

# Comment for planning application WSCC/030/21

Application number	<input type="text" value="WSCC/030/21"/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Shelley Woodage"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="PANCAKE COTTAGE, STATION ROAD, STATION ROAD, BILLINGSHURST, RH14 0SJ"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Comments	<p>In addition to my earlier comments on this application.</p> <p>The demand for clay is still unproven and no further evidence of any value was supplied. The same argument can also be applied to waste recycling.</p> <p>I cannot understand why an application for something which will have such a hugely negative impact on the environment and represents a considerable danger to pedestrians can even be considered, especially when the applicant has ignored the many species which will be impacted. Also, where they have completed surveys they've only carried out this vital evidence in a very limited way, which fails to consider fully the planned development's impact.</p> <p>I also believe the applicant is underestimating the number of additional lorries needed for this planned operation. In addition, the authorities have no suitable ways of enforcing these and other undertakings promised.</p> <p>I'm also extremely concerned about the increased in traffic and safety for pedestrians, cyclists and horse riders. The additional documents have done nothing to mitigate these concerns.</p> <p>The applicant no longer proposes to request the closure of the footpath along the northern boundary of the site (PROW 792-1) but is silent on the related consequences: How will the impact for PROW users be mitigated (noise, dust, loss of amenity and tranquility) Safety concerns. No details about boundary security fencing around a site which will have deep pits. PROW users and HGV will still share PROW 795. An automatic barrier being placed where the HGV access crosses bridleway 3240 and footpath does not fully address the following points: A single barrier can only be placed on one side of the bridleway. However, HGV traffic will travel in both directions. There is no mention of how this barrier will be powered and furthermore It is not clear how the risk to public users of the path will be mitigated.</p> <p>I would therefore ask you to refuse this application.</p>
Received	<input type="text" value="07/02/2022 17:43:33"/>
Attachments	