Comment for planning application WSCC/004/20

Application number	WSCC/004/20
Name	Samantha Lizars
Address	DUNNINGS ROAD, 123, DUNNINGS ROAD, EAST GRINSTEAD, RH19 4AS
Type of Comment	Objection
Comments	This is an outrageous application, I can't believe its even under consideration. The problems are as follows: Danger from HGVs - This is a huge concern. Dunnings Rd is already quite dangerous with vehicles speeding down the hill and no traffic calming measures in place, as well as vehicles weaving in an out to avoid parked cars. It is a highly populous area with 3 nursery schools on the route so whether there is a ban on peak time or not, there will always be babies, toddlers and children on the roads. Traffic breakdown: Anyone who has ever driven the narrow road up to Standen, with steep rocks on either side, must surely know that vehicles already have serious trouble passing here. When a bus meets a van that is already enough to cause delays as one or the other has to back up the road, often with traffic behind it. There are plenty of bends on the road so vehicles often arrive quickly at a very narrow point without having properly judged it and then have to slam on the breaks when they meet a car coming the other way. Unreasonable number of HGVs for noise and pollution levels: The approval of additional housing being built in the field next to the Mill View Care Home has already meant HGVs, diggers etc coming up and down Dunnings Road every single day. They wait at the bottom of the road and blocking site lines out of driveways and occasionally parking across them when there is nowhere else to wait. They are obviously loud - particularly going up the hill. It is not the case that the number of HGVs implied by this tip will be the only HGVs on the road and the cumulative noise and pollution is simply unacceptable and quite apart from the environmental impact, will affect house prices. In addition it should be noted that with that housing there will be even more traffic coming out of the junction by the pub and onto the road, effectively making this a cross roads with no traffic control at all. Damage to the road. The pot holes on the proposed circuit are already dreadful. Truly dangerous. I note that the proposal places responsibility for further damage to the road on the owner of the tip site. If the council, with a public mandate, can't keep on top of large and dangerous pot holes what motivation will a private business have to do it? And how will a differentiation be made damage that is the responsibility of the council, and damage caused by these specific HGVs? How long I wonder before there is a dispute and the road just stays damaged. 'Inert waste' - I'd like to know who polices this 'inert waste'. This proposal is ridiculous - the unsuitability of the road the tip would be on alone, makes the whole thing impossible. If there is a genuine requirement for the site to be capped then A) the council should carry out their own tests and B) another solution must be found - and not one that takes 2.5 years to complete. As I understand it, the site owner stands to make a lot of money from this. Perhaps they would like to accept all this waste for free though, if the need is so great and their motivation so pure. No?
Received	27/02/2020 19:55:17
Attachments	