

## Raymond Cole

---

**From:** Fiona Fitzgerald  
**Sent:** 06 August 2020 14:32  
**To:** Planning.Responses  
**Cc:** Raymond Cole  
**Subject:** WSCC/036/20

Dear Ray,

Please find Environmental Health comments for air quality and the emissions mitigation statement.

I should clarify that I have only had time to read the sections on air quality and the emissions mitigation statement at present. Therefore there may have been mention of mitigation measures included in other documents that I have not had chance to look at.

### **Air Quality**

- The applicant has carried out an emissions mitigation calculation in line with the Air Quality & Emissions Mitigation Guidance for Sussex (2020). This has calculated a five year cost of £9,025. However the guidance requires that a mitigation statement should include proposed mitigation measures which should equal the health damage cost. The mitigation options should be designed into the development from the outset. In this case I cannot see that any proposed mitigation measures have been suggested and the applicant should refer to both the Standard Mitigations and Table 2 of the above guidance to propose mitigation measures for consideration by the planning authority.
- I have noted that Section 3.1 of the walking, cycling and Horse Riding Assessment Report dated June 2020 by Ramboll lists a number of opportunities for improving cycling and pedestrian connections but I can not see that these have been incorporated into the design.
- EV Charge points should be included in the scheme for staff parking and vehicles used on site should be electric where possible.

### **Environmental Permitting**

- This activity will be permitted by the Environment Agency and they are best placed to make comments on this issue as they should already have been involved with the development of the plans.

Regards

Fiona