

# Comment for planning application WSCC/011/21

Application number	WSCC/011/21
Name	peter hingley
Address	LYMINSTER NURSERY, LYMINSTER ROAD, LYMINSTER ROAD, LITTLEHAMPTON, BN17 7QF
Type of Comment	Objection
Comments	<p>My objection to this application is the same as my objection to WSCC/036/20 and I believe all previous objections should be taken into account for this fundamentally very similar planning application. The application is to incinerate 275000 tonnes of non recyclable rubbish from all over the south of England at the for site. The climate change objectives for Arun district council and I'm sure also for West sussex county council are to increase recycling, improve energy efficiency and become carbon neutral by 2030. These are also government objectives. The building of this facility does not meet any of those objectives. In the first case the waste is planned to be delivered by road transport through already congested roads. I do not believe adequate consideration has been given to air and water pollution in the area both from road transport and from the twin stacks proposed, The burning of 275000 tonnes of waste will add hugely to carbon dioxide and other emissions . The prevailing winds form the South and South west will take those emissions in land polluting and affecting residents in the path. In addition the emission of dust particles during transport and processing, the potential for bad odours from rotting food materials, the increased potential for house flies and other insect and vermin all add reasons why this development should not go ahead. The impact on the health of local residents of such a facility has not been fully evaluated. The visual and environmental impact close to new housing development , Arundel and the South Downs national park will be considerable and in my view unacceptable. West Sussex is an important area for tourism both at the coast and inland areas including nearby Arundel. I can not believe that WSCC would jeopardise the benefits that such tourism brings to the area by allowing deveopment of this type. It is surely logical to arrange destruction of waste in the areas that are producing it. In addition it is not clear to me how much net energy gain arises from burning waste using fossil fuels. If incineration is considered the only solution then why not use solar power to destroy it ? In summary my objections to this development are; 1) The negative impact on the health and environment of the residents 2)The visual impact on residents and visitors in an area close to an area of outstanding natural beauty. 3) The negative impact on the envirnment and CO2 emissions from trucking in thousands of tonnes of waste not generated in this immediate area 4) The impact on the residents in new housing being built in Walberton, Yapton, Ford, Littlehampton and Bognor. 5) WSCC should be investing and finding ways in which waste can be reduced and recycled not in facilitating an incinerator which hopefully will quickly become redundant. I strongly object to this development peter hingley</p>
Received	01/05/2021 10:44:37
Attachments	