## Print Room QSP (EU)

From:	James Neave <james.neave@westsussex.gov.uk></james.neave@westsussex.gov.uk>
Sent:	06 February 2014 16:18
То:	Jenny Massingham; lucy.wood@bartonwillmore.co.uk
Cc:	gareth.wilson@bartonwillmore.co.uk
Subject:	FW: WSCC/083/13/KD

## Jenny,

In addition to that below there are a number of additional points for your attention. Again, it would make sense that these are covered in the Reg 22 response.

- ES Appendix 10.5 Road Safety Assessment notes that '*it is understood that use of the haul route will take place over a period of up to <u>6 months'</u>. Please can you ensure that the Safety Assessor was/is aware of the full proposed development programme, including the varying vehicular activity, for the 3 year period sought, and advise whether the Assessment would change as a result.*
- ES Chapter 11, 11.73 notes that 'the site boundaries are a ditch system that leads to a Class 2 Interceptor so that only uncontaminated run-off water is released form the drill site area'. However, ES Chapter 6, 6.53 seems to suggests that the contents of the perimeter ditch and compound sump would be emptied and transported by road tanker to an approved waste disposal facility. Please clarify the proposed method of surface water treatment in this regard. In addition, it is not clear if any specific measures are proposed with regard to management of potential pollutants arising from vehicles utilising the proposed parking area and access track. Please clarify and confirm whther any vehicles other than contractors cars would use the car park.
- ES Chapter 11, 11.53 suggests that '*drilling as far as the Upper Purbeck will be accomplished using fresh water'*. Please confirm or otherwise whether this involves <u>only</u> Fresh water and how this differs from deeper drilling that it is understood to require chemical additives.

Regards,

James Neave

James Neave| Planner, Communities & Infrastructure, <u>West Sussex County Council</u> | Location: Strategic Planning Business Unit, 2nd Floor Northleigh, County Hall, Chichester, PO19 1RH Internal: 25571 | External: (+44) 033022 25571| E-mail: <u>james.neave@westsussex.gov.uk</u>

From: James Neave Sent: 20 December 2013 11:11 To: lucy.wood@bartonwillmore.co.uk; jennymassingham@celtiqueenergie.com Cc: gareth.wilson@bartonwillmore.co.uk Subject: WSCC/083/13/KD

Jenny/Lucy,

Further to my letter of 04/12/13 requesting further information under Reg 22, there are a number of additional points for which I request clarification. It would seem to make sense that these clarification points are addressed in the same submission for ease of reference.

Please can you address the following;

- Having looked at the fullest scenario of the proposals and taking into account worst case duration for each phase, it would seem that the might exceed the 3 year temporary period sought? (i.e. construction:10 weeks + vertical well:10 weeks + deciding to drill horizontal well: 52 weeks + horizontal well: 12 weeks + short term testing:2 weeks + EWT:26 weeks + Retention: 104 weeks + Restoration: 10 weeks. TOTAL = 226 weeks/4.35 years?). Please clarify how the applicant would ensure that the fullest scenario (for which planning permission is sought) can be achieved within the 3 year temporary period set out in the application description. In this regard, would the applicant consider conditions restricting individual phases and periods of relative dormancy? There needs to be certainty that the development can reasonably be expected to be completed and site restored within the period sought.
- Para 4.45 suggests that gas would be `vented and flared'. Para 4.46 suggests that `gas would be burnt off rather than realised to the atmosphere'. Please can you clarify what the likely scenario might be, and refer to the relevant legislation/permitting process that controls emissions to air.
- The hours of working have been clearly set out for the construction, mobilisation, drilling and testing periods. However, it is not clear the proposed hours of working for the restoration phase. Presumably this would be the same as for construction? In addition, please could you clarify if there would be site operatives on the site during this period?
- Please can you confirm that the restoration proposals include the removal of the new section of the access track? In addition, would the access point be retained (as modified) post completion? This may benefit from being detailed on revised plans.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any queries regarding the above,

Regards,

James Neave

James Neave| Planner, Communities & Infrastructure, <u>West Sussex County Council</u> | Location: Strategic Planning Business Unit, 2nd Floor Northleigh, County Hall, Chichester, PO19 1RH Internal: 25571 | External: (+44) 033022 25571 | E-mail: <u>james.neave@westsussex.gov.uk</u>

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the persons addressed. If it has come to you in error please reply to advise us but you should not read it, copy it, show it to anyone else nor make any other use of its content. West Sussex County Council takes steps to ensure emails and attachments are virus-free but you should carry out your own checks before opening any attachment.