

Comment for planning application WSCC/030/21

Application number	<input type="text" value="WSCC/030/21"/>
Name	<input type="text" value="David Ellis"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="WINDACRES BUNGALOW, CHURCH STREET, CHURCH STREET, HORSHAM, RH12 3EG"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Comments	<p>Subject: WSCC/030/21 - objection Mr. D.L.Ellis Windacres Bungalow, Church Street, Rudgwick, West Sussex, RH12 3EG My objection to the planning application above follow. My wife and I have lived in Rudgwick for over 40 years and gradually over that time developments have radically changed the nature of the village and its surroundings. This application is just another step in the wrong direction. The development does not confirm to the Chichester District Local Plan for development of rural areas. The development plans are ambiguous to say the least. The surrounding infrastructure is not suitable for such a development, it will not enhance the area or offer economic benefit (other than that to the landowners) and on a wider scale there is no shortage of clay (provisions for next 25 years in place) There is no benefit for future generations - "Strong, vibrant and healthy communities" are not created or maintained by "developing" ancient or beautiful popular woodlands Local recycling facilities are adequate and this proposal is not in line with a strategy of education, household waste minimization or acceptable percentages for the recycling of household waste The environmental impact: According to Southern Water, the Government and other agencies the South East is classed as an area of serious water stress. The necessity for further housing is emotive but arguably necessary. This development is not. The area is used by walkers, cyclists and horse riders. It is tranquil and remote. Light pollution, noise, noise disturbance, pollutants will all have a serous impact on the area not to mention mental health and wellbeing; two subjects that are very relevant in today. Highway Safety The Loxwood Road to the A281 is narrow in parts 5.1m, not maintained to an acceptable standard and has numerous concealed entrances and blind bends. A 300% increase in HGV's presents a very real danger to walkers, horse riders and cyclists(who use this road, cross the roads to access the joining footpath and bridleway networks). At this time there seems to be an unclear how the footpaths in the immediate vicinity of the 1.3 km access road to the development will be changed to ensure the safety of the community who walk and enjoy the area. The provisions to protect the walkers and dogs, not on leads, from the constant stream of HGV's is inadequate and unacceptable. In summary I strongly object to this planning application. There is no demand for clay or the need for further recycling plants of this nature. There is no justification for such a facility on such an unsuitable site. 15,000 square foot buildings in woodlands of such natural beauty has an irreversible environmental impact, closes footpaths, implements lengthy diversions and HGV's create severe risk and very present danger.</p>
Received	<input type="text" value="23/08/2021 13:02:56"/>
Attachments	