Comment for planning application WSCC/030/21

Application number Name

WSCC/030/21

Edward Butler

HAWTHORNS, CHURCH STREET, CHURCH STREET, HORSHAM, RH12 3EJ

Type of Comment Comments

Address

Objection

Putting aside the fact that the proposed plan does not conform with either the Loxwood neighbourhood plan nor the Chichester district local plan this proposed development is an abomination for many reasons, that I am extremely happy to outline to WSCC

Like many families we moved to Rudgwick because we fell in love with the peaceful surroundings and the lack of noise pollution. The reported increase of traffic by as much as 300% threatens our rural village in so many ways but perhaps most importantly, on our safety. The amount of HGV traffic on the A281 junction entering our village will be a cause for great concern for many young mothers who walk their children to school and cross the road at this point. The road is actually not wide enough for two HGVs to pass each other. It would, therefore, be totally unsafe if this were to go ahead.

I note that there is to be a closure of a footpath (792-1) which is used by many walkers. This will be closed for 33 years, a total lack of regard for the local community. If our dogs could object they would as they love their walks there.

As an advocate of conservation and campaigner against climate change I am devastated to learn of the obvious the impact the development will have on local wildlife and species. Tree canopies will be destroyed and wildlife killed. At a time where we are all being constantly told to reduce our carbon emissions this proposed development will have an extremely detrimental impact on people's lives as well as the animals. The dust and fumes that will emanate from the site will put the elderly and those with respiratory disease such as asthma at greater risk. The impact of the 15,000sqft building as well as the actual pit itself will have a devastating impact on local flora and fauna as well as nearby farming.

It isn't without a hint of irony that I note from the latest WSCC minerals monitoring report that there is no mention of a demand for brick making clay, maybe I have missed something but I don't believe so. Further, there is no justification for a waste site at this unsuitable location. This is a Greenfield site and national policy dictates that waste sites should only be sited in built up areas or Brownfield sites.

Lastly, I also note that the plan will not bring any benefits to the local community. For all these reasons (and perhaps more) I cannot, in good conscience, allow this development to go ahead and I wholeheartedly object to it.

Received

17/08/2021 15:39:07

Attachments