Comment for planning application WSCC/030/21

Application number	WSCC/030/21	
Name	Peter Richard Eric Collis	
Address	34A, NICHOLSFIELD, NICHOLSFIELD, BILLINGSHURST, RH14 0SR	
Type of Comment Comments	Objection	
	I would like to object to th	is ridiculous and dangerous planning application for the following reasons;
	1) Demand for clay is sim	ply not there. est WSCC Minerals Monitoring Report, there is no demand for additional
		ave more than 25 years of reserves.
	- There are three sites wit	h 25 years supply of clay and one with 24 years, therefore the national and
	local level clay requiremen	
	brickworks.	t for clay demand is further superseded by the closure of West Hoathly
		ks may not have 25 years' supply on site, it does not use Weald clay (the
	kind of clay in the applicat	tion site).
		consolidation in local brick making, rather than new brickworks opening.
	- Clay extraction is typical unsound to transport clay	ly adjacent to brick making factories. It is uneconomic and environmentally
		there is no justification for a waste site at this unsuitable location.
		ent capacity for Construction and Demolition waste in West Sussex, in
	established	
	locations which are far mo	
		b recycle only 50% of the waste brought onto site. This is well below d. They propose to use the remainder for backfilling rather than improving
		er, an increased recycling rate would result in a further increase in HGV
	movements	
		langerous, and it will if agreed cause significant accidents and loss of life.
		(c300%) in HGV traffic volumes will present a severe safety risk for existing d Road. This has not been fully assessed.
		s arrangements are not 'safe and suitable' as claimed and represent a severe
	risk to	
	other road users and pede	
	- There remains a risk of i unless a	mpact on highway safety and residential amenity through Loxwood village
		red, adhered to and properly policed.
		pract on highway safety along Loxwood Road from high passing speeds on a
		ay. The road is just 5.1m wide at its narrowest point and 5.5m along most if
	its length.	we as father issues from LICV/a antening and exiting the Levrenged Dead/A201
	junction at	ay safety issue from HGVs entering and exiting the Loxwood Road/A281
	Bucks Green.	
	3) This is simply not a suit	
		National policy states that waste sites should be sited in built-up areas or
	brownfield sites.	as from lowby site access point to the Lowey Doute notwork (on A201) is
		ce from layby site access point to the Lorry Route network (on A281), is recommended distance. There is a further 1.3km to reach the site from the
	lay-by access on	
		e total distance from site to the LRN is 4.55km, mostly along an unsuitable,
	minor road.	
		a 15,000 sq ft building amid pristine woodlands. The ancillary building and d have a significant visual impact in the landscape. There are no other
	buildings within the wood	
		ent would result in unacceptable conflicts/impacts with adjacent and
	established	
	farming activity.	
		s development would damage the local environment!
		area for water, and the development would further exacerbate this. elopment site have been identified as having potential roost features for
		e amongst the first to be felled.
		Fied on the site are four threatened (priority species). For example, the
		Sussex and is an International European Protected Species.

- The development will result in an increase in net carbon emissions from the burning of fossil fuels; removal of tree canopy by felling and disturbance of the woodland floor.

- Greener environments are associated with better mental health and wellbeing outcomes, including reduced levels of depression, anxiety, fatigue, and enhanced quality of life for both children and adults.

- The development will have a major impact on local wildlife habitat and species. For example, there is an ac_1 tive badger sett within close proximity of the lay-by, parking and wheel wash area that was not referenced in the application.

- The lay-by parking area where the wheel wash is proposed to be sighted (Pephurst Wood) is in irreplaceable designated Ancient Woodland

From the above points, and many more that could be used it is quite clear that this is not a suitable site for this development.

Received 25/08/2021 22:31:47

Attachments