From: [redacted]
To: PL Planning Applications
Subject: application WSCC/011/21
Date: 15 August 2021 19:36:08

Dear Sirs,

Further to the recent letter delivered to us ([redacted] Ford) and dated 8th Aug.

I wish to further object to this proposed planning development. The answers from the applicant do not endure me to want to support this application.

Some points I noted.

View 36 for us would be very important and just seems to be dismissed by the applicant without a genuine reason.

One statement indicates the delivery doors will be kept closed unless a delivery is being made, to reduce odours, then in another statement they state the doors will be left open during busy periods to reduce noise produced by the opening and closing of the doors. So we can't win either way, either reducing the odours but put up with noise or keep noise down but put up with odours.

The dismissal of the questions on the plume visualisation is concerning and just to state that it will be infrequent is not acceptable. Those of us who will have this waste facility on our doorstep need to know these things.

There seems to be a very dismissive attitude of the applicant to the visual impact of the massive industrial building and twin chimneys. This area is very flat and low lying and this development would have a major visual impact on the a significant area around the site. The road infrastructure is already overloaded and can't cope with the proposed HGV increase. A significant number of needed housing is planned to be built very close to this incinerator, who is going to want to live in those houses? Ourselves and neighbours have already agreed that if this gets the go-ahead after so many objections then we will leave the area, simple as that!

There also seems to be some form of conspiracy to the "support" letters to this project. A significant number start with the same paragraph, ie "Grundon is a trustworthy British Company that has been in operation and successfully run by the
same family for over 90 years." With the "supporter" not indicating their address. It is clear that these support letters have been written in a "copy and paste" style and do not represent the true feelings of local residents.

With the clear 99% of letters written being in objection to this project it would be a massive slap in the face by the council to it's residents who will have to live with this development on a day to day basis if this is approved, I urge the planners to listen to the residents and reject the plans.

Best Regards.

Dan Gibbs