

Comment for planning application WSCC/030/21

Application number	<input type="text" value="WSCC/030/21"/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Chris Chessell"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="1 CROSSWAYS COTTAGE, PETWORTH ROAD, PETWORTH ROAD, BILLINGSHURST, RH14 0DR"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Comments	<p>There has been minimal change in the submission that would change the nature of the original application as such all of my previous objections stand.</p> <p>the public right of way users and HGV will still use the same public right of way, 795. an automatic barrier for HGV access crosses bridleway 3240 and footpath, yet it does not address that such barrier can only be placed on one side of the bridleway, yet HGV traffic will travel in both directions. There's been no mention of how this will be powered nor has it been made clear how the risk to public users of the path will be handled.</p> <p>Although the applicant no longer proposes to request the closure of the footpath along the northern boundary of the site (PROW 792-1), but has said nothing about the related consequences of this.</p> <p>The waste and minerals policies in place to protect the community and the environment from inappropriate development have been questioned which bleeds me to believe they would not be complied with.</p> <p>The transport consultants advised of underestimating the number of vehicles to and fro, and they consider that there would or could be 200% more than LCP have said. This would drastically increases the impact on Loxwood Road and the A281 junction but also access through the tranquility of the woodland.</p> <p>Transport consultants advised that the visibility at the entrance is even less than the absolute minimum figure the applicant is relying on.</p> <p>I am concerned about the increased risk of a car travelling west, towards Loxwood colliding into the rear of an HGV waiting to enter the LCP site at the lay-by entrance.</p> <p>The applicant has failed to provide details about how they will address the water stress issues.</p> <p>The Bat Survey referenced relates to Horsham District policies when this site is in Chichester district, therefore is irrelevant</p>
Received	<input type="text" value="09/02/2022 22:39:14"/>
Attachments	