add significantly more heavy traffic to the area.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 11 of the Waste Local Plan would not be complied with as this application would not protect or enhance the natural environment in anyway whatsoever. It isn't environmentally friendly to be emitting such vast amounts of CO2 plus other unknown substances.</p> <p>Visability: The emission plume could be up to 400m high and the building will be seen over a wide area. The scale is totally out of proportion given its location so close to housing developments</p> <p>Noise and Light Pollution: As it would be working 24/7 the noise, increased traffic and light pollution should not be inflicted on local residents.</p> <p>Recycling: I don't believe incineration of waste is a long term solution to the problem of waste. There is quickly growing public and corporate commitments to reducing the production of plastic waste and increasing the recyclability of what is produced. I therefore think this incinerator will have a detrimental effect on recycling as it will need vast amounts of waste to operate 24/7. There is growing pressure for the Government to push for a moratorium on incinerator facilities as there is already over capacity in this country - in light of this no new applications should be considered.</p> <p>I sincerely hope that this application will be refused.</p> <p>Yours sincerely A Marchant, Horsham</p>	
725	Richard J Kirby	8, Tennyson Close, Horsham, RH12 5PN	Object	27-APR-2018	-	
726	Vera & Martin Abbott	4, Roffeyhurst, Forest Road, Horsham, RH12 4HL	Object	27-APR-2018	-	
727	Rachel Ford	-	Object	27-APR-2018	-	
728	Colin Panton	36, Shepherds Way, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4LX	Object	27-APR-2018	-	
729	Naden Ammigan	-	Object	27-APR-2018	-	
730	Mr Angus McGougan	Nursery House, The Arboretum, Broadbridge Heath Road, Warnham, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3UX	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing as a Warnham resident with a young family to strenuously object to Britannia's proposed development.</p> <p>First, it is not compliant with WSCC's waste local plan as the size of this proposed construction is excessively large and will have a major impact on HORSHAM and surrounding villages.</p> <p>Second, it directly goes against one of WSCC's key strategic objectives: to protect and enhance the natural and historic environment of the County.</p> <p>A development of this scale with its 95m flue bellowing toxic fumes 24 hours a day, seven days per week will be a horrifying intrusion into the rural countryside, the locality and the growing local residential community. The building itself will be visible from much of Warnham village including our own house which sits in historical parkland, as well as many surrounding rural houses. The flue stack will be visible from most locations in the parish and as far away as Box Hill. It is unacceptable that any industrial development in the rural countryside should have a visual exposure over such an extensive rural area and impact residential communities.</p> <p>Third, the Britanniacrest application omits any details about the emission of greenhouse gases, dioxins and other toxic materials that will be emitted. This could have a very serious effect on public health at a time when Horsham is expanding and it is hardly likely to attract new families to the area. From a legal perspective, it could also open WSCC to lawsuits arising from poor air quality and ill-health.</p> <p>In summary, the proposed development goes against many of WSCC's own strategic objectives, will have a hugely negative impact on our beautiful countryside and adversely affect residents in the surrounding area with noise and air pollution. I can't think why WSCC would even consider it as nothing about it is in the interests of local residents.</p>	

					Please add my strong objection to this application and please use my contact details in any correspondence. Yours sincerely,	
731	Chris Batler	-	Object	27-APR-2018	Hi I would like to strongly object to the planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH Thanks Chris Butler	
732	Mrs Katinka Jurgens	Na, 53, Clay vale, Horsham, RH12 0AU	Object	27-APR-2018	This will affect the living standard of the area very negatively. This shouldn't be build somewhere that can impact on the people who live there.	
733	Mrs Sairah Khan	42, 42, Heydon Way, Horsham, RH123GN	Object	27-APR-2018	I object to the incinerator. This is not good for the atea or environment.	
734	Mr Michael Knight	87, Arun Valley Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0BE	Object	27-APR-2018	We have just moved into a lovely housing estate and this will substantially effect the air quality in the area	
735	Ms Olivia Loison	48, Beeches Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AD	Object	27-APR-2018	Hope you're keeping well. I strongly object to the planning application to build an incinerator in the area. This is a known "residential area", with a population growing fast, thus not a suitable area for such a project. The incinerator would produce smoke and waste fumes 24/7 which will then cover the vecinity (Faygate, Bewbush, Horsham, Warnham, etc.) for us people, families and children to inhale 24/7, knowingly putting everyone at risk diminishing their quality of life. I hope you can see the very serious health hazard building an incinerator in a residential area poses to the people on thw community and turn the application down. Warmest regards, Olivia	
736	Mrs Victoria Lowe	1, Rainbow Field, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AR	Object	27-APR-2018	Living in a Kilnwood Vale it is a major concern for residents health alongside the increased traffic that something of this nature will bring	
737	Ms Anna Macedo	58, 58, Bluecoat Pond, Horsham, RH13 0NW	Object	27-APR-2018	The proposal is not suited to the environment, it's proximity to new housing and the Gatwick flight path. If HDC approve this despite widespread local outrage it will spark a change in voting patterns locally.	
738	Mr Edward Matthews	16, Halls Drive, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 4QN	Object	27-APR-2018	The size/height of the proposed incinerator is out of all proportion to the surrounding countryside. Fumes produced will affect not only open farmland but also residential areas. Above all: no argument has been advanced to support the necessity of this development. WSCC has not expressed any need for it. One can only conclude that this proposal is solely for the commercial interests of the proposing company.	
739	Miss Julie Smith	42, Timms Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4TN	Object	27-APR-2018	-	
740	Miss Stephanie Stringer	55, 55, Gorrings Brook, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5hh	Object	27-APR-2018	I object to the Horsham Incinerator because... Ni4H has been working with UKWIN (UK Without Incineration Network) and note in their assessment of the Carbon Assessment submitted by the applicant to be fundamentally flawed by virtue of not meeting the requirements set out by government in assessing such things. It noted that the incineration facility would be 16,479 tCO2e per annum WORSE than sending that same waste directly to landfill. This therefore does not meet local and national plans and policies in relation to carbon emissions and climate change. It also notes that the applicants proposed worse case scenarios could be significantly underestimating the potential permitted emissions from the plant. Not to mention the eyesore of a tower larger then Big Ben in a small market town. The increased haulage traffic on a country lane. The excess noise to local residents. But most importantly is the increased poisoness emissions our children of North Horsham will be inhaling!!	
741	Mrs Angela Brittain	Porch House, 1, Hurst Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2ES	Object	27-APR-2018	People come to West Sussex for a cleaner more natural way of life. A tall chimney with toxic smoke belching out somehow doesn't fulfill my hope of this. I know we have a huge waste problem but there are areas of the country that are not sooo.. near to people's houses. Please think again about the sighting of this. Councils must not put kick-back money before before people's right to clean air. Three money spinners are currently ruining the county way of life - high parking charges in villages, expensive business rates for retail outlets and allowing a small group of opportunists to create the agenda like incinerators and ice rinks that ruin the green space in a public park. We all know HDC needs money but I for one would rather pay a bit more council tax that allow some of these things to happen. Horsham and district has such an enviable heritage, let's not spoil it for jam today.	
742	Mrs Rachael Coysh	38, Tilletts Lane, Warnham, Horsham, RH123RD	Object	27-APR-2018	The work that is being proposed is incredibly excessive in terms of size and the impact this will have on the local environment and residents. I understand the need to improve recycling and treatment of waste but it is not fair that some of this waste will be transported from other locations around the country - surely the transfer over a great distance is worse off for the environment on its journey and whilst at the proposed location. The pollution that will come from both the incinerator and the emissions from the increased road traffic will cause environmental damage and health issues for residents in Horsham and the surrounding villages. Where has it been explained how the current natural and historic environment is going to be protected? The increase of traffic will also cause delays for drivers. Noise and light pollution will increase, causing potential disturbance to sleep for local residents, as well as the operational noise during the day. We live in a beautiful area of the country and you are simply ruining it with this plan. I moved away from living in outer London to this part of the country in order to provide a healthier, quieter and more peaceful way of life for my husband and young son. I am not happy that this may no longer be the case. There has to be another location which does not cause such distress for many thousands of residents who deserve to live their lives without worry.	
743	Mr Martin Swanston	39, Clay Vale, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AU	Object	27-APR-2018	Having just moved into the area, I'm not at all happy with the prospect of the smoke and fumes from an incinerator spoiling the quality of life of myself and my family.	
744	Mrs sarah iring	82, Beeches Way, Horsham, RH12 0AD	Object	27-APR-2018	-	
745	Mr alex raynos	Old Bakery, Bolney Road, Cowfold, Horsham, RH13 8AA	Object	27-APR-2018	With a chimney on the incinerator of 95m - taller than Big Ben - the pollutants will combine with what is already in the air from the Gatwick flight path and come down over a wide radius. What alarms me the most is the proven link between pollution and infertility and irregular periods in girls	
746	Miss Johanna Ellis	52, Blackbridge Lane, Horsham, RH12 1RX	Object	27-APR-2018	I object because I feel it will have a visual impact, and I have concerns about the impacts on our health & air quality. This incinerator will ruin our town, why can it not be build much further away where it's easily accessible & not in or near a residential area!	
747	Miss Jessica Kingshott	3, Clay Vale, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AU	Object	27-APR-2018	It would spoil the area greatly. No one will want to clme to the area, please do not spoil Horsham.	
748	Mr Stuart Ralph	8, Calvert Link, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 0AG	Object	27-APR-2018	This should not be in a residential area.	
	Mrs Mandie	35, 35, Millpond Lane,	Object	27-APR-2018	-	

749	Butler	Horsham, RH12 0AQ			
750	Mr Russell Lacey	3, Bricklayer Lane, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AA	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I strongly object to this new incinerator being built within then this proposed area.</p> <p>This building will be a visual monstrosity the surrounding beautiful countryside that resides around this proposed area. The building will be very large and will be very noticeable from afar and ruin the landscape of Horsham and immediate areas.</p> <p>This incinerator will increase the air quality in the immediate area and if strong winds were to prevail then this would go further. We already have a large polluter in the form of Gatwick airport and having this will surely mean Horsham will be putting many lives at risk with such pollutants in the air.</p> <p>With many new housing developments occurring within the local area, more and more green lands are being consumed, and this will yet be another large site that will do the same. Horsham is slowly losing its precious country lands and green areas for such monstrosities such as this. Horsham will soon lose its appeal to many as the green and rural town that it is.</p> <p>The site will be 24/7 and will severely impact local and wider traffic with large lorries traversing the local roads and lanes to deliver their load. This again will further impact the air quality and health of local residents, along with potential increase to road accidents.</p> <p>Such consumption of our green lands must stop and this site be considered for a more suitable location.</p>
751	Mrs J Shepherd	11, Speedwell Way, Horsham, RH12 5WA	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Representation - Object</p> <p>There is no need for further incinerators and to commit to decades of burning waste seems contrary to this national agenda.</p> <p>Incinerators that have been built throughout Northern Europe have not had sufficient supply of waste materials to burn and are having to import waste to validate their own existence.</p> <p>Horsham is currently undergoing new housing developments which are already starting to put pressure on the local road network without all the extra traffic caused by this. My children go to school in this area. It is disgraceful to try to push something so unhealthy onto a community. This is going to ruin our beautiful area we live in - why is it even necessary, no one other than unscrupulous money makers want it!!!!</p> <p>This will adversely affect the quality of life for Horsham residents and visitors.</p>
752	Andrew Tompolski	2, Little Hatch, Horsham, RH12 4BF	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>OBJECTION to the proposal for Planning Ref. WSCC/015/18/NH & Planned Incinerator at Wealden Brickworks, Horsham, West Sussex</p> <p>I am objecting to this latest WSCC planning application submitted by Britanniacrest on the following grounds.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">I am of ill health, suffering from Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia (CLL), breathing problems, and now treated cancer of the prostate and also Pulmonary Embolism. I moved to Horsham as it was such a clean town surrounded by fields with a clean air environment. This was essential to maintaining a healthier balance, given my medical conditions. If we have an incinerator in Horsham it will pollute the surrounding area and would contribute to my early demise; which is NOT something I am willing to accept. WSCC should be looking after its citizens and not allow such pollution to invade the homes of the residents of Horsham and surrounding areas with toxic fallout from the 24/7 burning of waste from all over the South of England. This is totally unacceptable!!!!There is already surplus capacity in Northern Europe, so why not send our waste there? They would only be too happy to accept our waste!This is totally against the WSCC waste policy, whose Strategic Objective is to protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environments and resources of the County. It is also not compliant with its Policy W11: Character, which states that Proposal for Waste Development will be permitted PROVIDED that it would NOT have an unacceptable impact on the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County. There is no possible way that this monstrosity of an industrial incinerator will enhance the natural and historic environment of Horsham.The plant is planned to recycle all the waste destined to be burnt from all over South East England. This will lead to lorries arriving all day long from all parts of SE England, adding to the already bad congestion Horsham and surrounding areas are experiencing in peak traffic times, and these vehicles will further add to the rising problem of traffic pollution. This is not acceptable!!What has happened to the WSCC push on recycling when now it seems they have performed a U-Turn on that policy? The plant will demand a significant waste stream if it is to provide electricity by burning waste, so this pull will encourage people to think they no longer need to recycle and can throw away valuable materials that we are currently pushing hard to recycle.Not only that, an incinerator is the least efficient method of generating electricity, as for each tonne burnt it produces a tonne of CO2, which will fall on Horsham and the surrounding areas, GIVING RISE TO INCREASE IN RESPIRATORY AND OTHER HEALTH CONDITIONS and burn a bigger hole in our already depleted Ozone Layer. THIS IS ABSOLUTE MADNESS AND MUST BE STOPPED!!!!The Nation as a whole is slowly moving away from incineration to recycling, so why build such a polluting plant on our very doorstep, just to generate a wealthy income for the Shareholders of Britanniacrest who no doubt live far away from Horsham.Finally, if this planning application is approved it will also reduce the value of all properties in Horsham and the surrounding area. It will become difficult to sell a property, as nobody in their right mind will want to come to live in a town and bring up their family in an area that is under the shadow of a 95m tall chimney belching toxins 24/7. <p>Andrew Tompolski</p>
753	Caroline Whitaker	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I am emailing to object to planning application WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>There will be pollution from toxins, light and noise. Local residents fear for the health of their children and grandchildren as invisible toxins cloud the air. They are concerned that the visual impact of this huge building will blight the landscape destroying the character of Horsham and surrounding historic villages. The local nature reserve is worried about the impact to the delicate ecological infrastructure they have worked so hard to protect.</p> <p>Regards, Caroline Whitaker</p>
754	Madeline Poynter	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I would like to object against the proposed Incinerator for North Horsham. It is just the wrong place for a 24hour incinerator and with all the new homes being built in North Horsham I am concerned about air pollution and congestion on the roads, as the traffic has already vastly increased and the roads are in a shocking state.</p>
755	Mr Martin Cutler	132, Timber Mill, Southwater, RH13 9SR	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I wish to lodge my objection to this planning application on the basis that the local roads will suffer additional congestion, there will be more litter at the roadsides and an increased health risk from pollution to local residents.</p> <p>I believe increased litter will result from the additional waste vehicles heading to the site many of whom currently do not secure the waste they carry so that as I have seen with my own eyes loose debris is lost in transit and deposited on the roads locally.</p> <p>yours faithfully</p>

					Mr M.Cutler	
756	William Scott	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>How can you even consider allowing such a thing to be built in Sussex? Look at incinerators already built and ask the locals there what they think of there Councillors, and will they vote for them again or there party. Do not allow this poison factory to be built</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>William J W Scott.</p>	
757	Barbara Graham	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>> I wish to object to the above planning application</p> <p>> Barbara Graham</p>	
758	Mrs Jacqueline Weller	98, Beeches Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AD	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I live at Kilnwood Vale and the A264 is already really busy with heavy lorries and cars speeding along, this added traffic will be a nightmare for the residents here. Not only that the fumes from these vehicles and the incinerator will make it unbearable to live here</p>	
759	Mr Luke Berry	12, Wickhurst Lane, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3LX	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>We moved to Horsham in February. Recently we were visited by some friends from Egham, our previous home town. "What's that smell?" their children asked. "That's not a smell" their mother replied, "that's fresh air".</p> <p>The air quality in Horsham is indeed incredibly good. My family and I know what it's like to live in a place with poor air quality, so we know that Horsham's air quality is its most precious resource. We should never take it for granted.</p> <p>While I recognise the need for recycling facilities, having an incinerator as well is too big a price to pay. As other objectors have noted, There is no long term understanding of the fumes(toxic) impact to communities, countryside, wildlife and farming. The incinerator is a bad fit for Horsham's young families and conservation areas.</p> <p>I also object because it is too large. Recycling and treatment facilities should be as close as possible to where waste arises. But the scale of the proposed facility indicates that it will be importing waste from a wide area. Supporters of the application note that Horsham's waste currently travels miles to be processed. Is it really preferable for waste from other areas to travel miles to Horsham?</p> <p>Recycling should happen as close as possible to the place where waste arises, but incineration - if it happens at all - should happen far from population centres and wildlife conservation areas.</p> <p>I would like to see a smaller facility whose focus is on recycling rather than incineration.</p>	
760	Dr Curry Emma	27, Middleton Road, Horsham, RH121JS	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>As a parent of young children, currently growing up in Horsham, I am very concerned about this plan. My youngest son is asthmatic, and as an asthma sufferer myself, I feel that this development poses a serious public health risk. I have looked at the plume projections and they will cover the whole of Horsham town. I am also deeply concerned about the carcinogenic effects of this plume to the residents of Horsham. Additionally, this is a grave environmental risk. In view of the serious public health implications, I would like to object to this on the strongest possible terms.</p>	
761	Mrs Tracie Carter	40, Dover Close, Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9XX	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Horsham is a wonderful district; part of its attraction is that it is a beautiful area, nestled in the South Downs. Clearly the incinerator will have a dramatic impact on the look of the area. As the mother of a son with a serious asthmatic condition I am also very concerned about the impact it might have on his health because of the clear link to poor air quality.</p> <p>This incinerator will ruin our town, why not build it where it's easily accessible & not in a residential area!</p>	
762	Mr Jai Dukkhipati	1, 1, Bricklayerlane, Horsham, West Sussex, Rh120Aa	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Concerned about the pollution caused. This will really effect the living in Kilnwoodvale community</p>	
763	Miss Jennifer Ward	Chennells Brook Lodge, Rusper Road, Horsham, Rh125qw	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>This is too close to residential areas. I am concerned about the impact on the health of my children. The increased heavy traffic will cause toxic fumes and more traffic. The fumes from the plant will also be toxic to residents. The new estate will also suffer from fumes. Please reconsider the location.</p>	
764	Mrs Tracy-Anne Butler	66, Church Street, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QR	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I am writing to strongly object to the application. I live in Warnham (a conservation area) with my young family and am seriously concerned about the impact this is going to have on the environment due to toxic emissions, our wildlife, health implications for current and future generations as well as the size of the chimney and it's impact on our beautiful skyline. Not only that but the increased traffic is also going to impact on these things as well as filling our already busy roads due to the increasing developments going up. We should be recycling not burning, the damage we will do long term is untold. We are ruining our stunning countryside and bringing the area to ruin. I strongly object to this application and hope that it is refused!</p>	
765	Mrs CATHERINE CHANDLER	15, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>The installation in a lovely rural area where the HGV traffic it will attract will increase the cost of road repair on our already very busy roads. Processing rubbish from outside the County. Given current projections for recycling to be increased and the requirement to reduce waste the availability of suitable materials will mean importing waste from further away.</p>	
766	Mr Kenneth CHANDLER	15, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3SR	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>The installation in a lovely rural area where the HGV traffic it will attract will increase the cost of road repair on our already very busy roads. Processing rubbish from outside West Sussex. Should we be committing to incineration given current projections for recycling to be increased and the requirement to reduce waste and the availability of suitable materials will mean importing waste from further away.</p>	
767	Mr Paul Costello	74, Swallowtail Road, Horsham, RH12 5YQ	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Visual impact, concerns for health, air quality and infertility issues etc</p> <p>This incinerator will ruin our town, why not build it where it's easily accessible & not in a residential area.</p>	
768	Mrs Pauline Crawte	10, Holly Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4PA	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I object to this planning application due to the site being close to local housing already present and the future plans to build more homes near this site.</p>	
769	Mrs Dawn Denyer	85, Highlands Road, Horsham, RH13 5ND	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I was born and raised in Horsham. After university I moved away for a few years before returning to Horsham to raise my family. I do not want to be at risk of contamination from the pollution caused by this plant, either from the smoke plume itself or from the increased traffic flow of lorries.</p> <p>I also fail to see how this can be a safe judgement with the new houses and school to be built in North Horsham. I wouldn't want to live close to this eyesore with its environmental impact or expect any children to attend a school that could be affected by the down draft. This includes people already living, working and attending local schools in the locality.</p> <p>I am a keen wildlife photographer and I am also concerned about the impact that this will have on the local wildlife including Warnham nature reserve.</p> <p>We have the air traffic from Gatwick to take into account which will stir up the airstream affecting the plumage model of the exhaust fumes of the chimney.</p> <p>I do not think all of the environmental issues have been taken into account and urge the council to reject the planning permission in the interest of Health & Safety.</p>	
770	Mr Simon Fentiman	4 Chennells Brook Cottages, 4, Giblets Lane, Horsham, Rh125qp	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>Extremely concerned about the impact on air quality, impact on local roads, air pollution In terms of smell and also the degradation of the natural landscape from a distance in terms of building height and smoke.</p>	
771	Mr Bradley Flory	Bradley Flory, 5, Howard Road, Crawley, RH11 8GF	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>This is totally unacceptable to build an incinerator so near to residential areas and into the air my son and I both suffer with asthma and this would greatly decrease the air quality in Horsham and Crawley given we already have a airport this would surely make things far worse.</p>	
772	Mr Martin Furringer	116, Beeches Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AD	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I object strongly to the building of the structure.</p> <p>If it does go ahead it reduces Horsham to a smoke filled area. Not suitable for people to li e and work.</p>	
773	Mrs Joy Gartell	13, Millpond Lane, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AQ	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>I fully object for the insinuator to be placed on Kilnwood Vale</p> <p>Reasons:bad smelling</p> <p>Hazard to health especially for the young and old people</p> <p>Looks so out of place in this lovely countryside</p>	

774	Ms Corinna Hardware	3 Ramblers court, 3, Bearsden way, Horsham, RH123AQ	Object	27-APR-2018	This is a very bad idea. More undesirable traffic	
775	Ms Corinna Hardware	3 Ramblers court, 3, Bearsden way, Horsham, RH123AQ	Object	27-APR-2018	This is a very bad idea. More undesirable traffic	
776	Mrs Laura Malcolm	19, Nelson road, Horsham, Rh12 2je	Object	27-APR-2018	This monstrosity will really devalue horsham and its picturesque look. Also I have young children and the air pollution/quality caused by this is a real worry along with any health problems it¿s could cause after years! I absolutely object to this being placed so close to our town!!!	
777	Mrs Cathryn Marsh	The Marshes, 51, Bricklayer Lane, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AA	Object	27-APR-2018	I object to the incinerator being built here particularly as my children go to school in Warnham, which will be directly effected. I am worried about the air pollution which again will have a direct impact on the school children. Also the extra heavy goods traffic in and around that area. Warnham andthe surrounding area is unspoilt and has some beautiful wildlife and nature walks that are easily accessed this will all be spoilt if this proposal goes ahead .	
778	Mrs Gail Martin	4 The Courtyard, Forest Grange, Forest Road, Colgate, Horsham, RH12 4TG	Object	27-APR-2018	-	
779	Mrs Lisa Nichols	2, Perth Way, Horsham, RH12 4ZQ	Object	27-APR-2018	I object to the environmental impact for residents from airborne pollutants and the negative effect on infrastructure and noise. I am concerned about increased traffic as inevitably recycling will be shipped to Horsham from far and wide to be burned. The application is entirely out of character with our Market town whos community will not only suffer from the effects of burned rubbish generated locally but also extra rubbish from an increasing amount of out of area recycling plants.	
780	Mrs Kerry- Anne O? Brien	45 Mole Crescent, 45, Mole Crescent, Faygate, Rh120at	Object	27-APR-2018	Awful idea far too close to residential areas	
781	Ms Claire Pritchard	4, Oakland Close, Horsham, RH13 6ru	Object	27-APR-2018	I feel that this is wholly inappropriate for the area for the reasons of pollution; being sited too near a new housing development; the size and the fact that it will be permanently lit contributing to even more light pollution for the area. It is not a long term solution to our waste problems and does not encourage recycling.	
782	Mrs Elizabeth Rivera	Pinewood, Church Lane, Plummers Plain, Horsham, RH13 6LU	Object	27-APR-2018	Please do not permit the building of the incinerator in Horsham. We are already working towards reducing waste and increasing recycling, so this does not fit with this goal. In addition, we should be looking for ways to reduce pollution, not add to it, as this incinerator will do. Especially with the increased heavy vehicle traffic through the area, which will also increase damage to our roadways resulting in increased spending on roadworks. Please consider these factors, as well as the opinion of the residents in the area and reject this application.	
783	Virginia Ward	3, Little Hatch, Rusper Road, Horsham, RH12 4BF	Object	27-APR-2018	Hi I would like to object to planning ref wsc015/18/nh The pollution will be awful as I live in north Horsham as well as a blot on the landscape Many thanks	
784	Janina and Jim Stromfield	2, Station Road, Warnham, RH12 3SR	Object	27-APR-2018	We are writing to lodge our objection to the planned incinerator by Britaniacrest on the following grounds. 1 - We are appalled by the prospect of Horsham (or anywhere) committing to build an incinerator when the national agenda is more focussed than ever on increasing recycling and reducing needless waste. There is no need for further incinerators and to commit to decades of burning waste seems contrary to this national agenda. 2 - Incinerators that have been built throughout Northern Europe have not had sufficient supply of waste materials to burn and are having to import waste to validate their own existence. The thought of Horsham becoming a beacon for waste from Sussex and beyond is not an encouraging one. 3 - Horsham is currently undergoing a great deal of change with all the new housing developments which is already starting to put strain on the local road network and significantly increasing the number of HGV's in the area will add unnecessary congestion and pollution which will adversely affect the quality of life for Horsham residents and visitors. 4 - The proposal for the tower at 95m will be a blot on the landscape and will dominate the skyline for miles around as one of the tallest features in Sussex. This is out of keeping with the proposed location and will be made even worse by the proposal to keep it illuminated at all times and with the dangerous fumes it will be emitting. We implore the planning committee to reject this proposal for the good of all Horsham residents and in the interests of a more sustainable society.	
785	Mr Morgan Ryan	21, Beeches Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AB	Object	27-APR-2018	The prevailing winds will result in the smoke and incineration products from the incinerator being blown over Kilnwood Vale, where I live. Not only will this decrease residents' quality of life, there are potential short- and long-term health implications for the residents; particularly children and the elderly. In reality the situation is even worse; it is not only the residents of the 2,500+ dwellings (current and future) of Kilnwood Vale who will be affected, but also the future school planned for the development. There are also several West Sussex schools and thousands of homes that fall under Crawley Borough Council, that will also be affected during the approximately 70% of the time that the wind blows in an easterly direction. In my opinion, allowing the construction of this facility would be irresponsible and I urge you to reject this proposal (WSCC/015/18/NH).	
786	Mrs Linda Slattery	35, Greenfields Way, Horsham, RH12 4LF	Object	27-APR-2018	This development is inappropriate both in purpose and scale within a rural area on the outskirts of a market town with a high proportion of residential properties and a relatively low proportion of industrialisation. The height of both the chimney and the main building is excessive compared to any other local development. The development would be very close to a proposed, large new housing development with associated primary and secondary schools and recreation areas, and also to a popular local nature reserve which is home to a large number of species of potentially sensitive animal and plant life. In 2017 the Chief Medical Officer expressed concerns about the possibility of long-term effects on public health from low levels of pollution, which have yet to be understood.	
787	Mr Terence Slattery	35, Greenfields Way, Horsham, RH12 4LF	Object	27-APR-2018	My objections are many, some of which are:- - Inappropriate development on the outskirts of a small market town - potential health risk to local residents (including a large new housing development very close to the site) - potential contamination of surrounding countryside (which includes a sensitive nature reserve) which could adversely effect local fauna and flora - increase in HGV traffic in the area - visual impact of building and chimney, both of which massively larger than anything else in the area.	
788	Mr Matt Spiers	26, Skylark View, Horsham, RH12 5EA	Object	27-APR-2018	I object to this proposal on the following grounds: - Non compliance with the West Sussex CC Waste Local Plan - Light pollution - Noise pollution	

					<div>- Additional traffic movements</div> <div>- Visual impact</div> <div>- Residual health risks from increased pollutant levels</div> <div>Living just south of the A264 we will be one of the closest receptors to this site and cannot believe that no formal consultation has been undertaken with people living in this area. Leaving aside all the technical arguments and flaws in their plan, just think about what this proposal is in very base terms;</div> <div>Bringing rubbish from all over the country, to our town, to be set on fire so all the residents can breathe the smoke.</div> <div>How can that possibly be in the best interests of Horsham..?</div>	
789	Mrs Catherine Tomlinson	33A, Spencers Road, Horsham, RH12 2JQ	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>I am writing to once again express dismay and distress at the above, planned monstrosity.</div> <div>Why pollute our air and destroy the town's charm by this proposed addition?</div> <div>Addressing noise levels just doesn't cut it. Activate more reasons to block this planning application.</div> <div>My family and I utterly oppose this application.</div> <div>We work hard so that we can pay the mortgage on our dream home in Horsham and raise our children somewhere special. Families won't want to do that anymore, when the visual impact and polluted air and environment puts them off.</div> <div>If this application is permitted to go ahead then there will be no recovery.</div> <div>Please highlight to me ALL of the benefits of such a development in this area. Why should I support this?</div> <div>I look forward to your response.</div> <div>Sincerely,</div> <div>Catherine Tomlinson</div> <div>Spencers Road, Horsham</div>	
790	Mrs Rhiannon Topper	9, Kilnwood Close, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AN	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>Dear Sir/Madam</div> <div>I am writing to object regarding the application for the incineration plant. I live on Kilnwood Vale and have concerns around the dunes that will come from the plant. I am 6 months pregnant, moved to this area for the benefits of the countryside, access to the seaside, given the prices in this area we were restricted on where we could afford. Kilnwood vale has the community feel, with some lovely little parks. I feel that with the road a23 noise and pollution, the airport not being far with its pollution and noise to add an incineration plant would be too much and impact the health of those around it. I hope that this application be considered with care as to the impact on the health of those who have no choice but to love around it.</div> <div>Regards</div> <div>Mrs Topper</div>	
791	Mrs Caitlin Twaites	8, 8, Lambs Farm Close, Horsham, Rh12 4jz	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>The proposal will have health implications for surrounding residents, it will be an eye sore and will be detrimental to the environment.</div>	
792	Michael Gordon	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>Dear HDC,</div> <div>I am writing to object to the proposal, again, of an incinerator being located in North Horsham, as I would hope any sane person would object to this monstrosity.</div> <div>My objections are many, but here's a few:</div> <div>The size of the construction is excessive large and high and will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages as well as potentially Surrey areas of outstanding natural beauty.</div> <div>The scale of this plant seems to be seeking waste from outside the local area and thus will encourage commercial waste being transferred over great distance to feed a very large incinerator.</div> <div>The proposal conflicts with and is non-compliant West Sussex County Council's waste local plan on many levels.</div> <div>Places like Warnham Nature Reserve is already affected by the huge landfill site situated near to where this incinerator is planned. The wardens there deal with gulls who have waste, plastic and like caught around their feet, as just one example of poor waste management. The impact from additional lorries and the fumes emitting from the incinerator will be untold..</div> <div>We are already blighted by some of the worst littering along the A264 and A24 and the surrounding roads that I have seen since I moved here 20 years ago. The waste being transported from far and wide to this proposed site will increase this littering a hundred fold, despite all the assurances Britaniacrest can give you. Most of this 'litter' is of course commercial waste falling off the back of lorries, vans, etc as you can see on virtually every main road you care to drive along in West Sussex.</div> <div>If we value Horsham's location, and surrounding natural beauty then you should reject this plan.</div> <div>Yours sincerely</div>	
793	Margaret Phelan Mota	-	Comment Made	27-APR-2018	<div>Hello</div> <div>I would like to understand more about the incinerator that has been planned for Horsham. I do wonder why this method is being used and we are not looking for alternative methods that are more environmentally friendly.</div> <div>I look forward to hearing from you.</div> <div>Mag.</div>	
794	Maria Wiseman	15, Harding Lane, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>My name is Maria Wiseman and would like to object to the proposed incinerator in Horsham.</div> <div>I live at 15 Harding lane , Broadbridge Heath Horsham with my family.</div> <div>I object in the grounds of noise and the impact on the local environment- wildlife and landscape. I object also in the grounds of health for my family with the fumes from the chimneys and increased traffic.</div> <div>It will be an eyesore for many years.</div> <div>Many thanks</div> <div>Maria Wiseman</div>	
795	Ceri Turner	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<div>Dear Sirs</div> <div>I am writing to you to object to your planning application for the incinerator as referenced above.</div> <div>Having just moved to West Sussex I am bitterly disappointed to hear that you are considering an application which in my opinion would be detrimental to the county.</div> <div>Primarily I am concerned about air pollution caused by the incinerator itself and by the pollution caused by the additional transfer of material to the site. What we love about Horsham is how much of a family town it is. To congest the roads with transport and traffic and pollute the air with additional fumes will surely be off putting to more families moving to the town.</div>	

					<p>I am also concerned about the recent assessment of the accompanying carbon assessment for the incinerator (Ni4H). It found the assessment fundamentally flawed and noted that the facility would be 16,479 tCO₂e per annum which I read is worse than sending the same waste directly to landfill. In such not meeting local and national policies for carbon emissions and climate change.</p> <p>Finally I am also concerned about the potential damage to the surrounding area including Sussex High Weald AONB and local reservoirs.</p> <p>Your faithfully</p>	
796	Emma Burns	-	Object	27-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern</p> <p>I am writing to appeal AGAINST the above planning application!</p> <p>These type of incinerators have known links to causing infertility issues in girls, something that is very close to my heart - I do not want my daughter or anyone else's facing this later on in life because of this monstrosity! Also, I have heard that it will mostly be burning the waste from Surrey!</p> <p>Please put my comments forward AGAINST this planning application.</p>	
797	Peter Ireland	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>My Family and I wish to OBJECT to the plan to install the Horsham Incinerator in North Horsham. In view of my location near to the proposed site and having resided in the area since 1971, I do not consider this proposal to be justifiable and healthy for the countryside and the local residents. The fact that we are also going to be inconvenienced with infrastructure and a 2750 dwellings housing development changes in the same location this year is sufficient enough. Therefore, consider the INCINERATOR PLAN somewhere else & NOT in Horsham!!!</p> <p>Peter Ireland & Family</p>	
798	Mrs Jeanette Johnson	Willow Lodge, Faygate Lane, Rusper, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4RF	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>This proposal is very near various built-up areas including the large town of Horsham and Warnham village. There are also 2700 new houses about to be built very near to this location.</p> <p>It will be producing unpleasant and possibly toxic gases onto the surrounding areas, so I feel it should be located in a less densely populated area.</p>	
799	Ms Catherine Tomlinson	33a, Spencers Road, RH12 2JQ	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Dear Planning committee,</p> <p>I am writing to once again express dismay and distress at the above, planned monstrosity.</p> <p>Why pollute our air and destroy the town's charm by this proposed addition?</p> <p>Addressing noise levels just doesn't cut it. Activate more reasons to block this planning application.</p> <p>My family and I utterly oppose this application.</p> <p>We work hard so that we can pay the mortgage on our dream home in Horsham and raise our children somewhere special. Families won't want to do that anymore, when the visual impact and polluted air and environment puts them off.</p> <p>If this application is permitted to go ahead then there will be no recovery.</p> <p>Please highlight to me ALL of the benefits of such a development in this area. Why should I support this?</p> <p>I look forward to your response.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Catherine Tomlinson</p>	
800	Ms Deborah Lighter	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Ref: Britannia crest industrial waste processing, langhurstwood road</p> <p>I truly cannot believe that WSCC is considering such a damaging industrial site so close to housing and schools. My two children attend Warnham CEP - a mere stones throw from the site - which we specifically chose for it countryside location. My daughter suffers from asthma and it concerns me terribly that she will be adversely affected by the emissions spewing out put this site.</p> <p>Furthermore, having lived in Horsham all my life, I believe this will change Horsham forever, it will not be a sussex market town and will no longer be a desirable location to move to. This will have a knock on effect on the economy, when we are already struggle to attract businesses to the office space and retail units? This will diminish the appeal of Horsham Further.</p> <p>The already choked roads around Horsham will become gridlocked. Have to ever tried getting out of Horsham at 8am on a weekday? It is difficult enough now without the extra heavy lorry traffic that this will cause.</p> <p>How will this affect the north of Horsham development? Surely building a housing estate right next to this facility will mean the housing does not sell?</p> <p>Please please please put the health, wellbeing and concerns of the people of Horsham first, do the right thing and reject this application.</p> <p>Yours</p> <p>Debbie Lighter</p>	
801	Rachel White	5, Wickhurst Lane, Broadbridge Heath, RH12 3LX	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed incinerator.</p> <p>I do not believe in burning value resources and I feel it will discourage recycling, and encourage futher a throw away society.</p> <p>The building itself would be an imposing blot on the landscape, that would be seen from far and wide affecting the character of horsham.</p> <p>Waste from outside the area would be brought in to feed the incinerator, increasing hgv traffic on our already congested roads (A24 A264 and A29)thus leading to more pollution.</p>	
802	Hayley Webb	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>I am writing in response to the planning application WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>I object to this planning application at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD and ask that permission be refused because I am worried about the health impact. Incinerators emit a variety of toxic substances, such as dioxins, heavy metals and fine particulates, which will increase the levels of toxic substances present in the environment and have well documented negative health impacts. For example, dioxins are known carcinogens, and can also give rise to a range of other health impacts. Although promoters of incineration point to studies that claim incinerators do not pose a health hazard, it remains the case that the UK Health Protection Agency has commissioned a new study into &birth outcomes& around incinerators in the wake of research showing increased child deaths near incinerators, and in response to demands to reassess its current advice that incinerators are &not a significant risk to public health&. The Human Health Risk Assessment in Appendix 14.1 of the Planning Application shows that the cadmium exposure predictions for local children is above the health threshold. The fear of negative health impact will itself have an impact on the health and well-being of residents in the area.</p> <p>A UK report entitled &The Health Effects of Waste Incinerators& by the British Society for Ecological Medicine was conducted in 2005 and then updated in 2008. http://www.bsem.org.uk/uploads/IncineratorReport_v3.pdf.</p> <p>This report states &.... fine particulate pollution plays an important role in both cardiovascular and cerebrovascular mortality (see section 3.1) and demonstrating that the danger is greater than previously realised. More data has also been released on the dangers to health of ultrafine particulates and about the risks of other pollutants released from incinerators (see section 3.4). With each publication, the hazards of incineration are becoming more obvious and more difficult to ignore&. They go on to state that& ...Large studies have shown higher rates of adult and childhood cancer and birth defects around municipal waste incinerators: the results are</p>	

					<p>consistent with the associations being causal. Several smaller epidemiological studies support this interpretation and suggest that the range of illnesses produced by incinerators may be much wider,</p> <p>West Sussex county council would be failing in its duty to the environment and to the people of Horsham and surrounding areas by allowing a permit to operate to be granted. We trust you will take our concerns with the sincerity intended and we look forward to your response.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>Hayley webb</p>	
803	Mrs Elizabeth Lee	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I would like my objection to the proposed incinerator at North Horsham to be recorded.</p> <p>The mere suggestion that it is a suitable site to place the incinerator is ludicrous. The proposed density of housing at the same location and the vast increase of traffic to bring the waste to the site is quite unacceptable to anyone with any common sense.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>Elizabeth Lee (Mrs)</p>	
804	Debbie Pickles	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Sirs,</p> <p>I have been a resident of North Horsham for 23 years. I attended the meeting last Friday evening at the Tythe Barn, Pondtail Road. I was horrified to hear about the proposals of waste management firm Brianniacreast to build an industrial sized incinerator, the height of Big Ben, which will tower above the rural skyline. This will clearly damage the beauty of North Horsham and the surrounding villages and area and the visual impact of his monstrosity will destroy the character of our market town and decrease house prices.</p> <p>My objection is also because of the discharge from this incinerator on the Warnham Nature Reserve which will affect the flora and fauna and wildlife in that area, as well as our beautiful woodlands.</p> <p>The increase of the harmful CO2 level emissions over a highly populated region will affect our health, and we have many nurseries and schools nearby which will be affected. Governments around the world are attempting to reduce the CO2 levels!!</p> <p>There is already so much more congestion and rubbish alongside the roadways with all the extra traffic coming into Horsham. There have been increased accidents which are reported daily in the local press and media and with all the waste lorries travelling into Langhurstwood Road, this will be compounded. Our roads simply will not be able to sustain such volumes of traffic.</p> <p>Finally, the size and scale of the building as well as light and noise pollution and the impact on the current recycling facility is why I most definitely wish to add my objection to the proposed incinerator being built here. I cannot believe that you are planning on allowing this to go ahead, alongside the 2,700 dwellings which Liberty Property Trust are building ! It is ludicrous.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>Debbie Pickles</p>	
805	Anthony Fawcett	5, Hazel Close, Southwater, RH13 9GN	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>Please add my details to the list of names that object to the incinerator application.</p> <p>Anthony Fawcett</p>	
806	Morgan Power	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir</p> <p>I wish to register my objection to the plan to the building of an incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>We already have alternative methods of waste handling and energy production with an anaerobic digester.</p> <p>This incinerator will be run by private company that wishes to import commercial and industrial waste from all over the south of England, purely for financial gain.</p> <p>The building will be eyesore visible for miles in the beautiful landscape of rural West Sussex destroying the character of Horsham and surrounding historic villages. Also there will be pollution from toxins, light and noise. All of which will affect health of the local population, putting more pressure on already stretched services</p> <p>Also it will impact the delicate ecological infrastructure that the Nature Reserve have worked so hard to protect.</p> <p>We must recycle waste not burn it!</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Morgan Power</p>	
807	Mark Wood	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>To whom it may concern</p> <p>I am writing to inform you that I am objecting to the planning application reference WSCC/015/018/NH relating to the Britaniacreast incinerator for the following reasons.</p> <p>1. The incinerator will be next to the North Horsham Development, potentially adjacent to the site proposed for a school. The World Health Organisation advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and as such you have a duty of care to ensure that this does not happen.</p> <p>2. Transport to and from the incinerator will increase pollution by adding more Co2 and Nox emissions. Additional HGV's will put more pressure on already heavily congested roads in and out of Horsham making it even more difficult to access Horsham than it already is.</p> <p>3. More analysis needs to be undertaken to understand if there is really a demand for additional incinerators and if they are in fact counter productive by leading to lower recycling. What is the basis for building this incinerator? Demand or to make a profit? What benefit will the local economy derive if the incinerator is built? Who will have to pay for the maintenance of roads that are damaged by high volumes of HGV'S?</p> <p>4. Horsham has seen some major redevelopments over the past few years and will see even more in the future and whilst I see the need to provide housing I don't understand the rationale for building the incinerator. Also I have a concern that allowing such a tall structure to be built may set a precedent and lead to more high rise structures being built in and around Horsham spoiling (even more) the look and feel of the town and surrounding countryside. I would not like to see high rise structures appearing anywhere.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	

					Mark Wood	
808	Matt Speirs	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I'm sure you will all have received a number of objections against the Incinerator in Horsham recently and I do not intend to repeat these as I would like to think the message is clear by now.</p> <p>Instead, I would like to simply ask you to consider what this proposal is really about in the most simple of terms:</p> <p>Bringing rubbish from all over the country, to our town, to be set on fire so all the residents can breathe the smoke.</p> <p>How can that possibly be in the best interests of Horsham..?</p> <p>There can be no political pressure, weighted balance of need or financial gain, that can possibly outweigh condemning our children to live under a cloud of burning waste.</p> <p>I urge you all to represent your constituents and fight to save this town and its inhabitants.</p> <p>Kind Regards, Matt Speirs</p>	
809	Georgy Mason	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Dear Councillors</p> <p>I feel compelled to write to you as I am extremely disappointed that you plan to support the incinerator planning application</p> <p>This project is a travesty for so many reasons. Not only will it reduce recycling rates locally such a shame given your huge investment and achievements in this area - and I believe it will do this given previously examples across the country and Europe have done so It will also billow fumes into our air these fumes are proven to cause asthma and have demonstrable links to infertility in girls.</p> <p>Now let me share with you what infertility treatment looks like for those of you that are parents to girls!!</p> <p>If you have Daughter's nieces sisters living in the area spare a moment for what infertility treatment will look like:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">* it will cost between £6,000 - 10,000 a round* that's after the 2 year of humiliating tests you will have to endure* each cycle will last about 6 weeks* where you will be injecting yourself 2-3 times a day, taking 1-3 tablets a day more than likely about 10-16 supplements at day* You will be travelling for scans every 3/4 days* You will do all of this not knowing if you will get a positive outcome* Only 1-6 cycles is succesful* If you do get pregnant having gone through all of this you will be constantly worried about miscarriage which occurs in normal pregnancy in 1 in 3 and 1 in 5 for fertility patients <p>so before you decide to support this project please consider if this is a risk you are willing to put your girls through.</p> <p>On a more practical and less emotive level this will increase traffic congestion and will cause our already damaged roads to be more so.</p> <p>the single carriage way section of the A24 is already woefully unsuitable to the size of the town growth but adding this to our existing planning is ridiculous.</p> <p>I appreciate many people want to move to this wonderful town and that is a great thing for our community and a reflection of what a special place we have here but I feel as our Councillors you have lost sight of why people are moving here in the first place. Country air, wonderfully community etc.. .</p> <p>In Europe these incinerators are being decommissioned due to lack of rubbish following increased recycling, therefore why can the council not see this technology is outdated.</p> <p>I cannot express the extent to which I object to this outrageous project, and I would hope as my representatives in the council you will head my concerns.</p> <p>Best Georgy Mason</p>	
810	Expressosix	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>I am emailing to object to the application for planning for the incinerator in North Horsham. Not only will the site be an eyesore but the added air pollution in a built up area with so many homes and families in direct exposure to all the harmful CO2 pollution affecting our health and the environment. Also combined with the fact that burning waste instead of recycling it is an absolute disgrace. We cannot burn it and expect no consequence, so reuse and recycle is the only way forward. I want to strongly object to the planning proposal WSCC/15/18NH.</p>	
811	Demelza Davies	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Dear Planning Applications</p> <p>Please take this email as my objection and petition against the incinerator.</p> <p>Demelza Davies</p>	
812	Sarah Pattenden	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Good Evening,</p> <p>I am writing to object to the proposal for an industrial incinerator to be built in Langhurstwood Road in North Horsham. This is due to the increased traffic, noise and pollution, incinerators are bad for the health of local people. I have very young children and would like them to have a happy, healthy childhood and future in Horsham as I have.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p> <p>Sarah Pattenden</p>	
813	Ms Claire Saich	3, Downsview Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4PF	Object	28-APR-2018	-	
814	James Turner	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Objection - WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Dear WSCC,</p> <p>I object to the planning application for a waste incinerator located in North Horsham on the grounds that it will increase co2 levels, pollute the air and damage people's health and our environment.</p>	

					Regards James Turner	
815	Gary Porter	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir / Madam,</p> <p>Application Number: WSCC/015/18/NH Proposal: Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure Location: Former Wealden Brickworks (Site HB), Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD Applicant: Britaniacrest Recycling Ltd</p> <p>I am writing to express my support for Britaniacrest Recycling Ltd on their application for the Renewable Energy Facility. It is such an important and exciting facility, and it is vital that it goes ahead.</p> <p>I strongly believe that the Facility will not in any way detract from the surroundings, rather it will enhance the area. Over the years in my recycling career I have had the opportunity to view many Renewable Energy Facility's, and have witnessed the positive contribution they play in generating renewable energy ultimately helping the environment.</p> <p>We are a highly respected fourth-generation family business and our success is based on highly professional, innovative and customer-focused approach and I have been lucky to trade with Britaniacrest for over 10 years and their professionalism towards the environment, people and safety is outstanding.</p> <p>One major advantage of renewable energy is that it sustainable and will never run out. They provide clean energy because they are non-pollutant and non-contributor to greenhouse effects and global warming. Another benefit for having the facility in the area it will generate numerous jobs.</p> <p>Best Regards, Kind Regards</p> <p>Gary Porter</p>	
816	Andrew Trevett	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Dear all,</p> <p>I wish to submit an objection to planning application WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>Considering all of the objections which can be lodged: impact on recycling, environmental impact of more combustion of waste, air quality, more through traffic and vehicle fumes, more noise and disruption, light pollution, visible impact on the area, environmental impact of construction to name but a few this appears something which is highly detrimental to the local area, with no identifiable benefit to Horsham or its residents, the only possible upside being the commercial benefit to the company constructing it.</p> <p>A similar request was rejected last year but we find ourselves back with something which is to many intents and purposes the same thing and it is hard to shake the feeling this is an attempt to get this previously rejected project approved through persistence alone, despite its damaging nature.</p> <p>I strongly urge you to consider the wellbeing of local residents and reject this request.</p> <p>¿ This does nothing to promote recycling and in fact may have the opposite effect offering disposal of waste in a non-environmentally friendly way. In Horsham we have recently been moved to non-recycling waste collection every other week, ostensibly to promote recycling. A move to construct a huge incinerator would seem utterly incongruent with this.</p> <p>Doing anything to encourage burning over recycling will be detrimental to the environment.</p> <p>¿ With air quality being an increasingly recognised factor in people's health this runs the risk of severely impacting local residents. While mitigation may be claimed, high volumes of ongoing combustion cannot reasonably be argued to be something which should be allowed within a close distance to large areas of housing.</p> <p>¿ The operation of this facility will increase noise in the local area and be detrimental to local residents.</p> <p>¿ The size of this installation would seem to suggest it will be seeking waste from across a wide area ¿ this fails the test for dealing with waste as close as possible to source as outlined in West Sussex County Council's Waste Local Plan: To make provision for new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where the waste arises.</p> <p>This would likely lead to increased traffic flow through Horsham's already congested roads leading to more gridlock for locals and increased traffic pollution.</p> <p>¿ This proposal does nothing to protect local environment and wildlife and also will do nothing to protect or enhance the natural and historic environment. Again, the sight and sound of this ill-considered application will have the opposite effect.</p> <p>As such this fails strategic objective 10 of West Sussex County Council's Waste Local Plan: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County.</p> <p>¿ Requirements to have the chimney stack lit at night will lead to significant light pollution in the surrounding area which will be way above anything that would normally be expected of the rural land outside a small market town.</p> <p>¿ Visual impact. This is unsightly and with a very high chimney will be visible from miles away. This is totally out of keeping with the picturesque local area.</p> <p>¿ This represents significant an unneeded over development of the area.</p> <p>Yours faithfully and sincerely, Andrew</p>	
817	Mr Ian Blunnie	Broadbridge Heath Parish Councillor, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>This incinerator is a completely unnecessary blot on the landscape.</p> <p>We should be recycling more and making more waste able to be recycled, not just making it easy to be wasteful by burning it.</p> <p>Is contrary to HDC objectives and statements for a recycling culture.</p> <p>Kind regards, Ian Blunnie</p>	
818	Nicholas Lord	3, Steeres Hill, Rusper, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4PT	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Hi,</p> <p>I would like to register my objection to the proposed incinerator in North Horsham on the grounds of air pollution near to our home, primary school and village of Rusper.</p> <p>Best regards, Nicholas Lord</p>	
819	Christina & Frederick Brown	-	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/ Madam</p> <p>We wish to voice are concern over the plan for the Britanniacrest recycling plant.</p> <p>We do not believe the air quality will be unaffected and again more pollution with all the extra lorry movements going to and from the plant.</p> <p>The plan is to build 2750 new homes in the immediate area and i know i would not be buying one so close to a recycling plant.</p> <p>We totally object to it.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Mrs Christina Brown Mr Frederick Brown</p>	
820	Sandra	4, Park Farm Close,	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>With reference to the above incinerator proposal for North Horsham I would like to register my objection to the proposal.</p>	

	Southgate	Horsham, RH12 5EU			Sandra Southgate	
821	Roger Southgate	4, Park Farm Close, Horsham, RH12 5EU	Object	28-APR-2018	Sir/ Madam A short email to register my 'objection to the above Incinerator. Warm regards Roger Southgate	
822	Ms Melanie James	Overmarl, Dorking Road, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SA	Object	28-APR-2018	The incinerator is contrary to WSCC Waste Local Plan as transfer, recycling and treatment facilities should be as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale indicates a far wider area beyond will be sought, especially as increased recycling regulations will mean a reduced supply of local waste to fuel the incinerator. This will result in a significant increase in HGV traffic, in and around Horsham's already congested road network. There is already a well-documented surplus incinerator capacity in mainland Northern Europe, with potential decommissioning of incinerators so there is no need to build a new one in Horsham. Planning permission was granted for an incinerator in Ford, West Sussex in 2014, (Which we understand has not yet been built) so again there is no need for another incinerator in Horsham. Emission levels will be detrimental to the local community and countryside. There is no long term understanding of the fumes(toxic) impact to communities, countryside, wildlife and farming. The location is too close to the local conservation area. With Gatwick airport seeking a 2nd runway (recent consideration of using its emergency runway to increase capacity) there is an unknown impact on the spread of emissions with aircraft vortex. As 1 tonne of waste burnt gives off one tonne of CO2 it makes no sense to generate electricity so inefficiently when we are trying to decarbonise our energy supplies.	
823	Mrs Joanna Needham	2, 2, Newlands road, Horsham, Rh122by	Object	28-APR-2018	I am concerned about pollution in the area.	
824	Ms Margaret Richmond	10, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	28-APR-2018	I write in objection to the planning application WSCC/015/18/NH This proposal is a massive blot on the landscape which will be intrusive to views from my property, plus the fumes & emmitions which will be created. WSCC have given permission for 2,750 of new homes to be built nearby, how can they also give permission for this when so many lives will be affected. The proposed proportions of the build are not acceptable for this area. There will be massive vehicle movement & noise, therefore pollution to man & wildlife. What surveys have been undertaken to discover the effect on great- crested- newts which have always been in residence? Not to mention other wildlife,& nightingales which I have heard this week. Lighting of the project will also be intrusive to the area, causing disturbance to all & may impact on aircraft. Roads can not cope with existing traffic, the extra amount of traffic movement/pollution from this project will be a burden on our services & affect our quality of life. Yours sincerely Margaret Richmond	
825	Mr Vic Robins	St Catherine, The Street, Boxgrove, Chichester, PO18 0EE	Object	28-APR-2018	I object on the grounds that I have two grandchildren living in Horsham and it is highly likely they will need to move away from the area in order to raise their own families if this carbuncle is approved.	
826	Mrs Naomi Shelley	18, Treadcroft Drive, Horsham, RH12 4BQ	Object	28-APR-2018	I am objecting on health grounds.	
827	Mrs Wendy Sloan	Laurel Cottage, Forest Road, Colgate, Horsham, RH12 4SZ	Object	28-APR-2018	I would like to submit my objection to the proposed incinerator to be sited at the former Wealden Brickworks in Horsham. The main reasons are: increased air pollution from the incinerator and increase of vehicle movements to/from the incinerator. It will be a huge structure which will not enhance the landscape at all.	
828	Mrs Louisa Stein	None, 30, Churchill Way, Broadbridge Heath, RH12 3TZ	Object	28-APR-2018	I object to this application for a number of reasons. This may at one time have been considered a rural location, but this is no longer the case. With the building of new homes around Horsham and it's villages, especially the proposed North Horsham Development this facility would be very close to many residential areas. The noise it would create from the facility itself and the increased number of vehicles attending the site is a major concern. The A24/A264 is busy enough without any added burden. The site can be seen and a large chimney (presumably with fumes coming out of it) would be a visual blot on the landscape. In what detail has the environmental and health impact of burnt particles being released into the air been researched? This is a short term solution to a big problem, excessive waste, but putting a band aid over it, isn't the right fix. Unrecyclable and excessive packaging is the problem. Not providing better education on recycling and composting is the problem. Please WSCC don't be short-sighted, be an innovator, a catalyst for change and approach this issue of waste disposal with the future of our region/country/planet in mind. This proposal isn't and shouldn't be the future.	
829	Mrs K Stocker	40, Brook Road, Horsham, RH12 5FY	Object	28-APR-2018	I am writing to object to the planning application for the incinerator. Main concerns are increased congestion on local roads 24/7, negative effect on air pollution, to close to residential areas.	
830	Mrs Phillipa Stocker	Lakeside, 75, Birdham Road, Chichester, PO20 7DU	Object	28-APR-2018	-	
831	Mrs Alexandra Stothard	13, Beaver Close, Horsham, RH12 5GB	Support	28-APR-2018	I believe this is an excellent proposed site. It is adjacent to the current waste site, easily accessible and the plans demonstrate a moderate, tidy, and unobtrusive structure. If I have any misgivings, it might be that it is not big enough to support the surrounding areas growing housing scheme. This centre would be located on a site already used for waste management, a location that is central to the needs of the communities it will serve, and it will have to conform to government environmental policies to keep the air and natural surroundings protected. Perhaps some good people of the community would prefer their waste sent a hundred miles from where they created it or shipped abroad, to be managed in a developing country.	
832	Mr Stephen Morris	2, Spinney Close, Horsham, RH12 4PL	Object	28-APR-2018	When this application was initially issued in 2017, I sent a letter of objection and now note a further application so my points of objection remain the same as in principle, this remains a vast industrial waste processing plant, that should not be sited upwind of a large residential town / further area of new residential development. It is totally out of keeping with the objectives of the local plan and is in addition to the previously approved and constructed recycling and energy recovery facility. The scale of this plant requires waste collection from outside of the county, needing thousands of truck movements plus the incineration plume, burning whatever waste matter is fed into the plant, will create pollutants detrimental to the people of Horsham with no benefit to our environment. In my professional career I have had design experience of various types of waste plants and whilst small scale incineration for a local area, with good control of waste matter is morally acceptable to a community in that they have control of what they produce in terms of residual fractions and how disposed of / nuisance caused, the imposition of this facility for primarily profit is totally unacceptable. There will be no particular controls as to the type of waste burned \n the pollution it produces, notwithstanding additional vehicle movements and diesel particulates involved. Therefore as the waste collection and incineration will be carried out by the same company Britanniacreast, I am very concerned that any notion of a comparable specification of an SRF rather than a RDF will not be an aspiration of this process. Moreover at any point in time hereafter this blend could vary considerably and higher toxicity components may arise that could only be detected by local monitoring of the likely deposition area preferably by an accredited independent body, but the higher pollution would have	

					<p>already occurred!</p> <p>Accordingly noting that my response is limited by a character cap, I am unable to comment in detail so in summary the matters of concern are;</p> <p>1) Vehicle movement and diesel and noise pollution. [Interesting to also note from recent TV documentary, that some transport companies are now by-passing Adblue systems required to be fitted to all diesel trucks negating their pollution reduction].</p> <p>2) Size and scale of plant is out of all proportion to the local community needs</p> <p>3) 95 m chimney and plume will not disperse the particulates enough leaving a nuisance to the 50,000 local people detrimental to their health.</p> <p>4) It will also smell, noting that we can sometimes smell the sulphurous output of the existing plant at our home over in Roffey some 2 miles distant! Can we all have a justifiable rate reduction if this development goes ahead,</p> <p>5) Chimney is likely to be in flight path of any expanded Gatwick Airport and have a large red light on top plus those on the plant.</p> <p>6) Cable stayed chimney will create wind howl as a further nuisance to those living nearby.</p> <p>7) There will be noise created by this plant / traffic and if at 6DBA is a factor of four times louder, so again totally unacceptable to locals.</p> <p>Therefore please reject this on behalf of the local population as of no benefit and of high nuisance value, with an unquantifiable risk to our health.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>Steve Morris MCIOB</p>	
833	Mr Stephen Morris	2, Spinney Close, Horsham, RH12 4PL	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>I objected to this in 2017 and my points of objection remain as before for a vast industrial waste plant, that should not be sited upwind of our residential town & new housing area. It is an addition to the existing waste plant. The proposed plant needs waste collection beyond the county, needing thousands of truck movements plus the incineration plume, burning whatever waste matter is fed into the plant, will create pollution affecting the people of Horsham & our environment. In my professional career I have had design experience of many types of such plants. Small scale incineration for a local area, with good control of waste matter is morally acceptable to a community in that they control what they produce in terms of residual fractions and how disposed of however the imposition of this plant for primarily profit is unacceptable.</p> <p>In my previous letter I included an article, dated 26 May 2016 from the Waste Industries publication 'Resource'; "As incineration becomes increasingly popular, Geert Cupeus from the European Recovered Fuel Organisation outlines the difference between SRF and RDF and the need for standardisation. Since the early nineties, producing waste derived fuels for energy recovery has been a popular waste management option. Many waste fractions which cannot be easily reused or recycled, particularly if they are composed of materials that are difficult to properly sort or separate, may have a high calorific value that can be used in a fuel for energy recovery. This requires waste companies to produce dedicated fuels that comply with the requirements of clients (i.e. those operating combustion facilities). This is made difficult by the fact that that, in daily practice, many calorific wastes are referred to as refuse-derived fuel (RDF). But as there is no official definition of RDF, the content and quality of this may vary.. This poses a risk for producers and users of these fuels as human health and equipment may suffer from certain, sometime hazardous, components in the fuel. So while an RDF, whatever that may be, might have a good calorific value and low chlorine content, clients can never be sure of its composition because it is not tested and evaluated in an appropriate and standardized way.. it is important to note that EN15359 and its underlying standards do not require any quality level. The required quality of SRF is therefore defined by the client, meaning that SRF quality can vary." Therefore as the waste collection and incineration will be carried out by the same company Brittaniacrest, I am very concerned that any notion of a comparable specification of an SRF rather than a RDF will not be an aspiration of this process.</p> <p>In summary my matters of concern are;</p> <p>Vehicle movement and diesel and noise pollution/Size and scale of plant is out of all proportion to the local community needs/95 m chimney and plume will not disperse the particulates enough leaving a health risk to the 50,000 local people/It will also smell, noting that we can sometimes smell the sulphurous output of the existing plant at our home over in Roffey some 2 miles distant! Can we all have a justifiable rate reduction if this development goes ahead?/Chimney is likely to be in flight path of any expanded Gatwick Airport/Cable stayed chimney will create wind howl plus significant plant & traffic noise totally unacceptable to locals.</p> <p>Therefore please reject this on behalf of the local population as of no benefit and of high nuisance value, with an unquantifiable risk to our health.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>Steve Morris MCIOB</p>	
834	Mrs Emma Nash	62, 62, Pondtail road, Horsham, Rh12 5hr	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Object for a number of reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- the infrastructure cannot handle additional traffic- spoiling the countryside - very ugly- emission concerns - particularly as my husband has difficulty breathing as it is.- impact on recycling targets- concern for the impact on my children's health	
835	Mrs Lara Ackroyd	Springfield Park, 6, North Parade, Horsham, RH12 2BF	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>The size of the construction is excessively large and tall and will have a major impact on Horsham and surrounding villages.</p> <p>I am deeply concerned about the potential pollution from the emissions including lead, mercury and dioxins.</p> <p>If the application is successful there could be an increase in road traffic leading to a negative impact on the Horsham district.</p>	
836	Mr Malcolm Brierley	22, Great Lime Kilns, Southwater, West Sussex, RH13 9JL	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Having added 1000s of unwanted homes to Horsham District and approved the destruction of wildlife habitats for a further 2500 homes in the north horsham development, it is proposterious to consider building an incinerator to tower over horsham. The known carcinogens and pathogens released into the air will cause health problems for all residents. I will not allow my children to be gased by Britania Crests greed. This cannot be allowed to happen.</p>	
837	Ms Sue Chapman	7, Quinta Mews, Pease Pottage, West Sussex, RH11 9FZ	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Allowing such a huge and ugly incinerator would be devastating for and ruin the beautiful town of Horsham and local area. It would ruin the environment, both in appearance and of course in the huge increase in pollution levels, with the obvious affect and impact it would have on everyone's health. Not to mention the effect of all the extra traffic and large vehicles bringing vast amounts of rubbish from all over. It should not be sited so close and it should not be allowed to ruin our town. Or our health.</p>	
838	Mrs Stephanie Charman	7, Steele Close, West Chiltington, West Sussex, RH20 2LL	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>I grew up in Horsham and still work there. The impact on this area will be devastating. The pollution generated both by the incinerator and the lorries bringing rubbish there will damage the local area. A large development has recently been approved nearby-who is going to want to buy one of those homes with this environmental monstrosity next door.? The pollution generated will spread into the atmosphere and pollute Horsham and the green areas surrounding the town. Areas of outstanding natural beauty will be ruined, damaged forever. Horsham will change beyond recognition. This burning of waste will encourage people to generate waste rather than recycle so it is counter-productive. The operation will be carried out 24/7 with noise, light pollution, traffic congestion. How can this possibly be good for anyone? Please listen to the voices of the people who voted you to represent them. This is not wanted.</p>	
839	Ms Philippa Clark	39b, Eatonville Road, London, SW17 7SH	Object	28-APR-2018	-	
840	Mr Nicholas Coysh	38, Tilletts Lane, Warnham, RH12 3RD	Object	28-APR-2018	For all the associated negative health implications it, ll cause.	
841	Mrs Lucy	42, Charlock Way,	Object	28-APR-2018	I am very concerned about the many kinds of pollution this will cause for Horsham and the surrounding areas - air, noise, light to	

	Dunn	Horsham, RH13 9GZ			name but a few. We chose Horsham as the market town to settle and raise our children and these plans are concerning. Why can't it be built somewhere else where the disruption will be less/minimal?	
842	Mrs Lucy Dunn	42, Charlock Way, Horsham, RH13 9GZ	Object	28-APR-2018	I am very concerned about the many kinds of pollution this will cause for Horsham and the surrounding areas - air, noise, light to name but a few. We chose Horsham as the market town to settle and raise our children and these plans are concerning. Why can't it be built somewhere else where the disruption will be less/minimal?	
843	Mr Peter Everett	Forestview, Leechpool Lane, Horsham, RH13 6AG	Object	28-APR-2018	This has too great a risk level to be approved. It will be next to a major housing development; the chimney stack effluent residuals (dust, odour, bio-aerosols) have not been identified nor their effects on humans and other organisms; the toxic and hazardous incoming waste levels have not been assessed; the HGV movements (1 every 2 minutes on average) are too great for the roads to accommodate without serious risk of accidents - why not use rail as the site is next to a line with low usage. If this is a national facility other sites should be explored.	
844	Mr Paul Falvey	Mid Summer Barn, 7, Langhurst Wood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH124QD	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>As with the first application, we strongly object to this revised planning application. As you can see from our address, we live within 1/4 of a mile from the site and have major concerns over the size of the site, increase in traffic and most importantly of all the pollution.</p> <p>I have a young family and a son with historical asthma and chest problems. The thought of plumes of smoke above us scares us greatly and we are completely opposed to this new application. We have a beautiful view at the moment and this will be ruined by this new development.</p> <p>As with the last one, we understand there are still unanswered questions about infrastructure, traffic levels and increases etc.</p> <p>I also can't believe this is even being considered based on the recent approval of new homes almost opposite. I understand the new developers have submitted a document.</p> <p>The location of the site is completely wrong and won't just affect us but the entire town of Horsham and the surrounding villages and their residents.</p>	
845	Mr Andy Gillett	4, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3SR	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>I object to the proposal on the following grounds.</p> <p>1. The visual impact. I live in Station Road and currently we cannot see the buildings on the proposed site or it's immediate neighbours. The proposed incinerator would dwarf the other buildings and be directly visible from our house. This is a mainly rural area but this development would change that completely. The character of Horsham will be affected too.</p> <p>2. The noise and lighting. The incinerator must be run 24/7. There will be a constant noise and light pollution after dark. We will have no respite from the noise in the evenings, late at night or at weekends.</p> <p>3. Air pollution. We already suffer from dust from the brickworks and smells from the Biffa plant and landfill. This development requires a 95m chimney because it will pollute. And being so close to the incinerator means we will be most affected by the pollution. It will settle on everything, our houses, cars, outdoor furniture, flower beds and vegetable plots, lawns, etc. A plant such as this should be sited well away from residential areas.</p> <p>4. Incineration is detrimental to our recycling efforts. This plant does not incinerate waste as a last resort. Instead, anything that can be burned will be burned. Paper, cardboard, wood, organic material, all can be recycled but this plan is to incinerate it. The plant will only recycle what it cannot incinerate (aggregates, metals etc). This is completely against the 3Rs philosophy. More natural resources must be extracted to replace those that are burned, and by having to use the oil burners to keep the incinerator up to temperature, even more natural resources are needed to do the burning. Commercial profits are being put ahead of common sense.</p> <p>5. North Horsham Development (Land North of A264) The North Horsham development will put more residential properties in close proximity to the incinerator. The inflated property prices in the South will no doubt mean the houses will be built and the people that come to live in them will include young families who will suffer the most from the air pollution. Staying within agreed emission limits will be no comfort after those limits are proven to be inadequate by future generations. Industrial processes must be kept well away from residential areas.</p> <p>The planning application should be refused.</p> <p>Regards, Andy Gillett.</p>	
846	Miss Rhiannon Hawes	75, Blakes Farm Road, Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9GH	Object	28-APR-2018	Absolutely no way is this in any way a positive for our health, and our children. Utter disgrace in every way.	
847	Steve Laverett	11, Derwent Close, Horsham, RH12 4GW	Object	28-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir</p> <p>Please accept this as my objection to the proposal made by BritanniaCrest to build an incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>If this goes through, I believe that it will impact on air quality, the environment and increase in the level of traffic along surrounding roads. Also, will devalue thousands of houses.</p> <p>The existing smaller site still emits repugnant smells across a significant part of Horsham at times. I therefore have no confidence that this would change despite what scientists may say. Please debate and prevent this potential irreparable action. It would be a disaster for Horsham and further erode its appealing character.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Steve Leverett</p>	
848	Mrs Alexandra McGougan	Nursery House, The Arboretum, Broadbridge Heath Road, Warnham, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3UX	Object	29-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am a mother of three young boys living in Warnham and I want to strenuously object to Britannia's proposed development. We moved to Warnham for a rural environment but with access to a town. We are shocked to learn that our council would consider blighting a lovely but heavily populated landscape with this terrible fume spewing chimney which will be visible day and night from all aspects and in particular from AOB viewpoints.</p> <p>Importantly it is not compliant with WSCC's waste local plan as the size of this proposed construction is excessively large and will have a major impact on HORSHAM and surrounding villages.</p> <p>It directly goes against one of WSCC's key strategic objectives: to protect and enhance the natural and historic environment of the County.</p> <p>A development of this scale with its 95m flue bellowing toxic fumes 24 hours a day, seven days per week will be a horrifying intrusion into the rural countryside, the locality and the growing local residential community. The building itself will be visible from much of Warnham village including our own house which sits in historical parkland, as well as many surrounding rural houses. The</p>	

					<p>flue stack will be visible from most locations in the parish and as far away as Box Hill. It is unacceptable that any industrial development in the rural countryside should have a visual exposure over such an extensive rural area and impact residential communities.</p> <p>Third, the Britanniacrest application omits any details about the emission of greenhouse gases, dioxins and other toxic materials that will be emitted. This could have a very serious effect on public health at a time when Horsham is expanding and it is hardly likely to attract new families to the area. From a legal perspective, it could also open WSCC to lawsuits arising from poor air quality and ill-health.</p> <p>In summary, the proposed development goes against many of WSCC's own strategic objectives, will have a hugely negative impact on our beautiful countryside and adversely affect residents in the surrounding area with noise and air pollution. I can't think why WSCC would even consider it as nothing about it is in the interests of local residents.</p> <p>Please add my strong objection to this application and please use my contact details in any correspondence.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Alexandra McGougan</p>	
849	Mr Christian Mitchell	5, Springfield Park, North Parade, Horsham, RH12 2BF	Object	29-APR-2018	<p>The application does not meet WSCC waste plan. Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale and throughput of the proposed plant is incompatible with the disposal of local waste and will attract material from outside of the county. This application is against the WSCC waste policy to recycle close to origin of waste.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County; (b) the topography, landscape, townscape, streetscape and skyline of the surrounding area; (c) views into and out of the site.</p> <p>The proposal will have a dramatic effect on the character of the village of Warnham and Horsham and so it does not meet the criteria.</p> <p>Policy W12: High Quality Developments. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they are of high quality and, where appropriate, the scale, form, and design (including landscaping) take into account the need to: (a) integrate with and, where possible, enhance adjoining land-uses; (b) have regard to the local context including: (iii) the topography, landscape, townscape, streetscape and skyline of the surrounding area; (iv) views into and out of the site.</p> <p>The incinerator does not meet this requirement as the applicant states waste will be sourced from outside of WSCC to keep the commercial incinerator burning 24/7.</p> <p>Visual Impact The stack of the brickworks is 26.5m high. The proposed incinerator building will be taller than this chimney at some 35.92m. The chimney stack will be 95m tall as visible from afar. The total impact of the buildings cannot be hidden by any landscaping. The applicant accepts that it will be seen from as far as Box Hill.</p> <p>Light Pollution From CAA request to the chimney.</p> <p>Noise Pollution As the site will be 24/7 it will create noise above the ambient noise enjoyed by rural areas of 30-35 decibels.</p> <p>Not necessary WSCC has granted permission for an incinerator at Ford in 2014 that is yet to be built by Grundi.</p>	
850	Carol White	26. Greenfinch Way, Horsham, RH12 5HB	Object	29-APR-2018	<p>I would like to object to this planning application.</p> <p>People have already outlined many reasons why this is the wrong place for an incinerator such as this and why it goes against guidelines so I won't repeat their arguments.</p> <p>From a nearby residents point of view: It's bad enough having a landfill site that is such an eyesore as you come down the A24 from Kingsfold and which is lit up at night. It is bad enough seeing the current spilled waste from refuse lorries on the verges of the northern by pass.</p> <p>Now there are going to be such a large number of houses built in close proximity so adding an incinerator seems like lunacy. Although the chimney will be lower than originally planned, it will still be much taller than anything around. It will be lit and so visible at night in our lovely countryside.</p> <p>I don't want my family or others to be affected by fumes either.</p> <p>Please do not give this the go ahead. It's not good for Horsham.</p> <p>Carol White</p>	
851	John Saville	Acorns, 3, Oakvale, Common Hill, West Chilington, Pulborough, RH20 2SD	Object	29-APR-2018	<p>Dear WSCC Planning,</p> <p>I object to the proposal for the Britanniacrest Incinerator.</p> <p>The Horsham area already has a large incinerator in the north Horsham area. Waste to feed the incinerator will be transported by HGVs across county borders, polluting our air with CO2 & NOx emissions, clogging our roads with more noisy lorry traffic, and detracting further from the quality of life of those living in the Horsham area.</p> <p>The World Health Organisation advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated, and yet this facility will be adjacent to the North Horsham Development with the plume heading over nearby conurbations.</p> <p>This application is purely motivated by private profit, and will do nothing for the West Sussex's environment, in fact worsening the quality of life of the county's residents for no benefit to the county.</p> <p>The Planning authority should reject the application.</p> <p>Kind regards, John Saville</p>	
852	Dave Fearn	-	Object	29-APR-2018	<p>Hi,</p> <p>> I most strongly object to the Horsham Incinerator plans being submitted again. Please do not let this happen as I am very concerned about the long term impact on the local environment.</p> <p>></p>	

					> Yours sincerely, > Dave Fearn (Horsham resident and Council Tax-payer)	
853	Paula Hunter	-	Object	29-APR-2018	Dear Sirs, I most strongly object to the Horsham Incinerator plans being submitted again. Please do not let this happen as I am very concerned about the long term impact on the local environment. Yours sincerely Paula Hunter	
854	Emily O'Shea	-	Object	29-APR-2018	To whom it may concern, I am writing to object to the incinerator you're planning to impose on the residence of West Sussex. I firstly want to say I think it's appalling that in the letter you send to us "informing us" of the incinerator, you called it a ancillary recycling unit which is entirely misleading and manipulative. In addition, using words and language you know people won't fully understand in order to try to sneak it in under the carpet is just degrading. The chemicals and toxins you are planning on releasing will hugely affect the children and young people in this area. Previously problems caused by an incinerator have caused infertility, autism, attention deficit and hyperactivity disorders, childhood brain cancer, and acute lymphocytic leukemia. In a world that is already battling so many diseases and defects, I don't think adding more health risks is a very good way of making the world a better place. West Sussex has absolutely exquisite views but I fear erecting a building that's the size of Nelsons column and a chimney the size of the statue of Liberty will completely ruin the famous Sussex views. In previous years Horsham fully rejected the proposal of an incinerator and we will do so again. Please stop this cancerous plan and save the lives of the children who will never be able to have their own children, who will die young of cancer and will struggle with everyday life if you continue. Yours sincerely, Emily O'Shea	
855	Mr Robin O'Brien	52, Gorringes Brook, Horsham, RH12 5HH	Object	29-APR-2018	I am certain many objections have been received detailing technical and legislative issues, so I wish to object on a humanity impact level. Why is WSCC even considering the BURNING of waste? Is the WSCC Planning Committee not aware of the GLOBAL URGENCY needed to STOP any form of industrial emissions into the atmosphere? If any member of the Planning Committee is blessed with children or grandchildren, do you not care about the environment you are creating for their future, let alone the future for the many thousands of children resident in the Horsham district? If WSCC PPlanning Committee pass this dangerous trend-setting application, you will have placed the health of tens of thousands of people at risk. Can you live with that on your conscience? Please allow scientific facts and evidence, along with plain common-sense, to be the determinates that make you vote 'NO'. The applicant's profits versus the cost of human health should not be under consideration here.	
856	Mrs Priya Patel	21, 21, Keats close, Horsham, West sussex, RH12 5PL	Object	29-APR-2018	-	
857	Mrs Fiona Richardson	1, Longfellow Close, Horsham, RH12 5PT	Object	29-APR-2018	Last year I wrote to object to the proposal to build a new incinerator to the north of Horsham and I now write again to renew my objection, despite some design changes such as the shape of the roof and the colour it is painted. Although there are stringent operating standards in place, the cumulative health and environmental impacts of incinerator emissions are not fully understood and these standards may not be sufficient. Furthermore, it is known from their own monitoring that existing facilities e.g. in London, regularly breach the standards. There are better methods of waste treatment than burning it. Energy capture from incineration is very inefficient and therefore much of it is lost, whilst the material is lost to the cycle of reuse, requiring further new materials such as oil and metal to be extracted from the earth. Overall there is a net addition to greenhouse gases. This method is not recommended by the European Authorities and such a facility is avoidable. This area is predominantly rural and to describe an historic bricks works and a closed landfill site as making the area industrial in character & therefore acceptable to any further industrial development- is a misrepresentation. Operating a 24/7 industrial furnace with its noise, vibration, pollution and heavy trucks so close to residential areas, with further residential development in the pipeline for adjacent land, is madness. I live in the housing estate to the immediate south of the proposed site, which has been described along the lines of & close-packed and affording no views to the open land to the north& and this is simply not true. I currently enjoy my views of the hills and trees which would in future contain at least the chimney stack, according to the yellow and blue views analysis. Furthermore, when travelling around the local area there would be extensive and intrusive views of the new building and the chimney stack, jarring with the rest of the rural landscape.	
858	Mr John Saville	Acorns, 3, Oakvale, Common Hill, West Chiltington, Pulborough, RH20 2SD	Object	29-APR-2018	I object to the proposal for the Britaniacrest Incinerator. The Horsham area already has a large incinerator in the north Horsham area. Waste to feed the incinerator will be transported by HGVs across county borders, polluting our air with CO2 & NOx emissions, clogging our roads with more noisy lorry traffic, and detracting further from the quality of life of those living in the Horsham area. The World Health Organisation advises that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated, and yet this facility will be adjacent to the North Horsham Development with the plume heading over nearby conurbations. This application is purely motivated by private profit, and will do nothing for the West Sussex&s environment, in fact worsening the quality of life of the county&s residents for no benefit to the county. The Planning authority should reject the application.	
859	Mrs Linda Slattery	35, Greenfields Way, Horsham, RH12 4LF	Object	29-APR-2018	This development is inappropriate both in purpose and scale within a rural area on the outskirts of a market town with a high proportion of residential properties and a relatively low proportion of industrialisation. The height of both the chimney and the main building is excessive compared to any other local development.	

					<p>The development would be very close to a proposed, large new housing development with associated primary and secondary schools and recreation areas, and also to a popular local nature reserve which is home to a large number of species of animal and plant life.</p> <p>In 2017 the Chief Medical Officer expressed concerns about the possibility of long-term effects on public health from low levels of pollution, which have yet to be understood.</p>	
860	Mrs Kath Smith	29, Hurst Avenue, Horsham, RH12 2EL	Object	29-APR-2018	The Britanniacrest waste disposal planning application is excessively sized in height and expanse for being sited in the Horsham District. The local Road provision is not adequate for the related hugely increased volume of heavy traffic.	
861	Mr Frederick Tree	None, 41, Carter Drive, Horsham, RH12 3GZ	Object	29-APR-2018	I object to the incinerator in Horsham due to <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Increased pollution across Horsham putting lives of ourselves and our children at risk- Doesn't help to meet counties recycling targets- Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney- Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7- Increased damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair- The incinerator hinders WSCC/HDC's plans, it doesn't help them.	
862	Mrs Ruth Turner	42, Pondtail Road, Horsham, RH12 5HR	Object	29-APR-2018	Eyesore, fumes, pollution, public Heath, too high, too close, unenvironmentally sustainable. Look to Scandinavia. Absolutely unacceptable	
863	Mr David Willey	2, Stammerham Farm Cottages, Capel Road, Rusper, Horsham, RH12 4PZ	Object	29-APR-2018	Increased traffic particularly large haulage lorries are becoming a major issue on our already too small roads.	
864	Mrs Cheryl Wills	27, Pondtail close, Horsham, Rh125hs	Object	29-APR-2018	-	
865	Miss kim burke	70, Hillside, Horsham, rh121ng	Object	29-APR-2018	-	
866	Simon McShane	-	Object	29-APR-2018	-	
867	Mrs Jennifer Woodward	70, Wordsworth Place, Horsham, RH12 5PS	Object	29-APR-2018	<p>This proposal is wholly unsuitable for the area, and is made with the purpose of putting commercial gain above all other considerations. The application should be rejected with a further advisory that this site should be permitted no further development at all. It is clear that the applicant's strategy is to continue to re-seek permission after each refusal, and a clear message should be sent to avoid wasting any more planning office time on this scheme.</p> <p>Although I have only been able to view a few of the many documents on the planning portal, it is clear that this development would be a blight on Horsham and the surrounding communities. In all areas relating to the environment, this development would present serious negative impacts that would be borne by the community for decades to come. A few of the many issues are highlighted below:</p> <p>By the admission of the planning application, the main buildings (36m tall) would be visible from over 20km away, and it is likely that it will be able to be seen from even further away given that the limit of the map is about 25km. The 95m chimney would likely be seen from all areas between the North and South Downs, and the aircraft protection lighting would make it especially prominent.</p> <p>The planning application indicates up to 284 two-way HGM movements per day which will create a significant impact on the local road network, significantly increasing vehicle noise and pollution away from the site. This, combined with the North of Horsham housing developments will result in a serious, compound issue.</p> <p>As there will need to be a large capital investment in this project it is likely that waste will be sourced from as far afield as necessary to maintain the utilisation of the plant to as close as 100% as possible. It is clear from this planning document that the intent is to run the plan 24 hours a day so there will be no respite from pollution, noise, odour and light from the plant.</p> <p>There are many more issues with this application but the space available here is limited.</p> <p>The planning documentation is clearly disingenuous and seeks to mask the true negative impact of the development. In the photomontages the building seems always to be obscured with a convenient copse of trees and the stack has had its red aircraft warning lights removed and is always imposed on a cloudy grey sky to lessen its impact. If the authors of the application have been so disingenuous in these easily detected areas, how much more subtle management of the truth has been hidden in the thousands of words of this submission?</p> <p>This application will be harmful to the residents of Horsham and beyond, and should be rejected.</p>	
868	Mr Robert Woodward	70, Wordsworth Place, Horsham, RH12 5PS	Object	29-APR-2018	<p>This proposal is wholly unsuitable for the area, and is made with the purpose of putting commercial gain above all other considerations. The application should be rejected with a further advisory that this site should be permitted no further development at all. It is clear that the applicant's strategy is to continue to re-seek permission after each refusal, and a clear message should be sent to avoid wasting any more planning office time on this scheme.</p> <p>Although I have only been able to view a few of the many documents on the planning portal, it is clear that this development would be a blight on Horsham and the surrounding communities. In all areas relating to the environment, this development would present serious negative impacts that would be borne by the community for decades to come. A few of the many issues are highlighted below:</p> <p>By the admission of the planning application, the main buildings (36m tall) would be visible from over 20km away, and it is likely that it will be able to be seen from even further away given that the limit of the map is about 25km. The 95m chimney would likely be seen from all areas between the North and South Downs, and the aircraft protection lighting would make it especially prominent.</p> <p>The planning application indicates up to 284 two-way HGM movements per day which will create a significant impact on the local road network, significantly increasing vehicle noise and pollution away from the site. This, combined with the North of Horsham housing developments will result in a serious, compound issue.</p> <p>As there will need to be a large capital investment in this project it is likely that waste will be sourced from as far afield as necessary to maintain the utilisation of the plant to as close as 100% as possible. It is clear from this planning document that the intent is to run the plan 24 hours a day so there will be no respite from pollution, noise, odour and light from the plant.</p> <p>There are many more issues with this application but the space available here is limited.</p> <p>The planning documentation is clearly disingenuous and seeks to mask the true negative impact of the development. In the photomontages the building seems always to be obscured with a convenient copse of trees and the stack has had its red aircraft warning lights removed and is always imposed on a cloudy grey sky to lessen its impact. If the authors of the application have been so disingenuous in these easily detected areas, how much more subtle management of the truth has been hidden in the thousands of words of this submission?</p> <p>This application will be harmful to the residents of Horsham and beyond, and should be rejected.</p>	
869	Miss Chloe	2, Oldbury Close,	Object	29-APR-2018	I object due to pollution, traffic increase and destroying the local area	

	Adelbrecht	Horsham, RH12 5JZ				
870	Mr Georges Adelbrecht	2, Oldbury Close, Horsham, RH12 5JZ	Object	29-APR-2018	I object due to pollution, traffic increase and destroying the local area	
871	Mrs Tanya Adelbrecht	2, Oldbury Close, Horsham, RH12 5JZ	Object	29-APR-2018	I object due to pollution, traffic increase and destroying the local area	
872	Mr Yves Adelbrecht	2, Oldbury Close, Horsham, RH12 5JZ	Object	29-APR-2018	I clearly object as this would cause grave health concern for my children, traffic increase and additional pollution	
873	Miss Emma Akehurst	7, 7, Chestnut rd, Billingshurst, RH14 9SY	Object	29-APR-2018	I object to this proposal due to the visual impact and pollution and effects it will have on air quality. I don't feel this location is suitable on the outskirts of Horsham. It will also increase traffic which in itself will increase pollution. Many thanks Emma Akehurst	
874	Mr Stuart Card	23, Rook Way, Horsham, RH12 5FR	Object	29-APR-2018	-	
875	Mrs Lynsey Drewer	36, Ringley Road, Horsham, RH12 4AT	Object	29-APR-2018	-	
876	Mr Robert Granger	4, Broome Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5XG	Object	29-APR-2018	I object to the building of the incinerator outlined in the planning application at that site for the following reasons:- 1. Not a suitable use for the area. This is an area of countryside the beauty, wildlife & environment of which will be negatively impacted by such an installation. 2. There is a risk of pollutants being exhausted into the air 24/7 by the processing of waste materials, to add to the pollutants from various existing sources. There are the surrounding villages & expanding town of Horsham right nearby which with consistently changing wind directions will all be negatively impacted. Combustion engine pollutants from the commercial vehicles servicing the site would contribute to the reductions in air quality. 3. The site is on a narrow country lane leading to the very busy Horsham Northern Bypass. The commercial vehicle traffic that the incinerator facility will generate, would lead to traffic congestion & highway safety issues. 4. The commercial vehicles serving the site will cause noise & disturbance in the local area & degrade the roads. The North of Horsham housing development will be close to the existing recycling site which does adversely impact air quality already & the incinerator would compound this problem.	
877	Mrs Marie-Emmanuelle Gros-Lemesre	19, Tanbridge Place, Horsham, RH12 1RY	Object	29-APR-2018	Objection to application number WSCC/015/18/NH. 1. Building an incinerator in 2018 is an archaic solution for waste. How long will it be useful? My answer is: not long enough to justify its construction. Aren't we supposed to reduce our waste thanks to the waste prevention led by the Council? My answer is: yes. It will certainly end up burning recyclable matters, industrial waste, or waste from abroad. Do we really need to burn the resources that we are about to run out (such as plastic)? My answer is: no. 2. Increasing air and soil pollution; increasing lorries traffic in a town full of young families and young people is definitely a bad thing for their health. Whatever the studies could say: - it is obvious that increasing the lorries traffic will also increase air pollution, traffic jams, accidents, - it is obvious that the fumes from the incinerator will be toxic, whatever the toxicity, we don't need it and we have to avoid it. 3. Do you really want to spot Horsham from Devil's Dyke thanks to the chimney of the incinerator? I would prefer an other kind of land mark for our District. 4. You may be sceptic about climate change, but I'm not, and this kind of solution will not help it at all.	
878	Mrs Avril Hadley	2, Stammerham Farm Cottages, Capel Road, Rusper, Horsham, RH12 4PZ	Object	29-APR-2018	Air pollution and and smells would be a great issue for us living so close.	
879	Mrs Janet Howbery-Gale	7, The Pines, Horsham, RH12 4UF	Object	29-APR-2018	Damage to highway and surrounding road due to the high volume of heavy goods vehicles, Road to plant is not suitable for the amount of vehicles. Increased risk of accident's due to layout of slip road onto A264 and traffic congestion in surrounding area which is already bad. This will be even more impacted by the new housing/industrial estate. Height of proposed building which will be seen for approx. 5 miles. This will also be lit which will encroach on the area. Pollution caused by the plant. The proposed plant is not suitable to be so close to a large residential area/town.	
880	Mrs Louise Lade	Coppers, Comptons Brow Lane, Horsham, Rh13 6Bx	Object	29-APR-2018	I object to this proposed incinerator as it will be a blot on the landscape, will increase hgv traffic in the area which will further damage our already pot-hole-ridden roads, not to mention the detriment to air quality and the negative effect that will have on my children's health.	
881	Mrs Karen MacDonald	73, Sargent Way, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, West Sussex, RH123TE	Object	29-APR-2018	- Increased pollution across Horsham putting lives of ourselves and our children at risk - Doesn't help to meet counties recycling targets - Light pollution from the air traffic lighting on the chimney - Increased pollution from heavy vehicles transporting waste to the incinerator 24/7 - Increased damage to the county's highways system requiring more money from taxpayers to repair - The incinerator hinders WSCC/HDC's plans, it doesn't help them	
882	Mrs Emily Malone	108, New street, Horsham, West Sussex, Rh13 5eb	Object	29-APR-2018	I believe this poses a huge threat to our town with regards to the environmental affects, health and traffic levels. I do not want this to go ahead.	
883	Mrs Lauren Martin	125, Redford Avenue, Horsham, Rh12 2hh	Object	29-APR-2018	-	
884	Mr Tim Martin	125, Redford Avenue, Horsham, Rh12 2hh	Object	29-APR-2018	-	
885	Mrs Edwina Mills	Melford House, 30, Rookwood Park, Horsham, RH12 1UB	Object	29-APR-2018	There are substantial existing facilities for handling waste in the local area and I am totally against further expansion which will only lead to waste from other areas being imported to this attractive Sussex countryside - quite inappropriately and to the detriment of all Horsham residents . I and my husband - David Mills - both object most strongly to this planning application.	
886	Emma Clarke	26, Bramley Road, Worthing, BN14 9DR	Object	29-APR-2018	To Whom it may concern I would like to state my objection to the huge incinerator tower proposed in Warnham. This is a beautiful area that has managed to retain a rural feel with a large sense of community despite it's proximity to Horsham and Crawley. Why therefore would you want to ruin this with a massive tower that will be a blot on the landscape, never mind what it will be like to it's near neighbours. This will also be seen from the Surrey Hills, an area of outstanding natural beauty. I have family and friends that live in Station Road, Warnham and I visit a great deal. This proposal is very upsetting and worrying for all of them. Apart from it being unsightly, we are very concerned about pollution, including light pollution at night. Increased traffic with tankers delivering the waste is another major concern. I really hope you listen to your concerned residents and visitors and block this application. Yours faithfully Emma Clarke	

887	Corinne Townend	42, Kempshott Road, Horsham, RH12 2EY	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/ Madam,</p> <p>I am writing to register my objection to the proposed incinerator being planned for Horsham. I have grave concerns for the health effects it would cause, and feel that such a densely populated area is a very inappropriate location. This is even more of a problem when the high number of schools in the area is taken into account. Air quality here is already hampered by the high number of vehicles needed by local people, as the area is so populous; the presence of an incinerator will surely cause this to deteriorate further to an unacceptable level.</p> <p>I ask you to please reconsider, and to say no to this plan.</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>Corinne Townend</p>
888	Paul Couchman	-	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>Reference WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure, Former Wealden Brickworks (Site HB), Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 4QD</p> <p>I write to confirm my objection to the above planning application on these grounds:</p> <p>It doesn't comply with West Sussex County Council's Waste Plan because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">£ The facility would be recycling waste from outside the local area ie not recycling waste close to where it arises (Strategic Objective 5) - commercial waste will be transferred over great distance to feed a very large incinerator.£ The buildings are ridiculously high and therefore out of character with the area (Policy W11: Character), as well as being able to be seen from rural villages and will be visible from long distances away (Strategic Objective 11). As well as the height of the chimney being visible for up to 15km, the smoke plume will further spoil the visual amenity of the area£ Additionally, HGVs carrying waste to the site from outside the area will cause traffic congestion and pollution (Strategic Objective 11). It will have an adverse impact on business travel in delays and a detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole.£ Britaniacrest plans cannot be classed as a £high quality development£ (Policy W12: High Quality Development) because of the scale (size of development and height of buildings) of the proposed facility which is adjacent to existing and proposed new housing developments£ The development would have adverse impact on public health and amenity by creating problems with lighting, noise, and air pollution (dust and smells) (Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity).£ The proposals will require aviation lighting as well as have a night-time noise impact on the neighbouring communities therefore creating light and noise pollution for the area. Ambient noise levels decrease at night and Britaniacrest have admitted that they are struggling to reduce the noise to a level compatible with a rural location. <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>Paul Couchman</p>
889	Sandra Harding	-	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>I wish to voice my objection to the above planning application.</p> <p>All the reasons for this application being turned down previously by planning officers remain.</p> <p>This is surely not a suitable location adjacent to the North Horsham. Development.</p> <p>This is a business proposal and as such can not be permitted to override the negative impact that this would have on Horsham and the health of its growing population.</p> <p>Sandra. Harding</p>
890	Debbie Taylor	-	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>Please do not install the Horsham incinerator, it would be a huge tragedy to the beauty that West Sussex is renowned for. This facility would emit noise, light and air pollution, increasing Co2 levels at a time when governments around the globe are attempting to reduce the impact of Co2 emissions. As well as this, it would destroy not only the flora and fauna but the charm and what is left of a little piece of what is good for nature and history, which our children will miss out on. Not only the beautiful sights which have been lost in other parts of England, even in Central London. Sights and senses would be obliterated, health will suffer. West Sussex will lose it's charm and it's appeal for anyone to visit.</p> <p>Please do not build this incinerator.</p> <p>Best wishes</p> <p>Debbie Taylor</p>
891	Ian Williams	-	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>I wish to object to and complain most strongly about the continued industrialisation of rural West Sussex, forever impacting the character of Horsham and surrounding villages. The proposed incinerator (rejected once already and now deceitfully proposed again) would emit noise, increase light and air pollution, and increase Co2 levels. It would stupidly be sited to the West of the proposed North Horsham Development of 2,500 houses thus effecting the lives of the new residents and blight the healthy development of their children, given that the prevailing winds are from the West. Ian Williams</p>
892	David Griffin	44, Thelton Avenue, Broadbridge Heath, RH12 3LT	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>Wendy & David Griffin of 44 Thelton Avenue, Broadbridge Heath, RH12 3LT strongly object to the proposed commercial incinerator. When incinerators are being closed worldwide with the concerns over global warming & pollution, this plan is completely out of step. The increase in heavy traffic & the lowering of air quality is a major worry. I suffer from COPD and air quality is a constant concern. Also, we went to an exhibition last year at Bishopric in Horsham of a major North Horsham housing proposal. The incinerator site will be very close to this 2,500 house scheme. This proposal adds no benefit to residents in Horsham and surrounding areas and will impact upon the environment.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>David & Wendy Griffin</p>
893	Derrick Barendse	., Arundale Walk, Horsham, RH12 1QW	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>This is too close to residential areas. I am concerned about the impact on our health. The increased heavy traffic will cause toxic fumes and more traffic. The fumes from the plant will also be toxic to residents. The new estates next to the area will also suffer from fumes and market value of our properties would decrease. Please reconsider the location.</p> <p>The size of the construction site is excessive & large and high and will have a major impact on the Market Town of Horsham and surrounding villages as well as potentially Surrey areas of outstanding natural beauty. If this proposal goes ahead Horsham will become an Industrial Town.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: States that provision should be made for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises. Britaniacrest have said waste will come from anywhere. This may be from abroad. This proposal does not meet the criteria.</p> <p>Waste will come from outside the local area and thus will encourage commercial waste being transferred over great distance to feed a very large incinerator. This will bring addition litter to our roads and verges. Roads and verges are already littered from the actives in Langhurstwood Road and such a facility will only make the problem worst.</p>

					<p>Strategic Objective 10: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will protect or enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 11: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is nothing to suggest that this will enhance the local area in fact it will detract and blight being visible from 15kms away in areas of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. We should question the pollution from the emissions including lead, mercury, dioxins, the increase in road traffic and the impact it will have on business travel in delays and detrimental impact on Horsham as a whole.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County; (b) the topography, landscape, townscape, streetscape and skyline of the surrounding area; (iv) views into and out of the site.</p> <p>It is questionable if this policy will be met by this proposal, as it will be seen from rural villages and detrimental impact on Horsham and surrounding rural communities.</p> <p>Policy W12: High Quality Developments. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they are of high quality and, where appropriate, the scale, form, and design (including landscaping) take into account the need to: (a) integrate with and, where possible, enhance adjoining land-uses; (b) have regard to the local context including: (iii) the topography, landscape, townscape, streetscape and skyline of the surrounding area; (iv) views into and out of the site.</p> <p>The Britaniacrest proposal does not meet the criteria set out above.</p> <p>Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that: lighting, noise, dust, odours and other emissions are controlled to the extent that there will not be an unacceptable impact on public health and amenity.</p> <p>Derrick Barendse</p>
894	Bernie Verblow	., Erica Way, Horsham	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>I strongly oppose the building of the incinerator on many grounds. Firstly, the implications for increased traffic (specifically, heavy goods vehicles) which are only going to add to an already congested area of Horsham. This will be both during the construction of the works and also once it is up and running.</p> <p>The increased likelihood of accidents arising from the extra traffic. The potential for risks to health for the local community. The effect on the aesthetics of our local environment, with the knock-on to property prices.</p> <p>Please listen to the residents of Horsham, whose interests you should be representing and not Britaniacrest.</p> <p>Yours,</p> <p>Bernie Verblow</p>
895	Joanna Cooper	4, Bracken Grove, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4NE	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>I object to the planning application of an incinerator at the biffa site north horsham.</p> <p>I am a resident of north horsham.</p> <p>The site is too close to homes and schools.</p> <p>Joanna cooper</p>
896	Elliot Baker	38, Greenway, Horsham, RH12 2JS	Object	30-APR-2018	-
897	Miss Lisa Matthews	9, Owlscastle Close, Horsham, RH125YA	Object	30-APR-2018	-
898	Miss Lisa Matthews	9, Owlscastle Close, Horsham, RH125YA	Object	30-APR-2018	-
899	Mrs Becky White	10, Burrell Close, Partridge Green, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 8BH	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>I object to this application as I do not want an incinerator near my home. I do not agree to the pollution and smoke it will cause or the amount of extra road traffic it will create.</p>
900	Mrs Katy Barker	17, Three Acres, Horsham, RH121RS	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>Visual impact</p> <p>Air quality</p> <p>Pollution</p> <p>Noise</p> <p>Traffic</p> <p>Environmental impact</p>
901	Mrs Emma Brierley	Mulholland, Great Lime Kilns, Southwater, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 9JL	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>I refer to the link below to the published impacts of incinerators on the people and environment. It would be illegal for a Council to allow an incinerator to shorten the life expectancy of those living near it, and fail to protect unborn fetuses from the introduction of pollutants, carcinogens, dioxins and toxins.</p> <p>http://www.bsem.org.uk/uploads/IncineratorReport_v3.pdf</p>
902	Mrs Claire Tyler	42, Grebe Crescent, Horsham, RH13 6ED	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>I urge you not to go ahead with the approval of the incinerator for Horsham. I firmly believe that this is going to mark the downturn of Horsham. With the increase in pollution, noise, congestion, risk to our children's health and the fact it will be an eyesore, Horsham will increasingly become somewhere people don't want to live or move to. This will actually have a negative impact on revenue to the Council and will bring even greater economic decline to Horsham. I love Horsham but, having moved here from London, I love it because it is a good environment to bring my children up in.</p>
903	Neil Pinnock	-	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>I wish to voice an objection to the above mentioned planning application as I believe the building of an incinerator will have a detrimental impact on the lives of all those who live in Horsham and the surrounding villages.</p> <p>Not only will the visual impact be a scar on the landscape, visible from miles around, but the light pollution at night will have a severe impact on nocturnal wildlife. The constant noise pollution will be a problem to those living in the immediate vicinity and extra weight of traffic during the construction phase and then the subsequent 'bussing in of waste' from outside of the county will put a strain on the already congested infrastructure.</p> <p>Add to all of this the increase in traffic caused by the proposed development north of Horsham. Indeed, I believe the World Health Organisation advises that areas near incinerators should not be populated. Put quite simply, the two proposal cannot sit side-by-side.</p> <p>By building such an incinerator near Horsham, you are encouraging people NOT to recycle. You're publicly advocating an alternative to recycling, which is something no council should be doing.</p> <p>Finally, the fumes put out by the incinerator will affect the health of everyone living in the area. No matter how 'safe' the developers claim the fumes to be, the waste will have impact on peoples' lives. I can't imagine what the future cost to our health system will be in years to come as more and more people begin to have respiratory problems caused by living near such incinerators. In order to prevent that, such action needs to be taken now and you have the power to improve peoples' lives not ruin them.</p> <p>I can, therefore, see no reason why we need to build an incinerator or how the building of one will improve the lives of people in the area. I believe there are far better ways to reduce waste than burning it. It's a step back in time, rather than the way forward.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>

					Neil & Anna Pinnock	
904	Miss Alyssa Clarke	52, Illett Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AJ	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>Please take this comment as my objection to planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I recently moved to Horsham close to the country, we live in a beautiful area surrounded by fields. I do not want our home and walks to be ruined with pollution that this will cause. We should be recycling not burning! I do not want my home to be covered in a cloud of toxic smoke for me and my family to breathe in.</p> <p>Regards, Alyssa</p>	
905	Ms Deborah Davies	2, The Castle, Horsham, West Sussex, Rh12 5px	Object	30-APR-2018	The incinerator will lead to noise pollution, poor air quality , be detrimental to the health of local residents,especially the most vulnerable such as the elderly and children, as well as being catastrophic for our local wildlife. It is unacceptable to place such a facility in such close proximity to residential areas and to destroy our quality of life in the Horsham area.	
906	Miss Rebecca Davies	2, The Castle, Horsham, RH12 5PX	Object	30-APR-2018	On the grounds of danger and the implications om health and due to pollution	
907	Mrs Katherine Hudson	25, Finians Field, Barns Green, Horsham, RH13 0JX	Object	30-APR-2018	This would significantly impact our local area and the output would concern us for our families heath.	
908	Mrs Tracey Mathias	5, Toggles plat, Warnham, Horsham, West Sussex, RH123RA	Object	30-APR-2018	This will be a complete disaster for our area of natural outstanding beauty! It will spoil the beautiful landscape and god alone knows what it will do the health of the community and wildlife habitat! The surrounding roads will also be affected becoming a lot busier with very large vechcles this will then have an impact on the roads themselves which are in a bad enough state already! Really and truly I hope someone with enough sense can see the long term damage and destruction that this will cause!	
909	Mrs Sarah Prosser	96, Lambs Farm Road, Horsham, RH12 4LR	Object	30-APR-2018	My concerns link to the health and pollution risks associated with this proposal. I am also concerned about the increase in large commercial vehicles that will visit the site. Horsham has a large population including many families with young children - this is not an appropriate area for an incinerator, particularly one of this scale.	
910	Mr Anthony Standing	2, Lanyon Mews, Horsham, RH12 5JU	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>The proposed Incinerator building is in completely the wrong location, the chimney height is far too high and will be seen across the whole Sussex Weald.</p> <p>The exhaust pollution that has not yet been proved to be acceptable by the Environmental Agency, will pass over vast populated areas. I live within one mile of the site and there is about to be 2500 houses built within half a mile from the proposed site.</p> <p>Definitely should not be accepted.</p>	
911	Mrs addy miling Tsang	Little Thrift, Farthings Hill, Horsham, RH12 1TS	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>Objection</p> <p>Increases CO2 levels damaging health and the environment .</p> <p>Raise HGVs across county borders .</p>	
912	Gill D Constable	-	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>I am writing to submit my objection to the above referenced planning application for an Industrial Incinerator at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham.</p> <p>This is completely the wrong site for an industrial facility of this scale and type. It will adjacent to the approved planning application for schools and housing in North Horsham, which will already be placing a significant over-strain on the already crowded A24/ A264 corridor. The Incinerator would create substantial volumes of large, high sided vehicles on these roads and also on Langhurstwood Road which is completely unsuitable for this size and scale of vehicular traffic. The Chimney would have devastating visual impact on an area of outstanding natural beauty and pollute the surrounding environment.</p> <p>Industrial Incinerators, if required at all belong far away from residential areas and unspoilt views. This application should be REFUSED.</p> <p>West Sussex County Council needs to get on with a plan in conjunction with Surrey County Council for widening the A24 Horsham to Capel before any developments in North Horsham should be allowed to go ahead.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>G.D. Constable (Mrs)</p>	
913	Cathy Norbury	42, Rook Way, Horsham, RH12 5FR	Object	30-APR-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I object to the planning application for the Incinerator in Langhurstwood Road, Horsham. This is due to its location next to a very populated town, in particular the North part of Horsham - I believe it is also against World Health Organisation advice.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Cathy Norbury</p>	
914	Susan Little	Park Terrace West, 1, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 1HY	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Please register my objection to this proposal. My objection is that the Horsham district is being turning into a waste dump. We are creating a problem for the future. Building an incinerator is going to affect the lives of everyone within a 5 mile radius. The existing dump north of Horsham created a stink and a seagull and fly problem for local residents and anyone needing to work in the near vicinity. I worked there!</p> <p>I also lived on a brickworks during my childhood so I do know something about chimneys in the countryside. It appears they are great for navigation. They also create a thrill when steeplejacks are working. However, their primary purpose is to eject toxins into the air. I know of no studies which show where these toxins end up. Horsham is built in a dip and any particles heavier than air will not disperse. They will end up in our gardens and on farmland. Who has performed the risk assessment?</p> <p>Good news is that House prices will fall. Bad news is that the additional toxins are likely to affect the health of residents, employees and visitors. Children will be particularly at risk and to what benefit? Who gains?</p> <p>Please do right by the people who employ you to safeguard our interests, our health and our countryside. Keep the polluters away. Better still force them to clear up their own mess on their own premises. They need to take responsibility.</p> <p>A crisp manufacturer had to clear up their potato water waste and the result was Pringles. Andrex effluent water containing fine paper particles created Andrex soft tissue. This is the result of positive thinking. We need more effort put into using the waste effectively. Burning is so wasteful!</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Susan Little</p>	
915	Miss Joanne Symonds	6, Pondtail Close, Horsham, West Sussex, Rh125hs	Object	01-MAY-2018	I object to the increased pollution which this site will have on the air quality within the local area and increased transportation on the roads.	
916	Greg Terry	14, Petworth Drive, RH12 5JH	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir</p> <p>Reference WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I am writing to object to the proposed building of an incinerator off the A264 near the Brickworks.</p>	

					<p>The large size of the proposed incinerator is surely not appropriate to the local area. Large volumes of waste will need to be shipped in from other areas causing traffic issues in an area which is already set to increase dwellings and therefore road congestion.</p> <p>The size of the development including the height will have a visual impact to the community.</p> <p>Emissions will increase pollution in an area where I and my family live. Also there will be noise and light pollution which previously did not exist.</p> <p>The Environment Agency states that incineration is not the 'way to go'. We could end up not having enough to incinerate. There are better waste solutions which should be investigated.</p> <p>This proposal is most definitely not in the interest of myself and my family.</p> <p>PLEASE STOP THIS PROPOSAL NOW</p> <p>Greg Terry</p>	
917	Jacquie Roffe	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I would like to make my objections known regarding the above planning application. These proposals make no practical, economic or environmental sense.</p> <p>My son and his family live in the vicinity of the proposed incinerator and I have concerns for their future health if this is to go ahead . The impact on the local area is not acceptable.Traffic and pollution will affect the surrounding areas . Ultimately this is an outmoded sustainable solution. I urge the council to reject the plan.</p> <p>Jacquie Roffe</p>	
918	Karen Thomas	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Hello</p> <p>Ref WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>My family and I object to the proposed incinerator north of Horsham. Pollution from it will affect local air quality where we live. Industrial traffic will increase when we already are facing more new housing in this area which will increase domestic traffic on an already busy A264. Environmentally an incinerator is not the best option to get rid of this waste, there are better solutions.</p> <p>Please stop this now!</p> <p>Karen Thomas</p>	
919	Mrs Jodie Cowan	Little Jordans, Blackhouse Road, Colgate, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 6HS	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Environmental issues relating to this type of plant.</p>	
920	Mr Stephen Coppen	60, Greenacres Ring, Angmering, Littlehampton, BN16 4BS	Support	01-MAY-2018	<p>It appears that this is, without any doubt, the best possible location for what is a very much needed facility. Situated at a strategic point on the rail network it is ideal for transport of combustables from points North, South, East and West without undue road use thereby enabling 24/7 operation and associated continuous renewable power generation thereby removing the need for poisonous landfill that is so damaging the land and ground (drinking) water supply.</p>	
921	Kate Grubb	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the incinerator planned for Horsham. This should not be placed in a rural area spewing out into the atmosphere. Ruin the landscape of this beautiful clean air County.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Kate Grubb</p>	
922	Mr Adam Davies	2, 2, The Castle, Horsham, RH125PX	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>I object to the incinerator on grounds of pollution and dangers to health. When waste is burned in incinerators, toxic fumes from the mixture of materials are given off. While the emissions from incinerators are subject to regulatory controls, this is not a guarantee that the standards set are adequate. Incinirators release particulates; very fine particles of invisible soot which have been associated with the exacerbation of chronic lung and heart diseases, such as asthma and emphysema. Dioxins are formed when some materials are incinerated. They are known to cause cancer in humans and it has recently been estimated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency that they are 10 times more likely to cause cancer than was previously thought.</p> <p>Ash left behind from incineration (bottom Ash) is undesputibly toxic, and has to be landfilled and treated as special waste. (Further adding to the landfill problem) Companies often claim to ?recycle? bottom ash as a building material, but there are concerns around the safety of this. Bottom ash is far from inert and contains dangerous levels of toxic heavy metals and dioxins which can leach out into the surrounding soils posing a threat to the water table, food produce and human health.</p> <p>The incinerator also poses visual pollution to the neighbouring properties, the chimney tower is alarmingly high and most certainly will devalue many local properties. Noise pollution from the incinerator will also cause upset to local residents.</p> <p>Incinerators will also add to local traffic levels, and the associated heavy vehicle pollution.</p> <p>Incinerators also add to the issue of climate change, by increasing the emissions of greenhouse gasses. Here?s why-</p> <p>-The level of energy capture in incinerators compared to the potential energy present in the waste is very low.</p> <p>-Incinerators burn fossil fuels when plastic is present in the waste stream (as plastic is made from oil).</p> <p>-When materials are destroyed in incinerators, new ones have to be made to replace them. The extraction and processing of virgin materials uses huge amounts of energy.</p>	
923	Mrs rosalyn spray	20, The Castle, Horsham, RH12 5PX	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>I strongly object to this due to the harsh environmental problems it will cause, as well as the unsightly construction.</p>	
924	Adam Reeves	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>To Whomever Concerned,</p> <p>Please accept this email as my official objection to the proposed Incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>I live in Broadbridge Heath in one of the many new family housing developments. I moved in around 2 years ago and my family count ourselves as very lucky to live in such a naturally beautiful area. If I was aware that there were plans to build such a</p>	

					<p>monstrous building right on top of such a highly populated area, I would never have moved this way. This view is strongly felt across the community and will no doubt result in many people leaving the area as well as not buying.</p> <p>The health implications of this incinerator to our local wildlife and families will be horrendous, not to mention the unsightly view of such a large construction. Waste disposal is important and many new schemes are taking off with regard to reducing our consumption of plastics. The introduction of an incinerator is no the answer and certainly not in such a highly populated area, this is also the view of the World Health Organisation.</p> <p>The increased pollution of Co2 and Nox emissions will also have a dramatic impact on the community, as HGVs transporting waste to the incinerator will be traveling across county borders.</p> <p>I implore you to decline this application in the interest of our beautiful county and many concerned families.</p> <p>Many Thanks</p> <p>Adam Reeves</p>
925	Mr Robert Case	5, Firs Close, Horsham, RH12 1GD	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>The proposals for an incinerator do not comply with WSCC waste plan:</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5: to make provision for a new transfer, recycling and treatment facilities as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale and throughput of the proposed plant is incompatible with the disposal of local waste and will attract material from outside of the county.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 10: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County. There is no element of the proposals that will enhance the natural environment.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that they would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County...</p> <p>The proposal will have a dramatic effect on the character of Horsham and so I believe it does not meet the criteria.</p>
926	Mrs Catherine O'Neill	51, Ashleigh Road, Horsham, RH12 2LE	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>I would like to raise an objection to the planned incinerator at the Britanniacrest site just off A264. (WSCC/015/18/NH) my reasons for objecting to the development are twofold. Firstly, the impact on the environment and health and wellbeing (additional HCV traffic bringing in waste (and congestion) from other areas of the south east, plus the emissions from the chimney and increased traffic). The semi rural area surrounding the proposed site is already under 'attack' from property developers and Warnham Nature Reserve is nearby.</p> <p>And secondly, Horsham DCC is encouraging its residents to recycle more (fortnightly collections) and we (the residents) are moving towards the targets that the council has setsurely by considering this application the DC are implying that burning our waste is the way to go??</p> <p>Please turn down this application.</p>
927	Mrs Lara Wiltshire	31, Old Millmeads, Horsham, RH12 2LP	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>An incinerator will create an environment of industrialisation overshadowing the future health and amenity of residents, businesses and visitors as well as causing long-term damage to the character of Horsham, Warnham, and the local environment. The increased traffic would surely have an immense negative impact on residents, including: increased noise, odour, traffic, and light pollution - the roads are falling apart with cracks, potholes and dangerous driving situations entering the A264 as it is.</p>
928	Mrs kyra rutherford	Willows, Pondtail Drive, Horsham, RH12 5HY	Object	01-MAY-2018	-
929	Amanda Baxter	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Planning Department,</p> <p>I wish to object to the proposed incinerator planning application in Horsham. I was disappointed that such an important application for our community is not easier to find on the planning portal. At the very least, the applicants should be required to clearly state 'incinerator' in the title of their application, so that local people have an opportunity to find it and comment.</p> <p>I feel the applicants are trying to mislead the public and the planning department with the title of their application. They should have their application returned due to inaccuracy and then asked to re-submit their application with a less misleading title and description.</p> <p>Regards, A Baxter</p>
930	Marylynn McQueen-Skene	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>I strongly object to the application by Britannia crest for the plans of an incinerator to be built near Horsham</p> <p>Yours faithfully Marylynn McQueen-Skene</p>
931	Sandie Burton	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>To whom it may concern.</p> <p>I would like to object to the proposed incinerator in Horsham, West Sussex.</p> <p>Best regards</p> <p>Sandie Burton</p>
932	Miss Marylynn McQueen-Skene	3, Cambridge Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 5ED	Object	01-MAY-2018	No to WSCC/015/18/NH
933	Richard Paling	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Sirs</p> <p>I am a resident in North Horsham and wish to raise my objection to the construction of a waste incinerator. My objections are;</p> <p>1 The area already has an efficient and environmentally friendly biological treatment plant which was part funded by us rate payers.</p> <p>2 The service roads, A24 and A264, to this plant are already congested and the congestion will increase with the North Horsham development. No plans to improve the A24 are in place. Construction traffic will add to this over the next 5 years</p> <p>3 As an engineer with considerable experience of waste treatment including air monitoring it does not make sense to locate an incinerator so close to an existing urban area and such a large one adjacent to the plant. Fume cleaning systems do go wrong.</p> <p>4 Finally what benefit will this facility give to Horsham. Non what I can see.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Richard Paling</p>

934	Rachel Riley	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Dear council,</p> <p>I strongly oppose the proposed building of an incinerator at Wealden Brickworks, langhurstwood road, Horsham.</p> <p>It would have a huge environmental impact on the local area; it would be too tall; the Horsham infrastructure cannot support the HGVs; the impact on our health. All of these are enough on their own, but together?</p> <p>Please note my objection</p> <p>Rachel Riley</p>	
935	Colin Wells	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Disgusting idea - totally object on its environmental impact and not in keeping with the area and proposed new housing development</p> <p>Colin Wells</p>	
936	Ms Joanna Geale	39, Keats Close, Horsham, RH12 5PL	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>I object this development!</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>Joanna Geale</p>	
937	Sara Eloquin	60, Kempshott Road, Horsham, RH12 2EY	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Reference WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I would like to register my disappointment that HDC has agreed to the above planning permission to build an incinerator in north Horsham where there are residential houses schools and countryside. This is a poor decision because of</p> <p>1) the effect on local people;s health especially babies; young children; old people and asthmatics.</p> <p>2) It will be a huge eyesore for our beautiful town.</p> <p>3) The traffic to and from the site will also add pollution and increase congestion and affect our roads with the heavy trucks etc.</p> <p>I am so disappointed that this has got this far. Incinerators need to be sited far away from residential areas - it is common sense. I can only think that the deal must clearly be extremely lucrative to be considered, as planning permission for our loft conversion was turned down for being `not in keeping with the road¿ - how can a huge chimney be any different?!!</p> <p>Please add my registered complaint against the planned incinerator to all the others. There is much sadness and anger about this. I do so hope you will see sense and revoke the decision and let our town breathe freely.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>Sara Eloquin</p>	
938	Alison Stevenson	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>I would like to object to the siting of an incinerator close to the residential area of North horsham.</p>	
939	Hazel Carter	8, Rookwood Park, Horsham	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>I object to the new incinerator being built in Horsham as we have thousands of new homes built and more about to be built close to where they propose to build the new incinerator.</p> <p>It will increase the pollution that we already suffer from nearby Gatwick Airport. As an Asthma sufferer I know the effect pollution has.</p> <p>It will also increase the amount of traffic, with heavy lorries coming from outside Horsham, in an area already struggling to cope with the extra traffic from the many new homes.</p> <p>Hazel Carter</p>	
940	Roger Hart & Justine Gagliani	3, Bignor Close, Horsham, RH12 5JQ	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>We are writing to oppose the proposal for an ininerator in Horsham.</p> <p>This is for the following reasons;</p> <p>1. Surely rubbish being burnt is a backward step, and rubbish is worth far more by being recycled and re used.</p> <p>2.Increase in local traffic, increasing the emissions and pollution levels.</p> <p>3.Additional pollution affecting the local wildlife.</p> <p>4. An absolute eyesore on the local landscape..</p> <p>5. The people of Horsham and surrounding areas have a right to protect their homes and families and simply do not want the incinerator.</p> <p>6. Why are they putting an incinerator next to a proposed new housing development?</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Roger Hart & Justine Gagliani -</p>	
941	Jon Litten	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Hi I¿m objecting to the Horsham incinerator on two grounds:</p> <p>1) the incinerators proposed location is far too close to residential housing - the areas of Holbrook and Roffey and Horsham town centre are within 5 km A.T.C.F the nitrous oxide NO2 will on a Northerly wind blow into these areas and also affect the planned new North Horsham Development.</p> <p>2) the road infrastructure will mean increased road traffic as trucks bringing waste from all over southern England will mean increased congestion. The consultation meetings called at short notice gave no indication on how this would be managed.</p> <p>I therefore object to this proposal</p> <p>Jon Litten</p>	
942	Joan Kelleher	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I wish to object to the plans for an incinerator in Horsham as per the above application.</p> <p>The proposed plans would be detrimental to the environment by 1. Vastly increasing traffic around the site, especially diesel lorries</p> <p>2 the smoke etc produced by the incinerator would not be good for the environment and would degrade the air quality</p> <p>3. It is not compatible with the extensive housing building programme planned for Horsham and its environs.</p> <p>It would have a negative impact on traffic levels and air quality, and not just in the short term.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Joan Kelleher</p>	

943	Rachel Riley	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>I am completely opposed to the proposed incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>It cannot go ahead for the following reasons:</p> <p>The terrible environmental impact</p> <p>The pollution it will cause</p> <p>The spoiling of the rural area</p> <p>Horsham's infrastructure is not built to sustain the HGV vehicles that will use the roads to get to the incinerator.</p> <p>Rachel Riley</p>	
944	Bob & Di Whittaker	Quercus, Pondtail Drive, Horsham, RH12 5HY	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I am writing to object to the proposed new incinerator in Horsham. The proposals are completely unsuitable for the town and will polute the Norhern area of Horsham where I and many other residents live.</p> <p>There is much justified local objection to this scheme and I would urge th Planning Officer and Member most strngly to refuse it.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p> <p>Bob & Di Whittaker</p>	
945	Rob Riley	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>I am opposed to the incinerator due to the increased pollution levels, the damage it will cause to our environment and the lack of infrastructure to support it. Therefore, I have signed the petition.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Rob Riley</p>	
946	Peter Kenworthy	5, Bakehouse Barn Close, Horsham, RH12 5JE	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I wish to object in the strongest terms to the application by Britaniacrest's new plans to build an incinerator fuelled by waste in the North Horsham area.</p> <p>The North Horsham roads are already saturated with traffic and given likely further residential development to the north of the A264 the population and related traffic in this area is already set to increase. The local roads are simply not suitable for large HGVs transporting waste to the incinerator. Given the already poor condition of roads in the county, such heavy traffic will add further pressure on the council who are already unable to fund appropriate road maintenance.</p> <p>The proposed 95m chimney will destroy the beauty of the area and given the proposal to light the chimney at night will be a blight on the environment. Furthermore given the close proximity of the proposed facility to Gatwick Airport and the latter's plans for expansion the location for the incinerator is not suitable.</p> <p>Given there is now an improving recognition of the waste of plastic and other potential renewable items to recycle, over time there should be a reducing need to incinerate waste.</p> <p>I urge the council not to approve such a development in the North Horsham area.</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>Peter Kenworthy</p>	
947	Mike Lewis	1, Shiremark Cottages, Horsham Road, Capel, Dorking, RH5 5JP	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>To whom it may concern, I object to the proposed incinerator in horsham , the extra traffic on already busy roads would be unacceptable coupled with the environmental concerns linked with this type of installation and all this being at odds with horsham councils commitment to recycling.</p> <p>Mike Lewis</p>	
948	Rebecca Bryant	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing to object strongly to the Horsham Incinerator for the following reasons.</p> <p>- There is an established correlation between lower recycling rates and incinerator use, and a looming surplus capacity of waste disposal infrastructure in the UK.</p> <p>- Already there is surplus incinerator capacity in mainland Northern Europe, which has led countries like Germany, Holland and Sweden to import waste to burn or face shutting down their district heating systems. We are entering the era of incinerator decommissioning, to allow recycling to continue to grow. Now is not the time to build a new one in Horsham.</p> <p>- Adverse impact on air quality, the impact on the environment and increase in the level of traffic along surrounding roads. This would be significant.</p> <p>- The application does not comply with planning policies including the National Planning Policy Framework, Horsham District Planning Framework and West Sussex Waste Local Plan on many counts.</p> <p>- There is going to be pollution from the site - both air quality, light and noise.</p> <p>- This would severely blight the unique rural landscape of the area.</p> <p>- You are not listening to the demands of local residents, nor reflecting wider environmental concerns. Both of these are surely the main role of the council.</p> <p>I hope you will take this, and other objections into account.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Rebecca Bryant</p>	
949	William Bryant	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing to object strongly to the Horsham Incinerator for the following reasons.</p> <p>- There is an established correlation between lower recycling rates and incinerator use, and a looming surplus capacity of waste disposal infrastructure in the UK.</p> <p>- Already there is surplus incinerator capacity in mainland Northern Europe, which has led countries like Germany, Holland and Sweden to import waste to burn or face shutting down their district heating systems. We are entering the era of incinerator</p>	

					<p>decommissioning, to allow recycling to continue to grow. Now is not the time to build a new one in Horsham.</p> <p>- Adverse impact on air quality, the impact on the environment and increase in the level of traffic along surrounding roads. This would be significant.</p> <p>- The application does not comply with planning policies including the National Planning Policy Framework, Horsham District Planning Framework and West Sussex Waste Local Plan on many counts.</p> <p>- There is going to be pollution from the site - both air quality, light and noise.</p> <p>- This would severely blight the unique rural landscape of the area.</p> <p>- You are not listening to the demands of local residents, nor reflecting wider environmental concerns. Both of these are surely the main role of the council.</p> <p>I hope you will take this, and other objections into account.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>William Bryant</p>	
950	Elizabeth Hardy	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir.</p> <p>I object to the above application because</p> <p>1.The pollution it will cause to our quality.</p> <p>2.Horsham has looked after its own waste with a bio- digester.</p> <p>3. When built it will be out of date technology. Plastic is now recycled to make road surfaces and other new products.</p> <p>4. The restricted use of plastic is now encouraged by government .</p> <p>5. The extra traffic it will cause to our roads.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Elizabeth Hardy</p>	
951	Mr Michael Symes	97, Trafalgar Road, Horsham, RH12 2QL	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>I am against the incinerator as I do not believe it is the right answer to dealing with waste. Commercial facilities like this rely on source material and do not discourage the use of plastic. If we reduce plastic waste in Horsham, the facility will bring in material from other areas, even if that is not the intention now.</p> <p>I am concerned about dioxins being released into the atmosphere which are highly dangerous. The ash produced is also dangerous and has to be disposed of somewhere.</p> <p>Michael Symes</p>	
952	Thomas & Julie Becker	37, Gateford Drive, Horsham, RH12 5FW	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>We object strongly against plans to build an Incinerator in North Horsham.</p> <p>We are very concerned on the impact it has on our immediate environment and health. I have three children and find the intention to build such a facility in our vicinity irresponsible. How can one justify to build an Incinerator so close to family homes, a primary school and with the pending development in the North adding to the argument.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>Thomas and Julie Becker</p>	
953	Rachel Hogarth	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I would like to object to the above plan. I do not believe an incinerator should be built as it is likely to contribute to air pollution and make the area an unpleasant place to live. Additionally, I believe that we should be looking for alternative ways to dispose of our rubbish and not resorting to further polluting the atmosphere. Please let me know if this is the correct way to object officially.</p> <p>Best regards,</p> <p>Rachel Hogarth</p>	
954	David Cannon	Church Farm, Church Farm, Itchingfield, West Sussex, RH12 0NX	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>I would like to raise my objections to the incinerator proposed for Horsham. My reasons would be noise, pollution, light pollution and congestion due to increased HGV traffic.</p> <p>It seems ridiculous to even consider it when hundreds of new homes are due to be developed in this area.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>David Cannon</p>	
955	Mrs Lucy Farmer	34, 34', Keats Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5PL	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>1. It is clear this proposal goes against the WSCC waste policy to recycle close to origin of waste (strategic objective 5).</p> <p>2. Strategic objective 10 - To protect and, where possible enhance the natural and historic environment. This building will in no way enhance the environment and will cause visual, noise and environmental damage.</p> <p>3. The visual impact will be very negative to the area. The building and the chimney are excessively large and unattractive buildings.</p> <p>3. Light pollution - it is understood the top of the stack will need to be lit 24/7</p> <p>4. Noise pollution - As the site will be running 24/7 this will cause significant noise pollution in the local area.</p> <p>5. The lorries travelling to site will be numerous, bringing added noise, pollution and congestion to the area. I understand there could be approx 300 lorries a day travelling to the site.</p> <p>6. It is questionable in the medium to long term what this incinerator will burn with the expected decrease in plastic production and the apparent wish of HDC and other councils to increase recycling rates.</p> <p>7. There is also of course the risk of harm from the emissions from the incinerator. There is absolutely no proof available that emissions will not cause harm to those living in Horsham and beyond. There are several schools extremely close to the site. Surely this should be the most important thing to take into account and not provide permission for this development.</p>	
956	Mr Christopher Hebbard	Hormare Farmhouse, Hurston Lane, Storrington, Pulborough, RH20 4HH	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>Although filters may be fitted to the chimney are you aware of the wind direction that is dominant in this area? The smoke/pollution will pass directly over residential areas.</p>	
957	Miss Marylynn McQueen-Skene	3, Cambridge Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 5ED	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>No to WSCC/015/18/NH</p>	

958	Mrs Tracey Staplehurst	23, Heath Way, Horsham, RH12 5XB	Object	01-MAY-2018	I live in HORSHAM and work next door to the proposed site. I do not want this incinerator in Horsham, a beautiful market town!	
959	Kieren Unwin	-	Object	01-MAY-2018	<p>West Sussex County Council,</p> <p>I wholeheartedly reject the notion of having an incinerator of this magnitude in Horsham.</p> <p>What a terrible state of affairs. You have preyed on people who have fought every step of the way. People who are opposing it, not just because they don't want an incinerator, but opposing it because of the genuine risks to peoples health and wildlife.</p> <p>If you had a child growing up in Horsham, would you be comfortable with this?! If the answer is anything other than "No", then you're probably not fit to be a parent. An elected council should be there to prevent atrocities such as this, not to encourage and profit from them.</p> <p>There has been a huge amount of research showing the damaging impact this will have and yet you have blindly allowed the application to proceed. Who's pockets are being lined, I wonder?!</p> <p>You should be ashamed for allowing this to go on for as long as it has.</p> <p>Do the right thing and put an end to this!!!!</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Kieren Unwin</p>	
960	John Stanton	14, Gateford Drive, Horsham, RH12 5FW	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I would like to raise my objection to the planned incinerator in North Horsham,</p> <p>I am horrified that this option is even being considered by West Sussex County Council. Not only would it present a risk to the environment and the health of local residents, it is surely not the way forward in terms of waste disposal. An incinerator is merely a sticking plaster to the real problem of excessive waste being produced - it is the cause needs to be addressed</p> <p>Recycling, reuse and working towards the reduction of waste should be the approach taken to this problem. If this is not done now, then the problem will only get worse with an increasing population in West Sussex (via new builds etc). Expanding the recycling that can be collected should be the first step. I for one would happily pay an increase in my council tax to fund this approach.</p> <p>I sincerely hope that WSCC does not go down the short sighted route of an incinerator.</p> <p>Kind regards, John Stanton</p>	
961	Owls Nursery & Farm School	Moat House Farm, Global House, Rusper Rd, Rusper, Horsham, West Sussex, RH124QR	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>The incinerator plume of toxins will detrimentally affect the health of 200 children between the ages of 0-5 years who attend our nursery. As we are on a Farm school setting our children are outside all throughout the day in all weathers.</p> <p>Langhurst Rd is very close to the nursery and the smoke will pollute the air that the 200 children and 40 staff breathe each day. We are open 7.30-6pm every day, 51 week's of the year. The health of our most youngest children in the community and the close proximity of the incinerator to us, should be a significant reason, amongst all other reasons raised, to refuse this application.</p>	
962	Ms Teresa Bentley	12, Depot Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 5HA	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>Loss of amenity for residents, including noise, odour, traffic and pollution. The latter is of particular concern to me as there is a genetic disease in my family (LHON), which means that blindness could result from exposure to pollutants and smoke.</p> <p>The design is at odds with the size of the site, surroundings and proposed expansion of the town and its capacity significantly exceeds that required by the town.</p>	
963			Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>Application Number WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>We are very unhappy that this application is being considered for a rural area, known for scenic beauty and good quality of life. The proposed building/s will be an eyesore and blot on the landscape and the good/fresh country air quality will be endangered by possible unhealthy emissions.</p>	
964	J S & R B Marshall	Fairview, Comptons Brow Lane, Horsham, RH13 6BX	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>We are writing to object to the building of an incinerator in Horsham on the following grounds:</p> <p>¿ As it is proposed that this incinerator will be taking waste from a wide area, HGV's will need to be used to transport this waste to the site and will therefore pollute our air with Co2 and noxious emissions.</p> <p>¿ The size of the proposed incinerator will be 36m tall with a 95m chimney which will need to be permanently illuminated due to aircraft movement. A blot on the landscape.</p> <p>¿ The World Health Organisation advises that areas near an incinerator of this type should not be populated but the facility will be adjacent to the North Horsham Development and residents in the area will suffer from the resulting plume. Incineration increases CO2 levels thus causing damage to the health and the environment.</p> <p>¿ The country is currently embarking on a programme to reduce our use of plastics and re-cycle more. The thinking is that we already have too many incineration plants of this nature leading to decreased re-cycling therefore this incinerator could become a white elephant almost immediately.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>Mrs J S and Mr R B Marshall</p>	
965	A P R Watt	5, Brambing Road, Horsham, RH13 6AX	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>I wish to object to the proposal to build an incinerator near Horsham.</p> <p>It seems the idea behind it is to handle hundreds of tonnes of industrial waste, but I very much doubt that there is enough industry near Horsham to generate so much waste and the roads are inadequate for a large quantity of lorries.</p> <p>Ideally it should be sited where there is a lot of waste generated.</p> <p>Regards, A.P.R.Watt</p>	
966	Ms Leonie Griffiths	10, Penlands Vale, Steyning, West Sussex, BN44 3PL	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>Dear sir/madam</p> <p>I am writing to submit my objections against the proposed incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>There are a lot of reasons for objecting to these plans, some of which are:</p> <p>The World Health Organisation advised that areas near a waste incinerator should not be populated and get this facility will be adjacent to the North Horsham Development with the plume heading over nearby communities.</p> <p>Incineration increases Co2 levels, damaging our health and the environment.</p> <p>Waste to feed the incinerator will be transported by HGVs across county borders, polluting our air with Co2 and Mix emissions.</p> <p>Please reject the application.</p> <p>Regards Leonie Griffiths</p>	

967	Sharon Goldberg	26, Pondtail Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5HS	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>I wish to object to the sitting of an incinerator at this locale for the following reasons: Spoiling an area of natural beauty. Increased noise and traffic congestion. Increased wear to the roads due to the level of traffic. Incinerated rubbish goes against the council plan of increasing recycling. Light pollution due to our proximity to the airport and the need for it to be lit up.</p> <p>Regards Sharon Goldberg</p>
968	Lynda Birch	159, Comptons Lane, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 6BH	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>Dear sirs,</p> <p>I am gravely concerned about the proposed construction of an incinerator in Horsham. I suffer from asthma and am seriously concerned about the pollution that would be caused by this incinerator. I have never smoked in my life and take care not to put myself in situations where the air is polluted. The thought an incinerator being built in Horsham is horrific.</p> <p>Please take this letter as a formal objection to any proposal to go ahead with the construction of an incinerator.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Lynda Birch</p>
969	Mary Radford	6, Three Acres, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 1RS	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>I wish to add my objection to the building of an incinerator for a number of reason.</p> <p>Apart from the look of an ugly building with a chimney stack measuring 90 metres high that will be seen from miles around, I am also concerned by potential emissions affecting peoples health from the burning of rubbish. I have not yet seen any written guarantees that this could not happen when atmospheric conditions are far from ideal.</p> <p>Mary Radford</p>
970	Vivien Sallows	2, Normans Cottages, High Street, Rusper, Horsham, RH12 4PX	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>To WSCC.</p> <p>Please, please, please do not vote in favour of Britaniacrest's application to build an incinerator in Langhurst Wood, a rural area near Horsham.</p> <p>I see very little difference in the revised plans and there is certainly still nothing new to justify the construction of such a monstrosity. Such a business (and let's face it, Britaniacrest are doing this for profit and not out of the goodness of their heart) would have a huge impact on the local area in so many ways:</p> <p>visually unattractive, a blight on the rural landscape that would be seen from miles away</p> <p>air pollution (a similar plan in Dumfries was aborted by the Council in 2012, after high levels of air pollution were recorded from the supposedly pollution free, state of the art newly built incinerator)</p> <p>health hazard to all those living nearby, which flies in the face of the WHO, who advise against building incinerators near populated areas</p> <p>major source of pollution immediately next to an about-to-be built 2,750 housing development which is to include a number of new schools</p> <p>noise from the unit and from the increased number of vehicles disturbing the rural peacefulness and sleep patterns</p> <p>erosion of narrow country lanes and verges by lorries travelling to and from the site along narrow lanes</p> <p>increased danger for local road users, ramblers, cyclists and horse riders resulting from increased traffic</p> <p>sky pollution from the plant, resulting in a lesser quality of life for those of us who have chosen to make our homes in the quiet and dark of the countryside</p> <p>waste being brought here from far afield, adding to pollution en route</p> <p>building in a place where the effects of turbulence from aircraft flying at less than 5,000 feet cannot be calculated</p> <p>I feel very strongly about recycling and encouraging the public to recycle. The Government's new initiative on deposits on plastic and glass bottles is a step in the right direction. Surely, incineration is taking us a step back in this so called progressive age?</p> <p>Horsham District Council's policies, and I suspect, WSCC's, are being flouted:</p> <p>waste should be dealt with locally</p> <p>air quality standards should be improved</p> <p>buildings should be in keeping with their environment.....</p> <p>.....I'm sure there are more</p> <p>I strongly urge you to stand up to Britaniacrest and refuse their new application. Horsham has had more than its fair share of decimation and destruction of green open spaces and an unprecedented amount of building in the last few years.Do not allow any more of our countryside and standards of living to be further destroyed.</p> <p>Yours,</p> <p>Vivien Sallows</p>
971	A. V. Pickles	-	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I was shocked to hear of the proposal by Britaniacrest to construct a new incinerator on the outskirts of Horsham. The Planning Department has already agreed to the construction of some 2700 new homes which seem to be adjacent to the new incinerator. Surely this must be considered as a substantial health hazard not only to this development but also to Horsham and the surrounding areas.</p> <p>In addition, the traffic movements to the incinerator will be considerable resulting in further deterioration to the surrounding roads already suffering from major potholes. This will be in addition to the traffic that will be increased by the new development.</p> <p>I was also under the impression that West Sussex were advocating greater re-cycling which begs the question for the need of an incinerator. If, as I am also led to believe, the waste will be from areas from all over the country, then why cannot this incinerator be built in other areas?</p> <p>The area in question is also an area of great natural beauty and to have a chimney standing some 95m in the area cannot be believed. I assume it will also be necessary for the chimney to be lit at night to warn approaching aircraft.</p> <p>Last I have not been able to find any information to the contrary confirming that the gases emitted from the chimney will not be harmful. This is in addition to the additional co2 gases emitted by the numerous lorries.</p> <p>I trust the Planning Department will take all of the above into consideration and arrive at the only sensible solution which is to reject Planning Permission for this proposal.</p>

					Regards A.V.Pickles	
972	Mr James Morrissey	Castlewood, 6, Winterbourne, Horsham, RH12 5JW	Object	02-MAY-2018	Entirely inappropriate development for this location in the countryside, in proximity to an historic market town,and planned extension thereto.	
973	Miss Nicky Newton	44, Sargent Way, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3TS	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>cont...</p> <p>Finally, I would like to draw your attention to the final comments submitted in Britanniacrest's community profile document, that '...overall, it can be concluded that the local communities within the study area are not significantly more susceptible to any potential change to the environment.' I would especially like to draw attention to the words 'potential change to the environment'. By their own admission, Britanniacrest have stated that there may be a potential change to the environment if this commercial and industrial incinerator is built. There are numerous studies linking air pollution to cancer, particularly Hodgkin's disease, non Hodgkin's lymphoma and soft tissue sarcomas. I note that in Britanniacrest's community profile, they have omitted the cancer statistics that although are better than the national average right now, would be a very useful indicator to compare in the years to come.</p> <p>I ask you please to consider the views of local residents (almost 3,300 have signed a petition against this proposal) and to protect the health (both physical and mental) of the local residents.</p>	
974	Miss Nicky Newton	44, Sargent Way, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3TS	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>I am deeply concerned that the scale of INDUSTRIAL and COMMERCIAL waste that will travel from across the UK (and maybe further afield). This will have a HUGE NEGATIVE impact on road traffic and associated pollution, as well as our roads degenerating with the scale of trucks carrying this waste to the incinerator. There will also be 24 hour LIGHT POLLUTION coming from the lights on the incinerator that need to be on 24 hours a day to warn aircraft as the chimney of this building will be 95m high (higher than the statue of liberty! and visible for miles and miles) - an the exhaust plumes will be even higher than this. This is definitely not in keeping with Horsham's rural charm. My understanding is that incinerators are usually built in coastal areas not bang in the middle of one of England's most beautiful areas of countryside.</p> <p>I also raise concerns about the POLLUTION that will be emitted into the air and consequently onto land, which will impact us, our children (especially given the extremely close proximity of a NURSERY pre-school and SCHOOL). Additionally, the incinerator is proposed to be built only 5km away from an area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. I am shocked that this application is even being considered - surely the preservation of such an area and ecosystem that has been flourishing for thousands of years is important? Surely our health and our children's health is vital?</p> <p>I have concerns for the housing developer Liberty who will be developing circa 2,500 houses in close vicinity to the proposed site. I can't imagine who would want to buy a new house next door to a commercial and industrial incinerator. This in turn means that the council could lose out on potential council tax if these houses are not sold. It could also affect future housing developments in the Horsham area and therefore, the councils will lose money from loss of council tax, but also from the payment via developers. Horsham could well struggle to attract people into the area. Horsham is currently a beautiful rural town, attracting day visitors at the weekends. This could change! We already have had a number of businesses close recently in the town centre - the presence of a huge incinerator visible for miles around could thwart visitors and damage the local economy. Additionally, the impact of local farmers on potentially contaminated land or the perception of contaminated land could be disastrous. I would hope that we hold onto Horsham's charm and not turn it into something akin to a vision of the 1950's industrial revolution.</p> <p>Horsham already has an MBT facility and i feel that we have done our part here for waste processing. I wonder also whether the UK is focusing on the reduction of plastics - coffee shops and large supermarket chains are already stipulating that they will not provide plastic disposable cups for coffee etc. There may well be a government levy on disposable plastic cups. Single plastic bags are under scrutiny? People want to cut down on waste and i think that any application for incinerators should be put on hold for now - especially when the contract length is so long - 30-40 years. It's probable that over the coming years the amount of waste will reduce significantly. Therefore, where will the waste come from that will fuel these incinerators for the next 30-40 years? Government ministers are starting to push for a moratorium on incineration facilities because we already have surplus capacity for burning waste in the UK.</p> <p>I ask you please to consider the views of local residents (almost 3,300 have signed a petition against this proposal) and to protect the health (both physical and mental) of the local residents.</p>	
975	Miss Nicky Newton	44, Sargent Way, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3TS	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>cont...</p> <p>Finally, I would like to draw your attention to the final comments submitted in Britanniacrest's community profile document, that '...overall, it can be concluded that the local communities within the study area are not significantly more susceptible to any potential change to the environment.' I would especially like to draw attention to the words 'potential change to the environment'. By their own admission, Britanniacrest have stated that there may be a potential change to the environment if this commercial and industrial incinerator is built. There are numerous studies linking air pollution to cancer, particularly Hodgkin's disease, non Hodgkin's lymphoma and soft tissue sarcomas. I note that in Britanniacrest's community profile, they have omitted the cancer statistics that although are better than the national average right now, would be a very useful indicator to compare in the years to come.</p> <p>I ask you please to consider the views of local residents (almost 3,300 have signed a petition against this proposal) and to protect the health (both physical and mental) of the local residents.</p>	
976	Ms Joanna Moody	-	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I would like to formally object to the planned development of an incinerator in North Horsham as per the planning reference WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>I feel that the town is too small to accommodate an incinerator of this size and type. The pollution will be detrimental to the local community as a whole and particularly to the primary schools which are based in North Horsham. The extra traffic from refuse being transported to the site will also cause problems to the town's infrastructure, local community and people who are commuting into the town for work.</p> <p>I ask as a Horsham resident that this planning application is rejected in its entirety.</p> <p>Regards, Joanna Moody</p>	
977	Mr Paul Condon	17, Quail Close, Horsham, RH12 5ZJ	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>I am objecting to the proposed incinerator project on the following grounds:</p> <p>The emission of fumes will present a health hazard to residents over extensive urban areas downwind of the proposed location. The scale and extent of potential damage to health from emissions, some of which may be toxic and/or carcinogenic, is unknown at this time, but has the potential to be serious.</p> <p>Secondly, the scale of the works would present a disfiguring eyesore, visible over a vast area around Horsham. A permanently lit structure is wholly out of keeping with the "quiet, rural environment" we aim to preserve: indeed it feels offensive to us that it could be mooted in the first place.</p> <p>Thirdly, the fumes, noise and congestion wrought by diesel lorries, bringing other counties' rubbish to us, will make Horsham an ugly dumping ground, impacting negatively on the area's desirability and ultimately on property values here.</p>	

					<p>Finally, while working to reduce our carbon footprint and consumption of plastics, we in Horsham are recycling more. Incineration compromises this by placing the profit motive in favour of one use products. Clearly, such profit will be of little value to residents of Horsham.</p> <p>In my view, and that of the many people with whom I have spoken, this proposal would, if approved, be a disaster for Horsham and its residents for decades to come.</p>	
978	Mrs N Cripps	-	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>I object strongly to this application. The plans are modified but my objections remain.</p> <p>The chimney stack remains 95m. This is visible for miles as the diagrams themselves show. It is incongruous with the area. As they have been unable to lower the height of the stack its major effect on the landscape is superficially dismissed.</p> <p>I object on these grounds:Non-compliance with West Sussex Waste Local Plan</p> <p>Strategic Objective 5:facilities as close as possible to where waste arises.</p> <p>There is a disincentive to recycle in case this reduces the feed to the incinerator. If there is not enough, the waste will be brought from further afield. There will be pollution and nuisance from lorries. Being private, there could be waste transported a long way.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 11: To protect and, where possible, enhance the natural and historic environment and resources of the County.</p> <p>It will blight the environment. It will be visible from 15 km and cause pollution and increased traffic.</p> <p>Policy W11: Character. ...would not have an unacceptable impact on: (a) the character, distinctiveness, and sense of place of the different areas of the County</p> <p>Horsham is a market town, cited as a desirable area in which to live. It would be seriously adversely affected by the siting of an incinerator.</p> <p>Policy W12: .are of high quality .. (a) integrate with and, where possible, enhance adjoining land-uses (b) have regard to the local context including: (iii) the topography, landscape, townscape, streetscape and skyline of the surrounding area; (iv) views into and out of the site.</p> <p>The proposal will have an enormous chimney, 95 m, visible from miles around. I understand the stack is so high because there is limited space on the site causing an unusual construction. It will blight the local rural area and the Land North of Horsham development (LNHD).</p> <p>The response of Gatwick Airport confirms that the 95m stack will need to have obstacle lighting 24 hours a day. This will be a nuisance to the surrounding area.</p> <p>The plume from it will only exacerbate the intrusion.</p> <p>Policy W19: Public Health and Amenity. Proposals for waste development will be permitted provided that: lighting, noise, dust, odours and other emissions are controlled to the extent that there will not be an unacceptable impact on public health and amenity.</p> <p>Noise, odour</p> <p>Noise and odour nuisance.</p> <p>Transport</p> <p>The local road infrastructure is already stretched and could not cope. The cumulative effect with the Land North of Horsham development must be considered.</p> <p>Health</p> <p>Incinerators emit particulates (especially PM 2.5s), heavy metals and other toxic materials which are known to cause cancer and potentially birth deformities. There is a risk to the health of the local population and those to whom the contamination spreads according to the prevailing wind direction.</p> <p>Land North of Horsham Development (LNHD)</p> <p>This application is approved by Horsham District Council. The cumulative effects of these proposals must be considered. LNHD will place many new homes and new schools immediately next to the site.</p> <p>The visual impact on LNHD will be immense. The planning documents seem to refer to the cumulative effects if the developments are viewed as a whole from a distance. However, surely a key point is what people actually in LNHD will see and experience from their homes and schools. There is also the risk to health from emissions. This will cause negative perception and thus the whole economic viability of the Horsham District plan will be jeopardised.</p>	
979	Miss Hazel Robinson	3, Bricklayer Lane, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AA	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>I strongly object to this new incinerator being built within then this proposed area.</p> <p>This building will be a visual monstrosity to the surrounding beautiful countryside that resides around this proposed area. The building will be considerably large and will be very noticeable from afar and ruin the landscape of Horsham and immediate areas.</p> <p>This incinerator will increase the air quality in the immediate area and if strong winds were to prevail then this would go further. We already have a large polluter in the form of Gatwick airport and having this will surely mean Horsham will be putting many lives at risk with such pollutants in the air.</p> <p>With many new housing developments occurring within the local area, more and more green lands are being consumed, and this will yet be another large site that will do the same. Horsham is slowly losing its precious country lands and green areas for such monstrosities such as this. Horsham will soon lose its appeal to many as the green and rural town that it is.</p> <p>The site will be 24/7 and will severely impact local and wider traffic with large lorries traversing the local roads and lanes to deliver their load. This again will further impact the air quality and health of local residents, along with potential increase to road accidents. Such consumption of our green lands must stop and this site be considered for a more suitable location.</p> <p>We moved to this area to start a family, knowing this would be a beautiful place for our children to grow up in. With this matter in hand goes ahead our opinion will soon change and leave us no option but to leave the area! I should Imagen along with many others, after you devalue the price of our homes.</p>	
980	Mr Matthew Tibbs	7 Holbrook P, Old Holbrook, Horsham, West	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>I'm totally baffled by the rationale behind this proposal. This is a complete disaster of an idea. Build a monstrous oversized chimney to burn and release carbon and toxins into the environment, right next to an area in which plans have been granted to build</p>	

		Sussex, Rh12 4tw			<p>homes and a primary school, on the outskirts of a picturesque West Sussex county town - this is completely absurd.</p> <p>Who is going to benefit from this? This is a zero sum gain for energy production, as this facility will use a cleaner mix energy from the national grid to produce CO2 polluting power.</p> <p>Who's going to ensure a fit and proper company implements and maintains controls over what is going to be burnt? We face the ongoing issue of heavy metals and other toxins being released into the air. My children could be exposed to this and it's an unacceptable risk.</p> <p>The scale of this plan is totally out of keeping with the local town and surrounding countryside and will have a negative impact on the quality of life for local people and the desirability of the area.</p> <p>Plans to expand the town on the land north of Horsham will put a strain on the road network, leading to additional congestion and poorer air quality in the area, so allowing a facility such as this with heavy vehicles to add to road usage and further degrade air quality is also unacceptable.</p> <p>WSCC and the people of Sussex should focus on recycling as much waste as possible and develop ways to produce clean green energy for the future. Clean air is fundamental to healthy human life, air quality laws will only become more important and stringent in the future. This proposal can only have a negative impact on the environment of West Sussex.</p> <p>Have the economics of this project been tested? Is the company a fit and proper organisation to be trusted to run an operation of this size? Does West Sussex really need another facility and if so, at what cost to the local people of Horsham and the surrounding towns?</p> <p>I very much look forward to your comments regarding the points raised above.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>Matthew Tibbs</p>	
981	Mr Mark Tomlinson	67, Rushams Road, Horsham, RH12 2NX	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>Incineration appears to have been taken as an easy short-term alternative to making the right decision. Recycling is key, however incineration so close to an ever expanding town is far from the right decision. being so close to Horsham ton raises concerns of air quality, emissions, traffic, pollutant to the natural environment. the Electrical energy created will be of a high carbon rate (high >400g Co2/kWhr), which will not support the UKS objective of meeting CO2 target by 2050. therefore if incineration is to be used, it MUST be accompanied by a carbon capture process to be acceptable to even UK.gov.</p> <p>By not including carbon capture in the proposal clearly show shtat profit has been considered above all else. which given the proximity to Horsham town is not acceptable.</p>	
982	Mrs Rachel Tomlinson	67, Rushams Road, Horsham, RH12 2NX	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>I am extremely concerned about the visual impact it will have in a rural location. I am also concerned that it is within 5k of a nearby area of natural beauty and the environmental effects it may have. I am extremely worried about the potentially harmful emissions and toxic waste it may emit. There are nurseries and schools close to the site plus housing. I am worried that there will be increased congestion with waste transported into the area on roads which are busy enough during rush hour. We chose to live in Horsham to enable our family to grow up in a healthy environment and are extremely worried about the impact this could have on our children's health and well being.</p>	
983	Lisa Jestico	-	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the planning application for an industrial incinerator known as a Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure 2 planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH at Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD.</p> <p>I do not believe that an incinerator is a suitable route to a sustainable future. Citing a wise man; A paper released last year by the European Commission (The Role of Waste-to-Energy in the Circular Economy, 26.01.2017) warned that incineration would hamper the circular waste economy. They recommend investment in more recycling capacity and anaerobic digestion instead. Here in Horsham, we already have a Mechanical & Biological Treatment plant, on the site adjacent to the proposed incinerator, which was part-funded by the taxpayer. The Ni4H resident2s group contend that West Sussex has already invested in suitable technology here in Horsham.</p> <p>In addition, DEFRA chief scientific advisor, Ian Boyd, has expressed his personal opinion that incineration extinguishes innovation and is worse than landfill because it destroys value.</p> <p>Furthermore, developments in technology now present us with alternatives to incineration. As an example, MacRebur are using recovered plastics as road surfacing, in place of tarmac. This will give us stronger roads (no potholes!), locks in microbeads preventing plastics pollution and is using plastic waste as a genuine resource. Once something is burnt it is gone forever, leaving only tiny air pollutants (called particulate matter) behind.</p> <p>The only logical conclusion to be drawn is that that when waste is incinerated it is no longer part of the circular waste economy that western countries are striving to achieve and it is therefore an outmoded technology.</p> <p>Technology and investment must be directed at sustainable and long term ecologically and environmentally friendly solutions. This is not the answer.</p> <p>Yours, Lisa Jestico.</p>	
984	Andrew Fleming	-	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I would like to formally object to the application for the proposed North Horsham incinerator. I am a local resident and I believe this development is unnecessary, it will pollute our environment which will negatively affect our health, and is a scar on the landscape and skyline.</p> <p>Kings regards Andrew Fleming</p>	
985	Mr R Foreman	20, Hornbeam Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5NP	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir or Madam, I want to put my name forward to say NO to a industrial incinerator being built at WEALDEN BRICKWORKS, LANGHURSTWOOD ROAD,HORSHAM, WEST SUSSEX, RH12 4QD. We do not want any more pollution in our lovely country side. DEFINATELY NO. Mr, R. Foreman, 20 Hornbeam Close, Horsham ,RH12 5NP.</p>	
986	Mr Guy Slaney	., Hollands Way, Warnham, Horsham	Object	02-MAY-2018	<p>Dear sir or madam,</p> <p>I am writing to object to the planning application WSCC/015/18/NH and the proposal to build a waste incinerator on the site of the old Warnham brick works.</p> <p>I objected to the last application that was made for this construction and I do not believe that the renewed application makes any substantive attempt to recognise or address the concerns of myself or other residents of the area.</p>	

					<p>This proposal is for an unnecessary incinerator that will not contribute anything beneficial towards waste disposal or recycling. It will add additional volumes of vehicles to our roads which are already in a poor state of repair in many places and are also unsuited to large goods vehicles around most of the access routes to the proposed site.</p> <p>The incinerator would be a disincentive to individuals to recycle and to manufacturers to ensure that packaging and waste are recycled as far as possible. It would mar the character of the area, emit noise, light and Co2 pollution affecting existing residents and the planned North Horsham development. The noise pollution would be exacerbated by the fact that this is currently a relatively quiet rural area and therefore the background level of noise is relatively low. It would also have an unacceptable impact on the environment of the site which I understand includes Great Crested Newts.</p> <p>It should also be noted that the residents of Horsham have been very clear over the last few years that an incinerator is not the way we wish to proceed. Taxpayers money has rightly been spent on developing alternative methods to handle waste in the area and this proposed incinerator has already received significant objection not just to the design but also to the principle. I believe that those objections and underlying opinions remain extant and this proposal should be rejected.</p> <p>Thank you for your time, Guy</p> <p>Guy Slaney, resident of Hollands Way, Warnham</p>	
987	Miss Melanie Adams	9, Hamilton Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2JJ	Object	02-MAY-2018	Horsham already has enough pollution caused by the landfill site in Warnham. We do not want our air further polluted with Co2 and Nox emissions from an incinerator. The people of Horsham should not be dictated to by big business. Can we please say NO to an incinerator once and for all?	
988	Mr Enahoro Edgal	Upper Chickens, Dorking Road, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SA	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>1. The incinerator is contrary to WSCC Waste Local Plan as transfer, recycling and treatment facilities should be as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale indicates a far wider area beyond will be sought, especially as increased recycling regulations will mean a reduced supply of local waste to fuel the incinerator.</p> <p>2.This will result in a significant increase in HGV traffic, in and around Horsham?s already congested road network.</p> <p>3.There is already a well-documented surplus incinerator capacity in mainland Northern Europe, with potential decommissioning of incinerators so there is no need to build a new one in Horsham. Planning permission was granted for an incinerator in Ford, West Sussex in 2014, (Which we understand has not yet been built) so again there is no need for another incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>4. Emission levels will be detrimental to the local community and countryside. There is no long term understanding of the fumes(toxic) impact to communities, countryside, wildlife and farming. The location is too close to the local conservation area.</p> <p>5. With Gatwick airport seeking a 2nd runway (recent consideration of using its emergency runway to increase capacity) there is an unknown impact on the spread of emissions with aircraft vortex.</p> <p>6. As 1 tonne of waste burnt gives off one tonne of CO2 it makes no sense to generate electricity so inefficiently when we are trying to decarbonise our energy supplies.</p>	
989	Mrs Oladimeji Edgal	Upper Chickens, Dorking Road, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SA	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>1. The incinerator is contrary to WSCC Waste Local Plan as transfer, recycling and treatment facilities should be as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale indicates a far wider area beyond will be sought, especially as increased recycling regulations will mean a reduced supply of local waste to fuel the incinerator.</p> <p>2.This will result in a significant increase in HGV traffic, in and around Horsham,?s already congested road network.</p> <p>3.There is already a well-documented surplus incinerator capacity in mainland Northern Europe, with potential decommissioning of incinerators so there is no need to build a new one in Horsham. Planning permission was granted for an incinerator in Ford, West Sussex in 2014, (Which we understand has not yet been built) so again there is no need for another incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>4. Emission levels will be detrimental to the local community and countryside. There is no long term understanding of the fumes(toxic) impact to communities, countryside, wildlife and farming. The location is too close to the local conservation area.</p> <p>5. With Gatwick airport seeking a 2nd runway (recent consideration of using its emergency runway to increase capacity) there is an unknown impact on the spread of emissions with aircraft vortex.</p> <p>6. As 1 tonne of waste burnt gives off one tonne of CO2 it makes no sense to generate electricity so inefficiently when we are trying to decarbonise our energy supplies.</p>	
990	Miss Joanne Edney	2, Colne Close, Worthing, BN13 3LP	Object	03-MAY-2018	-	
991	Mrs Janis Felgate	66, Pondtail Road, Horsham, West Sussex, Rh12 5hr	Object	03-MAY-2018	-	
992	Mr Martyn Flack	8, Wild Orchid Way, Southwater, RH12 4QX	Object	03-MAY-2018	I strongly oppose this for several reasons. We are constantly reminded about how important recycling is for our environment however this seems quite the opposite and a lazy way of dealing with our waste. Not to mention the fumes and smells that will undoubtedly come from the incinerator!	
993	Mrs Melanie Giacomelli	33, Bethune Road, Horsham, Rh13 5jn	Object	03-MAY-2018	I already have asthma, as does my sin. More pollution would make it worse.	
994	Mr Andrew Gonoude	62, 62, Swallowtail road, Horsham, Rh125yg	Object	03-MAY-2018	-	
995	Miss Clare Haines	19, Siskin Close, Horsham, RH12 5YR	Object	03-MAY-2018	-	
996	Mrs Catherine Johnson	4, Plough Lane, Horsham, West Sussex, RH125TN	Object	03-MAY-2018	I am worried about the pollution which will be generated on the local area	
997	Mrs Lyn Naylor	3, Chaffinch Close, Horsham, RH12 5HA	Object	03-MAY-2018	This is not in the interest of the community or local environment.	
998	Mrs Lyn Naylor	3, Chaffinch Close, Horsham, RH12 5HA	Object	03-MAY-2018	This is not in the interest of the community or local environment.	
999	Mrs Lyn Naylor	3, Chaffinch Close, Horsham, RH12 5HA	Object	03-MAY-2018	This is not in the interest of the community or local environment.	
1000	Mrs Lyn Naylor	3, Chaffinch Close, Horsham, RH12 5HA	Object	03-MAY-2018	This is not in the interest of the community or local environment.	
1001	Mrs Samantha Newton	54, Primrose Copse, Horsham, Rh12 5pz	Object	03-MAY-2018	This proposal will be a blight on the landscape, not to mention the hazard to health that it has not accurately been portrayed as being. It will be built in the middle of a residential area and its plumes will stretch for miles and miles of Sussex countryside and residential areas. Not to mention the increased traffic in an area that can hardly breathe for all the increased traffic as a result of the building that the infrastructure can?t support. There are better and much more suitable locations for a monstrosity such as this, and its current proposal is just insane.	

1002	Mrs Victoria Ni	22, Adams Close, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3UT	Object	03-MAY-2018	I strongly object on the grounds of these plans not supporting HDC's published plans. An incinerator & increased heavy vehicle traffic will pollute the air of Horsham endangering the health of everyone including children. Additionally the increased traffic will cause costly damage to the highways. We should be recycling more and not enabling incineration, this is not the way forward and doesn't support long term goals of local & national government.	
1003	Ms Louisa Orton	2, Holmbush Close, Horsham, RH12 5YB	Object	03-MAY-2018	I feel that this size of waste disposal facility is inappropriate so close to residential areas. There would be implications in terms of traffic, air pollution and a large visual impact on the area. This proposal would have adverse impact on us in the town of Horsham, but even more so on residential areas closer to the site.	
1004	Miss Jamie Petty	The Old Post Office, The Street, Cowfold, Horsham, RH13 8BP	Concern Raised	03-MAY-2018	Having this facility is only going to increase the traffic flow going up to this destination, causing more traffic and maybe even more accidents. The nature of Horsham town should be preserved as a market town. In addition, having this noise and large facility will only drive away local nature, especially the deer in the nature reserve.	
1005	Mrs Tanya Reed	1, Campion Road, Horsham, RH12 5FJ	Object	03-MAY-2018	-	
1006	Mr Damon Slater	4, Wren Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5HD	Object	03-MAY-2018	I cannot see how building an incinerator in Horsham will add any benefit to the area. It is going to be extremely unsightly with it's tall polluting chimney. The potential pollution is a health concern to all in the area, with the emissions, traffic and noise pollution generated from the development very troubling. It will have a negative impact on house prices.I cannot see why anybody would want to move to the area with that environmental monstrosity being developed. In fact the thought of West Sussex Council letting this development happen make me want to move from the area, I already know of people who were looking to move to the area reconsidering because of the possibility of the development. We have a large amenity tip in Horsham already that caters for a large area. It is another areas turn not Horsham's It is about time some backbone is shown and this development is rejected and thrown out.	
1007	Mr David Bagshaw	Ramblers, Friday Street, Warnham, RH12 3QX	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear WSCC planning team, I am writing to object to the planning application (WSCC/015/18/NH) relating to the proposed incinerator in North Horsham. I object because:</p> <p>- allowing the incinerator will result in an overall increase in burning of waste (an additional facility raises overall capacity). By providing such a mechanism the customers of this facility will have reduced incentive to reduce, reuse and recycle their waste and furthermore will be less likely to consider their supply chains to minimise use of non-recyclable material.</p> <p>- the site commonly has low and no wind days which will result in the released pollution concentrating over the North Horsham area (as shown by recognised atmospheric dispersion modelling tools such as AERMOD). The concentration of pollution will raise the exposure of workers and residents of the area (including the recently agreed North Horsham housing development) to the pollutants generated by the incinerator.</p> <p>- Numerous studies have shown that increasing air pollution causes significant harm to health. Further to this the following text is taken from a UK government report (AIR QUALITY EXPERT GROUP Fine Particulate Matter (PM2.5) in the United Kingdom):</p> <p>" It is currently recognised that exposure to particulate matter (PM) can give rise to significant health effects and to date there is no evidence of a safe level of exposure. Further, there is no current consensus on the relative contributions of different chemical components of PM to the overall adverse health effects of exposure. Therefore PM2.5, the finer size fraction of PM, remains a priority issue for Defra"</p> <p>- the approval of such a source of pollution whilst society moves to clean up other areas e.g. diesel vehicle emissions, will leave the Horsham area with a pollution legacy.</p> <p>- the proposed plant appears not to meet the european waste framework directive for efficiency and proximity to waste sources.</p> <p>- the proposed plant appears to run against the WSCC waste plan objective (14) to minimise carbon emissions.</p> <p>- the proposed plant is likely to result in an increase in waste vehicle traffic, causing further pollution (noise and air) from the waste transfer vehicles, increasing road usage and may have safety implications for vulnerable road users on local roads.</p> <p>- WSCC is unlikely to have control over the sources of the waste that are burned at the site and therefore the local area will be further impacted by other areas seeking to export their pollution. Any future plans to reduce pollution in the county will be limited by the lack of control over such a site.</p>	
1008	Joanne Parry	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Planning applications team,</p> <p>I am emailing my objection against the new proposal WSCC/015/18/NH - Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy and Ancillary Infrastructure at the former Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, submitted by Britaniacrest Recycling Ltd I have looked through the application they have submitted online and I feel they still have not sufficiently addressed issues as follows:</p> <p>1) Detailed ecology studies still not submitted - these are far too generic with regards to the existing wildlife and does not provide details with regards to emissions effecting surrounding wildlife sites such as Warnham Nature Reserve</p> <p>2) The site capacity is too large for the area especially when the A264 is already heavily congested and this will adversely impact of Ambulance response times. Roads coming off A264 are not suitable for HGVs which will lead to increased wear and tear of the roads, potholes and costs for road repairs will increase as well as the increase of exhaust emissions</p> <p>3) the dioxins and other emissions will adversely effect the natural greenbelt land surrounding and the plume emissions will cause fallout to the existing housing communities. The applicant still not defining what these emissions are proposed to be</p> <p>4) It will lead to house prices dropping and this will impact the economics of the area especially impacting on the businesses in the town centre and potentially adversely impact the council business rates</p> <p>5) the noxious emissions will lead to a rise in child respiratory concerns as well as other well documented health risks from these kind of plants which is a major concern when the plume plotting shows the number of playgrounds, schools and nurseries that will be affected. If the build goes ahead and I or my children develop a respiratory disease that can be linked to the emissions from the plant not only will I seek to take action against the plant I will do so against those who vote in agreement to the proposal</p> <p>6) it will negatively impact the potential development of North Horsham as who will wish to buy a house next to an incinerator especially with 24 hour noise pollution and light pollution in the hours of darkness</p> <p>7) the sheer size of the proposed development means that the plant will be dealing with industrial waste as well as household which could provide a more toxic emission profile</p> <p>8) North Horsham Parish Council have also objected for many of the reasons above</p> <p>Please protect our families, future residents and wildlife in voting to object the proposal.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>Joanne Parry North Horsham Resident</p>	
1009	Amy Bagshaw	Ramblers, Friday Street, Warnham, RH12 3QX	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear WSCC,</p> <p>Please accept this email as my objection to the plans made in WSCC/015/18/NH. I object because:</p> <p>The plant will generate pollution which will have a detrimental impact on the health of residents of West Sussex and Surrey. The plant will actively discourage recycling.</p> <p>The waste that is proposed to be burned will need to be brought in via road and will increase traffic from large waste vehicles.</p>	

					Amy Bagshaw.	
1010	Ms Hayley Slatter	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Ref: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I am writing to ask you to strongly reconsider any planning regarding a local incinerator. From the health point alone, The world health organisation themselves state that they should not be placed in residential areas. Yet this is being considered in a residential area that has potential planning for many more homes. How can any council justify building something that is a known risk to health!</p> <p>In addition, the impact on the local air quality, pollution, additional traffic and house prices will undoubtedly be significant, all for a technology that in itself is losing favour! The need to keep it burning to capacity combined with the national push to reduce plastic use does not add up and you only have to look to Germany where they are now having to buy out burnable waste to keep their incinerators full. The additional miles the waste may then travel is unnecessary and unforgivable for an environmentally aware generation.</p> <p>The toxic waste produced from this technology is also a problem that cannot be ignored and to my knowledge has not yet been answered.</p> <p>Having grown up in the area I am sad to say that I may no longer want my children to do so if the council can seriously allow something so clearly detrimental to their local residents.</p> <p>I urge your strongest consideration on this</p> <p>HAYLEY SLATTER</p>	
1011	Clare Beacham	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed incinerator in Horsham. It is far to close to existing residences and to the proposed new buildings in the North of Horsham. It will cause air pollution and potentially dangerous chemicals to be released into the air which will affect thousands of people. It seems that while Horsham and the surrounding villages are being developed to the point when it is it will be a vastly populated area to put in an incinerator which is environmentally damaging seems a dangerous idea. The roads are already heavily congested and will become more so when residential building is completed. Further heavy traffic from Lorries to the incinerator will just further congest and damage local highways.</p> <p>I strongly object to this plan.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Clare Beacham Resident of Broadbridge Heath</p>	
1012	Stacey Davison	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Reference WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I'm saying no to the incinerator what a silly idea for Horsham who comes up with these plans.</p>	
1013	Ann Ward	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I am writing to object to the above plans for the following reasons:</p> <p>The area around Horsham has been earmarked for thousands of new houses to be built - an incinerator will not only be unsightly but will pollute the atmosphere in an increasingly residential area. It is likely to cause an increase in traffic with large waste wagons travelling on already busy roads some of which are not suitable for heavy traffic. There are much better ways to dispose of waste that are not as harmful to the environment, there have been significant technological advances in recycling plastics for example. It would seriously impact on the beauty of the countryside around the area that has already been affected by significant housing developments .</p> <p>I strongly object to the plans.</p> <p>Regards Ann Ward</p> <p>Warnham</p>	
1014	Cllr Peter Lamb	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the proposal.</p> <p>Crawley already suffers from significant problems stemming from poor air quality, compounding existing health inequalities within the borough. There is no doubt in my mind that emitting further pollutants into the air locally will only make the problem worse.</p> <p>Due to low levels of inspection at such facilities the risk of accidents and unauthorised emissions is too great, as has already been shown already at two of the UK's most modern incinerators. Even minor errors with temperature controls can result in a significant increase in toxic emissions.</p> <p>This proposal isn't necessary for dealing with our local refuse issue and only serves to worsen the health and wellbeing of the county's residents.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Cllr Peter Lamb</p>	
1015	Siobhan M King	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Good evening,</p> <p>I wish to submit a formal objection to the above mentioned application related to the construction of an industrial waste incinerator by Britaniacrest.</p> <p>There are multiple reasons why the proposed develop should not be granted permission to proceed:</p> <p>1. The proposed size of the construction is absolutely inappropriate. It's development would go against everything the county prides itself on - natural, history beauty. The sheer size of the incinerator would damage the rural Sussex countryside and certainly would not enhance our natural environment due to its overpowering and intrusive nature on local residents. Britaniacrest, in their bullish ways, have submitted a proposal with complete disregard for local residents - their proposal ignores local context, topography, skyline and if approved would do nothing but plight the lives of local residents.</p> <p>2. The harmful emissions/ pollutants - if approval is granted, the local authority will be doing a serious injustice to the local residents in terms of damage to their health. As a practicing Chemical Engineer, I know with certainty that the level of fine particulates in the surrounding atmosphere will increase significantly and thus harming the health of the local population. If emission levels during standard operating conditions aren't bad enough, it should be noted that astronomical levels of dioxin would also be released during periods of start-up and shut down. There is trusted evidence supporting the strong connection between fine particulate pollution and cardiovascular/ cerebrovascular mortality.</p>	

					<p>3. If West Sussex County Council believe that sustainability should be at the centre of public policy, then waste incineration is not the answer. Through granting approval, the Council would destroy accountability and actually encourage industries to go on making products that lead to problematic toxic wastes. Reduction, reuse and recycling is a far more modern and realistic approach to tackling waste.</p> <p>4. In addition to the above specifics, it goes without saying that the development of the proposed incinerator would significantly impact the local road infrastructure. As a commuter who regularly uses the road network and frequently has to deal with queuing traffic, the addition of numerous heavy HGV's would not only add to the congestion but also create hazardous driving conditions on dangerous B roads. May I add, this will only add to harmful emissions through increased NOx</p> <p>5. Lastly, the above mentioned proposal will also have a detrimental impact on the housing market. As a young professional who is looking to move up the housing ladder, it is extremely worrying and concerning to think that returns on property could be put at risk due to what is a completely inconsiderate proposal by Britaniacrest. The Council would be naive to disregard this point as it will result in a reduction in attractiveness of the Horsham area and thus, a direct impact on local businesses.</p> <p>Regards Siobhan King</p>	
1016	Susan & Janice Watt	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs/Madam,</p> <p>Further to the application no:WSCC/015/18/NH (previously WSCC/062/16/NH... We would like to stress our opposition to the industrial incinerator planned at Wealden Brickworks - for the third time!</p> <p>Our family has long resided in Horsham vehemently reject these plans. Increased road traffic due to lorry loads of waste being transported from considerable distances, smoke stack spewing out pollution causing damage to wildlife & fauna seem to go against everything we were brought up to believe Horsham stood for. As an asthma sufferer I will most likely be forced to move away for the good of my health, something I have never envisaged before. Gone will be Horsham's reputation for being one of the best places in Britain to live, & downward will be the spiral of house prices in the area.</p> <p>I have always considered Horsham to be a garden of Sussex, with rare wildlife only a short distance away from the planned noise, fume, light & visual pollution plant. Pipistrelle bats & rare orchids occupy landscape connecting to Wealden Brickworks, with charming villages & Horsham town centre all will be subject to the dust & smog this site will/would produce.</p> <p>Has capitalism really gone so far as to ignore logic & environment - I thought this type of refuse disposal was now considered to be thought archaic.</p> <p>What worries me greatly is that a true reflection of Horsham residents feelings on this matter will not be shown in this type of response. Sadly most of the people I have spoken to either do not know, or do not believe that Horsham is even considering giving planning permission to this nightmare. I can only say that if you want a true understanding of the horror this possibility emotes from Horsham residents, you should employ people to stand in the town centre with proof that they are not comedians, informing & noting responses to this application.</p> <p>I only hope that this last application will be again denied, & further applications will be banned.</p> <p>Best regards, Susan & Janice Watt.</p>	
1017	Hollie Lewis	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Hi,</p> <p>I would like to strongly object to the Horsham incinerator being built I have listed my reasons below. As a family that moved to Horsham for a good quality of life for my children this is something so disappointing to have to fight against.</p> <p>Key reasons Emissions can cause infertility and cancer Traffic Road quality Damage to wildlife Visual impact on skyline</p> <p>Many thanks Hollie Lewis</p>	
1018	Ian Paine	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I object to the building of this incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>I am concerned about the siting of it and the resulting pollution issues given the area that it will be in</p> <p>I urge the council to consider safer, greener alternatives</p> <p>Ian Paine</p>	
1019	Simon Marchant	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>to : Strategic Planning, WSCC</p> <p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I would like to register my objection to the Planning Application WSCC/015/18 for a Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility by Britaniacrest. I think allowing a company to build and operate this facility would be detrimental to the local inhabitants, the environment and to the surrounding area as a whole for the following reasons:</p> <p>Public Health: The necessity of building a 95m tall chimney makes it obvious the emissions aren't going to be harmless and will in fact spread out over a wide area. There doesn't appear to be any information as to what all the emissions will be or where harmful particles will go. The CO2 emissions alone should be considered unacceptable and as the results of other emissions aren't known, the potential risk is too great.</p> <p>WSCC Waste Local Plan: It would not fulfil the Strategic Objective 5 of the Waste Local Plan as the scale of the incinerator would mean waste would also need to be transported in, as local waste won't be enough to keep it working. This in turn would add significantly more heavy traffic to the area.</p> <p>Strategic Objective 11 of the Waste Local Plan would not be complied with as this application would not protect or enhance the natural environment in anyway whatsoever. It isn't environmentally friendly to be emitting such vast amounts of CO2 plus other unknown substances.</p> <p>Visability: The emission plume could be up to 400m high and the building will be seen over a wide area. The scale is totally out of proportion given its location so close to housing developments</p> <p>Noise and Light Pollution: As it would be working 24/7 the noise, increased traffic and light pollution should not be inflicted on local residents.</p>	

					<p>Recycling: I don't believe incineration of waste is a long term solution to the problem of waste. There is quickly growing public and corporate commitments to reducing the production of plastic waste and increasing the recyclability of what is produced. I therefore think this incinerator will have a detrimental effect on recycling as it will need vast amounts of waste to operate 24/7. There is growing pressure for the Government to push for a moratorium on incinerator facilities as there is already over capacity in this country - in light of this no new applications should be considered.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>Simon Marchant, Horsham</p>	
1020	Darren, Vicky, Thomas, Anna and Tilly Russell	15, Brook Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5FS	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I am writing to object to the planning application for a new Incinerator being located in the North Horsham Area as requested by Britanniacrest under planning application reference WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>As I live closed to the proposed site (15 Brook Road) with my wife and three children, I don't want any of us being affected by the poisonous fumes which will invariably come from burning waste products instead of the waste being properly sorted and recycled where possible. I understand that the rubbish sorting facility you already have in North Horsham does a great job at recycling household waste and I am all for this type of facility. But I must object to burning the waste as this will almost certainly give off unknown pollutants which we know cause short term and long term health issues for human, wildlife and the environment generally.</p> <p>My eldest daughter suffers from Asthma which could be made worse by an increase in pollution from the incinerator around this area. There is also a great nature reserve just over the road (A264) at Warnham which will be near to the proposed site. Not a great location for the incinerator I think you would agree?</p> <p>I am also not in favour of the 2500 houses that you have also granted permission for in the North Horsham area but realise that this was inevitable given that central government are imposing ridiculous targets for new houses to be built in the Horsham area. This too though will be affected by the incinerator so it's not the right place for it anyway!</p> <p>I could go on, but wanted to let you know that our family object and hope that the planning committee (again) rejects the application like you did last time!</p> <p>So what has changed in the new application? What has the proposal got this time that will make the planning committee change its mind? I doubt not much has changed and that this is just another attempt to sweep the problem under the carpet!</p> <p>Best regards</p> <p>Darren, Vicky, Thomas, Anna and Tilly Russell</p>	
1021	Simon Watson	30, Pondtail Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5HS	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Counsellors, would urge that you reject the proposal Wscc/015/18/NH , because there is scientific uncertainty as to the pollution this will cause in North Horsham. Approval would risk the long term health outcomes for vulnerable North Horsham residents (particularly the elderly and those with respiratory illnesses) which I feel is unacceptable given the high population density. Thank you for your attention</p> <p>Simon Watson</p>	
1022	D Griffin	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Smoke n pollution</p> <p>Why would anybody even think this is a good idea so near to Horsham !</p> <p>D griffin</p>	
1023	Susan Ford	., Brushwood Road, Horsham, RH12 4PE	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I would like to put on record that I am not in favour of the incinerator at the site proposed as it is too near to houses. Especially the new development running parallel with the bye pass.</p> <p>The route to the proposed incinerator is through the new housing estate on a road yet to be built. The excess traffic of heavy lorries from a wide area is also unacceptable.</p> <p>You need to rethink this proposal and refuse this incinerator.</p> <p>Susan Ford</p> <p>Brushwood Road</p> <p>Horsham</p>	
1024	Mrs Diane Goddard	23, Buckswood drive, Gossops Green, Crawley, RH11 8HS	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I strongly object to this facility being proposed in Horsham. The area would be blighted by it in many ways. The roads would be trashed, literally. and the existing infrastructure is not OK for it. This proposal would give nothing back to horsham....no energy feed to the grid, no renewable means and no positives atall ! It would be a carbuncle and a noisey one...and a polluting one. It is a purely capital gains plan and should be turned down . The government is currently viewing a paper that is now looking to reduce these type of plants...as the technology is limited and outdated and no longer environmentally recommended....esp this type, which doesnt make or give any energy source back.</p> <p>Please turn this proposal down as once there it will be a very bad deal for all who live within a several miles radius of it ...not just Horsham in its entirety, but very many other habitats and villages too.</p>	
1025	Amanda-Jayne Cunningham	27, Leeds Close, Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9XN	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I'm writing to register my objection to the above planning application regarding the decision of the incinerator to be built and any future plans for incinerators to be built within the local area.</p> <p>This is because of the negative impact on the environment and the wider community. I do not want an incinerator, especially given how many hrs a day they want to operate, the increase in traffic this will cause, the amount of pollution it will create.</p> <p>I thought that the government policy was no new incinerators are to be built and more environmental solutions were being asked for as its been scientifically proven that incinerators cause more harm than good.</p> <p>If this is not the right email to register my objection, then please let me know who I should contact.</p> <p>Many Thanks</p> <p>Amanda Cunningham</p>	
1026	Mrs Liz Goddard	24, Speedwell Way, Horsham, RH12 5WA	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I strongly object to this facility being proposed in Horsham. The area would be blighted by it in many ways. The roads would be trashed, literally. and the existing infrastructure is not OK for it. This proposal would give nothing back to horsham....no energy feed to the grid, no renewable means and no positives atall ! It would be a carbuncle and a noisey one...and a polluting one. It is a purely capital gains plan and should be turned down . The government is currently viewing a paper that is now looking to reduce these type of plants...as the technology is limited and outdated and no longer environmentally recommended....esp this type, which doesnt make or give any energy source back.</p> <p>Please turn this proposal down as once there it will be a very bad deal for all who live within a several miles radius of it ...not just us in Horsham in its entirety, but very many other habitats and villages too.</p>	
1027	Mrs Kerry Hampson	1, The Hollies, Horsham, RH12 4ZN	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>The application does not comply with the National Planning Policy Framework/Horsham District Planning Framework/West Sussex Waste Local Plan on the grounds of:</p> <p>Design, height, size and mass of the proposed buildings</p> <p>Health concerns and emissions</p> <p>In North Horsham we already suffer from the effects of emissions from this area, especially on days when climate and weather</p>	

					conditions mean that emissions are trapped closer to the ground - for example on cold days there is often a noticeable acrid odour over the area. I have already raised concerns about this with HDC who monitor air quality. This development would significantly exacerbate this problem, which is unacceptable for the residents of the area.	
1028	Mrs Paula Pelham	Smithsbarn Farm, 3, Comptons Lane, Horsham, RH13 5NN	Object	03-MAY-2018	I object to the building of this incinerator. I have concerns over health issues resulting from living nearby to these sorts of places. Horsham does not need this & does not want it. No one wants it. Please do not build this. I have young children & moved here from London to give them a healthier & better life. Horsham is a family oriented town & to put our children at risk is not acceptable.	
1029	Mr Greg Roffe	14, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I am objecting to the proposed plan as I believe the height and scale of the building is inappropriate to its rural setting and will have an adverse effect on the character of Horsham. The building and operation will cause light and noise pollution for the surrounding areas.</p> <p>The emission of harmful pollutants poses an unacceptable risk and the emissions from the incinerator and proposed means of transport is not compatible with the aim to reduce the County's carbon footprint. . This proposal is not in accordance with the West Sussex Waste Plan.</p> <p>If this site is not maintained to the highest standards and any fault arises with the filtration system or such it could have major long-term consequences for the health of all in Horsham for many years to come. I refer to the case in Grignon near Albertville in the French Alps.</p> <p>The proposal/plan says it will generate power from the incinerators and I see no evidence that this will benefit Horsham residents.</p> <p>Other countries that have built incinerators that are linked to a heating matrix need to be feed with rubbish and inevitably need to import this. We could potentially see a major increase in heavy goods vehicles delivering the rubbish putting extra burden on our roads.</p> <p>The current imitative is to use less, re-use and recycle across the world. As responsible individuals we will reduce the amount of rubbish being generated making the need for incinerators redundant.</p>	
1030	Mrs Lynda Ross	10, Ryders Way, Horsham, RH12 5QT	Object	03-MAY-2018	I am appalled by even the suggestion that an incernator for Horsham is being considered. I thought that this area was a 'burn free zone!' The natural beauty of this town is being continually stripped. This area of Horsham is already marred by an over sized rubbish heap and aircraft fuel wastage soon there will be no green belt area of beauty. This structure threatens to mar the North Horsham skyline with its excessive height and size. I have concern for the added degree of air and light pollution and toxins that this structure will produce. My son already has disabilities to contend with so my concern is the further impact to his and others health from the emissons of this structure. Please don't let Horsham be terrorised by this proposed monstrosity.	
1031	Mrs Janice Trowbridge	14, Bullfinch close, Horsham, Rh125hf	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the incinerator that is due to be built in Horsham. I have my own child and I childmind. I do not feel that it is healthy to have this near to where we live. I understand the need to recycle more and feel this is something we need to promote but I do not agree that we need an incinerator this near to such a built up area, especially with all the new houses planned nearby.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with this planned proposal of the incinerator near to my home.</p>	
1032	Mr Stephen Beard	23, Beeches Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AB	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>We object to this proposal based on health and safety issues for future generations and indeed for all effected people who would with out doubt be at risk of cancer.</p> <p>Further more the infrastructure in the Horsham area generally cannot cope safely at present, so increasing this problem by adding heavy industrial vehicles would be 100% un-exceptable and would increase the dangers already present.</p> <p>Clearly this un-necessary proposal will have a severe impact on the beautiful West Sussex countryside and wild life.</p>	
1033	Mrs Anne Eames	82, Farhalls Crescent, Horsham, RH12 4BZ	Object	03-MAY-2018	I think this will be the ruination of a lovely market town. If this goes ahead O will look to move away after 20 years in the area and will advise my daughters and grandchildren to do the same. This area will become a very grey and polluted spot.	
1034	Mr Alan Goddard	24, Speedwell Way, Horsham, RH12 5WA	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I strongly object to this facility being proposed in Horsham. The area would be blighted by it in many ways. The roads would be trashed, literally. and the existing infrastructure is not OK for it. This proposal would give nothing back to horsham....no energy feed to the grid, no renewable means and no positives atall ! It would be a carbundle and a noisey one...and a polluting one. It is a purely capital gains plan and should be turned down . The government is currently viewing a paper that is now looking to reduce these type of plants...as the technology is limited and outdated and no longer environmentally recommended....esp this type, which doesnt make or give any energy source back.</p> <p>PLEASE turn this proposal down as once there it will be a very bad deal for all of us who live within a few miles radius of it ...not just Horsham in its entirety, but very many rural habitats and villages too.</p>	
1035	Mrs Christine Huckstepp	3, Chennells Way, Horsham, RH12 5TW	Object	03-MAY-2018	I would like to object to the proposed incinerator (North Horsham). I feel it is potentially dangerous to the environment and to people living nearby. I feel it would be better placed away from urban areas.	
1036	Mr Lee Martin	Denne Horse, 23, Denne Road, Horsham, RH12 1JF	Object	03-MAY-2018	Spilling an area of natural beauty and environmentally unsound. Other tried and tested alternatives that would be much more beneficial and green.	
1037	Mr Brett Stokes	11, Croft Way, Horsham, RH12 2AS	Object	03-MAY-2018	I object to the size and scale of the incinerator and will be unsightly due to the massive chimney they need , it will also be polluting Horsham I do not want my Children to grow up in Horsham with the potential risks that the pollution from this incinerator will be flowing into the air and onto our Land. We will also have to deal with the odour on the waste being burnt that will be arriving from the entire South Region, this is also a burden on our roads and traffic from the increase in trucks dumping waste	
1038	Mrs Daisy McLachlan	2, Potters Croft, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 5LR	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Hello,</p> <p>I am very concerned that the incinerator proposed for Horsham will negatively affect air quality in the area, particularly for my seven year old son who is susceptible to chest infections.</p> <p>I am far from convinced by the assurances given by the developer and increasingly angry that this is being allowed to progress, especially in an essentially residential area where there will be increased noise & traffic problems - it is so short sighted!</p> <p>We don't need an industrial incinerator giving off fumes 24/7 - it sends out completely the wrong message about protecting our environment and recycling etc. The council should be investing in more sustainable solutions to waste management.</p> <p>Please register my objection to the proposal and say no to the incinerator.</p> <p>Best wishes,</p> <p>Daisy McLachlan (Mrs)</p>	
1039	Ms J Baker	9, Wells Croft, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3GX	Object	03-MAY-2018	Incineration does not fit with the idea of a circular economy - we should be looking to reuse as much of our waste as possible and incineration has been shown to reduce rates of recycling. It is proposed that waste will be brought in from surrounding counties which goes against West Sussex County Council's objective of recycling waste as close to origin as possible. Furthermore, the shipping of waste to the incinerator and the fumes associated with burning the waste will seriously impact the level of air pollution in the area which will have an impact on the health of local residents.	
1040	Miss Shannon Brown	108, Shelley Drive, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, RH12 3NT	Object	03-MAY-2018	-	
1041	Mrs Joanne Bull	Fountains, 0, Richmond Road, Horsham, RH12 2EG	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I object for the following reasons:</p> <p>Pollution by road traffic to the site: It's a commercial incinerator which means that waste will have to be driven to the site, causing</p>	

					<p>more congestion and pollution to take it there.</p> <p>Visual impact: It will tower above the landscape and treeline - the proverbial "blot on the landscape". Due to the proximity to Gatwick the tower will have to have a flashing beacon light all night.</p> <p>Emissions: From transport to and from the site. Plus the emissions from the chimney. Over 2000 homes, businesses and a secondary school (probably primary and nursery schools) are going to be built under the path, surely leading to more pollution for those residents and businesses. People may chose not to live there - businesses may chose not to locate there because of the fear of the toxicity.</p>	
1042	Mrs Georgina Byrne	6, Fieldend, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4GY	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I completely object to the proposed development for the following reasons and urge the council to listen to the objections and concerned raised by the residents of Horsham and the surrounding area:</p> <p>This development will have a completely unacceptable impact on every aspect of Horsham and the surrounding area. It will be seen from miles around and will have nothing but a detrimental impact on Horsham, Crawley and surrounding rural communities.</p> <p>More importantly, a more comprehensive assessment of the impact of this proposal on public health must be undertaken. The chimney will be emitting noxious emissions (not limited to mercury, dioxins...) over Horsham and the surrounding areas. A recent study has shown the high correlation of living adjacent to such an incinerator and the correlated decline in female fertility. Have the long term implications for future generations really been seriously and carefully analysed and considered?? How can this be deemed to be acceptable to public health?</p> <p>Furthermore, there are a number of schools and nurseries that will be in the direct area over which the plume will blow, including: Holbrook School, All Saints School, St Robert Southwell School, Busy Bees Nursery and Little Barn Owls Nursery. Not to mention the thousands of homes to be built as part of the North of Horsham Development - I do not believe these two developments have been considered in relation to one another.</p> <p>WSCC have shown a 2% increase in recycling. Burning waste may hold the council in long term contracts to keep the incinerator burning. However, it is inevitable that recycling will drop, which is what has been the case in London. The Government is already beginning to consider compelling evidence that there is overcapacity of waste incineration in the UK. The experience of the EU is that they have to import waste in order to feed their incinerators and there is a correlation between increased incineration and decreased recycling. How will this be sufficiently controlled and monitored??</p> <p>In summary, I urge you to seriously consider the long term, irreversible impacts on the environment and on the people of Horsham and the surrounding areas, as well as the long term viability of the incinerator. I urge you to listen to the concerns raised by everyone affected by the proposal - please do not let the people of Horsham and surrounding areas down.</p>	
1043	Mr Rudi Byrne	6, Fieldend, Horsham, RH12 4GY	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I strongly object to the proposal to build an incinerator. It is a shot-sighted development that will have a long term impact on both the environment and the health and wellbeing of the people of Horsham and surrounding areas. The proposed incinerator is to be built in an essentially residential area and also next door to the North of Horsham development that was approved last year. Although waste needs to be disposed of, the Council should be encouraging long term, more sustainable solutions to waste management.</p>	
1044	Mrs Alison Callaghan	14, Cook Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5GG	Object	03-MAY-2018	-	
1045	Mrs Valerie Craen	54 Kempshott Road, 54, Kempshott Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2EY	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>We should be investing in more recycling not an incinerator</p> <p>It is good close to homes and schools.</p>	
1046	Mr Geoff Dennison	10, Burford Road, Horsham, RH13 5SP	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>This is not the solution. Norway has the technology to deal with this waste without harming the atmosphere.</p>	
1047	Mrs Rebecca Dennison	10, Burford Road, Horsham, RH13 5SP	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I object to these plans, primarily on the grounds of a documented health risk and deterioration in air quality, and also on the grounds of visual impact.</p>	
1048	Mrs Kathryn Dolan	11, Petworth Drive, Horsham, RH12 5JH	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Building this chimney so near a residential area is irresponsible. Many people, including many children will have their quality of life reduced and more importantly, their health affected by the pollution from this incinerator. The residents of north Horsham already have to deal with methane gas from the refuse tip and whilst that is really unpleasant, this is more dangerous in addition to very unpleasant. There is also already a congestion issue on the A264 at rush hour with queues to join the road stretching back into North Horsham. Adding traffic travelling to the incinerator will only make that, and the pollution worse. Please consider our health, our children's health and our quality of life and instead select a site further from a residential area or better not at all - burning refuse isn't proven as better for our environment and their is evidence to the contrary.</p>	
1049	Mr Alexander Edgal	Upper Chickens, Dorking Road, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SA	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>1. The incinerator is contrary to WSCC Waste Local Plan as transfer, recycling and treatment facilities should be as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale indicates a far wider area beyond will be sought, especially as increased recycling regulations will mean a reduced supply of local waste to fuel the incinerator.</p> <p>2.This will result in a significant increase in HGV traffic, in and around Horsham's already congested road network.</p> <p>3.There is already a well-documented surplus incinerator capacity in mainland Northern Europe, with potential decommissioning of incinerators so there is no need to build a new one in Horsham. Planning permission was granted for an incinerator in Ford, West Sussex in 2014, (Which we understand has not yet been built) so again there is no need for another incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>4. Emission levels will be detrimental to the local community and countryside. There is no long term understanding of the fumes(toxic) impact to communities, countryside, wildlife and farming. The location is too close to the local conservation area.</p> <p>5. With Gatwick airport seeking a 2nd runway (recent consideration of using its emergency runway to increase capacity) there is an unknown impact on the spread of emissions with aircraft vortex.</p> <p>6. As 1 tonne of waste burnt gives off one tonne of CO2 it makes no sense to generate electricity so inefficiently when we are trying to decarbonise our energy supplies.</p>	
1050	Mrs Claire Edgal	Upper Chickens, Dorking Road, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SA	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>1. The incinerator is contrary to WSCC Waste Local Plan as transfer, recycling and treatment facilities should be as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale indicates a far wider area beyond will be sought, especially as increased recycling regulations will mean a reduced supply of local waste to fuel the incinerator.</p> <p>2.This will result in a significant increase in HGV traffic, in and around Horsham's already congested road network.</p> <p>3.There is already a well-documented surplus incinerator capacity in mainland Northern Europe, with potential decommissioning of incinerators so there is no need to build a new one in Horsham. Planning permission was granted for an incinerator in Ford, West Sussex in 2014, (Which we understand has not yet been built) so again there is no need for another incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>4. Emission levels will be detrimental to the local community and countryside. There is no long term understanding of the fumes(toxic) impact to communities, countryside, wildlife and farming. The location is too close to the local conservation area.</p> <p>5. With Gatwick airport seeking a 2nd runway (recent consideration of using its emergency runway to increase capacity) there is an unknown impact on the spread of emissions with aircraft vortex.</p>	

					6. As 1 tonne of waste burnt gives off one tonne of CO2 it makes no sense to generate electricity so inefficiently when we are trying to decarbonise our energy supplies.	
1051	Ms Hudson Pawsey	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I would like to object to the proposed incinerator in Horsham. Increased levels of CO2 in the atmosphere damaging our health and contributing to global warming. The air surrounding Horsham will be heavily polluted.</p> <p>Thank you Ms Hudson Pawsey</p>	
1052	Gareth Evans	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>FAO WSCC,</p> <p>No incinerator in Horsham please.</p> <p>You've already done your best to ruin Horsham with all the new housing and continue to make things worse with under-investment in road repairs. Not to mention altering our refuse collections to an unsanitary rotation.</p> <p>So best you concentrate on what you have in hand and leave our environment alone.</p> <p>Gareth Evans.</p>	
1053	Mr Neil Prodger	20, Downsview Road, Horsham, RH12 4PF	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Please record this email as my objection to the planned incinerator in Horsham, reference: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>My objections include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Potential health risks associated to particulate air pollution for short term exposure. 2) Long term health risks to air pollution particularly to children that live in the area 3) Increased lorry traffic to the area which is already becoming congested and with road maintenance at an all time low the condition of roads are unacceptable even on many major roads and this will only get worse 4) An aesthetic eye sore on the horizon. Horsham residents enjoy the rural feel of the area. This has been encroached on with huge housing estates in recent years and now a huge industrial eyesore is not welcome <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Neil Prodger</p>	
1054	Mr John Deszberg	2, Warnham Court, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QF	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>RE: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I strongly object to the proposal for Britaniacrest to build an incinerator at the Langhurst Wood Road site for the following reasons:</p> <p>Increased pollution, toxic emissions and increased CO2 levels</p> <p>Surrey County Council strictly prevent anything unsightly being built in their Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty. West Sussex, including the area east of the A24 and north of the A264, has some of the most beautiful countryside in England, yet NOTHING has been done to ensure it remains so. The incinerator would be a huge, ugly, polluting blot on our beautiful landscape</p> <p>The proposal is being made by a private developer purely for PROFIT, with no consideration for local residents and neighbourhoods</p> <p>There are already enough incinerators in Sussex to deal with plastic waste, for example, the one at Newhaven, just 40 minutes away. Greater emphasis MUST be placed by the Government on the reduced use of plastics, and better ways of recycling this necessary evil.</p> <p>Roads around the proposed site would not be able to withstand the continuous volume of huge Britaniacrest lorries - narrow country road and the single carriageway part of the A24. There is not enough money to repair potholes - who would foot the bill for more major damage to our roads?</p> <p>Krystyna Deszberg</p>	
1055	Karen Symes	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I am against the incinerator as I do not believe that it the answer to managing waste. Commercial facilities like this do not discourage the use of plastics. I am concerned about the increase of lorries and pollution.</p> <p>Karen Symes</p>	
1056	Emma .	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I would like to inform you of my objection to your plans to build a new incinerator in Horsham. As you know, many have opposed this plan alongside myself, including businesses and members of the general public. I believe that the incinerator will be of detriment to the people and development of Horsham, to the environment of the area and to the overall economic landscape of the town. I also think it will be of consequence should the council attempt to push this through without the backing of the public, as councils have done throughout the UK.</p> <p>Projects such as this that are created and completed without public backing have a greater consequence than simply ruffling feathers. They create longstanding distrust between communities and the people that have the power to make a change for good: councils. I have seen this in my home town of Preston, in Scarborough, in Leeds. People want to believe that their elected councillors have their interests at heart and want to change their areas for the better, however projects like this can cause doubt and put tension on those beliefs.</p> <p>Considering the environmental impact, I think building a new incinerator sends the wrong message. We are supposed to be working towards a more sustainable future, one where burning waste, even for fuel, is a thing of the past. Rather than putting money into destruction, perhaps invest in a new recycling scheme to educate the public and incentivise them to dispose of waste more carefully so that an incinerator becomes unnecessary. Building things from a past we want to move forward from will stunt growth, and won't help to move towards a more positive, environmentally friendly world.</p> <p>Finally, the council is the voice of the people. You are democratically elected as representative of what the people want, therefore, when signing up to that, you are beholden to the people. If the people do not want the incinerator, it should be a fairly simple case of not giving it to them.</p> <p>I hope that you will reconsider your decision to build, or at the very least, be transparent about the actions you wish to take.</p> <p>Best wishes, Emma, a concerned member of the public.</p>	
1057	B J W Howell	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs!</p> <p>I have grave concerns about the health of my children and my grandchildren should you allow this incinerator to be built.</p> <p>There is no conclusive evidence showing that the levels of toxins produced and the dioxin emissions can be considered 100% safe for adults, let alone my children and especially my grandchildren who would be the most vulnerable to the risk of cancer and any other side effects from long-term exposure.</p> <p>You must turn this application down.</p>	

					<p>In the future, should any harm come to the residents of Horsham, adult or child, you will be liable because you have been warned of the grave consequences of incineration and the necessity to reject the application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>B J W Howell</p>	
1058	Mr & Mrs Colins	6, Clarence Road, Horsham, RH13 5SQ	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I object to the incinerator plans due to the effect it may have to the environment and to our lives and our children's lives</p> <p>Mr & Mrs Collins</p>	
1059	Mr & Mrs Julian Martin & Family	5, Avebury Close, Horsham, RH12 5JY	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>We are most concerned regarding the siting of the proposed incinerator in Horsham, & would suggest that this be built elsewhere.</p> <p>The location is most inappropriate when one bears in mind the planned North Horsham development of schools, commercial, residential & hospital. Surely there must be a more appropriate site which will not effect the residents.</p> <p>The environmental issues have obviously not been considered despite the height of the flue - where does the Council envisaged the fumes & gases will go - not up but down.</p> <p>We are strongly against the incinerator & suggest there are more appropriate locations.</p> <p>We do not want Horsham & its environs polluted.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Mr & Mrs Julian Martin & family</p>	
1060	Sally Peters	53, Sycamore Avenue, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4TP	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I wish to object to the planning of the Horsham Incinerator. I am a resident of Horsham.</p> <p>Sally Peters</p>	
1061	Dr Denys Vaughan	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I object to the plans for an incinerator on health grounds and also for the increased traffic on inadequate roads.</p> <p>Dr Denys Vaughan</p>	
1062	Charlotte Bannister	114, Farhalls Crescent, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4BY	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Good Afternoon,</p> <p>I am writing to object to the planning application for the new incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>As a new resident to Horsham last year and having moved here due to its lovely feel and surroundings, I feel that this will be very detrimental to the environment, will add enormous pressure to the roads and will ruin the feel of what is currently a lovely family friendly town. I also have many concerns as to the health impact this will have on my children along with the visual impact of the incinerator itself.</p> <p>I cannot believe that there will be any benefits to having the incinerator in Horsham and urge you to reject this proposed application.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p> <p>Charlotte</p>	
1063	Jill & Stephen Moore	15, Wagtail Close, Horsham	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>We are writing to formally object to the proposal by Britaniacrest to build an incinerator in North Horsham.</p> <p>We are gravely concerned for the health impact from the noxious and potentially carcinogenic exhaust emissions from such a facility in a such a densely populated area with further residential developments planned. This issue has been identified by the WHO with respect to inappropriate areas to site waste incinerators. It is misleading to focus on CO2 levels, as has been demonstrated recently with the diesel emissions debate with the demonization of diesel fuel despite its lower CO2 impact on the environment.</p> <p>A more focussed drive on reduced use of plastics and increased recycling and re-use should be the way forward, which if championed would negate the perceived need for such a facility.</p> <p>Jill and Stephen Moore</p>	
1064	Sue Cockley	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Please accept this as my objection to the above going ahead in my local area</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Sue Cockley</p>	
1065	Laura Ashton	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>To Whom it May Concern,</p> <p>Along with the other residents of Horsham, my family and I also object to the plans to build an incinerator North of Horsham, I am deeply concerned about the harmful emissions from the incineration processes on site.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Laura Ashton</p>	
1066	Laura Ashton	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>To Whom it May Concern</p> <p>Please take this email as an objection to the proposed plans to build an incinerator in North Horsham.</p> <p>There is a great deal of talk these days about Blue Planet. We all know that we need to REDUCE the amount of waste we produce and RECYCLE more. Here in West Sussex we have supported this initiative for many years, which is why we rejected previous plans to build an incinerator and built an MBT plant instead (years ahead of the EU conclusion that this was preferable technology to incineration).</p> <p>The companies who run Waste from Energy facilities tell us that they are part of the circular waste economy towards which we are working. Once burnt, the items they incinerate are gone & FOREVER.</p> <p>They tell us they support recycling. Incineration is shown to reduce recycling, which is one of the reasons the EU is reconsidering its position on Energy from Waste (incineration)</p> <p>They tell us the new technology burns cleaner and only tiny particles are emitted. Fine particulate matter (PM2.5) is associated in many studies with cancer. Add this to the worrisome news that in the past incinerators were generally smaller, burning less waste, so the amount of toxins produced is often roughly the same.</p> <p>They tell us that they would not be permitted to build these facilities if they weren't safe. Currently the 'safe' level for dioxin emissions is based on limited studies that have shown the level at which there is no obvious and immediate harm to fully grown adults. What about the long-term impacts to health? What about our children? We object to this going ahead on this basis.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Laura Ashton</p>	

1067	Catherine Draisey	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>In reference to planning application WSCC/015/18/NH, I would like to inform you that I strongly object to this application, not only due to the potential health impact (which is my primary concern as a mum of two young children) but also due to the detrimental effect it will have on the local landscape.</p> <p>I'm sure you are aware of Little Barn Owls Day Nursery and Farm School which isn't too far from this site. My youngest currently attends there, and while he'll be gone by the time the site is complete, this doesn't lower my objection to the site. These children play outside on a daily basis, as they should, learning in the most wonderful ways. I have serious concerns about the air quality should this incinerator go ahead, and therefore the health risks for these children. There is insufficient evidence to show there are no long term health risks, and young children in particular are a big worry. I also live in North Horsham so these issues are relevant for my home life as well as my children's school.</p> <p>The negative implications of this application are enormous for myself, my family and all local residents, so I re-iterate my point that I strongly object to this planning application.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>Catherine Draisey</p>	
1068	Synva Midtboe	3, Longhurst Avenue, Horsham, RH12 1BH	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I am writing this email to object to the suggested construction of the industrial incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>We recently moved out of London with our two young children in order to avoid pollution and bad air quality. The suggestion of the incinerator is making me very concerned of the health and well being of my children, who are currently in Warnham primary school and at a nursery on Rusper road, both of which will be severely impacted by the fumes from the incinerator.</p> <p>It is very upsetting to hear that the emissions created by the incinerator can cause infertility and cancer. This fact alone is enough for me to consider moving from the area to somewhere the air will be safe to breathe.</p> <p>In addition to this there is also the problems of increased traffic, road quality being impacted by the increase of HGVs in the area, the visual impact on our beautiful countryside as well as the damage to the wildlife.</p> <p>Please do not accept the application for the construction of the incinerator. There is a reason the application was turned down last time. Please make Horsham a safe place to live.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>Synva Midtboe</p>	
1069	Francois van Schalkwyk	3, Longhurst Avenue, Horsham, RH12 1BH	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>> I am writing this email to object to the suggested construction of the industrial incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>></p> <p>> We recently moved out of London with our two young children in order to avoid pollution and bad air quality. The suggestion of the incinerator is making me very concerned of the health and well being of my children, who are currently in Warnham primary school and at a nursery on Rusper road, both of which will be severely impacted by the fumes from the incinerator.</p> <p>></p> <p>> It is very upsetting to hear that the emissions created by the incinerator can cause infertility and cancer. This fact alone is enough for me to consider moving from the area to somewhere the air will be safe to breathe.</p> <p>> In addition to this there is also the problems of increased traffic, road quality being impacted by the increase of HGVs in the area, the visual impact on our beautiful countryside as well as the damage to the wildlife.</p> <p>></p> <p>> Please do not accept the application for the construction of the incinerator. There is a reason the application was turned down last time. Please make Horsham a safe place to live.</p> <p>></p> <p>> Kind regards,</p> <p>></p> <p>> Francois van Schalkwyk</p>	
1070	Lucas van Schalkwyk	3, Longhurst Avenue, Horsham, RH12 1BH	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>> I am writing this email to object to the suggested construction of the industrial incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>></p> <p>> We recently moved out of London with our two young children in order to avoid pollution and bad air quality. The suggestion of the incinerator is making me very concerned of the health and well being of my children, who are currently in Warnham primary school and at a nursery on Rusper road, both of which will be severely impacted by the fumes from the incinerator.</p> <p>></p> <p>> It is very upsetting to hear that the emissions created by the incinerator can cause infertility and cancer. This fact alone is enough for me to consider moving from the area to somewhere the air will be safe to breathe.</p> <p>> In addition to this there is also the problems of increased traffic, road quality being impacted by the increase of HGVs in the area, the visual impact on our beautiful countryside as well as the damage to the wildlife.</p> <p>></p> <p>> Please do not accept the application for the construction of the incinerator. There is a reason the application was turned down last time. Please make Horsham a safe place to live.</p> <p>></p> <p>> Kind regards,</p> <p>></p> <p>> Lucas van Schalkwyk</p>	
1071	Janice Simpson	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I object to the planned incineration in North Horsham.</p> <p>There are much better, more environmentally friendly ways to deal with waste. This is a very short sighted approach and I cannot see how this can possibly be considered in such a highly populated area.</p> <p>This is a very affluent area which will be ruined by such a monstrous plan.</p> <p>Janice Simpson</p>	
1072	Elizabeth Vaughan	4, Gordon Road, Horsham, RH12 2EF	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Ref: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I wish to object to the private developer's proposed incinerator at Horsham as unsuitable in a residential district. I also object to the proposed incinerator receiving rubbish from out of county - meaning heavy goods vehicles on a narrow lane. I hope the county planners will reject this application.</p> <p>Elizabeth Vaughan</p>	
1073	Eileen M Burrows	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I object to an industrial incinerator on our doorstep, waste will be shipped in from far and wide to this site and this will increase traffic and noise.</p> <p>Th site will be operating day and night so this means even more pollution from chimney stack.</p> <p>No incinerator 4 Horsham</p>	
1074	Rachel Hardy	13, Streetfield Road, Slinfold, RH13 0SE	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Hi,</p> <p>I, Rachel Hardy, of 13 Streetfield Road, Slinfold, RH13 0SE object to the plans to install the incinerator!</p>	

					<p>You should be investing in the recycling more part and getting residents to follow, a food waste collection.. the answer is not to incinerate waste.</p> <p>We are killing our world and this is not the solution.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Rachel Hardy</p>	
1075	Jenny Crocker	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I reject the plans to build an incinerator.</p> <p>Jenny Crocker</p>	
1076	Martyn Dixon	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I would like to register my objection to the above planning application.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>Martyn Dixon</p>	
1077	Este Luanne Dixon	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Hi,</p> <p>I would please like to register my objection to the above planning application.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Este Luanne Dixon</p>	
1078	Bianca Wilson	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Hi there</p> <p>Please accept this email as formal objection to the incinerator! We don't want it in our town so please take it elsewhere! Planning application for an industrial incinerator known as a Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure ¿ planning ref: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Location? Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>View details at www.westsussex.gov.uk/planning</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Bianca</p>	
1079	Claire Everitt	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Hello,</p> <p>I wish to object to the above planning application for the following reasons.</p> <p>Harmful emissions can cause infertility and cancer Increase in traffic Road quality Damage to wildlife Visual impact on skyline</p> <p>Best regards</p> <p>Claire Everitt</p>	
1080	Anna Kane Hutchinson	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>Please register my objection to the planning application for an incinerator in Langhurstwood Road in Horsham.</p> <p>The pollution is a great concern, and in my view it will affect the house prices in the area.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>Anna Kane Hutchinson</p>	
1081	Heather Rose & Family	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Hello, Heather and family would like to object to the planned incinerator in Horsham Ref no. WSCC/015/18/NH</p>	
1082	Chris Drinkall	10, White Horse Road, Horsham, RH12 4UL	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I would like to raise my objection to the building of an incinerator within Horsham. I have concerns over the level of pollution produced by the incinerator and also the additional traffic caused by it.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Chris Drinkall</p>	
1083	Mr Daniel Smith	6, Cottingham Avenue, Horsham, RH12 5HU	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I would like to object to the proposed Britaniacrest Incinerator that is planned to be built in North Horsham.</p> <p>Despite the clear eyesore this will create on an area on outstanding natural beauty, the health risks and pollution this will cause is catastrophic. Many people are struggling with air pollution levels already, yet the addition of an incinerator which will not guarentee all particulates and pollution will be stopped does not place the wealthfare and safety of the population first.</p> <p>Traffic is already overwhelming on the roads, as well as the World Health Organisation advising no waste Incinerators should be built near populated areas. Recycling is the way forward as shown through new government policies , so where does burning waste and causing additional pollution help?</p> <p>I would ask you refuse this planning application and all future applications fpr a waste Incinerator, not only to ensure we keep our beautiful landscape pristine but also to ensure we have a pollution free area that is safe for us and future generations to enjoy free from incinerators.</p> <p>Sincerely</p> <p>I would ask you</p>	
1084	Jo Ball	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>This project is a travesty not only will it reduce recycling rates locally this will billow toxic fumes into our air these fumes are proven to cause asthma and have demonstrable links to infertility in girls. Not only is this a personal tragedy for each of these girls but it will add untold financial burden on our nhs and the nations future health.</p> <p>This will also damage our roads and cause congestion¿s due to heavy good vehicles leading to roads in disrepair and greater financial burden on our council for repairs</p> <p>In Europe these incinerators are being decommissioned due to lack of rubbish available to burn as they need to be fed 24/7! How ridiculous of us to think that this is a viable solution for our rubbish</p> <p>Also, the now proven increased risk of cancer.</p> <p>I cannot express the extent to which I object to this outrageous project</p>	

					Best Jo ball	
1085	Mrs Linda Willsher	Heath Way, Horsham	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I would like to add my objection to the proposal to build an incinerator in the close vicinity to Horsham and surrounding villages. It is the wrong site, would cause air quality to deteriorate, put more traffic on local roads causing more pollution. I don't believe lorries will just deliver local waste but eventually waste from other areas would be brought in. Local people live here to enjoy the environment. Cannot believe the choice of site is so close to populated areas. I am so disgusted at this proposal. Stop immediately!</p> <p>Lin Willsher</p>	
1086	Dr Briony Murrie	., Newdigate, Dorking	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir/ Madam,</p> <p>I wish to register my objection to the above planning application for the north Horsham waste incinerator. I have major concerns about the health implication on the local community in particular the children. Studies demonstrate emissions to cause infertility and cancer. My two children attend a nursery in Horsham.</p> <p>In addition I am concerned about the level of social and environmental damage likely to be caused by the operation and maintenance of this facility as well as damage to the beautiful West Sussex countryside and wildlife.</p> <p>Yours faithfully Dr Briony Murrie</p>	
1087	Tim Pollen	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I am emailing as a resident of Tennyson Close in Horsham to register my objection to the planned Incinerator that is proposed to be built in the North Horsham area.</p> <p>I am particularly in objection to this plan given the Horsham area has only recently implemented a new refuse collection scheme that whilst I am sure is related to cost savings, it should move residents to the need to recycle more. To plough ahead with the plan for an incinerator suggest that the recycling scheme is considered a failure before it has even been given a chance to increase recycling rates.</p> <p>I also have 2 young children that will grow up within the area of the incinerator and I have seen no information that allays my concerns and the concerns of other residents that this facility will not adversely affect their health in years to come.</p> <p>Please do not approve this planing application.</p> <p>Tim Pollen</p>	
1088	Katie Hamnett	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs/Madam,</p> <p>I object to the following: Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Katie Hamnett</p>	
1089	Angela Parry	., Shottermill, Horsham, RH12 5HJ	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I am writing to object most strongly about the proposed incinerator on the outskirts of Horsham. I would strongly urge you to take the time to find an alternative. Having had and recovered (for now) from cancer, the thought of ever more toxins in our air is frightening. Please look at alternatives. I appreciate there is an issue that an incinerator might solve but there are surely other things that can be done instead with significantly less impact on our community.</p> <p>AC Parry</p>	
1090	Richard Park	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Why do we need an incinerator in Horsham, when more emphases should be given to re-cycling!</p> <p>The argument that it produces electricity, is a poor one it will only produce around 19MW which is comparison is less that 4 wind turbines.</p> <p>It will damage the landscape & add pollutants into the atmosphere and send out the message that Horsham is simply a convenient dumping ground for the surrounding counties.</p> <p>It's time the Horsham District & West Sussex County Council listens to it's residents, rather than blindly pursuing their own agendas.</p> <p>Richard Park</p>	
1091	Laura Brooks	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir/madam,</p> <p>I am writing voice my objection to the planning application for an industrial incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>I live in Southwater with my 3 yr old daughter and I am very concerned about the incinerator plans and the impact it could have on my child's health & wellbeing.</p> <p>I trust you will take this objection into consideration when making the decision about the incinerator.</p> <p>I would be grateful if you could please acknowledge this e-mail.</p> <p>Best regards</p> <p>Laura</p>	
1092	Sabrina Gant	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Please accept this email as my objection to the incinerator.</p> <p>In this modern climate of reduction of plastic and disposable goods, Horsham should be leading the way with innovation and promotion of reusable goods. Having an incinerator will not only be an eyesore and health hazard in this family focused district, but it also encourages the use of disposable commercial goods rather than satisfying the appetite for environmentally conscious businesses to reduce abbr reuse.</p> <p>Sabrina Gant</p>	
1093	Clare Coleman	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Planning Office,</p> <p>As a North Horsham resident with two young children, I am writing to object to the incinerator planned on the northern outskirts of Horsham.</p> <p>My concerns are primarily the potential health risks, especially to the younger members of our community, and also the impact on the natural environment, and I have yet to see or hear any solid arguments that can assuage my fears on these matters. I am deeply concerned about the potential for harmful emissions from the facility and about the impact it will have on our local air quality. There is not sufficient information surrounding long-term health issues associated with the incineration of waste and as a mother of two</p>	

					<p>young children I find that very, very worrying.</p> <p>While the health of the local population is my highest concern, I also want our local wildlife and living environment to be protected from the risks associated with such a facility.</p> <p>I believe both local councils and the national Government should be doing much, much more to tackle the issue of waste, both right away and in the longer term - the huge amount of material we as a nation are mindlessly wasting needs to be properly addressed. Surely accommodating the incineration of even greater amounts of waste is not the answer?</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Clare Airey (nee Coleman)</p>	
1094	Mr Peter Reeve	6, Hill Mead, Horsham, RH12 2PU	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Hi hereby submit my objection to the Britanniacrest incinerator application. This development will be an eyesore on the Sussex countryside. It will be detrimental to the local community, bringing creased heavy goods vehicle traffic, on country roads which are not suitable for such large trucks. The environmental impact, in terms of light, noise and air pollution is unacceptable for a location so close to residential communities and local schools.</p> <p>This proposal does not meet county guidelines for the recycling of waste and will do nothing to help our environment. The answer it to increase recycling and reduce waste, not to burn rubbish!</p> <p>I ask the you unanimously refuse this planning application and deny brittaniacrest from make any future planning applications of this sort for this site.</p>	
1095	Michela .	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Hello,</p> <p>It is with deep sadness I hear that the plans for the incenerator in Horsham are still going ahead. This not only ruin the lanscape but it will also cause health concerns for the population. 3 years ago I choose this beautiful town to raise my son as it had everything a family would want to raise their kids but the incenerator will change that. I fully understand that the plastic is becoming an issue but I would fully support a recycling investment rather than incenerator.</p> <p>I hope the people won't need to find a new home elsewhere to escape from dioxin emissions even if they are considered 'safe' by someone! The risks are too high for our children!</p> <p>Thank you for listening</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Michela</p>	
1096	Ms Stephanie Feitz	142, Tanbridge Park, Horsham, RH12 1SU	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Planning Officers,</p> <p>I am writing to voice my strong objections to the incinerator plans.</p> <p>The incinerator willll bring many disadvantages to Horsham - air pollution, increased pressure on already busy roads, an ugly eye sore, harmful impacts on local nature and on sites such as Warnham nature reserve. All of this seems to go strongly against the principals set out in the Horsham District Planning Framework.</p> <p>The issue that I feel most strongly is about the air pollution. Who can tell just how much damage will be done to our children's health by living in the environs of a massive incinerator day in, day out for the whole of their childhood? How will it affect the life of my son who suffers strongly from asthma?</p> <p>Please do not allow this project to go ahead.</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>Stephanie Feitz</p>	
1097	Sarah Stanton	14, Gateford Drive, Horsham, RH12 5FW	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>The proposed incinerator poses a health risk to local residents and wildlife.</p> <p>With all the new build (again, unwanted) taking place in the locality, surely a plan for a reduction in waste and money spent towards more recycling is the way forward.</p> <p>The proposed building will be a complete eyesore, ruining the surrounding countryside.</p> <p>What exactly are the advantages to this proposal, as I can see none whatsoever.</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>Sarah Stanton</p>	
1098	Christian Feitz	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I am writing to state my objection to the incinerator plans.</p> <p>Firstly, I believe this project, if allowed to go ahead, would have a substancial negative impact on air quality and the environment.</p> <p>Secondly, I am also not satisfied that the incineration of waste containing metals is proven to be safe.</p> <p>Thirdly, I am also concerned about the increased traffic it will bring to already busy roads.</p> <p>Regards, Christian Feitz</p>	
1099	Phil Hutchinson	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>Please register my objection to the planning application for an incinerator in Langhurstwood Road in Horsham. I understand this is a second application.</p> <p>The pollution is a great concern and this area is not suitable for this type of activity.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Phil</p>	
1100	Dr Michael Morley	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Planning Office,</p> <p>I've become very concerned about the above application. Having reviewed the submission it appears to me that this proposal is in contravention of all sorts of local planning requirements. This site will produce unacceptable levels of noise, dust, traffic and foul smelling air which will negatively impact people living in Horsham and further afield. Pollutants, including heavy metals are likely to be released into the atmosphere, degrading air quality. The size of this site is totally out of keeping with the surrounding area. This is a terrible proposal and I hope you decline it for the benefit of families living in Horsham and the wider West Sussex area.</p>	

					<p>Look forward to your advices regarding my concerns.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>Dr Michael Morley</p>	
1101	Heather Slatter	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Re: WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Following my previous objection to the Horsham incinerator last year, I strongly object to this on the the same basis. This is not something that should be built so close to a community and will have a hugely negative impact on our future generations.</p> <p>Kind Regards Heather Slatter</p>	
1102	Arabelle Coleman	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear West Sussex Planning,</p> <p>Please consider this email my objection to the planning for an Incinerator in West Sussex country side, my objection is due to increase in pollution which is already a battle we face.</p> <p>Many thanks, Arabelle</p>	
1103	Sarah Le Grove	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>To whom it may concern</p> <p>I wish to strongly object to the prososal to build an incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>The objection is most strongly based upon the effect on the environment and health of those living in Horsham and surrounding areas. Not only will this incinerator cause an increase in the negative effects on the environment due to the bi products of burning waste it will also have a significant impact on the traffic levels surrounding the roads leading to and from the suggested site. The local area has already seen a huge increase in housing throughout Horsham and surrounding villages, causing increased pollution due to increased traffic. This means more cars on roads that already struggle to cope. Add in the large vehicles bringing waste to the proposed facility and the effects of increased traffic will be even more detrimental. Especially to those already suffering from respiratory or cardiac complaints.</p> <p>Surely this is not the best way to deal with the problems of waste disposal, it seems to be in complete contrast to the councils drive to increase recycling. The worlds press is already discussing the need to reduce plastic usage and do more to help the environment, a facility like this would do nothing to promote this and I feel it may discourage people from recycling and actively changing their production of landfill waste!</p> <p>Let¿s not make Horsham a refuge for everyone else¿s rubbish. Let¿s create a Horsham that show¿s the world there is a different and better way to live and create a better future for my children and their future generations.</p> <p>Yours faithfully Sarah Le Grove</p>	
1104	Emma Crouch	Kerves Farmhouse, RH13 6RJ	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I am writing a short email to voice concerns over the proposed incinerator in Horsham. Horsham is a beautiful town and as such has attracted several families to the area to allow children to grow up in a safe environment. Yet planning on developing an incinerator is totally absurd and will have a detrimental effect on our lives, health of our children and overall well being.</p> <p>In a time where the focus is on being environmentally friendly and looking after the blue planet I totally object to the plans. Furthermore even WSCC has encouraged further recycling by changing our waste collection this contrasts the aims of being environmentally friendly.</p> <p>The image of the incinerator will not fit into the landscape and will destroy our countryside and animals/wildlife.</p> <p>Please do everything in your power to not let this development go ahead,</p> <p>Kind Regards Emma Crouch</p>	
1105	Mr Dan Slatter	4, Windmill Place, Billingshurst, RH14 9GT	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I write to STRONGLY OBJECT to this application.</p> <p>I reiterate everything I objected to when permission was first sought for this venture in 2017.</p> <p>The mere idea of building an Incinerator of such proportions and on such a small site so close to the North of Horsham development which has recently been approved is unthinkable. This would loom large over the proposed 2500 new homes, schools, playing fields and all amenities that come with a new neighbourhood, who would choose to live in it?s shadow? The World Health Organisation state that incinerators should NOT be built near populated areas, so why is this even being considered a viable option? This is a semi-rural area with local farm land, where both crops and livestock are grown for human consumption, Warnham Nature reserve is within very close proximity. All of these factors are good reasons why this application should be rejected?</p> <p>If given consent it would give rise to many further potential issues:</p> <p>NOISE:</p> <p>An increased noise level 24/7 365 days of the year from the new plant. As a resident in the immediate area I regularly have to tolerate the drone of fans from the Biffa installation, I do not welcome any increase in noise pollution.</p> <p>Increased traffic noise, more HGV movements during construction and the increased level of staff traffic. Note: That while the increased HGV movements may still be within the existing approved planning, there will still be an actual substantial increase in movements from todays current level.</p> <p>POLLUTION:</p> <p>The emission of greenhouse gases, dioxins and heavy metals for the immediate and surrounding areas.</p> <p>The constant flow of HGV's will disperse harmful diesel fumes to the local area and further afield.</p> <p>Night time light pollution from the chimney needing to be lit 24/7 to warn air traffic. As a resident in close proximity to the proposed site this will undoubtable affect our quality of life! And that of the local wildlife.</p> <p>Highly toxic remains will need to be transported to elsewhere in the country and then put in specialist land fill sites thereby increasing the risk of further pollution for generations to come, the risks of yet unknown.</p> <p>VISUAL IMPACT:</p>	

					<p>In the resubmitted application I note the building has been slightly reduced in size and the colour palette altered, however, it will still remain a 'Blot on the landscape': A chimney of 95 metres tall which I understand as yet the final design has not been decide, how can you approve these application without a final design? Any such construction would be seen for miles around, spoiling the landscape for many. Such an excessive size for this location is completely unacceptable.</p> <p>HEALTH:</p> <p>There are known risks to health linked to emissions from incinerators, including carcinogenesis, fertility problems, neurological and cognitive development in infants as well as immune suppression, also possible links to infant mortality.</p> <p>I urge you to give this the most meticulous investigation and consideration, making the correct decision for the local community and the lovely town that Horsham is today.</p> <p>Given the go ahead this will inevitably reflect in the devaluing of property prices in Horsham, making it a far less desirable place to live and raise a family. Resulting in reduced council tax revenue and ultimately a decline in the whole area.</p> <p>This is not a case of NIMBY, this should not be in anyones back yard! The wrong decision could have serious implications not only for the current population but for generations to come. Our children and grandchildren do not get a say in this but they are the ones that will bear the brunt of any long term health issues that occur.</p> <p>WSCC please listen to the people of Horsham and the surrounding areas.</p>	
1106	Mr Graeme Steward	6, Cook Road, Horsham, RH12 5GG	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Please note my objection to the proposed Horsham incinerator on environmental health grounds.</p> <p>Thanks</p>	
1107	Mrs Rian Steward	6, Cook road, Horsham, RH125GG	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I object to the proposed incinerator with its high risk of health implications and environmental issues.</p>	
1108	Mr Angus Struthers	Leithbourne, 1A, Guildford Road, Horsham, RH12 1LU	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I firmly object to the proposal to develop an incinerator in our town. I have yet to find one person who actually supports these plans. The increase in traffic, road danger, the abhorant visual sight of this huge tower and most importantly the pollution being pumped out over our town surely make this proposal only fit for incineration itself. The arguments against this development have been well made and it now stands to HDC to represent the views of the local population and to protect our children and environment from the damage this business would create.</p>	
1109	Mrs Felicity Tanous	7, Stanford Avenue, Hassocks, BN6 8JL	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I object to the proposed incinerator at Horsham on the following grounds :</p> <p>1.Building an incinerator will conflict with :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- current moves/legislation urgently to reduce plastic- need to recycle more items- need to re-use items- need to refill containers rather than discard and buy new- need for MBT plant instead of incinerator <p>(EU concluded this a preferable technology to incineration)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- need for anaerobic digesters <p>2. Incineration reduces recycling. EU is reconsidering its position on Energy from Waster (ie incineration).</p> <p>3. PM2.5s emitted from incinerators are associated with cancer.</p> <p>4. Dioxin emissions based on only limited studies showing no obvious and immediate harm for adults; have the long-term health impacts been considered?</p> <p>5.Incinerators have to take a massive amount of rubbish/waste to run economically; this reduces the need for more environmentally ways of dealing with waste (as outlined in No.1 above).</p> <p>6.At the new Grundon incinerator no monitoring carried out of PM2.5s, radioactivity or after first year dioxins. (see Dr Dick van Steenis, MB BS, Incinerators. Weapons of Mass Destruction? Summary of Paper to RIBA Conference, 31 January & 2 February 2005).</p> <p>7. Increased mercury exposure at younger age. Mercury opens up the blood/brain and blood/bowel barrier, hypes the immune system and acts on various brain processes in a harmful way. (see Dr Dick van Steenis, MB BS, The Autism & Multiple Sclerosis Process paper to MCS Conference, London, 2&3 September 2003).</p> <p>8. Low T-Lymphocyte levels from high PM2.5 air pollution. Use of hazardous (waste) mixes as fuel in the UK ... in incinerators, etc. ... has vastly increased PM2.5 air pollution. Study near a Belgian incinerator complying with EC directives found almost 90% of boys aged 2 & 9 years suffering from assorted illnesses. (see Dr Dick van Steenis, MB BS, The Autism & Multiple Sclerosis Process paper to MCS Conference, London, 2&3 September 2003)</p> <p>9.1 Incineration will add to air pollution from PM2.5s. 40,000 plus people are dying prematurely yearly from air pollution, mostly transport fumes, and HGVs will be emitting exhaust pollution (including PMs) while transporting rubbish to the incinerator.</p> <p>9.2 The government's Air Quality Plan, July 2017, though found 'seriously flawed' in a recent court ruling, led to a judgment requiring local authorities to produce policies to reduce air pollution, eg charging Clean Air Zones (CAZ).</p> <p>In view of the above grounds, I trust the Planning Committee will refuse this application.</p>	
1110	Mrs Janice Trowbridge	14, Bullfinch close, Horsham, Rh125hf	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the incinerator that is due to be built in Horsham. I have my own child and I childmind. I do not feel that it is healthy to have this near to where we live. I understand the need to recycle more and feel this is something we need to promote but I do not agree that we need an incinerator this near to such a built up area, especially with all the new houses planned nearby.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with this planned proposal of the incinerator near to my home.</p>	
1111	Mr James Unsworth	Dragons, New Road, Southwater, Horsham, RH13 9AU	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I object in the strongest possible terms to the proposed waste incinerator planned for North Horsham. This will have a hugely negative impact on air quality within miles of the site's locus (both in terms of smell and toxicity), and will be a blight on the landscape. Horsham's surrounding areas are prized by many for their natural scenery and walks; the suggestion that a 99m-tall chimney be built should not have reached this stage of the planning process.</p> <p>I fully support the need to increase public awareness of the impacts of not recycling; but this is not the way to do it. Please treat the people of Horsham (and surrounds) with more respect.</p> <p>Yours, James Unsworth</p>	
1112	Ms Raisa Vildanova	Morningside, 16, Rookwood Park, Horsham, West Sussex, RH121UB	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I object to this application on the grounds of pollution, increased road traffic and less pleasant environment.</p>	
1113	Ms Vivien Willatt	121, Cook Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5GL	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>While I appreciate that waste disposal and recycling need to be at the top of environmental planning and policy, I feel I have to object to the siting of the proposed new incineration complex at Wealden Brickworks. It seems far too close to residential areas, particularly the proposed new North Heath development North of the A264, to be deemed safe. Our area already has a high pollution level from aircraft traffic out of Gatwick, and this seems to be needlessly adding to it. I am a severe asthmatic, already suffering asthma attacks requiring hospitalization, some of which are exacerbated by pollution. I do not feel there is enough evidence, proving beyond doubt, that this planned incinerator will not increase airborne pollution and therefore pose health risks to the local community. If it can't be certified 100% safe, it has no business being installed near houses/schools/communities. It's no</p>	

					use being wise 10 years after the event when people are developing unnecessary health complaints.	
1114	Ms Helen Woods	31, 31, Lambs Farm Road, Horsham, Rh12 4db	Object	03-MAY-2018	-	
1115	Mrs Alexandra Wyver-Northam	84, Rushams Road, Horsham, RH12 2NZ	Object	03-MAY-2018	With so much information about recycling and targets available, I feel this development is going backwards and will be a negative for the area, as well as nationally. Burning things as a form of 'recycling' is wrong on so many levels and if done will always produce unfavourable pollution (simple laws of physics dictate this). There are better ways of both incineration (where the byproduct is collected in a solid form, so no chimneys/plumes or pollution are produced) and recycling as well as better power-production without going down this antiquated method. I am also very concerned about the levels of traffic that this will bring to the immediate area and the transportation of other areas' recycling to this incinerator. Each town, city, area should be encouraged to deal with their own waste and this is not the way. Over all, I feel that if this goes ahead, Horsham and West Sussex have put a nail in the coffin of the UK's desire to be environmentally aware and it will be a National disgrace.	
1116	Claire Hunting	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	Ref WSCC/015/18/NH I oppose to the incinerator in North Horsham. It will be a blight to our neighbourhood, reduce our house prices, but most of all will put our health at risk. Yours sincerely Claire Hunting	
1117	Virginia Edwards	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	This should not be allowed to go ahead in such a populated area. The gases will travel far and you have no real idea what cancers you could be responsible for in people in the future. Can you honestly live with yourselves if you pass this without really knowing what you will be doing to the future. Regards Virginia Edwards	
1118	Gary Adams	The Granary, Rusper Road, Horsham, RH12 4QR	Object	03-MAY-2018	Sirs I would like to formally object to the proposed incinerator planned for north Horsham I have lived here for 5 years and with the additional 2750 homes and their residents due to commence soon we are going to need all the clean air we can get Please do not allow this to happen Regards Gary Adams	
1119	James Mitchell	9, The Marches, Kingsfold, Horsham, RH12 3SY	Object	03-MAY-2018	Dear Sir / Madam This email is voice my numerous concerns over this application: ¿ The proposed incinerator is contrary to WSCC Waste Local Plan (WLP) as transfer, recycling and treatment facilities should be as close as possible to where waste arises. The scale indicates a far wider area beyond will be sought, especially as increased recycling regulations will mean a reduced supply of local waste to fuel the incinerator. ¿ This will result in a significant increase in HGV traffic, in and around Horsham¿s already congested road network. ¿ There is already a well-documented surplus incinerator capacity in mainland Northern Europe, with potential decommissioning of incinerators so there is no need to build a new one in Horsham. Planning permission was granted for an incinerator in Ford West Sussex in 2014, so again there is no need for another incinerator in Horsham. ¿ Given that one tonne of waste burnt gives off one tonne of CO2 it makes no sense to generate electricity so inefficiently when we are trying to decarbonise our energy supplies? Thank you. Regards James Mitchell	
1120	Mike Wintermeyer	15, Trundle Mead, Horsham, RH12 2LY	Object	03-MAY-2018	Reference WSCC/015/18/NH I wish to object to the construction of the Waste Incinerator outlined within the above reference. I live in the north of Horsham and often, when the wind is in the wrong direction, experience smells emanating from the Brookhurst Wood Landfill Site. We all know that we need to REDUCE the amount of waste we produce and RECYCLE more. Here in West Sussex we have supported this initiative for many years, which is why we rejected previous plans to build an incinerator and built an MBT plant instead (years ahead of the EU conclusion that this was preferable technology to incineration). The companies who run Waste from Energy facilities tell us that they are part of the circular waste economy towards which we are working. Once burnt, the items they incinerate are gone ¿ FOREVER. They tell us they support recycling. Incineration is shown to reduce recycling, which is one of the reasons the EU is reconsidering its position on Energy from Waste (incineration) They tell us the new technology burns cleaner and only tiny particles are emitted. Fine particulate matter (PM2.5) is associated in many studies with cancer. Add this to the worrisome news that in the past incinerators were generally smaller, burning less waste, so the amount of toxins produced is often roughly the same. They tell us that they would not be permitted to build these facilities if they weren¿t safe. Currently the `safe¿ level for dioxin emissions is based on limited studies that have shown the level at which there is no obvious and immediate harm to fully grown adults. What about the long-term impacts to health? What about our children? I object to these plans for a new Waste Incinerator - please do not let them proceed. Mike Wintermeyer	
1121	Valerie Folliet	Panfields, Denne Park, RH13 0AX	Object	03-MAY-2018	To whom it may concern Please Do not chose to pollute more our air. I am a mother of two and highly concern about the quality of our air which is already not very good. An industrial incinerator is not the solution. This will also bring more traffic with lorries. Horsham is going to become an awful industrial place. Don¿t allow this to happen. I am not agree with this project. I have signed the petition. Valerie FOLLIET	
1122	Susannah Martin	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	Dear Sirs, I strongly object to the proposed new incinerator in North Horsham. For the sake of my family's health and for the health of future generations to come please do not go ahead with this proposal.	

					Kind regards, Susannah	
1123	Mr Jamie Napper	Treetops, Pondtail Drive, Horsham, RH12 5HY	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Reference WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>I am writing to register my objection for the planned proposal for the private Incinerator in the rural location north of Horsham, The sheer scale of the project, with a 90+ meter chimney, 24hr activity driving increased heavy good vehicle traffic (as waste will be brought in from all over the region) and unknown air dispersal patterns of toxins & potentially directly another huge housing development in the area - all add to increased levels of noise, sight and air pollution. This seem completely incongruous with the small and local town environment the people of Horsham cherish and, I'm sure, voted in representatives like yourselves to protect. The health of the town is at stake and given this is a private venture there should be no consideration at play other than the wishes of the people and the impacts on those in the vicinity of the proposed site.</p> <p>I hope sense will prevail and the application will be declined given the lack of evidence to suggest the site is needed for anything other than Britanniacrest's profit and therefore should not be placed anywhere near our beautiful town.</p> <p>Jamie Napper</p>	
1124	Sharon Brown	4, Beeches Way, Faygate, Horsham, RH12 0AD	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I oppose the plan for an incinerator in Horsham, I moved from South London to live in cleaner, fresh air and feel this will pollute the area. We are all recycling more and this should be encouraged.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Sharon Brown</p>	
1125	Anthony Eysers	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I wish to lodge my objection to the above installation on the grounds of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Health issues. I suffer from C. o. p. d and pollution from above could severely impact my and others with breathing difficulties quality of life.2. As I understand it this 'solution' has been tried and discarded by other countries on environmental grounds. Why therefore are Horsham council considering it?3. Traffic. Waste will be brought from a wide area causing severe traffic issues and environmental pollution. Additionally more road damage from heavy vehicles which the council and tax payer will have to fund. <p>This is a bad idea and one that should not be allowed.</p> <p>Anthony Eysers</p>	
1126	Angela Burton	11, Avebury Close, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 5JY	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>To Whom it may Concern</p> <p>I am writing to formally oppose the Incinerator application on the above reference number.</p> <p>We have been subjected to a lot of change in Horsham lately and this will not be a welcome addition to an overpopulated Portfolio of upcoming building changes within the Horsham District.</p> <p>Angela Burton</p>	
1127	Joan Bridgland	15, Bluecoat Pond, Christs Hospital, Horsham, RH13 0NW	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I strongly object to the above planning application on the grounds that it will increase pollution, and is too close to existing dwellings.</p> <p>Joan Bridgland</p>	
1128	Anthony O'Callaghan	-	Object	03-MAY-2018	<p>I would officially like to object to the plans for an incinerator been build in Horsham. I do not feel this is the best way with dealing with waste nor the right location</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Anthony O'Callaghan</p>	
1129	Mr Stéphane Gros-Lemesre	19, Tanbridge Place, Horsham, RH12 1RY	Object	04-MAY-2018	<p>This is a danger for local population. It will bring pollution for no good reason. It is contradictory to start a program to encourage families to reduce their waste volume and at the same time allow such out-dated recycling technology to be built.</p> <p>We will end up having people making effort to reduce their waste amount, but breathing imported waste brought here just to keep the incinerator on and functioning.</p> <p>Horsham is a peaceful, family friendly area, making it an unhealthy place by allowing this construction would be wrong, and long remembered. A day as today, the smokes would reach some schools.</p>	
1130	Mrs Lauren Robins	Rose Cottage, 5, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	04-MAY-2018	<p>This proposal will have massive impact on Horsham and the surrounding areas for current and future generations and must not be approved on these grounds alone. I put my trust in WSCC to make the right decision and refuse this application once and for all.</p>	
1131	Mrs Rebecca Melliard	37, Cambridge Road, Horsham, RH13 5ED	Object	04-MAY-2018	<p>I object to the Horsham incinerator. What a devastating impact it will have on our already decreasing countryside. Surely there is another option.</p>	
1132	Jenny Altamirano Smith	-	Object	04-MAY-2018	<p>I am writing to object to the planning application WSCC/015/18/NH.</p> <p>I object to the toxic pollution that it would create and the negative impacts it would have on air quality and health.</p> <p>We should be prioritising waste reduction not burning waste. For example, polystyrene should be banned outright and resources invested to fund alternatives such as biodegradable peanut, mushroom or starch packaging.</p> <p>For energy we should be investing in renewable solar and wind energy.</p> <p>Pollution is one of the biggest causes of death, if not the biggest cause of death, in the world. We should be taking measures to improve air quality in Horsham and Crawley and reduce pollution caused by busy roads and Gatwick airport, not increasing it.</p> <p>I hope my objections will be taken into consideration.</p> <p>Best wishes,</p> <p>Jenny Altamirano Smith Horsham resident</p>	
1133	Helena Fitzgerald	The Annexe, Deise, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, RH12 4QD	Object	04-MAY-2018	<p>Sir/Madam,</p> <p>Former Wealden Brickworks (Site HB), Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>Application Number:WSCC/015/18/NH</p> <p>Thank you for informing me of yet another attempt to gain permission for an incinerator on the above site.My objections to this current new submission are the same as noted previously:</p>	

					<p>1. The site is in the wrong place and is the wrong size for an application that has dubious merits and unknown health consequences. The size of the plant will require waste to be 'shipped in' from other areas to run it.</p> <p>2. The site is too small and in the wrong place for such a facility, particularly now that permission has been given by HDC for a further 2500 homes on land adjacent to Langhurstwood Road.</p> <p>3. The reduction in height and change in profile to the main building is incidental on this new application, it is insufficient to stop the building looking like a misplaced monstrosity in an area of outstanding beauty and with huge numbers of homes nearby.</p> <p>4. The chimney or stack at 96m high will be more than 3.5 times the existing brickwork stack making it visible from over 20 miles away, completely unacceptable for a market town. This is without taking into account the flume which could be as much as another 400m high approximately 20% of the time if normal weather patterns repeat themselves, potentially this could also cause issues for aircraft and I understand that this has not fully been taken account of in the current modelling.</p> <p>5. The stack will cause unacceptable levels of light pollution in the surrounding area.</p> <p>6. The revised landscaping does nothing to hide the building. The application fails on your policy W12.</p> <p>7. The noise levels associated with the operation of such a huge plant are incompatible with its rural location</p> <p>I hope that your officers consider the objections to this carefully and carry out adequate research to refute the reports put forward. The application has been produced on a tick box basis where the applicant assumes that the weight of paper submitted will influence the committee to say yes. It is not the weight of paper that matters but the quality of arguments put forward. Clearly, despite lots of reports the applicant has missed the mark. Wrong place, wrong size, not consistent with strategic aims. It should be firmly rejected.</p> <p>Helena fitzgerald</p>	
1134	Rober Ozanne	-	Object	06-MAY-2018	<p>Hi,</p> <p>I would like to register my objection to the Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure proposed for the former Wealden Brickworks site on Langhurstwood Road in Horsham. (WSCC/015/18/NH)</p> <p>I'm concerned by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">the increased traffic and pollution by vehicles transporting feed to the incineratorthe increased CO2 levels from the incineration so close to the heavily populated area of Horsham, against the advice of the World Health Organisation.the unsightly appearance of the chimneythe proposal being primarily for the profit of a commercial organisation rather than the local area, at a time when MPs are reviewing evidence that there are too many incineration plants in the UK already. <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>Robert Ozanne Horsham Resident</p>	
1135	Angela Parry	.. Shottermill, Horsham, RH12 5HJ	Object	07-MAY-2018	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I am writing to object most strongly about the proposed incinerator on the outskirts of Horsham. I would strongly urge you to take the time to find an alternative. Having had and recovered (for now) from cancer, the thought of ever more toxins in our air is frightening. Please look at alternatives. I appreciate there is an issue that an incinerator might solve but there are surely other things that can be done instead with significantly less impact on our community.</p> <p>AC Parry</p>	
1136	Mrs A Pilbeam	27, Croft Way, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2AS	Concern Raised	07-MAY-2018	<p>I am concerned that the implications of building this incinerator have not been fully thought out. What impact this will have on the health of the local community and the environment. I think that this decision needs to be thoroughly thought through. There needs to be a better solution to the problem of what to do with all the rubbish.</p>	
1137	Mr Graeme Pilbeam	27, Croft Way, Horsham, RH12 2AS	Object	08-MAY-2018	<p>This incinerator will spread potentially harmful fumes across Horsham. Assurances may be given that it will not, but only time will tell if that is correct (i.e. the technology isn't as good as they say it is, or there are unforeseen effects). I don't want my families health put at risk so that a large company can profit.</p> <p>Plus it will increase traffic in an already busy area affected by pollution from traffic and planes.</p>	
1138	Robert Birch	.. Comptons Lane, Horsham	Object	12-MAY-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I am writing to strongly object to the proposal to build an incinerator in Horsham. I am concerned that the increase in heavy lorry traffic will further damage our road and add unnecessary congestion to the already busy highways.</p> <p>In addition I have dire concerns about the quality of air for my family. My wife suffers greatly from asthma and further pollution to our air is not welcomed.</p> <p>Regards, Robert Birch of Comptons Lane, Horsham.</p>	
1139	Mr Stephen Coppen	60, Greenacres Ring, Angmering, Littlehampton, BN16 4BS	Support	12-MAY-2018	<p>This incinerator facility is very much needed and will be located in the best possible place at the existing waste disposal and treatment area which is in a very central location on both the A road network and rail network. Modern incineration technology is of a high standard producing only minimal emissions and maximum renewable power output, so the objections here from the obviously 'NIMBY' residents living within sight of it are completely unjustified since they have known for a long time it was going to be there because that is where all our rubbish goes only at the moment to totally unsustainable landfill which is poisoning our groundwater and cannot continue. For these reasons I support the application.</p>	
1140	Ketan & Anjali Kansagra	20, Rookwood Park, Horsham, RH12 1UB	Object	13-MAY-2018	<p>dear Horsham Council</p> <p>we would like to object to siting an incinerator at the proposed site. we object for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">the site seems to be taking materials from a wide area, and so increasing commercial traffic on our roadsi think it will make not protect the natural environment.i think this new incinerator will create a lot of pollution for the local area, which is densely populated.there will be a lot of noise pollution to this regiona tall chimney stay will create a poor visual impact on the countryside of our region.there are several sources stating the incineration reduce recycling.understand the plans are not compliant with the West Sussex County's waste local plan <p>i would therefore appreciate it if you could review your current plans and reverse the decision for this site.</p> <p>many thanks Ketan and Anjali Kansagra</p>	
	North	-	Object	14-MAY-2018	<p>WSCC/015/18/NH Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure Former Wealden Brickworks,</p>	

1141	Horsham Cycling Forum				<p>Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>Horsham District Cycling Forum cannot support these proposals and maintain our objection because of the negative impact that this facility will have on safety for cycling in the area and the detrimental effect on air quality in Horsham.</p> <p>Heavy good vehicles that require access for construction and those that use the site will all be travelling along Langhurst Wood Road, through the development areas of North Horsham. These future properties will be profoundly affected by poor air quality and safety concerns with heavy vehicles passing. In order to access Langhurst Wood Road, additional traffic will be attracted onto the Horsham Northern and Western bypasses using the Great Daux Roundabout so affecting Horsham's overall air quality. The cycle routes in the locality will be affected by heavy good vehicles travelling the Langhurst Wood Road making road cycling less safe.</p> <p>Thank you for involving Horsham District Cycling Forum.</p>	
1142	Mr David O'Flynn	Sherwood, 146, Comptons Lane, Horsham, RH13 6DA	Object	15-MAY-2018	-	
1143	Mr Jason Gooding	84, Millthorpe Road, Horsham, RH124EP	Object	23-MAY-2018	<p>The resulting pollutants which will be released over Horsham area will risk the health and well being of small children, vulnerable adults and those with life threatening medical conditions where clean air is an absolute necessity.</p> <p>Light pollution from site lights which will run 24/7.</p> <p>Increased traffic and noise pollution further degrading road infrastructure.</p> <p>Hinders Horsham District Council plan for development and housing targets within the North Horsham area.</p> <p>Does not contribute to the recycling targets established by WSCC.</p>	
1144	Ms Jill Shuker	-	Object	09-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Duncan</p> <p>I hope that you are aware of the grave errors that Britaniacrest appears to have made in the calculations of CO2 and other obnoxious gases that will be put into our atmosphere if this incinerator is built.</p> <p>I would just like to mention that I am not in favour of this incinerator. Before I attended the meeting organised by the CPRE today, I was sitting on the fence, thinking that we need our waste to go somewhere, and burning it to provide energy seemed to be the least worst option. But listening to Councillor Essex talking from Redhill, it does beg the question why we cannot spend the money on a proper recycling facility and close the loop, having a circular recycling instead of a linear result whereby the results of the energy provision goes up into the sky and offers more pollution yet again. When we are trying to reduce our air pollution, it does seem insane.</p> <p>I am the co founder of the Repair Cafe in Horsham and I own an electric car. I feel so strongly that we must leave this planet in a better state than it is at present, and building this incinerator is going to reduce recycling and contribute much more to air pollution. WSCC has spent a lot of money on persuading the residents to recycle more - there is strong evidence to suggest that burning waste reduces recycling efforts.</p> <p>I have no doubt that you will carefully consider all the pros - not sure what they are though - and certainly all the cons as we heard today - and I do hope that the planning committee will be able to come to a realistic decision.</p> <p>Many thanks for your time in reading this</p> <p>with best wishes</p>	
1145	Mr Ian Blunnie	Broadbridge Heath Parish Councillor, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham	Object	09-JUN-2018	<p>On behalf of many residents of the local area that have approached me directly and my own personal view, I strongly object to the development of the incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>The facts as presented by the submitter of the planning application are considered misleading or incorrect and the whole concept of burning waste here instead of promoting a recycling policy seems ludicrous.</p> <p>Don't turn Horsham into the commercial waste processing plant for the South.</p>	
1146	Mr P K Smith	1, North Holmes Close, Horsham	Object	10-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Councillors</p> <p>I am writing to object in the strongest possible terms to the building of a waste incinerator in our locality.</p> <p>This facility would be a blight on our area, quality of life and a severe threat to the health and wellbeing of every person living in Horsham and its beautiful surroundings for generations to come. The legacy of a decision to build this unnecessary facility would be to threaten our health and to make HORSHAM's dumping ground for others to use. Ensuring their environment is preserved whilst ours is polluted and ruined. There can be no logic behind even considering this incinerator, other than short term greed and gain. The detriment to the people you represent would be total.</p> <p>Please do not agree to this hideous blot on our landscape</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	
1147	Mr Philip Ayerst	93A, Comptons Lane, Horsham, West Sussex	Object	10-JUN-2018	<p>WSCC/015/18/NH Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure Former Wealden Brickworks, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>Horsham District Cycling Forum cannot support these proposals and maintain our objection because of the negative impact that this facility will have on safety for cycling in the area and the detrimental effect on air quality in Horsham.</p> <p>Heavy good vehicles that require access for construction and those that use the site will all be travelling along Langhurst Wood Road, through the development areas of North Horsham. These future properties will be profoundly affected by poor air quality and safety concerns with heavy vehicles passing. In order to access Langhurst Wood Road, additional traffic will be attracted onto the Horsham Northern and Western bypasses using the Great Daux Roundabout so affecting Horsham's overall air quality. The cycle routes in the locality will be affected by heavy good vehicles travelling the Langhurst Wood Road making road cycling less safe.</p>	
1148	Dorothy Smith	-	Object	10-JUN-2018	<p>I wish to express my profound concern about the proposals and objection to the following application: West Sussex County Council Planning Application - WSCC/015/18/NH - Recycling, Recovery and Renewable Energy Facility and Ancillary Infrastructure. Former Wealden Brickworks (Site HB), Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4QD</p> <p>Please do not allow this development.</p> <p>Kind regards Dorothy Smith Sent from my iPhone</p>	
1149	Veronica & David Pooley	6, Copse Close, Horsham, RH12 5RS	Object	11-JUN-2018	<p>I wish to lodge my objections to the installation of a 24 hour incinerator a few miles from my home, and many others.</p> <p>The traffic going to and from the site will ruin the roads which are already pot holed and congested, not to mention the fumes and the hideous chimney planned.</p> <p>Veronica and David Pooley</p>	
1150	Lisa Bristow	-	Object	11-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Sir/ Madam,</p>	

					<p>Firstly can I ask why a planning meeting for the Horsham Incinerator is taking place in Chichester? Is there a plan to hold a meeting in said town of proposals?!!!</p> <p>I am a Horsham resident with young children and whilst I would like to attend the meeting, Chichester is not feasible for me to attend.</p> <p>I would like to add my strong objection to this incinerator. There have been numerous petitions and large amounts of objections yet you still continue to listen to officers and not the local voters and people who have to live in the area. There is a huge movement to stop burning waste and increase recycling rates, this goes against government guidance to local authorities over carbon neutral production of electricity and rates of recycling.</p> <p>The toxic fumes will be transported into the local area whereby schools, playgrounds and a local nature reserve is located. There are recent studies shown by the DOH to suggest the evidence is still inconclusive as to what effect these incinerators have on health. To think that anything other than toxins is released by this plume is simply naive and ill advised. I would be extremely interested to know just how many of you live in Horsham with young families?</p> <p>Not only this but there will be a huge impact on our roads with large lorries coming to and from the local area with a whole cocktail of goods on board ready to be incinerated, once again it is short sighted to think this will not impact the area. Please reconsider and listen to the local voters for once and do the right thing not the thing that is driven by money.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing a response.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>	
1151	Andrea Holmes	-	Object	11-JUN-2018	<p>To. Members of West Sussex Planning Committee</p> <p>I am writing to ask that this Planning Application be rejected. This is in the wrong place close to houses and the new giant housing development of 2,750 houses on the Northern by pass. This Incinerator will be working 24 hours a day and rubbish will have to be constantly brought in by HGVs so that they can make it profitable. Once the new houses are built because of their location the other side of the Northern by pass each house would probably need two cars so potentially another 5,000 cars with all the pollution this would create together with the lorries the by pass will become a polluted, car park plus pollution from the chimney coming down on the residents nearby. Peter Catchpole would confirm that it is already a nightmare to get onto this by pass in the morning from North Horsham and practically at a standstill in the evening rush hour.</p> <p>I attended the public meeting on Saturday as did your Vice Chairman Liz Kitchen, and Councillors Mr and Mrs Burgess and Christian Mitchell. Liz Kitchen heard all the facts and figures and Peter Catchpole was on the panel but unfortunately HDC did not accept an invitation and the other Councillors left before the question and answer session.</p> <p>I would ask if any of you have actually visited this area and you would see that this eyesore would be visible day and night across the whole of Horsham because it is so high. It would have to lit up at night because it is so tall. This is an appalling prospect for the many families with young children living nearby with worries for their health.</p> <p>Peter Lamb Head of Crawley Borough Council was on the panel and he is totally against this on behalf of the people of Crawley as the plume from the chimney would on a lot of occasions be blown over Crawley and they have enough pollution with Gatwick.</p> <p>PLEASE REJECT THIS or at least make a visit to this area which for the majority of you is the other end of the County.</p>	
1152	Alison Rutherford	-	Object	11-JUN-2018	<p>I wish to object to this ludicrous and very toxic incinerator in Horsham!! What on earth are you thinking that you would allow this to happen over our beautiful market town!!</p> <p>Shame on you for even considering it!</p> <p>A.Rutherford</p>	
1153	Mrs Rosalin Baker	39, Blunts Way, Horsham, RH12 2BJ	Object	11-JUN-2018	<p>Sirs</p> <p>I write in connection to the above planning application and wish to object to this application.</p> <p>The height of the chimney and plume will be visible for miles and blowing out toxic fumes over an already populated area and the new housing estate to be built in north Horsham. We have a busy international airport on our doorstep and because of this the chimney is required to be visible to aircraft - surely the sensible option would be to situate this facility further away from an airport and heavily populated area.</p> <p>The fact that this facility will be operational 24 hours a day and bringing waste from across the whole of the south of England is totally unacceptable - a substantial increase in large vehicles on roads which are already exceptionally busy and will become more so when the new housing development is built.</p> <p>I reiterate my strongest objection to this planning application.</p>	
1154	Wenda Welsby	16, Springfield Park Road, Horsham	Object	12-JUN-2018	<p>I wish to object to the proposed planning application on the grounds of :</p> <p>Traffic and Access: This is a totally Unsuitable geographical site for the proposed volume of traffic to and from the south of England, to keep the facility affordable there will be lorries arriving 24 hours a day down the small country road to the proposed destination site.</p> <p>Environmental impact - pollution of local areas, unknown long term impact on health of local community and surrounding wildlife and areas of natural beauty in the lovely village of Warnham.</p> <p>Detrimental effect on local village community and housing.</p>	
1155	Mr & Mrs Ben & Sarah Dale	23, Keats Close, Horsham	Object	12-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>We strongly object to the incinerator and you should be listening to the voters of Horsham and not the officers. Why do you want to blight Horsham area so much by dumping an industrial incinerator on our doorsteps when there is a move to stop burning waste to increase recycling rates; burning stops the re-use of materials; it is the dirtiest way to produce electricity and goes against Government guidance to local authorities over carbon neutral production of electricity; the figures presented by Britanniacrest for CO2 and NO2 are incorrect and the officers should have picked these up but haven't; the plume will be over the 2,500 new houses and schools of north Horsham and Crawley constantly; the toxic ash will be transported on our roads adding to the cocktail; the site is not a clean site as it already has pollutants of the brickworks, landfill and the biomechanics digester, the incinerator would be adding to these toxins that we are expected to break as well as the cross county movement of waste to feed the incinerator day and night for the next 30 years!</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p>	
1156	Anya .	-	Object	12-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Councillors</p>	

					<p>Can someone please explain to me why this meeting has been called in Chichester when the Incinerator is going to be in Horsham. It is almost as if you are trying to stop local residents from going to the meeting and objecting. I think it is a disgrace that it isn't being held locally. It should be cancelled and planned for a date when it can be held locally. I strongly object to it being so far away from where we live.</p> <p>Also having been to a meeting at the weekend where some experts had been through the Britannia submission in absolute detail, it is extremely worrying to know that many of the statistics quoted are inaccurate or misinformed. That means that decisions are being made on inaccurate data and data that when corrected, is significantly worse for the community. Add to that the impact locally on so many levels, it is a mystery to me why this is being recommended for approval. If you just take the traffic statistic alone - whilst they say they have not requested to have the traffic quantity increased from the current approved levels for the landfill, the current traffic only uses 30% of the allowed capacity. The new plant will use 100% - it has to in order to feed the beast to make back the investment. That is a 70% increase alone in traffic. Then add that we will be accepting rubbish from Counties outside of West Sussex - it turns us in to a rubbish bonfire for the South of England!! Surely you care more for this area than that and surely if you were to back anything, you would back a recycling plant over an incinerator any day.</p> <p>The general groundswell of belief is that there is more to this than meets in the eye as to why West Sussex would support this because it certainly cannot be for the good of the community or the Council. More profit could be made for the Council with a recycling plant - so it begs the question - why would anyone support this?</p> <p>I would welcome your views</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>
1157	Kathy Wiffen	-	Object	12-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Councillors</p> <p>Can someone please explain to me why this meeting has been called in Chichester when the Incinerator is going to be in Horsham. It is almost as if you are trying to stop local residents from going to the meeting and objecting. For people who run their own businesses how can you even think we can re-arrange our diaries when you have given us just a little over a weeks notice and its an hours drive away. This whole process is an absolute joke and its a disgrace that it isn't being held locally. It should be cancelled and planned for a date when it can be held locally. I strongly object to it being so far away from where we live.</p> <p>Also having been to a meeting at the weekend where some experts had been through the Britannia submission in absolute detail, it is extremely worrying to know that many of the statistics quoted are inaccurate or misinformed. That means that decisions are being made on inaccurate data and data that when corrected, is significantly worse for the community. Add to that the impact locally on so many levels, it is a mystery to me why this is being recommended for approval. If you just take the traffic statistic alone - whilst they say they have not requested to have the traffic quantity increased from the current approved levels for the landfill, the current traffic only uses 30% of the allowed capacity. The new plant will use 100% - it has to in order to feed the beast to make back the investment. That is a 70% increase alone in traffic. Then add that we will be accepting rubbish from Counties outside of West Sussex - it turns us in to a rubbish bonfire for the South of England!! Surely you care more for this area than that and surely if you were to back anything, you would back a recycling plant over an incinerator any day.</p> <p>My family and I live less than a mile from the proposed Incinerator site we will see it from our window our landscape would totally change, plus more importantly all the fumes which will be distributed into the air and the constant noise. I would question who actually is backing this proposal can actually quote the correct figures, I bet none of you can, this is what is making this whole process a total fast as there is no correct data being circulated, all very one sided in my option.</p> <p>One final request, out of all the WSCC Councillors who are in favour of the Horsham Incinerator who actually lives within a 3mile radius of the proposed site, I await your answer with interest.</p> <p>Best regards,</p>
1158	Debbie Hughs	Life-long Horsham Resident, Horsham	Object	13-JUN-2018	<p>To the planning councillors</p> <p>I very strongly object to the proposed incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>It would be a disaster for the area and would do nothing but cause misery and mayhem - both the the physical and mental health of the citizens of what should remain a lovely market town.</p> <p>My daughter has asthma and attend Warnham primary school, I cannot imagine that her condition will be improved by industrial pollution being pumped into the atmosphere over her school.</p> <p>Furthermore, we moved from Horsham to Broadbridge Heath to try to avoid the nightmare traffic that our already inadequate road system causes. This would only worsen with the constant movement of lorries to feed a 24 hours incinerator.</p> <p>Furthermore, there has already been a news housing development approved which would be right next to this facility. Why would anyone want to move to a village location that is blighted by industrial output?</p> <p>I really cannot understand why you would not listen to the voters who overwhelming object to this proposal. Especially when there is a move to stop burning waste to increase recycling rates; that burning stops the re-use of materials; it is the dirtiest way to produce electricity and goes against Government guidance to local authorities over carbon neutral production of electricity; the figures presented by Britaniacrest for CO2 and NO2 are incorrect and the officers should have picked these up but haven't; the plume will be over the 2,500 new houses and schools of north Horsham and Crawley constantly; the toxic ash will be transported on our roads adding to the cocktail; the site is not a clean site as it already has pollutants of the brickworks, landfill and the biomechanics digester, the incinerator would be adding to these toxins that we are expected to breath as well as the cross county movement of waste to feed the incinerator day and night for the next 30 years!</p> <p>Please please please, for the sake of our lovely town, the health and well-being of its inhabitants young and old, DO NOT APPROVE THIS INCINERATOR.</p> <p>yours faithfully</p>
1159	Ms Lavinia Bennett	Tanners, Hollands Way, Warnham, RH12 3RH	Object	13-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Planning Committee Members</p> <p>We have made our objections online to the planning application and simply cannot believe that the planning department are submitting it to yourselves with a recommendation for approval.</p> <p>I live in Warnham, right in the vicinity of the site subject to the planning application. Recent meetings held locally have put huge question marks over the validity of the data provided by BritanniaCrest on which your planning department have made their recommendation.</p> <p>I am hugely disappointed that the email to those who objected has given such short notice of attendance to your planning meeting - as a self-employed mother of 2 with a work diary already fully booked with patients on the 19th June I simply cannot logistically rearrange patients & childcare to make the hour drive to & from Chichester to attend the meeting. Why on earth is the meeting not being held in Horsham with more notice being given to those who have raised concerns? Or is this simply to ensure that hardly</p>

					<p>anyone turns up to object, so you can reassure yourselves that your planners are correct to approve & that no-one in the local area objects? I can assure you that this is not the case locally.</p> <p>The application fails so many planning policies - see particularly Warnham Parish Council & Liberty's objections submitted online that give great detail on this. Be concerned about the incorrect figures that BritanniaCrest have submitted in their application. Please do not simply agree to this application - it is not right on so many levels & there is huge depth of public feeling about this locally.</p> <p>Yours frustratedly</p>
1160	Michael Brookes	26, Warnham Court, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QE	Object	13-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Mr Planning Committee meeting regarding WARNHAM Incinerator</p> <p>As a resident of Warnham and someone interested in the welfare of all Horsham and West Sussex residents I must ask you to refuse planning permission for the above project on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The enormous number of heavy commercial vehicles will be hazardous to other road users and bring emission levels in the area to unacceptable and illegal levels. In this context the Britanniacrest calculations are wildly and widely out and do not pass examination. You should insist on having these recalculated and confirmed by experts.2. The fumes from the stack will, in most wind conditions, pass over the new North Horsham development at a time when those dwellings are likely to have a large number of children in residence. The contents of the smoke most certainly will not be consistently low in toxins and not only will need daily but maybe hourly testing both close to the stacks and at say 3 miles distance.3. There is no need for an incinerator as Hampshire's incinerators are already unable to achieve capacity.4. Incineration will become less and less required as new government regulations regarding the burdening of soft matter including paper are to be redrawn and will exclude such items. <p>I therefore recommend that the permission be REFUSED.</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p>
1161	Mr Darren Robins	5, Rose Cottage, Station Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3SR	Object	13-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I wish to bring to your attention my families strong objection to the proposed incinerator in Horsham to be considered at the WSCC planning committee meeting on 19th June 2018. It is inconceivable to me that a facility of this nature can be built so close to Horsham let alone some 200m from my house in Station Road. As a country we should be pushing recycling more and more not incineration, especially as the government are current running a moratorium on the use of incinerators in the UK as it believed that a bigger drive should towards recycling is better.</p> <p>We voters put our trust in you as councillors to make to the correct decision in not approving these plans.</p> <p>Regards,</p>
1162	Rachel Riley	-	Object	13-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Councillors,</p> <p>Before you vote on Tuesday for the proposed recycling works at the Wealden Brickworks, Horsham - WSCC/015/18/NH, ask yourself this question: would you want to live next to an industrial incinerator? Would you want your children or grandchildren to have to live next to an industrial incinerator?</p> <p>If the answer is NO, then how can you possibly grant it permission?</p> <p>Please deny the application. It's about doing what is best for the people who live in West Sussex. Which includes your family. And my family.</p> <p>Think about it.</p> <p>Putting my trust in you to get this right, Regards,</p>
1163	Vincent Collingwood	5, Wyvern Place, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QU	Object	14-JUN-2018	<p>To whom it may concern Planning Committee meeting regarding WARNHAM Incinerator</p> <p>As a resident of Warnham and someone interested in the welfare of all Horsham and West Sussex residents I must ask you to refuse planning permission for the above project on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The enormous number of heavy commercial vehicles will be hazardous to other road users and bring emission levels in the area to unacceptable and illegal levels. In this context the Britanniacrest calculations are wildly and widely out and do not pass examination. You should insist on having these recalculated and confirmed by experts.2. The fumes from the stack will, in most wind conditions, pass over the new North Horsham development at a time when those dwellings are likely to have a large number of children in residence. The contents of the smoke most certainly will not be consistently low in toxins and not only will need daily but maybe hourly testing both close to the stacks and at say 3 miles distance.3. There is no need for an incinerator as Hampshire's incinerators are already unable to achieve capacity.4. Incineration will become less and less required as new government regulations regarding the burdening of soft matter including paper are to be redrawn and will exclude such items.5. My family and I regularly smell the "output" from the current Warnham tip / incinerator which is very distressing6. The negative aspects of the new Incinerator vastly outweigh the positives - put your Horsham constituents first and let your conscience be your guide when refusing the planning application once and for all ! <p>I therefore recommend that the permission be REFUSED.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>
1164	Tim Peters	35, Billigshurst Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3LJ	Object	14-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I wish you to know that as a resident of Horsham I object to the granting of planning permission for the above mentioned facility in the strongest possible terms.</p> <p>I have already submitted an objection via the WSCC planning portal. This email is to make you personally aware of the strength of feelings from the thousands of residents in the area who object to this on all levels. It makes no logistical, economic, environmental, social or political sense.</p> <p>You are shortly to be voting on a matter that may have decades of negative impact on this beautiful area. Make your vote count for the betterment of Horsham and make it be one you can remember with pride for having got it right.</p>

					<p>I can assure you that in years to come whether or not you remember how you voted, those of us to whom Horsham is dear will not forget. I urge you to vote for the future of Horsham and decline this disastrous proposal.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	
1165	Jane Nash	18, Sandeman Way, Horsham, RH13 6EL	Object	14-JUN-2018	<p>Dear All,</p> <p>It seems that no-one in the Planning Dept. is listening to the people of Horsham. I don't expect you read all the objections either.</p> <p>Would you be happy to live next door to this facility? Your children and grandchildren? No-one knows the long term effects, but they cannot be good - so why take the risk?. You have granted permission for homes, schools etc, in exactly this area which has got to be one the most misguided decisions ever. Who will buy these houses in the lee of an incinerator.?</p> <p>I am horrified and beyond angry that this is even being considered, let alone approved. David Attenborough has even written.</p> <p>Please all of you, stop this abomination before it is too late. I love Horsham and it is appalling that we are going to have this thrust upon our beautiful town - and for no good reason.</p> <p>You must be able to justify any decision to allow this and I look forward hearing what it is. I sincerely hope it is not financial.</p> <p>Please use your common sense and refuse it and let us live without the fear of pollution from such a monstrosity.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	
1166	..	-	Object	14-JUN-2018	<p>I strongly object to the proposal for building this incinerator and I feel you should be listening to the voters of Horsham and not the officers. Why do you want to blight Horsham area so much by dumping an industrial incinerator on our doorsteps when there is a move to stop burning waste to increase recycling rates; that burning stops the re-use of materials; it is the dirtiest way to produce electricity and goes against Government guidance to local authorities over carbon neutral production of electricity; the figures presented by Britaniacrest for CO2 and NO2 are incorrect and the officers should have picked these up but haven't; the plume will be over the 2,500 new houses and schools of north Horsham and Crawley constantly; the toxic ash will be transported on our roads adding to the cocktail; the site is not a clean site as it already has pollutants of the brickworks, landfill and the biomechanics digester, the incinerator would be adding to these toxins that we are expected to break as well as the cross county movement of waste to feed the incinerator day and night for the next 30 years!</p>	
1167	..	-	Object	14-JUN-2018	<p>My son & myself suffer with asthma & this proposed incinerator would add to our problems. I feel upset that we have chosen a place to live and our surroundings are constantly changing. Whilst I understand that we need to grow as a community and town this is something that will not only harm and upset current residents of our beautiful town of Horsham but it will also put people off moving him ere or staying here!</p> <p>I strongly object to the new proposed incinerator for Horsham. Please listen to the voters of Horsham and not the officers. Why do they want to blight Horsham area so much by dumping an industrial incinerator on our doorsteps when there is a move to stop burning waste to increase recycling rates; that burning stops the re-use of materials; it is the dirtiest way to produce electricity and goes against Government guidance to local authorities over carbon neutral production of electricity; the figures presented by Britaniacrest for CO2 and NO2 are incorrect and the officers should have picked these up but haven't; the plume will be over the 2,500 new houses and schools of north Horsham and Crawley constantly; the toxic ash will be transported on our roads adding to the cocktail; the site is not a clean site as it already has pollutants of the brickworks, landfill and the biomechanics digester, the incinerator would be adding to these toxins that we are expected to break as well as the cross county movement of waste to feed the incinerator day and night for the next 30 years!</p>	
1168	Mrs Sheila White	1, Great Daux Cottages, Dorking Road, Warnham, Horsham, RH12 3QQ	Object	15-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Councillor Crow</p> <p>I believe you are Chairman of the Planning Committee that will meet on 19th June to decide whether or not to give permission for the industrial incinerator which Britaniacrest want to build on the former Wealden Brickworks site at Warnham.</p> <p>You probably haven't had the time (nor possibly the inclination?) to read through all the representations made to West Sussex County Council on this matter, but please may I implore you to read at least three of them. Firstly, the representation made by the Langhurstwood Road Residents Group (dated 30th April on the chronological list of public comments in the Documents section of the application's website); secondly that submitted by Neil Pitcairn (dated 3rd May on the list) and thirdly that which I made myself (dated 27th April on the list). I believe that, together, these three submissions get to the heart of why this application should be refused.</p> <p>My apologies for taking up your valuable time, but I truly believe the Head of Planning Services is mistaken in recommending this application for approval. I'm hoping that you will agree with me and vote to refuse permission at the meeting on 19th June. Thank you for taking the time to read this message.</p> <p>Regards</p>	
1169	Mr Steven Peskett	48, Friday Street, Warnham, West Sussex, RH12 3QX	Object	15-JUN-2018	<p>Good afternoon</p> <p>I'm writing this email as I am completely and utterly opposed to the building of an Incinerator in Horsham. I am unable to attend the meeting on the 19th June but I felt that I needed to make my feelings clear to WSCC.</p> <p>The planned Incinerator is problematic on many levels. Firstly the damage to the landscape in the area of the proposed Incinerator is already an eye-sore. Travelling south on the A24 you can clearly see the site and the mountain that already has been built. It is horrendous! I have driven theroute all my life and have seen the area destroyed. Adding to this seems nonsensical.</p> <p>I live in Warnham, not too far from the proposed site, and I am deeply concerned about the air quality being polluted by this monstrosity. My daughter attends Warnham Primary School and I know that both parents and the School are deeply worried about the proposal. Is seems that West Sussex County Council don't care about the school children if they are even considering building this site so near to them. I just cannot stress enough how this incinerator will change the surrounding areas.</p> <p>The visual impact of the site is a major factor. We do not live in an Industrial area so why change that? By building something so tall you will be changing the view for the worse for miles around.</p> <p>What about the extra traffic? Horsham is already struggling with the extra traffic that the extra homes have brought to the area. Why start adding large vehicles into the mix? It's just not feasible.</p> <p>I lost my trust in WSCC a long time ago when you stood by, did nothing, and watched on as Gatwick ran amok in the skies above us. Yet again it seems that WSCC is bowing down to business and the large cheque that follows it with no concern for those your decision will effect.</p> <p>If you let this monstrosity be built then I am deeply concerned with both HDC and WSCC. Horsham has been ruined over the years with poor planning and unnecessary building and this seems to adding to it.</p> <p>Many thanks for your time</p>	
1170	..	-	Object	15-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Councillor</p> <p>You have been presented with only Britaniacrest figures relating to their planning application for an industrial incinerator in Horsham. You have not been provided with any independent facts, nor have your planning officers of HDC and WSCC, so how can you make an informed decision on Tuesday?</p>	

					<p>There are huge gaps in the stage managed evidence to date, and some simple maths are incorrect, but your officers have not picked this up?</p> <p>Please watch the short film from the CPRE Sussex public meeting last Saturday where Britaniacrest were asked to speak but declined numerous times. They did eventually provide display boards so to present a balanced forum for a balanced debate on whether the future is to burn or recycle.</p> <p>https://youtu.be/uetz-LO64JQ</p> <p>Cut and paste it into your tool bar if it does not automatically open - its a real education to independent facts relating to the future of dealing with waste.</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>on behalf of the community group Ni4H.org; over 4,500 that signed the petition opposing incineration - that are still not on the WSCC planning portal; the 99% of residents that wrote to planning concerning this planning application by a privately owned haulage company and financiers that have four other projects for 3R facilities that are not progressing well; Tuesday sees a Government call in an incinerator as there are too many in the UK already and they reduce recycling rates.</p>
1171	Andrea Holmes	-	Object	15-JUN-2018	<p>To. Members of West Sussex Planning Committee</p> <p>I am writing to ask that this Planning Application be rejected. This is in the wrong place close to houses and the new giant housing development of 2,750 houses on the Northern by pass. This Incinerator will be working 24 hours a day and rubbish will have to be constantly brought in by HGVs so that they can make it profitable. Once the new houses are built because of their location the other side of the Northern by pass each house would probably need two cars so potentially another 5,000 cars with all the pollution this would create together with the lorries the by pass will become a polluted. car park plus pollution from the chimney coming down on the residents nearby. Peter Catchpole would confirm that it is already a nightmare to get onto this by pass in the morning from North Horsham and practically at a standstill in the evening rush hour.</p> <p>I attended the public meeting on Saturday as did your Vice Chairman Liz Kitchen, and Councillors Mr and Mrs Burgess and Christian Mitchell. Liz Kitchen heard all the facts and figures and Peter Catchpole was on the panel but unfortunately HDC did not accept an invitation and the other Councillors left before the question and answer session.</p> <p>I would ask if any of you have actually visited this area and you would see that this eyesore would be visible day and night across the whole of Horsham because it is so high. It would have to lit up at night because it is so tall. This is an appalling prospect for the many families with young children living nearby with worries for their health.</p> <p>Peter Lamb Head of Crawley Borough Council was on the panel and he is totally against this on behalf of the people of Crawley as the plume from the chimney would on a lot of occasions be blown over Crawley and they have enough pollution with Gatwick.</p> <p>PLEASE REJECT THIS or at least make a visit to this area which for the majority of you is the other end of the County.</p>
1172	Mr Andy Gillett	4, Station Road, Warnham, RH12 3SR	Object	15-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Mr Crow,</p> <p>I am writing to you as a resident of Horsham opposed to the planned incinerator at the former Wealdon Brickworks (WSCC/015/18/NH) which is going before the planning committee on 19th June.</p> <p>Recently there has been a heightened awareness of the damage we are doing to our planet and a growing realisation that we should do more to save the planet's resources, reduce carbon dioxide generation and generally reduce pollution.</p> <p>We can all do more. I have stopped using single-use plastic bottles and for a long time have stopped using plastic carrier bags. I try to use public transport as much as possible and my next car will be at worst a hybrid and if possible all electric. Small changes I know but if we all adopt the same approach then big changes will happen.</p> <p>You are in the fortunate position of being able to make a statement on behalf of Horsham about the future. By refusing this application you will be supporting the growing number of residents who long for a clean environment for their children to grow up in.</p> <p>There is a mis-representation in the application in that it purports to be a recycling facility when in fact it is the reverse. It will move waste DOWN the waste hierarchy.</p> <p>The arguments from the applicants will be that the waste they receive will be mixed and too expensive to separate into recyclables and non-recyclables. Instead they will separate it into combustibles and non-combustibles (and claim to be recycling because they will recycle the non-combustible hard core etc).</p> <p>As a consequence, waste that can be recycled but is combustible (eg. paper, card, organic matter) will be incinerated. This accounts for 50% of the waste stream. It will not only pollute the air (albeit within legal limits) but it means that more natural resources are needed to replace the resources that could be created from the waste. In addition, to keep the incinerator at the correct temperature they will burn oil, using up yet more of our natural resources and discharging more carbon dioxide.</p> <p>The application pre-supposes that the waste stream will remain the same for the next 25-30 years. Instead we just need to persuade businesses to sort their waste in the same way that householders do. This is long overdue anyway and would drastically reduce the amount of waste that will need to be disposed of.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application. Take the long term view. Only a small policy change in collecting business waste is needed to render the incinerator obsolete. You can play your part by adding your influence to the growing movement to clean up the environment and save the planet.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention</p>
1173	Jan Evans	-	Object	16-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Mr Atkins</p> <p>I am writing to you regarding the proposed Horsham Incinerator in Langhurst Wood Road Horsham.</p> <p>I understand that Horsham Planning have already approved this, but I ask you as one of our County Councillors to re-consider. I have a number of objections to this plan, not least being that we were told a few years ago that we had to have either an Incinerator or a Re-cycling plant. The obvious option was re-cycling as this is the way forward in the future, which we now have. An incinerator also does not comply with the WSCC Waste Local Plan. Re-cycling has already increased by 2%, however this will be affected if the council has an obligation to burn waste. Not least as most of it will be coming out of the area. The EU are already decommissioning incinerators, so to have this in place now is not a forward thinking step.</p> <p>As a resident of Langhurst Wood road I am concerned about the 24/7 noise level and light that will affect us. We currently have fields all around us and it's a pleasure to listen to the birds. I can't see where this will enhance our wonderful countryside and town.</p> <p>I cannot see how Britacrest will control lighting, noise, dust and odours as currently the smell and flies we get in our house are already unacceptable. I also understand that waste is currently dumped outside of the buildings. The current rubbish we have dropped for the lorries along Langhurst Wood road is already unacceptable, and this will only become worse. I'm not sure you are</p>

					<p>aware that Langhurst Wood road is a narrow country road with no street lights or paths. HDC currently will not allow pickers to collect the rubbish as it's too dangerous, so they have to put up traffic lights to control the traffic. Imagine then how I can walk along the road with two dogs to take them for a walk, or cross the road, which has bends and hills, you really do take your life in your hands.</p> <p>This is a wholly private enterprise and as such once they have planning consent can pretty much do as they wish</p> <p>I am hoping that you will reject this plan and save the environment, Horsham and the residents</p> <p>Many thanks for your time</p> <p>Regards</p>	
1174	Mrs E Alldridge	-	Object	17-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Whomever it may concern,</p> <p>I am emailing my strong objection for the proposed Incinerator to be built in Horsham by Britannia Crest. As a resident of Horsham and with young children I have objections in regards to the environmental change that will affect us all by allowing the burning of waste. There are also the potential health effects that burning waste will have on all the people that live in Horsham and the surrounding area. As a community we should be working towards recycling and reusing the products that we buy, not incinerating them. This is not showing the next generation what we need to do to save our planet.</p> <p>The burning of the waste stops the re-use of the materials burnt, it is the least environmental way of producing electricity and this goes against Government guidance regarding the production of electricity being carbon neutral to local authorities. The CO2 and NO2 numbers provided by Britanniacrest are incorrect and this has not been checked by the council and rechecked prior to the planning meeting.</p> <p>There is a plan to build 2,500 new homes and schools next to the site. These new homes will be in the path of the plume of smoke rising from the chimney affecting the health of the people that live there, potentially causing medical issues for those that choose to live there.</p> <p>We do not need or want an incinerator in Horsham.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>	
1175	Neil Pitcairn	Bindura, The Avenue, South Nutfield, Redhill, RH1 5RY	Object	17-JUN-2018	<p>Dear councillor,</p> <p>It may very well be that you are tempted to disregard any emails you receive at this stage about the controversial planning application to be discussed on Tuesday morning. Below is simply a little list of questions and topics you may like to raise with the planning officers before coming to any decision on the matter. I hope it helps!</p> <p>All these are topics which have been raised in emails sent to the planning officers, but they have not necessarily found their way into the briefing provided to councillors by officers. I can help with background information on these topics if not easily found via the officers.</p> <p>Britaniacrest incinerator application</p> <p>Causes for concern</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">¿ The mathematical error in the applicant's carbon assessment and consequent misleading result.¿ The failure to follow standard methodology in the applicant's carbon assessment and consequent misleading result.¿ The failure by the applicant to use the correct Marginal Emissions Factor in their carbon assessment.¿ The failure by the applicant (and possibly planning officers) to appreciate fully the importance of DEFRA's Guide to Energy from Waste (February 2014), that it's guidance is a material consideration in planning decisions, and that the Marginal Emissions Factor is an important benchmark indicating the government's desire and policy to decarbonise the UK's energy supply progressively and steadily.¿ The failure of the applicant to propose a method of Energy from Waste which will deliver electricity with CO2 emissions which fit within the guideline benchmarks to decarbonise the grid supply. This is not a problem which the planning authority needs to compromise on. It is the responsibility of the applicant to propose a technology which conforms with the government's decarbonisation policy, not which will progressively undermine it.¿ The apparent mistake by planning officers in suggesting that R1 (Recovery status) will be ensured by the Environment Agency, when the Environment Agency has in an email sent directly to the planning department clarified that this is not the case. It is the responsibility of the Planning Authority to make R1 status a condition of approval and to monitor that status.¿ It is for the Planning Authority to satisfy itself that the waste treatment proposed is the least damaging option from a climate change perspective; this is not a responsibility of the Environment Agency. It is concerning that the applicant has failed, at the very least, to provide evidence that this may be so.¿ Planning officers have apparently, so far, failed to explain that there is an ongoing debate over waste disposal capacity in the UK, that there is clear evidence of over-capacity in mainland Europe where potentially incineration can be carried out more efficiently than in the proposed facility, with for instance in Germany direct replacement of coal power, with use of heat in CHP and thus with the possibility of some short-term CO2 savings. The applicant likewise has failed to explain this option; whereas in the UK coal power will be phased out by 2025. The use of spare incineration capacity in the UK has also not been explored, especially in those areas where statistics indicate recycling rates have stalled because of the availability of incineration. Planning officers have failed to ask the applicant for a more comprehensive need assessment, even though this is a material consideration.¿ Planning officers have failed to ask the applicant for evidence to justify claims in their application that operating the facility will result in reduced NO2 emissions. In fact data in the application suggest a very significant increase in NO2 emissions. Given the lack of evidence of reduced CO2 emissions, the lack of evidence of a need for disposal facility, and the apparent impossibility of generating low carbon electricity, an increase in NO2 emissions seems unreasonable. <p>With best regards</p>	
1176	Ms Ann Marchant	15, Weald Close, Horsham, RH13 6HE	Object	18-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Councillors</p> <p>Please can you vote to be at the forefront of supporting 21st Century recycling by saying NO to the already outdated incineration process.</p> <p>I am writing to you as I feel it's imperative that planning permission shouldn't be given to Britaniacrest's application for an Incinerator in North Horsham and implore you to vote against it tomorrow, Tuesday 19th June.</p> <p>What I learnt from attending the public meeting and listening to the panel of speakers, was shocking. Among very many points made were the following:</p> <p>Neil Pitcairn from UKWIN was able to pinpoint and disprove many key figures and statistics in Britaniacrest's application. I think all the figures given by Britaniacrest should be thoroughly checked and amended where inaccurate before any decision can even be considered.</p> <p>Jonathan Essex, Surrey Councillor stressed the point that incineration is environmentally worse than landfill and that there are already too many incinerators. If recycling gets to the optimal point (which other countries have achieved) there should only be 10% residual material left and hence no need to incinerate.</p> <p>Surely the bigger picture and long term view must be what the decision is based on?</p> <p>Why do we need an incinerator when investing in recycling is the way forward?</p> <p>It is proved that incinerators lead to reductions in local recycling and eventually will lead to waste being imported from further afield and then from abroad.</p> <p>We should be encouraging just the opposite. Approving the application would tie this area into HGV traffic & air and noise pollution for decades.</p> <p>Please, please vote NO.</p>	

					Yours sincerely	
1177	Mrs Padihar	North,, Horsham	Object	18-JUN-2018	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I am a resident of North Horsham and will be highly likely to be affected by its proposed operation and during its construction.</p> <p>I have a back ground in Engineering and am horrified that Horsham District Council has let these plans get to to this stage due to the inaccuracies in this report and the vehement feeling of its residents against this proposal.</p> <p>I object to this proposal due to the following reasons:</p> <p>The visual, environmental impact due to noise, traffic congestion, light and air pollution - 24/7 for the whole year for nearby residents and wildlife. Even with the proposed downsizing of the plant, the site would be a blight on the countryside due to the visibility of the chimney for miles around. The smells emitted from the plant would be particularly unpleasant, however the air pollutants released are of greater concern, particularly the CO2 and NO2 levels likely to be emitted.</p> <p>I rise health and safety concerns for residents due to the high levels of air pollutants introduced by the Incinerator itself and transportation volumes to and from it. The increase in CO2 and NO2 plus other toxins, I refer you to the UKWIN report on this. In Canada for example, research has shown the increase in carcinogens and impact in residents, I refer you to the British Society for Ecological Medicine published in 2008. There is no evidence to support that there are Nil impacts on public health due to the Incinerator.</p> <p>The traffic congestion caused during the operation and as the site is built, will impact adversely on my commute.</p> <p>The Britannia Crest report is written extremely poorly and is misleading due to the errors made in calculations up to factor conversions of a 1000 times. Ie 0.70tCO2e/km rather than 0.70kgCO2e/km, for transportation purposes. The result of this is the report masks the true extent of CO2 emission by more than 2.75 million tonnes of carbon.</p> <p>I oppose due the increase in greenhouse gases emissions which have proven to adversely impact all our lives. The Incinerator would add approximately over 9million tonnes of CO2 in approx a period of 10years. Can this decision really be justified by educated, morale decision makers?</p> <p>Alternative disposal methods have not been explored in detail by the planning officers. Research from UK DEFRA waste team states for example that mechanical biological treatment landfill provides the best (low) emission performance for waste disposal. Sorting waste, recycling and reusing also has a better impact on society, as created more jobs than the planned Incinerator, and the environment. If this issue is highlighting that the waste management strategy is not fit for purpose for residents it should be examined rather than being adhered to.</p> <p>The R1 mistake by planning officers - the environment agency will not be approving or monitoring this site - it is the sole duty of the local planning authority.</p> <p>I object to the building of this Incinerator on the doorstep of residents, particularly as across from the new approved housing development, due to the impacts stated above. I do not believe alternate options have been fully researched and analysed. I hope my objections and concerns, and the concerns of many, many others are taken fully into account - not just for my generation, but for my children's generation and the next.</p> <p>Yours truly</p>	
1178	Brian Stone - Senior C# Web Developer	Resident, Horsham	Object	18-JUN-2018	<p>Dear respected members of West Sussex Council,</p> <p>I am writing to you as a local resident of Horsham, father of two children and Fin Tech senior computer developer of Creative Techonologies a subsidiary of Schroeders (who are currently based in Horsham, and whose building is next to the proposed incinerator site, on Langhurst Wood Road). I am asking you to reject the proposal for the new incinerator.</p> <p>Let me first explain the deep disquiet in our office about the possibility of working next to such an incinerator. People are not happy about it. We have another office in Brighton, and highly skilled financial technology computer developers are considering working there, in East Sussex instead. We are skilled high tech developers who may well move on the grounds of the perception to the risk to health.</p> <p>Even if there is not an actual health risk, there is the strong perception of a long term risk to health to their families. There is disquiet more broadly in Horsham anyway for a number of reasons: the perception of Horsham as a safe healthy Conservative town is diminishing: over development is perceived; rising violent crime is perceived. Now toxic air is perceived. It is this perception that will be damaging to the Conservative party.</p> <p>I understand the the difficulty in managing waste, and that tough decisions are needed, but i urge you to be pioneers in imaginative solutions, not ones that may have a toxic legacy on the people of West Sussex. Please also be pioneers in considering the opinion of local people. Imagination, the respect of local opinion, the health of the people, and the love of our Green county are solid principles.</p> <p>Please think long term. Thank you for your time and your hard work.</p> <p>Sincerely</p>	