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## Dear Planning

Environmental Health is not satisfied that the EIA has adequately evidenced that odours will not be a problem at sensitive receptors close by. The applicant needs to provide geographical odour modelling in the vicinity to support their conclusions along with confirmation of the OEU levels caused from the site by the operation in the worst case scenario. Please could this information be provided before Environment Health can make a final, informed response on this matter.

With regard to Chapter 6 of the ES and paragraph 6.62, Environmental Health would state that there is a biogas digester and lagoon to the north of Ford Lane, and also a small premises called Besmoke on Ford Lane where we have had previous odour complaints, and also have current complaints of odour. A Statutory Nuisance has not been substantiated from Besmoke. We would consider that these two premises should also be considered in any cumulative assessment of odour alongside the premises mentioned in Chapter 6.

Environmental Health would also require dust management plans conditions to cover the demolition/construction phases and also the operational phase.

Chapter 6 of the ES (paragraph 6.109 states that there are no receptors of high sensitivity within 200 m of the development. However the proposed Ford Airfield development proposes residential houses adjacent to this proposed development site.

Environmental Health agrees with the mitigation measures for the construction phase in paragraph 6.131 and would request that these measures are implemented.

## Regards

## Fiona Fitzgerald

## Senior Environmental Health Officer <br> Environmental Health

T: 01903737674
E: fiona.fitzgerald@arun.gov.uk
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