

# Comment for planning application WSCC/030/21

Application number	<input type="text" value="WSCC/030/21"/>
Name	<input type="text" value="James Dore"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="FARM CLOSE, 6, FARM CLOSE, BILLINGSHURST, RH14 0SU"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Comments	<p>There are many reasons why this application should be rejected by the Council not least of which is the criticism by the applicant of the minerals and waste policies that WSCC has put in place, which help protect our community and the environment from inappropriate development, which this application certainly is,</p> <p>The claims by the applicant about the demands for clay and potential uses for it are questionable and unsubstantiated and the application continues to contravene WSCC Minerals Policy M5.</p> <p>There is no reassurance of the safety factors concerning the sharing of PROW users and HGV use of PROW 795. An automatic barrier being placed where the HGV traffic crosses bridleway 3240 and footpath does not fully address the situation with no mention of how risk to public users of the path will be mitigated.</p> <p>There are many other reasons for rejecting this application including Transport where the vehicle movements have been dramatically underestimated, Ecology and Water Neutrality where the water stress issue has been ignored and the biodiversity where the status/legal position of the European Protected Species as updated since leaving the EU is not mentioned.</p> <p>Add to the above the desecration of woodland and wildlife that would take place in order to make profit makes this an application that must be rejected now!</p> <p>James Dore</p>
Received	<input type="text" value="08/02/2022 11:13:26"/>
Attachments	