

Comment for planning application WSCC/030/21

Application number	<input type="text" value="WSCC/030/21"/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Mark Final"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="SPRING COTTAGE, TISMANS COMMON, TISMANS COMMON, HORSHAM, RH12 3BL"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Comments	<p>I most strongly object to this planning application.</p> <p>First and foremost, the proposed increase in HGVs along unsuitable rural roads, with minimal pavements (as is normal for a rural environment), will affect the safety of the school route for my young son, and would impact at least another 8 years of his school life, twice a day. We live in a rural environment by choice for many reasons including minimising such dangers from large, fast lorries, and being in Tismans Common, additional HGV traffic will be right on our doorstep from this proposal.</p> <p>In addition to school commutes being affecting, any such major development in the currently tranquil woodlands in the area, would raise serious issues for me giving my son some freedom to explore the area when he gets to an age suitable for such experiences. The proposal is not a short term development, but very much something that will affect his entire youth and beyond. As such, I feel a development such as that proposed, would at least spoil opportunities for what could be an adventurous time growing up for him.</p> <p>Returning to the roads, and being a driver of the roads on the proposed route to the proposed entrance, it is clear that these roads were never designed to sustain regular HGV traffic. Given other examples in the area, such as Foxbridge Lane in Ifold, that carried heavy goods vehicles to and from Crouchlands farm; the road was in a terrible state after continued use by the lorries, and required regular repairs to be passable. Would WSCC be happy to carry the (ongoing) cost of additional repairs to the roads on the proposed routes?</p> <p>In terms of the use of the development itself, I have been reliably informed that there are more than 25 years of reserves of clay for WSCC. With no obvious need for more clay, there is thus no reasonable need for a collocated commercial waste site, given there is already sufficient capacity to deal with such waste in West Sussex. Any development on the scale proposed, on the unsuitable (given National policy) greenfield site, will have huge impact on the environment (light, noise, protected and common wildlife, atmospheric and ground pollution) that it in no way can ever be described as being able to 'enhance, protect or compliment the natural environment'.</p> <p>Please do not let this proposal spoil the area for all, and in particular, for my little boy.</p>
Received	<input type="text" value="28/08/2021 20:29:34"/>
Attachments	