Comment for planning application WSCC/011/21

Address 37 Saltings Way Upper Beeding Type of Comment Comment Comment As a prospective resident to Yapton and a place I visit regularly because of friends in the area who have children, I object to this development. This Incinerator site is not required due to West Sussex having catered for the county's needs until 2031 due to an incinerator having been built a Horsham. The proposed plan would seriously impinge on the surrounding countryside for miles given its structure with a height of 125 feet would be seen over many miles. This is before you factor in the ridiculous notion of a chimney at the site that stands a colossal 280 feet taller than Chichester Cathedral. Although not in the South Downs National Park it would be able to be seen from this important recreation site at many vantage points along the South Downs. It is completely out of context with its surroundings and cannot be disguised due to flat landscape. More importantly it will affect the local population's health particularly young children. It has been show that the smallest hazardous particulates of 2.5 microns produced by incinerators are not captured effectively by filters. This would have a major impact on the health of local residents resulting in cancer and respiratory symptoms. This is of particular concern in the in wake of the Covid 19 pandemic which has seen a large number of people suffer long term term respiratory problems. West Sussex County Council should not be seen adding to this mix by endorsing this unnecessary additional Incinerator in the County. Additionally there are plans to develop a large number of new houses in this area around Ford and Yapton which will bring in many young families who will be subjected to these hazardous particulates which are known to result in congenital abnormalities in young children. Although the application has been revised by the submitters it remains totally unnecessary and damaging to both sensitiveness of the its proximity to the South Downs and local villages and its population.	Application number	WSCC/011/21
Type of Comments Objection As a prospective resident to Yapton and a place I visit regularly because of friends in the area who have children, I object to this development. This Incinerator site is not required due to West Sussex having catered for the county's needs until 2031 due to an incinerator having been built a Horsham. The proposed plan would seriously impinge on the surrounding countryside for miles given its structure with a height of 125 feet would be seen over many miles. This is before you factor in the ridiculous notion of a chimney at the site that stands a colossal 280 feet taller than Chichester Cathedral. Although not in the South Downs National Park it would be able to be seen from this important recreation site at many vantage points along the South Downs. It is completely out of context with its surroundings and cannot be disguised due to flat landscape. More importantly it will affect the local population's health particularly young children. It has been show that the smallest hazardous particulates of 2.5 microns produced by incinerators are not captured effectively by filters. This would have a major impact on the health of local residents resulting in cancer and respiratory symptoms. This is of particular concern in the in wake of the Covid 19 pandemic which has seen a large number of people suffer long term term respiratory problems. West Sussex County Council should not be seen adding to this mix by endorsing this unnecessary additional Incinerator in the County. Additionally there are plans to develop a large number of new houses in this area around Ford and Yapton which will bring in many young families who will be subjected to these hazardous particulates which are known to result in congenital abnormalities in young children. Although the application has been revised by the submitters it remains totally unnecessary and damaging to both sensitiveness of the its proximity to the South Downs and local vi	Name	Paul Hojka
CommentCommentsAs a prospective resident to Yapton and a place I visit regularly because of friends in the area who have children, I object to this development. This Incinerator site is not required due to West Sussex having catered for the county's needs until 2031 due to an incinerator having been built a Horsham. The proposed plan would seriously impinge on the surrounding countryside for miles given its structure 	Address	37 Saltings Way Upper Beeding
have children, I object to this development. This Incinerator site is not required due to West Sussex having catered for the county's needs until 2031 due to an incinerator having been built a Horsham. The proposed plan would seriously impinge on the surrounding countryside for miles given its structure with a height of 125 feet would be seen over many miles. This is before you factor in the ridiculous notion of a chimney at the site that stands a colossal 280 feet taller than Chichester Cathedral. Although not in the South Downs National Park it would be able to be seen from this important recreation site at many vantage points along the South Downs. It is completely out of context with its surroundings and cannot be disguised due to flat landscape. More importantly it will affect the local population's health particularly young children. It has been show that the smallest hazardous particulates of 2.5 microns produced by incinerators are not captured effectively by filters. This would have a major impact on the health of local residents resulting in cancer and respiratory symptoms. This is of particular concern in the in wake of the Covid 19 pandemic which has seen a large number of people suffer long term term respiratory problems. West Sussex County Council should not be seen adding to this mix by endorsing this unnecessary additional Incinerator in the County. Additionally there are plans to develop a large number of new houses in this area around Ford and Yapton which will bring in many young families who will be subjected to these hazardous particulates which are known to result in congenital abnormalities in young children. Although the application has been revised by the submitters it remains totally unnecessary and damaging to both sensitiveness of the its proximity to the South Downs and local villages and its population.	Type of Comment	Objection
10/03/2021 10:34:43		have children, I object to this development. This Incinerator site is not required due to West Sussex having catered for the county's needs until 2031 due to an incinerator having been built a Horsham. The proposed plan would seriously impinge on the surrounding countryside for miles given its structure with a height of 125 feet would be seen over many miles. This is before you factor in the ridiculous notion of a chimney at the site that stands a colossal 280 feet taller than Chichester Cathedral. Although not in the South Downs National Park it would be able to be seen from this important recreation site at many vantage points along the South Downs. It is completely out of context with its surroundings and cannot be disguised due to flat landscape. More importantly it will affect the local population's health particularly young children. It has been show that the smallest hazardous particulates of 2.5 microns produced by incinerators are not captured effectively by filters. This would have a major impact on the health of local residents resulting in cancer and respiratory symptoms. This is of particular concern in the in wake of the Covid 19 pandemic which has seen a large number of people suffer long term term respiratory problems. West Sussex County Council should not be seen adding to this mix by endorsing this unnecessary additional Incinerator in the County. Additionally there are plans to develop a large number of new houses in this area around Ford and Yapton which will bring in many young families who will be subjected to these hazardous particulates which are known to result in congenital abnormalities in young children. Although the application has been revised by the submitters it remains totally unnecessary and damaging to both sensitiveness of the its
Attachments	Received	16/05/2021 18:34:49
	Attachments	