## Comment for planning application WSCC/036/20

Application number Name

WSCC/036/20

Jenn Marshall

Address

BEAGLE DRIVE, 9, BEAGLE DRIVE, ARUNDEL, BN18 ONY

Type of Comment Comments

Objection

I have lived on the Rollaston Estate for 14 years and feel its is the right mix of family homes with a supportive community, semi rural with fields, which are soon to be built on and an industrial estate that is relatively quiet and causes little day to day impact. The industrial estate isn't easily visible from many of the houses and this has been a very sought after place to live. There are a significant number of families with young children who benefit from a quiet place to live. The air is clear and apart from the odd farming generated smell, the atmosphere is very pleasant. I am objecting very strongly to this planning application due to the dust, our bad fumes this building and tower will cause, the noise from the constant humming that will travel for miles, especially in the summer when families have their windows open throughout the day. A significant number of people are now working from home and will continue to after the Pandemic which will make it an uncomfortable living and working environment for all. The look and appearance of the building is highly inappropriate for a residential/semi rural location and will dramatically effect the house prices in the surrounding area. The noise of the 120 lorries per day will be significantly impactive for those living and working from home. A number of young families walk around the area, dog walkers and elderly residents taking daily exercise, which could pose a risk with the estimated number of lorries coming through. Hedgerows and trees will be negatively impacted and the roads infrastructure in and around Ford, Yapton and Clymping will also be effected. There could be a knock on effect to the house market, as people will not want to purchase the proposed 1500 homes on the Airfield which will be adjacent to the incinerator site. With the local economy trying to recover as a result of the pandemic, this could cause significant financial risk to the builders and contractors whose livelihood has already been damaged as a result of being furloughed. I am concerned about the loss of light for residents living nearby, the outlook we will have and the lack of privacy for all with the significant amount of traffic. To place such a monstrosity in a semi rural area is reckless and would irecably damage the character of the local area and surrounding area. The Roads and highways can't sustain the amount of traffic and the impact and safety of other road users such as cars, bikes and motor cyclists will be significantly at risk. In conclusion I object in the strongest terms for the reasons I have set out above. This proposal is highly unsuitable in this location.

Received

08/08/2020 11:07:33

**Attachments**