

Comment for planning application WSCC/011/21

Application number	<input type="text" value="WSCC/011/21"/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Alice Morrow"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="CROPTHORNE DRIVE, 25, CROPTHORNE DRIVE, LITTLEHAMPTON, BN17 5GG"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Comments	<p>I strongly object to this application for a number of reasons as stated below. Environmental impact. It would mean hundreds of lorries travelling every day, both for the construction and then running of the facility, many from outside West Sussex which would have a detrimental impact on local air quality and climate change. I would hope in the aim of reducing climate change that we would be moving towards creating more innovative recycling facilities rather than accepting that this waste is 'non-recyclable' and burning it, which leads to further pollution. Traffic impact and Road access. The sole access road is Ford Road/Church Lane, an unclassified road which goes through the heart of Clymping village. This road is already too dangerous. There is no pedestrian crossing near the junction to Horsemere Green Lane so when I walk with my baby to the village green/playground and when she's older will be walking her to the local school, I already have to cross an extremely fast and busy road with limited vision. Increased traffic will make this even more dangerous. Additionally, there is only one pavement on one side of the road which is only just wide enough for my pram. When a large vehicle such as a HGV drives past it creates a dangerous suction effect which tries to pull the pram onto the road and then blows dust/dirt and debris into our faces. More HGV and construction vehicles will also make this more dangerous. Visual impact. We live in a beautiful area of natural outstanding beauty being close to both the beach and the South Downs National Park. Clymping beach is one of the few stretches of coastline in West Sussex which has not been developed upon and is characterised by low buildings. Many people visit the area for solely this reason. Arundel castle and the surrounding downland is a huge draw for many visitors and this proposal would be a disaster in terms of the natural beauty of our county. This proposal which has two towers and would have an additional plume of smoke would be seen for miles and miles and will be a blot on local the landscape and may reduce tourism to the area as a result. Proximity to residential housing. This site is already close to residential housing on the outskirts of Yapton village, in Ford village and Clymping village. The emissions of unknown gasses, smells or other noxious substances would have a detrimental impact to the people living in those areas. In addition to this, a planning application for 1500 houses by Redrow is currently being processed and these houses will be directly next to the proposed development. In conclusion, this proposal is wholly unsuitable for the proposed location and would ruin a beautiful landscape for current and future generations.</p>
Received	<input type="text" value="16/05/2021 08:59:05"/>
Attachments	