

Comment for planning application WSCC/011/21

Application number	<input type="text" value="WSCC/011/21"/>
Name	<input type="text" value="peter hingley"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="LYMINSTER NURSERY, LYMINSTER ROAD, LYMINSTER ROAD, LITTLEHAMPTON, BN17 7QF"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Comments	<p>I strongly object to this planning application on the grounds that it represents an old approach to our waste management rather than addressing the main issue which is a reduction in our waste generation. This plant encourages incineration rather than recycling or changing our habits to use more compostable packaging and reduce waste .Interestingly some other incinerators are reporting that they are having to bring in waste from further afield due to an increase in recycling (Ipswich star June 2019)</p> <p>The revised plan does not address the visual impact of an 85 meter high chimney in an area of outstanding natural beauty . It does not address the economic impact of this eyesore on the tourist industry of Arundel, the south downs national park and the towns in the coastal strip.</p> <p>The new proposal does not address the impact of increased traffic resulting from moving nearly 300,000 tonnes of waste , ash removal and ancillary support vehicles. This will mean more than 15000 plus lorry movements a year . This increase in traffic will lead to an increase in noise, particulates and carbon dioxide pollution from access from an already congested and closely populated A259.</p> <p>The new proposals do not address further pollution form the plant itself generating huge amounts of CO2 and other organic and metallic pollutants . I quote from a report 'Health effects due to emissions from energy from waste plant in London -May 2020 compiled by Air Quality Consultants.</p> <p>'Recent epidemiological studies did not find evidence of an association between EfW/MSWIs in Great Britain and infant mortality, adverse pregnancy, birth or neonatal outcomes. However, one of these studies found small excess risks associated with congenital heart defects and genital anomalies in proximity to MSWIs. These latest findings may reflect incomplete control for confounding factors, but a possible causal effect could not be excluded. Earlier studies did not find convincing evidence of an association of proximity to older municipal waste incinerators in Great Britain with cancer. Although there is limited evidence of an association of proximity to older incinerators, or exposure to dioxins, with sarcoma and lymphoma risk in other countries, the very substantial decrease in dioxin emissions from EfW/MSWIs over recent years is likely to make these risks negligible for populations currently living in the vicinity of modern, wellcontrolled plants in the UK.'</p> <p>Clearly a big risk of longer term health effects does exist and has not been addressed in this application.</p> <p>The application has also not addressed the problems of CO2 emissions and the fact there are no plans to use this CO2 or recapture it. This plant would add between 210,000 -360,000 tonnes of CO2 emissions directly plus the CO2 emissions associated with road transport to the site.</p> <p>It is very clear that this planning application does not fit with WSCC's 'Climate Change strategy 2020-2030' and I strongly oppose the approval of this plan</p> <p>Peter Hingley</p>
Received	<input type="text" value="26/08/2021 11:51:06"/>
Attachments	