

From: [Karen Hutchins](#)
To: [PL Planning Applications](#)
Subject: Comments on Application no WSCC/036/20
Date: 31 July 2020 16:07:20

Dear Sir/Madam

It is with great concern that I am writing to you today on the proposed application for Ford.

Suitability of use in the area

The proposed site is **very close to a designated area for 1500 houses**. The proposed site would mean a loss of income for the building industry. An area where our Prime Minister is so eager to expand. By this, I mean who would want to buy, with their hard earned cash a house which is dominated by a 51m roof and a 85m chimney, which happens to be **higher than Arundel/Chichester Cathedral**.

Loss of light/outlook/privacy

This proposed sight is going to be an eyesore on our landscape. The area will be spoilt beyond repair. I used to live in Newbury and have travelled pass Didcot power station and remembered what an ugly building that was. Now thankfully bulldozed. Please don't do this to Beautiful West Sussex.

Effect on historical features such as listed building and conservation areas

There is opposite the proposed site a **12th century church**, and an even earlier church **St Andrews** just up the road. How is the beautiful stonework going to be affected by the dust/fumes/increased traffic that the above site is going to create. **A Hawker Hunter aircraft** mounted at the entrance to Ford aerodrome, extremely near to the proposed site. With all the debris of fumes/dust/increased traffic how will that be affected.. There is also **Arundel**, a conservation area just a few miles away, the 85m chimney will really destroy the beauty of the area. Do tourists want to come to Arundel famed for its beauty only to look across the fields and see the 85m monstrosity of a chimney.

Dust/Odour/Fumes

Dust Particulates Transport fumes are known to contain Dust Particulates. Reading through some of the paperwork I noticed as well as **chimney fumes**, there is going to be space for **42 HGV lorries/Waste trucks** let alone the number of cars as well. This is pollution on a grand scale. **But apparently the Enviromental Agency is going to monitor these. Is this the same EA that has for a number of years has taken shingle off of Climping Beach and sold it to other companies thus irrevocable damaging our local coastline**

Total Organic Carbon

TOCs Apparently new research have suggested that TOC levels have a direct effect on harmful **disinfection byproducts** that form during water treatment. DBPs are known to cause bladder cancer and are suspected of causing reproductive problems

Hydrogen Chlorine Gas

This was the main source for the old coal burning power stations. This can cause respiratory problems. I have been Shielding for four months because of respiratory problems, Even a small escape of fumes no matter how stringently tested will automatically cause a build up over the years. I don't want this to happen in Beautiful West Sussex
Carbon Monoxide, Sulphur Dioxide, Oxides of Nitrogen, Ammonia, Arsenic and so it goes on with all these Pollutants. It really worries me, that although told the emissions will be policed by the EA. This information does not fill me with confidence. I am astounded how ugly this building will be. It is as if the WSCC has decided on the cheapest possible alternative to what they need.

Traffic Generated and Noise Pollution

The infrastructure of the area cannot take all the increased lorries and traffic on the roads. The HGV lorries will be arriving 24 hours, non-stop. The noise pollution is going to be horrendous to those living in close proximity to ERF plant. The plant will see 275000 tonnes of mixed waste every year. This will be brought to the site by 127 lorries each day.

Please West Sussex County Council please realise what you are doing to our beautiful countryside and to the people who live in this area.

THINK AGAIN

Thank you

Karen H