CAPITA

File Note

31 July 2020

A29 Realignment Scheme - SuDS Management Train

A29-CAP-HDG-00-AN-D-0058

Issue/Revision S3-P04

Prepared by: Rob Prior Checked by: Kim Still Authorised by: Andrew Burrows

INTRODUCTION

The drainage strategy is indicated on drawing A29-CAP-HDG-00-DR-D-0047.

With reference to the WSP Flood Risk Statement undertaken for the Business Case, the Drainage strategy report item 9.4 Proposed Water Quality Management, *'it indicates a simple assessment has been undertaken using HAWRAT to examine the short-term risk related to the intermittent nature of highway runoff in accordance with Method A of DMRB HD 45/09'.* The assessment advises that the *'proposed SuDs features will be sufficient to address the potential risk of pollution. Therefore, further additional mitigation measures for the water quality of the proposed road run-off discharge into the watercourse is not required'.*

This note has been prepared to outline the SuDS treatment that is proposed for each catchment and provide justification that the overall system for each catchment is appropriate, following the development of the drainage strategy since the Client's outline design. The Client's outline design has swales and storage ponds to maintain discharge rates to green field levels. No water treatment facilities (oil interceptors etc) are included within the outline design.

The aim of this advice note is to explain the revised proposals that have been developed to avoid, where possible, the need to include oil interceptors into the drainage network.

The assessment shows that the proposals achieve or nearly achieve the minimum standards set out in CIRIA C753. We recommend that the proposals set out below are adopted.

Property and infrastructure

Capita House, Wood Street, East Grinstead, West Sussex, RH19 1UU Tel +44 (0)1342 327161 Fax +44 (0)1342 315 927 www.capita.co.uk/property Capita Property and Infrastructure Ltd

CATCHMENTS

Following the preliminary design by WSP the scheme is considered as 4 no. catchments with catchment 1 being sub-divided into two parts, A and B.

Catchment Ref.	Outfall Location	SuDS scheme
1A	Infiltration (Cellular structure)	See Schedule 1A
1B	Infiltration (Cellular Structure)	See Schedule 1B
2	Infiltration Pond	See Schedule 2
3	Barnham Lane Ditch	See Schedule 3
4	School Brook (Watercourse 1 in the Flood Risk Statement report).	See Schedule 4

Along the main line of the bypass there is typically a swale and footway/cycleway located on one side and a soft verge and swale on the other. On the side of the footway/cycleway the swale is located between the carriageway and the footway/cycleway and an 'over the edge' approach is proposed. In the vicinity of roundabout junctions, a kerbed drainage collection system is required. On the side of the soft verge and swale an 'over the edge' approach is also proposed. The swales have an under drain to maximise the steps in the SUD's management train. This approach provides adequate storage and improved water quality in line with current best practice.

ASSESSMENT APPROACH

The CIRIA guidance sets out an assessment approach based on different types of treatment but does not consider 'mixed networks'. All of our drainage networks contain a mix of treatment trains leading to each outfall. Our approach, set out below, has been to assess each subsection of each network and then sum the component sections with a weighting based on their impermeable area, so giving an overall network treatment figure. We believe this is the best approach to applying the available guidance to the A29 Realignment Scheme.

ROAD CLASSIFICATION

With reference to CIRIA C753 Table 4.3 the minimum water quality management requirements for discharging to receiving surface waters is selected based on the land use.

Road Reference	Land Use	Pollution hazard level	Requirements for discharge to surface waters
A29 Realignment Scheme	All roads except low traffic roads and trunk roads/ motorways	Medium	Simple index approach

WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT

In accordance with CIRIA C753 Chapter 26 – Water quality management: design method, the tables 26.2 and 26.3 provide the hazard indices and mitigation indices to achieve for each SuDS component against each land use and the respective pollution hazard level.

The following schedules reviews the various combinations of SuDS components and indicates if the required mitigation indices for each of the catchments is acceptable.

Water quantity	Runoff collection mechanism	Kerb drainage system either side of carriageway.
	Interception mechanism	None
	Storage	Cellular storage with infiltration to ground. (no flooding on the site for the 1 in 100 year event plus 40% climate change).
	Conveyance	Pipe system to cellular storage structure. (SDS Geolight with a central filter pipe)
	Exceedance	Provision is to be made for existing flood flows to continue to use the 'existing carriageway' which becomes a service road on the west side of the roundabout in the proposed layout.
		Network - no flooding for the 1 in 100 year event plus 40% climate change
Water quality	Discharge to groundwater	Yes, Infiltration Ground water level is 12.700m (BH 2) approx. 2.8m below EGL. (Refer to the Technical note – Drainage Strategy A29- CAP-HDG-00-AN-D-0052 for location of GWL data locations) Medium hazard indices TSS 0.7, Metals 0.6, HCs 0.7
	Discharge to surface waters	No
	Groundwater protection measures	Overall indices for catchment 1A.
		Roundabout
		SuDS Mitigation indices

SCHEDULE 1 – SUDS MANAGEMENT TRAIN CATCHMENT 1

		TSS 57%, Metals 67%, HCs 57% (treatment indices as % of target, above 100% means treatment train is exceeding target). Provide a by-pass oil/petrol interceptor upstream of cellular units for groundwater protection. An alternative to an oil interceptor would be a Downstream Defender (Hydro International).
Amenity	N/A	
Biodiversity	None	

Water quantity	Runoff collection mechanism	Kerb drainage system either side of carriageway for roundabout southern arm.
		Swales along the Link road
	Interception mechanism	None
	Storage	Cellular storage with infiltration to ground. (no flooding on the site for the 1 in 100 year event plus 40% climate change).
	Conveyance	Pipe system and swales to cellular storage structure. (SDS Geolight with a central filter pipe).
	Exceedance	 Provision is to be made for existing flood flows to continue to use the 'existing carriageway' which becomes a service road on the west side of the roundabout in the proposed layout. Network - no flooding for the 1 in 100 year event plus 40% climate
		change.
Water quality	Discharge to groundwater	Yes.
		Ground water level is 12.700m (BH 2) approx. 2.8m below EGL
		(Refer to the Technical note – Drainage Strategy A29-CAP- HDG-00-AN-D-0052 for location of GWL data locations)
		Medium hazard indices
		TSS 0.7, Metals 0.6, HCs 0.7
	Discharge to surface waters	No.
	Groundwater protection measures	No.

		Roundabout
		SuDS Mitigation indices
		TSS:0.4 = 0.4
		Metals: $0.4 = 0.4$
		HCs: 0.4 = 0.4
		% Not achieved:
		TSS 57%, Metals 67%, HCs 57% (treatment indices as % of target, above 100% means treatment train is exceeding target).
		Provide a by-pass oil/petrol interceptor upstream of cellular units for groundwater protection. An alternative to an oil interceptor would be a Downstream Defender (Hydro International).
		Link road
		SuDS Mitigation indices
		TSS: 0.5 + (0.5 x 0.4) = 0.7 Metals: 0.6 + (0.5 x 0.4) = 0.8 HCs: 0.6 + (0.5 x 0.4) = 0.8
		% Achieved:
		TSS 100%, Metals 133%, HCs 114% (treatment indices as % of target, above 100% means treatment train is exceeding target).
Amenity	N/A	
Biodiversity	None	

Selection of SuDS	components for Catchment 2: Link Ro	oad (Chainage 160 - 470)
Water quantity	Runoff collection mechanism	North side of carriageway: 'over the edge' discharge to swale. South side of carriageway: over the edge' discharge to swale, combined drainage and kerb system. Grass swale
	Interception mechanism Storage	Infiltration pond (no flooding on the site for the 1 in 100 year event plus 40% climate change)
	Conveyance	North side - 'over the edge' discharge to swale. South side - 'over the edge' discharge to swale.
	Exceedance	Network - no flooding for the 1 in 100 year event plus 40% climate change.
Water quality	Discharge to groundwater	Yes, infiltration can occur through the base of the swales and the pond. Ground water level varies but is typically, 12.53 (BH 104/A4) with a 1.23m depth below EGL. (Refer to the Technical note – Drainage Strategy A29-CAP-HDG-00-AN-D-0052 for location of GWL data locations) Medium hazard indices TSS 0.7, Metals 0.6, HCs 0.7
	Discharge to surface waters Groundwater protection measures	No. North side, highway runoff (no kerb, over the
		edge): medium hazard: simple index approach. SuDS Mitigation indices: TSS: $0.5 + (0.5 \times 0.5) = 0.75$ Metals: $0.6 + (0.5 \times 0.5) = 0.85$ HCs: $0.6 + (0.5 \times 0.6) = 0.9$ Acceptable % Achieved: TSS 107%, Metals 142%, HCs 129% (treatment indices as % of target, above 100% means treatment train is exceeding target).

SCHEDULE 2 – SUDS MANAGEMENT TRAIN CATCHMENT 2

		East side highway runoff (no kerb, over the edge): medium hazard: simple index approach. SuDS Mitigation indices: TSS: $0.5 + (0.5 \times 0.5) = 0.75$ Metals: $0.6 + (0.5 \times 0.5) = 0.85$ HCs: $0.6 + (0.5 \times 0.6) = 0.9$ Acceptable % Achieved: TSS 107%, Metals 142%, HCs 129% (treatment indices as % of target, above 100% means treatment train is exceeding target).
Amenity	N/A	Planting at the top of the swale as part of traffic calming measures.
Biodiversity	None.	Some benefits where planting is proposed.

Water quantity Runoff collection mechanism North-east side of carriageway: 'over the edge' discharge to swale. South-west side of carriageway: over the edge' discharge to swale. Interception mechanism Grass swale Storage Off-line Pond – limited discharge 1.8l/s to Barnham Lane Ditch (no flooding on the site for the 1 in 100 year event plus 40% climate change). Conveyance North/East side - 'over the edge' discharge to swale. Exceedance Network - no flooding for the 1 in 100 year event plus 40% climate change. Water quality Discharge to groundwater No infiltration Wet pond to be lined due to high ground water level.	to site nate ge to
Storage Off-line Pond – limited discharge 1.8l/s to Barnham Lane Ditch (no flooding on the site for the 1 in 100 year event plus 40% climate change). Conveyance North/East side - 'over the edge' discharge swale or filter drain. South/West side - 'over the edge' discharge to swale. Exceedance Network - no flooding for the 1 in 100 year event plus 40% climate change. Water quality Discharge to groundwater No infiltration Wet pond to be lined due to high ground water level.	site nate ge to ırge
Water quality Discharge to groundwater No infiltration Wet pond to be lined due to high ground water level.	site nate ge to ırge
wale or filter drain. South/West side - 'over the edge' discharge to swale. Exceedance Network - no flooding for the 1 in 100 year event plus 40% climate change. Water quality Discharge to groundwater No infiltration Wet pond to be lined due to high ground water level. Water level.	irge
Water quality Discharge to groundwater No infiltration Wet pond to be lined due to high ground water level.	ar
Wet pond to be lined due to high ground water level.	
water level.	
Discharge to surface waters Link Road: Medium hazard indices	
TSS 0.7, Metals 0.6, HCs 0.7	
Surface water protection Surels on filter drain and nand	
Surface water protection measuresSwale or filter drain and pond. medium hazard: simple index approach	
SuDS Mitigation indices	
TSS: 0.5 + (0.5 x 0.7) = 0.85	
Metals: 0.6 + (0.5 x 0.7) = 0.95	
HCs: 0.6 + (0.5 x 0.5) = 0.85	
Acceptable % Achieved	
TSS 121%, Metals: 158%, HCs 121%	
(treatment indices as % of target, above	
100% means treatment train is exceeding target)	g
Amenity Some benefits where planting is Planting at the top of the swale is proposed	
proposed. as part of traffic calming measures.	ed
Biodiversity None Some benefits where planting is proposed	sed

SCHEDULE 3 – SUDS MANAGEMENT TRAIN CATCHMENT 3

	· · · ·	oad (Chainage 1015 – End of Phase 1)
Water quantity	Runoff collection mechanism	Combined drainage and kerb system (Ch 1015 to Ch 1205), kerb and gullies on the Barnham Road Roadabout, tie-ins and link road south to the roundabout to the Phase 1 Limit of Works.
	Interception mechanism	None
	Storage	Offline Pond – limited discharge 5l/s to the School Brook (no flooding on the site for the 1 in 100 year event plus 40% climate change).
	Conveyance	Combined drainage and kerb system – Piped conveyance to attenuation pond. Road gullies - Piped conveyance to attenuation pond.
	Exceedance	At low points on the Barnham Road carriageway, provision is to be made for flood flows to pass though the existing highway drainage system (which outfalls to school watercourse to the south). Subject to the CCTV survey of the existing drainage system. Network - no flooding for the 1 in 100 year event plus 40% climate change.
Water quality	Discharge to groundwater	No infiltration. Wet pond to be lined due to high ground water level.
	Discharge to surface waters and	Link Road: Medium hazard indices TSS 0.7, Metals 0.6, HCs 0.7
	Surface water protection measures	Highway runoff (Kerb and gullies - direct connection to pond):
		SuDS Mitigation indices TSS: $0.7 = 0.7$ Metals: $0.7 = 0.7$ HCs: $0.5 = 0.5$
		Nearly Achieved TSS 100%, Metals: 117%, HCs 71% (treatment indices as % of target, above 100% means treatment train is exceeding target)

SCHEDULE 4 – SUDS MANAGEMENT TRAIN CATCHMENT 4

		Provide a by-pass oil/petrol interceptor upstream of pond for surface water protection. An alternative to an oil interceptor would be a Downstream Defender (Hydro International).
Amenity	N/A	
Biodiversity	None	

SUMMARY

Catchment Ref	SuDS Mitigation indices	Protection measures
1A and 1B	Not achieved	Provide a by-pass oil/petrol interceptor
2	Achieved	Not required
3	Achieved	Not required
4	Nearly achieved	Provide a by-pass oil/petrol interceptor