

Comment for planning application WSCC/030/21

Application number	<input type="text" value="WSCC/030/21"/>
Name	<input type="text" value="David Rayers"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="FOXHOLES, 17, FOXHOLES, HORSHAM, RH12 3DX"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Comments	<p>My objection is for a number of reasons.</p> <p>Firstly the access to the site assumes HGV traffic travels regularly along what is essentially an unsuitable narrow country road and I find the calculation that two 2.5m HGV lorries can always (just) pass misleading as it does not take into account real life conditions of hedgerows, parked cars, cyclists, wildlife and walkers. This road is not a suitable for constant HGV traffic and will make the road more hazardous for users. This is obvious to anyone who has used the road rather than just consulted a map.</p> <p>Any sensible plans would locate a recycling plant close or with direct access to an established HGV route, where it is safer.</p> <p>The normal approach would locate a brick factory next door to the extraction, as has happened historically. It uses fewer lorry miles and is therefore greener also.</p> <p>Secondly the claim that we need lots more clay now because reserves are low is nonsense. The extensive clay deposits all around this area will not disappear and will still be here in 25 years time. An appropriate strategy is to identify the resources (preferably close to existing works) much nearer the time. For all we know clay demand may be much lower then with new building technology needing to reduce the carbon footprint. Building and cement (which uses clay) are a major contributor to global warming.</p> <p>The applicants have not established a need for more clay, particularly in a place where there is no associated brickworks. This means that the local environment would suffer to provide something that is not really needed, certainly at this time.</p>
Received	<input type="text" value="26/08/2021 16:23:46"/>
Attachments	