Comment for planning application WSCC/036/20

Name John Proctor Address FIELD VIEW, NYTON ROAD, NYTON ROAD, CHICHESTER, PO20 3TX Type of Objection Comment Objection to the principal of Energy from Waste on the site but an objection to its size and environmental impact. The main issues are as follows. They have failed to take the allocation of 1500 houses as part of a mixed development at Ford Airfield into consideration, apart from where it alters their Traffic Baseline to suite their position. The height, bulk and mass of the building and chimney are such that they could prejudice the viability of this allocation and the applicant's report on this matter is from an entirely different location and building and is therefore irrelevant. This would put pressure on Arun to find other less suitable sites for housing development. 2. The Height, Mass and Bulk of this proposal, would be a seriously detriment to the aspect and setting of Listed Buildings including the Grade 1 Listed St Andrews Church the setting and environment of the SSSI at Clymping Beach the setting of Arundel and the Arun Valley The significant viewpoints mentioned by SDNP landscape study at both Monarch's Way and the Trundle and other prominent views in and out of the National Park and therefore iagainst the NPPF The application does not demonstrate in the Landscape Assessment these important views. 3. There is no evidence supplied as to why the existing design would no longer be possible to act as an ERFW. There is no justification as to why the isolation needs to take more waste than it already has through its implemented permission, particularly now that the Horsham site has been permitted. 4. Unlike the implemented permission this application is not for an R1 Gasification Process and this application its ontrary to many Policies in the NPPF and NSCC Mineral and Waste Plan, through its flawed Environm	Application number	WSCC/036/20
Type of Comments Objection Objection Objection Comments My reasons as to why this proposal is so over the top in its intensification of the site is below. This is not an objection to the principal of Energy from Waste on the site but an objection to its size and environmental impact. The main issues are as follows. They have failed to take the allocation of 1500 houses as part of a mixed development at Ford Airfield into consideration, apart from where it alters their Traffic Baseline to suite their position. The height, bulk and mass of the building and chimney are such that they could prejudice the viability of this allocation and the applicant's report on this matter is from an entirely different location and building and is therefore irrelevant. This would put pressure on Arun to find other less suitable sites for housing development. 2. The Height, Mass and Bulk of this proposal, would be a seriously detriment to the aspect and setting of Listed Buildings including the Grade 1 Listed St Andrews Church the setting and environment of the SSSI at Clymping Beach the setting of Arundel and the Arun Valley The significant viewpoints mentioned by SDNP landscape study at both Monarch's Way and the Trundle and other prominent views in and out of the National Park and therefore against the NPPF The application does not demonstrate in the Landscape Assessment these important views. 3. There is no justification as to why this location needs to take more waste than it already has through its implemented permission, particularly now that the Horsham site has been permitted. 4. Unlike the implemented permission this application is not for a R1 Gasification Process and this application therefore inherently a retrograde proposal for a more polluting and less energy efficient system. 5. The Application is contrary to many Policies in the NPPF and WSCC Mineral and Waste Plan, through	Name	John Proctor
Comment Comments My reasons as to why this proposal is so over the top in its intensification of the site is below. This is not an objection to the principal of Energy from Waste on the site but an objection to its size and environmental impact. The main issues are as follows. They have failed to take the allocation of 1500 houses as part of a mixed development at Ford Airfield into consideration, apart from where it alters their Traffic Baseline to suite their position. The height, bulk and mass of the building and chinney are such that they could prejudice the viability of this allocation and the applicant's report on this matter is from an entirely different location and building and is therefore irrelevant. This would put pressure on Arun to find other less suitable sites for housing development. 2. The Height, Mass and Bulk of this proposal, would be a seriously detriment to the aspect and setting of Listed Buildings including the Grade 1 Listed St Andrews Church the setting and environment of the SSSI at Clymping Beach the setting of Arundel and the Arun Valley The significant viewpoints mentioned by SDNP landscape study at both Monarch's Way and the Trundle and other prominent views in and out of the National Park and therefore against the NPPF The application does not demonstrate in the Landscape Assessment these important views. 3. There is no evidence supplied as to why the existing design would no longer be possible to act as an ERFW. There is no justification as to why this location needs to take more waste than it already has through its implemented permission, particularly now that the Horsham site has been permitted. 4. Unlike the implemented permission, particularly now that the Horsham site has been permitted. 4. Unlike the implemented permission this application is not for an R1 Gasification Process and this application therefore inherently a retrograde proposal for a more polluting and less energy efficient system. 5. The Application is contrary to many Policies in the NPPF and WSCC Mineral a	Address	FIELD VIEW, NYTON ROAD, NYTON ROAD, CHICHESTER, PO20 3TX
not an objection to the principal of Energy from Waste on the site but an objection to its size and environmental impact. The main issues are as follows. They have failed to take the allocation of 1500 houses as part of a mixed development at Ford Airfield into consideration, apart from where it alters their Traffic Baseline to suite their position. The height, bulk and mass of the building and chimney are such that they could prejudice the viability of this allocation and the applicant's report on this matter is from an entirely different location and building and is therefore irrelevant. This would put pressure on Arun to find other less suitable sites for housing development. 2. The Height, Mass and Bulk of this proposal, would be a seriously detriment to the aspect and setting of Listed Buildings including the Grade 1 Listed St Andrews Church the setting and environment of the SSSI at Clymping Beach the setting of Arundel and the Arun Valley The significant viewpoints mentioned by SDNP landscape study at both Monarch's Way and the Trundle and other prominent views in and out of the National Park and therefore against the NPPF The application does not demonstrate in the Landscape Assessment these important views. 3. There is no evidence supplied as to why the existing design would no longer be possible to act as an ERFW. There is no justification as to why this location needs to take more waste than it already has through its implemented permission, particularly now that the Horsham site has been permitted. 4. Unlike the implemented permission this application is not for an R1 Gasification Process and this application therefore inherently a retrograde proposal for a more polluting and less energy efficient system. 5. The Application is contrary to many Policies in the NPPF and WSCC Mineral and Waste Plan, through its flawed Environmental Statement as well as against the new settlement policies with Arun DC Local Plan and the Ford Neighbourhood Plan.		Objection
07/08/2020 20:48:33	Comments	not an objection to the principal of Energy from Waste on the site but an objection to its size and environmental impact. The main issues are as follows. They have failed to take the allocation of 1500 houses as part of a mixed development at Ford Airfield into consideration, apart from where it alters their Traffic Baseline to suite their position. The height, bulk and mass of the building and chimney are such that they could prejudice the viability of this allocation and the applicant's report on this matter is from an entirely different location and building and is therefore irrelevant. This would put pressure on Arun to find other less suitable sites for housing development. 2. The Height, Mass and Bulk of this proposal, would be a seriously detriment to the aspect and setting of Listed Buildings including the Grade 1 Listed St Andrews Church the setting and environment of the SSSI at Clymping Beach the setting of Arundel and the Arun Valley The significant viewpoints mentioned by SDNP landscape study at both Monarch's Way and the Trundle and other prominent views in and out of the National Park and therefore against the NPPF The application does not demonstrate in the Landscape Assessment these important views. 3. There is no evidence supplied as to why the existing design would no longer be possible to act as an ERFW. There is no justification as to why this location needs to take more waste than it already has through its implemented permission, particularly now that the Horsham site has been permitted. 4. Unlike the implemented permission this application is not for an R1 Gasification Process and this application therefore inherently a retrograde proposal for a more polluting and less energy efficient system. 5. The Application is contrary to many Policies in the NPPF and WSCC Mineral and Waste Plan, through its flawed Environmental Statement as well as against the new settlement
Attachments	Received	07/08/2020 20:48:53
	Attachments	