

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PL Planning Applications](#)
Subject: FW: Panning application number. WSCC/030/21
Date: 29 August 2021 20:40:10

[REDACTED]

From: Cant, Philip
Sent: 29 August 2021 19:38
To: planning.application@westsussex.gov.uk
Subject: Panning application number. WSCC/030/21

Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish to object to the planning application stated above WSCC/030/21.

It is without doubt totally inappropriate, and truly unbelievable that an application has been made for a site like this, in an area like this.

The fact that someone is asking for a site that doesn't have road access, to use as a commercial recycling plant supplied by HGV vehicles is totally unacceptable.

With only the A281, then a B road, from a junction that is a bus turn around with two garages in Bucks Green, to get to the entrance of the site by bridle ways, is dangerous to the extreme

If the site is accessed from any other direction it will be the same for the villages of Alfold, Loxwood, Wisboro, Rudgwick, the roads are just not suitable

Skip lorry's entering and leaving the site all day would have a major impact on the roads, the people who live on them, work walk ride and enjoy the peace and quiet of these surroundings.

The lanes aren't wide enough for HGV traffic, they are country lanes, not highways.

The damage to the environment, green belt countryside with ancient woodland, and an area of protected wildlife.

The noise pollution as well as exhaust, dust and rubbish from the load will blight the area.

The fact also that at the layby will be lost to wheel washing and everything that goes with that is not appropriate for that sort of country lane, that is without the loss of the layby to park in for dog walker's and ramblers, an area that is well used by all who need space and fresh air for well being.

I have the feeling the idea of clay pits is just a smoke screen for what will become a commercial waste transfer station.

There is no shortage of clay in Sussex, nor UK wide. The reserve's of clay run for the next 25-30 years. The company's locally who do have clay pits produce the brick's on site.

That is the only viable way to produce bricks, not dig out clay to transport it somewhere else off site to make bricks.

It also makes no sense at all to skip commercial rubbish a mile from the nearest B road to sort it and bring out 50% of it, that is totally unviable

Construction rubbish is one of the worst sort of rubbish, plaster board now classed as hazardous, and

has to be bagged from site to licenced depot for disposal.

Asbestos is still being found in out building's and re-fit's ,and this too is hazardous and has to be treated with special care.

And that is without cement based materials.

The thought of 'unprotected' commercial waste driving around this area is unacceptable, everyone in the area is at risk.

The breeze will carry dust, smell and noise for miles around, what are the guarantee's that there will be no hazardous content?

The National policy states that 'waste sites should be sited in built up area's or brownfield sites'

How does this application fall into that category when it is a green field area?

I feel very angry that this proposal has been put forward when it does not comply with some basic points of qualification, mainly the green field site, ancient woodland and protected species of wildlife.

Yours.

Philip Cant
6 Cape Copse
Rudgwick
Horsham
West Sussex
RH12 3HG

