

Comment for planning application WSCC/046/23

Application number

Name

Address

Type of Comment

Comments 2. Dubious proposals for re-use of the site: geothermal energy needs to be explored in stable geological conditions. One of the reasons for rejecting the theory that the spate of earth tremors in the area close to Horse Hill was that there had been an earthquake in the Billingshurst area. Has this been mentioned in UKOG documents?
3. Expense of UKOG plan for geothermal energy: I wonder if they have adequate financial backing for this complex and expensive process which requires expertise in an area new to UKOG. Shareholders are responsive to company plans and I note that, from a high of 1.75p per share on 1 May 2018, in the last year they have declined from roughly 0.06p per share to 0.017.
4. The state of existing wells: when will they be properly capped and dealt with? These deferrals mean that wells, always in danger of leaking, will remain a threat to local strata.
I hope that you will consider my points and reject this application, insisting that restoration commence without delay.
Thank you.
(Mrs) Pat Smith"/>

Received

Attachments