Comment for planning application WSCC/030/21

Application number Name Address

Type of

Comments Comments

WSCC/030/21

Steve Kenward

PATHFIELD ROAD, 6, PATHFIELD ROAD, HORSHAM, RH12 3HS

Objection

My objection is based on; a lack of need, the potential to misuse, a damaged environment and excessive inappropriate vehicle movements.

It would appear that there is no requirement for the clay to be extracted as part of this proposal. (West Sussex Minerals Plan 2018-2033). It could be imagined that should there be a demand such an application may well have been received from an entity other than a dormant company with no background in extraction of clay or brick making.

With no demand for the extracted material, the profitable prize is clearly the resulting "hole". If this is to be used for recycling building materials then the application must be rejected on the basis that locally in a rural greenfield setting there is not a volume of such material to be recycled.

The potential to misuse the hole once created, and for a general landfill site to develop, is also a reason for my objection.

If there is a need for such a construction material recycling facility, it must be on a brownfield site and on a LRN, with the spoil from the required hole being used to landscape the area.

The damage to the environment has been commented upon in many of the objections. This application is a violation of all that should be maintained as natural habitat and must not be supported, or approved.

Access to the LRN cannot be allowed over the existing country road network, the roads are unsuitable and the traffic hazards presented are unacceptable, thus the proposal must be rejected. Even with a private road creating direct access to the LRN (A281), this application must still be rejected on the basis of the traffic issues that it creates.

Received

29/08/2021 08:53:09

Attachments