## Comment for planning application WSCC/036/20

Application number	WSCC/036/20
Name	Edmund Camerer Cuss
Address	HUNTERS MEWS, 20, HUNTERS MEWS, ARUNDEL, BN18 0UW
Type of Comment	Objection
Comments	I object to this application on the grounds of common sense, as well as on the grounds of visual, traffic, health and other planning grounds. The development is to be embedded among our houses in Yapton and Ford, directly blighting tens of hundreds of homes and families as a potential choice of deliberate self-harm imposed by councillors on our own communities for generations. Blight will be visual and environmental; decision-makers cannot personally guarantee it will not have a physical health and possibly stress/mental health impact, either. Given today's societal concern for our own and future generations who will live here, the planning authority has a choice - to ignore many of its own broad statements of planning aims and probity (that sound so gratifyingly decent) or act like a common but superior vandal. The development is poorly related to the main road network, and this is bound to cause friction in an area with existing capacity limitations. With no decision on the A27 for perhaps two years, to approve this scheme now would be irresponsible. The development's chimney tower is taller than the spire of Chichester Cathedral, which can be seen for miles around; even for the irreligious the spire is to the greater glory of human thought while this chimney will stand as a monument to human waste, and it too is in the centre of the community. It is a grotesque suggestion. In visual terms there will be impact on many thousands of non-resident folk every year using the South Downs National Park. It will damage the setting of Arundel and its castle and cathedral, and blight the reputation of Arun's district for homes and touring - think "Come to Sunny Sellafield". The development makes a mockery of many government and county council and district council documents and policies regarding land use, housing, habitation, amenity and biodiversity. I am sure that other objectors will list these in detail, from the NPPF downwards and including Local Plans and Neighbourhood Plans. I would like this objection to be cons
Received	04/08/2020 10:52:20
Attachments	