

Comment for planning application WSCC/030/21

Application number	<input type="text" value="WSCC/030/21"/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Paul Farthing"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="MALLARDS FARM, BILLINGSHURST, RH14 0QW"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Comments	<p>The planning application proposes significant development for its rural development. My objections is based on three grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The development will involve an unreasonable level of increase in HGV vehicles for country roads and the proposed layby access through the woods to the site. This represents a significant increase in road usage, risk to road safety and traffic noise levels to the affected roads and houses. This a large lorry every 10 minutes or so.2. Even the developers own noise maps indicates that some residents will be affected by noise from the site, where currently they do not experience this.3. The developers business case for development does not provide substantive enough benefits to warrant the disruption to the local community and the damage to the environment. It relies on a very long-assessment of the economic benefits and a set of assumptions of demands for bricks, to generate the figures in the Social Economic Impact, with no guarantees that this impact will be felt by the local community i.e. jobs could all go to people who do not live locally. Further, if the demand for bricks was there why did Rudgwick bricks works close with 30 years of reserves left (the developers analysis). If WSCC is concerned about the provision on building materials, then seeking a new partner to open the Rudgwick facility would seem to be a much better approach. <p>I object to this planning application on the grounds that the consequences outweigh the benefits, and the economic benefits will not be realised.</p>
Received	<input type="text" value="26/08/2021 14:25:31"/>
Attachments	