Comment for planning application WSCC/011/21

Application
number
Name

WSCC/011/21

M Browne

Address

ALBERT ROAD, 13, ALBERT ROAD, LITTLEHAMPTON, BN16 3JS

Type of Comment Comments

Objection

This will pollute the atmosphere locally it's not needed as we should be recycling properly. Incineration results in high levels of greenhouse gas emissions. For every tonne of waste burned, typically around one tonne of CO is released into the atmosphere, and around half of this is fossil CO (Source). This means that incineration has a higher carbon intensity than the conventional use of fossil fuels, and significantly higher than what most people would consider 'low carbon incinerators emit many toxins and pollutants that harm local air quality. Emissions include dioxins, NOx and ultrafine particulate matter that can be harmful to both human health and the natural environment. There is not enough monitoring, not enough enforcement, and not enough transparency. You risk increased traffic to and from the area. In addition to increases in the general volume of traffic and the pollution that this brings, some of those living near incinerators have observed HGVs ignoring planning conditions designed to control adverse impacts. For example, lorries delivering feedstock sometimes travel along routes that are disallowed by planning conditions, despite assurances made at the planning application stage that this would not happen. In other instances, after planning permission is granted on the basis of strict controls over when and where the HGVs can travel, it is not unusual for operators to seek to change the arrangement to enable increases in the number of vehicles, extensions of the time these vehicles are permitted, and expansion of the routes that they are allowed to take. Such changes are often allowed under delegated powers without any community consultation, even in circumstances where the changes directly break promises made to the community about how traffic impacts will be strictly controlled. Not to mention other issues Devaluation of properties Visual impact Light pollution I strongly disagree! You should to

Received

11/05/2021 13:52:09

Attachments