Comment for	planning	application	WSCC/011	/21
-------------	----------	-------------	----------	-----

Application
number
Name

WSCC/011/21

URSULA KNAPP

Address

24 The Steyne 20 Dolphin Ct

Type of Comments

Comment

Half of the waste in the regular rubbish collection could be recycled confirm reports. We need to educate and facilitate much improved recycling. It has been revealed that the huge need of an incinerator with a life span of about 40 years to be continuously fed has led to shocking results in existing facilities in England. Research shows contents of recycled items from official recycling bins - which has taken people lots of effort to supply - were and are incinerated ongoingly! We have to do a lot better here in GB, compare to eg Germany recycling rates are very poor and need to be quickly and steadily improved. Further the monitoring of the emissions of the incinerators are insufficient and don't show the full extent of dangerous emissions of gases and particulars. The environmental impact of a local incinerator would be detrimental all things considered including the greatly increased traffic from lorries in and out of the small village of Ford and the likely polluting effects on the whole area around the incinerator by toxic emissions for decades to come means we need to find better alternatives and abandon the proposed plan. Please put the health of the people, the animals, plants, soil, water and air over commercial interests which do not provide a solution to the production and removal of waste. Outdoor-on-the-go recycling bins are sadly missing in many local prominent places. We can and need to do a lot better in this ongoing climate crisis. Kindly Ursula Knapp

Received

15/05/2021 01:26:21

Attachments