## Comment for planning application WSCC/011/21

Application
number
Name

WSCC/011/21

Karen Jones

Address

6 OTMERS QUAY, FITZALAN ROAD, FITZALAN ROAD, ARUNDEL, BN18 9JS

Type of Comment Comments

Objection

I object to this proposed development on the following grounds: 1. The height of the towers will be visually intrusive. These will dominate the field of view for a great distance, including those areas where we desperately want to attract tourism to aid business recovery. It will be detrimental to visitor attractions on the coastal margin, to Arundel and to the South Downs National Park. To justify their height by saying there are tall structures in Littlehampton is simply to establish a race to the bottom. We want to improve our environment, not persistently degrade it. 2. The argument that a similar site in Portsmouth did not have long term impact on house prices or schools does not hold water, as they are areas of very different character. Portsmouth is an urban, industrialised area, whereas this location is rural or semi rural in character, with a different population character. This development is likely to be detrimental to house prices. 3. The Arun valley is already having to suffer the A27 bypass, including a huge stretch of bridging across the valley. This development would continue to downgrade the quality of the local environment. We need to work to preserve and improve the environment. 4. The towers would need to be lit at night, further increasing their visibility. 5. The plume would be visible from a great distance, giving an industrial effect to a beautiful rural area. 6. There will be dust nusiance, with an associated detriment to health. 7. There will be a nusiance from odour, which can be significantly unpleasant. It is not reasonable to regard this impact as 'negligible'. 8. There will be a negative impact on air quality. 9. There will be an increase in traffic, including heavy goods vehicles making use of Ford Rd, which is narrow, residential and entirely unsuited as an HGV access route. 10. Given the local plans for residential development of the surrounding area, this is the wrong project, at the wrong time and in the wrong place.

Received

06/05/2021 16:16:54

**Attachments**