

Comment for planning application WSCC/036/20

Application number

Name

Address

Type of Comment

Comments I have three main objections of the proposed development at Ford. Environmental The facility proposed does not appear to be designed to generate energy for the community such as is the case in SELCHP in South London. This provides energy for 48,000 homes, with minimal pollution in a densely populated city. The proposed plan is ignoring current scientific thinking, regarding the environment. It is in opposition to the views of a large proportion of the citizens of our country and the wider world. This is a truly wasted opportunity. B) HEALTH AND SAFETY This facility, as currently proposed, will have a detrimental effect on the well being of the people of the area. This will increase the pressure on our already overburdened NHS Increased levels of pollution from the 240 lorries arriving daily and the pollution produced as a consequence of incineration of waste. The result of this will cause an increase in levels of asthma and other conditions related to poor air quality. The prevailing winds will bring this pollution inland to spread far and wide. The residents of housing developments, which I believe to be at the planning stage, will be severely affected by this facility - in addition to its questionable location on the floodplain. Ford Road is totally inadequate to support such an increase in traffic levels Residents of Ford Road already suffer high levels of heavy lorry traffic because there are no controls to access of such vehicles. The drivers ignore the sign near the Arundel stating "Unsuitable for heavy vehicles". Aesthetic Considerations I realise that as a resident of Arundel who values our beautiful and unique surroundings, it is easy to be a "nimby". However waste disposal facilities are necessary. We need to deal with our own waste- not export it. However it should be feasible in this day and age, to design and build in such a way as to mitigate the appearance of this vast, ugly building. Could it be partly constructed underground, so that trees could be grown nearby to screen the facility? Enough trees could also be instrumental in helping absorb water on the floodplain in Winter and Spring. With imagination and determination this building could be productive both financially and environmentally and less of an aesthetic eyesore. Please look at the SELCHP website. It's an inspiration. Thank you for reading this. PS - by the way- typing this on a small iPhone, in such a small box has been very difficult.

Received

Attachments