From: Sally Clinch
To: PL Planning Applications
Subject: Objection to Arun Valley Rubbish Incinerator WSCC/011/21
Date: 05 May 2021 16:15:57

Dear Planning Officer,
Please register my objection to the following application WSCC/011/21

My objections are in the enormity of the building which would have a major impact visually looking into the South Downs National Park. This building would have two towers and would dominate and scar the landscape for many miles. It is estimated that it will be seen from Chichester, obviously Arundel and for many miles to the east from the coastal plain.

The proposed number of lorry movements into and out of the site will cause a ridiculous input on the already overcrowded road structure. It is estimated that it would increase Lorry movements by another additional 240. The road itself whether from the north or south will have to absorb this and cause an enormous increase in waiting traffic at the already lengthy delays caused by the Ford railway crossing. Also increase delays getting on or off the Ford road from Arundel or from an insignificant roundabout at Climping. As yet Ford road has no lit pavement for pedestrians. It will mean a further 77% increase i traffic through the Ford Prison complex.

Regardless of traffic movement or impact on visual amenity, the emissions will harm air quality for the residents of all neighbouring areas. It encourages further burning and production of harmful emissions, emissions that we are aiming to reduce, not increase. Poorer air quality produces poorer health.The building of such an incinerator in such area is not required since West Sussex has already enough capacity and its aim is to reduce the need, through recycling already. Does West Sussex want poorer health for its residents? If it does then this plan will be permitted and show what little care it has for its residents.

This is yet another attempt to build a site that seems to be regularly visited on local authorities around the countryside. A similar attempt was made two years ago in the Test Valley area. An area with better road links and housing sited further away. Again the impact on the natural surroundings would have been extraordinary. Naturally, it was turned down. I am fairly cynical but someone someone is putting in regular plans which are then refused. Why? Because they are completely unfeasible. Someone somewhere is gaining money by putting up these preposterous plans that cause nothing but significant cost to the rate payer and will (despite being refused) benefit themselves. This has to be investigated.

Please register my objection to the plan. I am writing to my MP urging him to object.
Sally Clinch
1 Surrey Wharf
Arundel
West Sussex BN 18 9DW

Sent from my iPad