Comment for planning application WSCC/030/21

Application number	WSCC/030/21	
Name	Lydon King	
Address	6 Barley Mews RUDGWICK RH12 3XL	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Comments	I wish to object strongly to the proposed development by Loxwood Clay Pits Ltd for the following reasons.	
	First and foremost, the developers are disguising the real reason for the development, which is to put in a construction and demolition waste processing site, by claiming the primary reason is for the supply of clay. This is patently not the case as there are clay reserves of 25 years in sites around the county, and even the ones that are close do not use Weald clay, which is the type that would be dug here. Plus bricks are normally made on the site of clay pits because of the high cost of transport of raw clay. The final point on this is also that according to the developers own application, they will be refilling partly with clay from building foundations, most of which will be from areas geographically far from the development itself, just as the construction and demolition waste will be produced far from the proposed development. This goes contrary to Government guidelines. Second, and perhaps most importantly this is a greenfield site, and National Policy states that waste sites should be situated in built-up areas or brownfield sites. This development would include a 15,000 sq ft building in pristine woodland, not to mention the other building and quarrying operations, which would visually impact this entire area, including light pollution due to floodlight operation, as well as spread noise, dust and other pollutants around the site, impacting footpaths, bridleways and even the nearby Rikkyo School. Third, the proposed development would severely impact the access road to the site - 1.6km long - which would need to be widened, resurfaced in places and a hard standing wheel washing facility built, all within this site of ancient woodland. Not only that, the impact on bridleways and footpaths that the access road crosses, would make any kind of leisure activity in that area - walking, running, cycling etc - much more dangerous, not to mention the proposed closing of footpath 792-1. The Sussex Border Path - a shining example of a council created amenity - will also be impacted due to its proximity to the pro	
Received	hereby strongly object to 18/01/2022 13:41:23	
Attachments		

Attachments