Comment for planning application WSCC/036/20

Application WSCC/036/20

Name Hunterford Trust

Objection

Address THE FARM HOUSE, HIGH STREET, HIGH STREET, CHICHESTER, PO20 2DD

Type of Comment

Comments Dear WSCC, I hope that given the number of Objections and the significant omissions from this Application it will be rejected. The main issues are as follows. They have failed to take the allocation of 1500 houses as part of a mixed development at Ford Airfield into consideration, apart from where it alters their Traffic Baseline to suite their position. The height, bulk and mass of the building and chimney are such that they could prejudice the viability of this allocation. The applicant's report on this matter is from an entirely different location and building and is therefore irrelevant. The Height, Mass and Bulk of this proposal, would be a seriously detriment to: the aspect and setting of Listed Buildings including the Grade 1 Listed St Andrews Church; the setting and environment of the SSSI at Clymping Beach; the setting of Arundel and the Arun Valley and The significant viewpoints mentioned by SDNP landscape study at both Monarch's Way and the Trundle and other prominent views in and out of the National Park and therefore against the NPPF The application does not demonstrate in its Landscape Assessment these important views. The implemented permission is accepted and there is no evidence supplied as to why that design would no longer be possible to act as an EFW. There is no justification as to why this location needs to take more waste than it already has through its implemented permission, particularly now that the Horsham site has been permitted. Unlike the implemented permission is accepted and therefore inherently a retrograde proposal for a more polluting and less energy efficient system. https://www.wastetoenergysystems.com/why-is-gasification-better-than-incineration%20is%20defined%20as%20delingh.be%20disposed%20of%20in%20landfills.8text=Gasification%20is%20a/galm%20temperature_to%20a%20ag%20alled%20smgas. The Application is the New Policient with Application is the Plane the less and WECC Minerage and Waste Plane the view the plane to ising with Application is completed as the paw setl

incineration/#:~v:text=Incineration%20is%20defined%20as%20high,be%20disposed%20of%20in%20landfills.&text=Gasification%20is%20a%20high%20temperature,to%20a%20gas%20called%20syngas. The Application is contrary to many Policies in the NPPF and WSCC Mineral and Waste Plan, through its flawed Environmental Statement. It is also against the new settlement policies with Arun DC Local Plan and the Ford Neighbourhood Plan. I hope that this over intensification of the site beyond its existing use and permission can be seen as just that. It is unjustifiable to allow this application based on it very significant negative impact to Arun, the SDNP and West Sussex as a whole. The departure from all of the Plans, which is apparent on detailed analysis whilst there is insufficient material considerations in this proposal to warrant it being permitted. Kind Regards Rod Hague Trust Administrator.

Received 09/08/2020 21:18:14

Attachments