Comment for planning application WSCC/030/21

Application number	WSCC/030/21	
Name	Joan Algar	
Address	19 Runnymede Road Whickham NE16 4LD	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Comments	I strongly object to this planning application. I have family that live in Loxwood and visit them regularly. When we visit we always spend time walking the in beautiful woods that will be destroyed with the application. The most significant impact will be the noise and pollution, and the disruption to our access to public rights of way. There would be a massive loss of wildlife, including insects, as the trees and natural land are destroyed. This represents an unacceptable impact on a public amenity an enjoyment of this rural tranquil area as the development area is surrounded on all sides by close proximity of public rights of way which are in regular use. The high level of HGV traffic represents an unacceptable impact on public safety and amenity along the length of the route. The access routes planned onto the major highways are via a restricted width (C classified) road, with road widths in both directions subject to numerous pinch points which are unable to accommodate more than a single passing HGV simultaneously. This is a greenfield site; National policy states that waste sites should be sited in built-up areas or brownfield sites. The actual driving distance from layby site access point to the Lorry Route network (on A281), is 3.25 km. This exceeds the recommended distance. There is a further 1.3km to reach the site from the lay-by access on woodland tracks. Thus the total distance from site to the LRN is 4.55km, mostly along an unsuitable, minor road. The application includes a 15,000 sq ft building amid pristine woodlands. The ancillary building and quarrying operation would have a significant visual impact in the landscape. There are no other buildings withir the woodland. The proposed development would result in unacceptable conflicts/impacts with adjace and established farming activity. I also understand that there is no actual need for additional clay resources so the main argument for destroying an area of natural beauty is plainly wrong and not on of need but of profit.	
Received	29/08/2021 14:09:38	

Attachments