

# Comment for planning application WSCC/052/20

Application number	<input type="text" value="WSCC/052/20"/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Linda Wale."/>
Address	<input type="text" value="CHANTRY MEAD, 7, CHANTRY MEAD, BOGNOR REGIS, PO22 0DE"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Comments	<p>My objections to the above planning application are as follows: The destruction of the green space that separates the villages, the loss of vegetation and all the mammals that currently inhabit this area. In this current financial climate of council declaring bankruptcy, surely the millions that this project will cost could be put to better use propping up failing council departments. Covid will result in many more people working from home permanently, this may well have a significant impact on your projected increase traffic figures. The following comments /objections are from the document: WSCC A29 Realignment. Vol.3-Non Technical Summary. In the next 4 paragraphs the author of this document has failed to mention Chantry Mead along with other residences that will be blighted by the scheme thus rendering the information as factually incorrect. I will list them so that if any one is interested they can refer to the document. Page 8. 2:2 Site Location, Access and Current Use. (2:2 .4) (2:2.5) Page 9. 2:3 Surrounding Area. (2:3.2) Page 19 3:4 Environmental Design (3:4.3) Are all 11 property owners of Chantry Mead really so insignificant? Page 12 Air Quality (2:4.3) why not print the acceptable baseline levels of NO2 National Air Quality Standard measurements, and what your readings are from this area then at least the residents would have some understanding. Page 27. Alternative Designs 4:4 (4:4.1) The presentation referred to was nothing more than WSCC paying lip service to their obligations. Residents views had no impact whatsoever and it's doubtful as to any record made of our comments, but your box was ticked. Page 31. Air Quality 6:2 (6:2.1) Again why not state the baseline levels of Dust soiling are and what they will be once construction is under way. Page 31. Noise and Vibration 6:3 (6:3.1) Once again please give us understandable information and not just state "everything adverse will be insignificant to moderate, what does this mean in plain English. I doubt that many would have the will power to read through hundreds of pages of technical data. Page 35 Landscape and Visual 6:6 (6:6.5) What does this statement mean "It is anticipated that Large Adverse effects (significant) will occur for residents" ??? Finally I would like to use a quote to a resident from Matt Davey Director of Highways, Transport and Planning. " which will have the scheme visualisation, this should show your property in more context to the scheme" . It does not! The residents of Murrell Gardens, Chantry Mead and Ewens Gardens who are just some of the more unfortunate people to be blighted by this scheme are not really any clearer on what we shall see from our homes apart from the Noise Barrier ( rusty red wall). The Fly Through shows mature planting to screen this wall - let's hope that is what WSCC are going to plant mature vegetation and not some in significant 2 foot high row of shrubs. Otherwise we may well be waiting for 10-15 years before we see anything like the visualisation. We will loose our privacy and our security could be compromised as it's obvious from the visualisation that the public will be able to access the land that runs behind our properties, so can WSCC guarantee that financial provision will be made for the residents that are going to need secure and privacy fencing to their properties.</p>
Received	<input type="text" value="16/11/2020 16:18:15"/>
Attachments	