Comment for planning application WSCC/030/21

| Application number | WSCC/030/21 | |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| Name | Susanne Press | |
| Address | AMBLEHURST MANOR, PETWORTH ROAD, PETWORTH ROAD, BILLINGSHURST, RH14 0EP | |
| Type of Comment | Objection | |
| | I object to this planning application on the grounds that it is unnecessary, the location unsuitable; and the impacts on local communities, traffic, tranquility and environment unacceptable. | |
| | First and foremost, as per WSCC's own Minerals Monitoring Report, there is no demonstratable demand for additional brick making clay, entirely negating the premise of building new clay pits. It is unnecessary. Without the associated clay pits, there is no justification for a commercial waste recycling plant, especially as there is sufficient capacity for construction and demolition waste in West Sussex in established locations. It is thus also unnecessary. | |
| | Plan nor the Chichester D setting in terms of size, b brickworks for storage for environmentally unsound sites should be situated in greenfield site. The increased HGV traffic villages, hamlets, other r not built for the width and users. There will be an a on a restricted width carr | pletely unsuitable and conforms neither with the Loxwood Neighbourhood District Local Plan for development in a rural area. It is insensitive to its bulk and location. Any extracted clay would need to be transported to a r future use. Industry standards indicate that it is uneconomical and to transport clay over any distance. National policy also states that waste n built-up areas or brownfield sites. The application however is for a c associated with this proposal (estimated at 300%) will severely impact local oad users, pedestrians, and the road network itself. These are country roads d bulk of sustained HGV traffic resulting in a severe safety risk for all other cute impact on highway safety along Loxwood road from high passing speeds iageway. There are additional safety concerns in the villages themselves |
| | The proposed access rout Pephurst Wood, an irrepla bridle and foot paths to the in the midst of pristine we environmental cost. Sign felled, contaminating poll on the woodland ecosyste further strain on an alrea | essentially single lane due to parked vehicles. te of 4.55km would obliterate the lay-by amenities and parking area at aceable designated Ancient Woodland, and severely impact long established he detriment of local users and the environment. The proposed site itself is oodland. This would not only be visually offensive but would come at great hificant noise and light pollution would be introduced, mature trees would be utants from vehicles, industry and waste released; all with devastating effect em and wildlife as well as the adjacent farming activity. The site would put dy stressed water supply and inevitably would result in an increase of net he burning of fossil fuels, the removal of tree canopy by felling and and floor. |
| | It is obscene that in a day and age when individuals are urged to plant wildflowers for dwindling pollinator populations, plant trees against threatening climate change, conserve energy and reduce their CO2 footprint, that a destructive and polluting industry application such as this is being entertained in this setting and location. | |
| Received | 30/08/2021 20:36:11 | |
| Attachments | | |