Comment for planning application WSCC/011/21

Application number	WSCC/011/21
Name	Peter Newman
Address	CHURCH FARM HOUSE, CHURCH ROAD, CHURCH ROAD, ARUNDEL, BN18 0EP
Type of Comment	Objection
Comments	I object to this proposal on grounds that's size and massing for its location is far too large. The site is a rural coastal plain with no tall other building in close proximity and would stand like a blot on the landscape and be visible for miles. The proposed buildings would impact negatively on the immediate and extended area including a number of Grade 1 listed buildings. The site sit's close to the boundary of the South Downs National Park and the proposal's impact would be highly detrimental in terms of its overbearing visibility, the night time light pollution, emissions of smell and the regular plumes of gases emanating from its two huge chimneys. This application is in clear conflict with S.11 of the West Sussex local waste policy document 2014 . A similar application for an incinerator building of almost identical height and mass in Ely, Cambridgeshire has recently seen the planning inspector's decision to refuse consent, due to the sites close proximity to a Grade 1 monument, upheld by the Secretary of State (App ref S/3372/17/CW) dated 15th June 2020. By comparison the Ford site is far more sensitive than the Ely location due to its lack of natural screening, closeness of several national monuments (including Arundel Castle) and a National Park. If consented this proposal would potentially to the west/south of the site housing - who would want to live and raise a family in the shadow of an incinerator? The application documents present highly questionable visuals of the proposals - it has taken a creative eye to effectively mask such a structure from the various view points. I would ask the members not to be hoodwinked by what is to all intents and purpose a "marketing" brochure for the scheme. I also wonder how a so called expert in terms of assessing the schemes visual impact can realistically claim that the proposal building. The country's need for such a facility is also questionable given the ETW proposed at Horsham which was granted consent last year. Please refuse this application.
Received	14/05/2021 21:21:03
Attachments	