Comment for planning application WSCC/011/21

Application number	WSCC/011/21
Name	Nigel Gibbens
Address	WEST BARN, ARUNDEL, BN18 9RD
Type of Comment	Objection
Comments	I object to this application and ask you to reject it for the following reasons: - it will reduce incentives to recycle - emissions from the facility and vehicles supplying it will contribute to climate change - more vehicles on already overcrowded roads - needs long term commitment of waste supply for commercial viability, so unlikely to recover its costs in the face of advancing technology and any future reduce/re-use/recycle waste policy - visual blot on the landscape visible for miles - toxic gas emissions from burning waste are greater than from burning coal! - incineration produces substantial amounts of toxic ash which has to go to landfill so does not replace the need for landfill - West Sussex should have a reduce, re-use, recycle approach to waste management, aiming for zero waste Detail: The facility will reduce the incentive for recycling West Sussex's waste, contribute to climate change with gas emissions and increased vehicles on the roads and be a visual blot on the landscape - we have little enough open space left in the coastal areas of West Sussex. I have seen no evidence that this facility is needed and would like West Sussex to follow a reduce, re-use, recycle policy towards waste. Additionally the facility will need to be fed with waste for at least 20 years to justify its cost, yet in this time we would hope to see much improved recycling and packaging technology as well as reduced waste produced due to changes already being implemented by the supermarkets so there is a high risk that it will not recover its costs. Burning waste is environmentally unfriendly and can produce toxic gases, producing more dioxins, mercury, lead, nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide than burning coal; additionally the resulting ash (which can be up to 20% or more of the volume of the original waste, i.e. substantial) is toxic and needs careful disposal in landfill such that people and wildlife are not at risk of exposure; this will be costly and may be difficult to deliver. Incineration of waste should be avoided
Received	16/05/2021 12:29:50
Attachments	