

ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS ASSESSMENT

for Wood Barn Farm, Broadford Bridge, West Sussex

-prepared on behalf of Celtique Energie Weald Ltd. -

- February 2012-

Bernie Harverson M.Arb.

3 foxcombe cottages • south harting • west sussex • gu31 5pl tel: 01730 825297 • mob: 07875 520881 • email: bernie.harverson@virgin.net • VAT Reg No. 881 5056 16



Tree Survey Schedule

+ T/S Notes & BS5837 Flow Diagram







TREE SURVEY NOTES

These Tree Survey Notes have been prepared in accordance with the recommendations of **British Standard 5837:2005** and define the criteria for pre –development tree surveys.

- Each tree/group has been allocated a unique number (No.). Where specifically commissioned small durable numbered metal tags can be applied to each tree/group surveyed.
- The tree species (**Species**) is provided in both English and Latin formats.
- Height assessments (**H**t) are estimated in metres. This will be adequate for the majority of cases, but where accurate heights become a critical issue it may be necessary to return to site, as a separately commissioned exercise, to collect accurate measurements with the aid of optical instruments.
- Trunk/stem diameters (**Trunk Diam**)are measured in millimetres at 1.5m above ground level and denoted as **S** single stemmed trees or **M** for multi-stemmed trees which are measured immediately above the root flare.
- Radial crown spread assessments (**Brch Sprd**) are estimated in metres from the centre of the trunk/group to each of the four primary points of the compass (**N**-north; **E**-east; **S**-south and **W**-west) in order to achieve a representation of the crown shape which will be recorded on the accompanying tree survey plan. These provide a general guide as to the main bulk outline of a tree/groups crown but <u>are not</u> tape measured dimensions. These would only be undertaken as part of a separately commissioned exercise, where precise dimensions are critical to the project at hand.

- The average ground clearance (Grd Cl) is estimated and shown in metres.
- An assessment of a tree/groups age (Age) class is made in terms of its site specific maturity as part of the surrounding landscape, taking into account its overall shape and form in that setting, and is recorded thus :-
- Y Young tree/group; MA Middle aged tree/group; M Mature tree/group; OM Over mature tree/group; V Veteran tree/group
- An assessment of a tree/groups overall physiological condition (Fm)is recorded as :-
 - G Good; F Fair; P Poor; D Dead
- Data on the structural condition (Condition Comments) of the tree/group is provided to give an indication of its visual appearance and any significant health and safety issues.
- Details of necessary tree works required at the time of survey is given under the heading Preliminary Management Recommendations
- An estimate of a tree/groups future life expectancy (**Rem Cont**) is made and is recorded thus :-1-10; 10-20; 20-30; 30-40 or >40 years.
- The category grading (**Cat**) for each tree/group is assessed according to the criteria provided within **BS5837:2005.** The assessment is made of the tree/group in its current condition and within the environment encountered bearing in mind its suitability for retention as part of any future proposed development; although the exact layout detail of any specific scheme will not be known at the time of surveying. The trees have been classified into one of four categories and colour coded as BS5837 recommends **I** (dark red); **A** (light green); **B** (mid-blue) and **C** (grey).Please note that the numerical sub-categories which are also applied are for guidance only and do not carry any cumulative or increased value for the tree/group. This colour coding scheme will be applied to all drawings provided.

	Table 1 – Casca	de chart for tree quality assessment		
TREES FOR REMOVAL				
Category and definition		Criteria		Colour on plan
Category R Those in such a condition that any existing value would be lost within 10 years and which should, in current context, be removed for reasons of sound arboricultural management.	 Trees that have a serious, irremediable, struunviable after removal of other R category Trees that are dead or are showing signs of Trees infected with pathogens of significan suppressing adjacent trees of better quality 	actural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, ind trees (i.e. where, for whatever reason the loss of companion shelter c significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline ace to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby (e.g. Dutch Elm D	cluding those that will become annot be mitigated by pruning) visease), or very low quality trees	Dark Red
TREES TO BE CONSIDERED FOR RE	TENTION			
		Criteria – Subcategories	-	
	1	2	3	
Category A – Those of high quality and value: in such a condition as to be able to make a substantial contribution (a minimum of 40 years is suggested) Category B – Those of moderate quality and value: in such a condition as to make a significant contribution (a minimum of 20 years is suggested)	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual, or essential components of groups, or of formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue) Trees that might be included in the high category, but are downgraded because of impaired condition(e.g. presence of remediable defects including unsympathetic past management and minor storm damage)	Trees, groups or woodlands which provide a definite screening or softening effect to the locality in relation to views into or out of the site, or those of particularly visual importance (e.g. avenues or other arboricultural features assessed as groups) Trees present in numbers, usually as groups or woodlands, such that they form distinct landscape features, thereby attracting a higher collective rating than they might as individuals but which are not, individually, essential components of formal or semi- formal arboricultural features (e.g. trees of moderate quality within an avenue that includes better, A category specimens), or trees situated mainly internally to the site, therefore individually having little visual impact on the wider locality	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture) Trees with clearly identifiable conservation or other cultural benefits	Light Green Mid Blue
<u>Category</u> – Those of low quality and value: currently in adequate condition to remain until new planting could be established (a minimum of 10 years is suggested), or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm	Trees not qualifying in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater landscape value, and/or trees offering low or only temporary screening benefit	Trees with very limited conservation or other cultural benefits	Grey
Note: Whilst C category trees will usually r relocation	tot be retained where they would impose a significant c	constraint on development, young trees with a stem diameter of less th	an 150mm should be considered for	ſ



Client : Celtique Energie Weald Ltd.

Site Location : Wood Barn Farm, Broadford Bridge

Surveyor : Bernie Harverson *M.Arb*.

Date Surveyed : 8th February 2012

Tree No.	Species	Ht m	S / M	Trunk Diam mm	Brch Sprd m	Gr Cl m	Age	F	Condition Comments	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Rem Con yrs	Cat
1	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	14	S	600	N2 E10 S6 W5	5	М	Р	Ivy smothering base-suppressed-low crown density-low vigour and vitality-significant dieback-stag headed-small diameter deadwood-major deadwood and stubs-storm damaged -stubs	Remove major deadwood because of proximity to road	10-20	C1
2	Pedunculate Oak <i>Quercus robur</i>	10	S	600	N3 E2 S5 W5	4	М	Р	Ivy smothering base-low crown density-low vigour and vitality-significant dieback-stag headed and in decline-small diameter deadwood throughout-major deadwood and stubs	Consider removal for safety reasons	5-10	R
3	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	20	S	est 800	N9 E9 S5 W9	4	М	G	Unable to access due to ditch and hedge-ivy smothering base, trunk and through crown-small diameter deadwood-major deadwood and stubs	Remove major deadwood because of proximity to road	>40	A1
4	Pedunculate Oak <i>Quercus robur</i>	20	S	est 650	N2 E6 S4 W10	5	М	F	Unable to access due to ditch and hedge-ivy smothering base- epicormics-suppressed to north and south-small diameter deadwood-major deadwood and stubs	Remove major deadwood because of proximity to road	>40	B1
5	Pedunculate Oak <i>Quercus robur</i>	15	S	est 400	N1 E4 S1 W3	5	М	Р	Unable to access-bifurcated in upper crown-epicormics- suppressed and dominated by T4-low crown density-low vigour and vitality-small diameter deadwood throughout- storm damaged with broken branches and stubs-poor quality	Remove major deadwood because of proximity to road	10-20	C1
Hge 1	Blackthorn Hawthorn	1.5 to 2	М	20 to 150	N- E- S- W-	0	Y to MA	F to P	Intermittent linear clumps with suckering within which increases width of hedge at ground level-previously formally clipped at 1m above ground level	Maintain as a clipped hedge	20-30	C2
6	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	15	S	800	N11 E10 S10 W8	4	М	G	Epicormics-suppressed by T7-major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	A1
7	Pedunculate Oak <i>Quercus robur</i>	14	S	800	N7 E5 S8 W7	4	М	G	Epicormics-suppressed by T6-major deadwood and stubs- storm damaged with broken branches and hangers-significant flare to buttress roots at ground level with a flattened formation	Remove storm damage and hangers	>40	B1
8	Pedunculate Oak <i>Quercus robur</i>	10	S	750	N3 E3 S3 W3	2	М	Р	Epicormics are the only live growth on this tree-low crown density-low vigour and vitality-significant dieback-stag headed	Fell and stump grind	5-10	R

										,	BJH.05	59
9	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	13	S	500	N6 E3 S5 W3	2	М	F	Epicormics are the primary live growth on this tree- suppressed to east and west-small diameter deadwood-major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	C1
10	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	12	S	600	N5 E3 S6 W6	2	М	F	Epicormics are the primary live growth on this tree- suppressed to east -small diameter deadwood-major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	B1 / C1
Wood 1	Pedunculate Oak Ash Hazel Holly Willow	15 to 25	S & M	250 to 1000	N- E- S- W-	0	М	G	Pheasant hatchery within woodland Primarily an Oak woodland with Hazel coppice Storm damaged and fallen trees plus an abundance of dead branches on floor of woodland	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	A2
W1	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	550			MA	F	Major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	B1
W2	Goat Willow Salix caprea		S	200			MA	Р	Suppressed and leaning	No work considered essential at time of survey	10-20	C1
W3	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	900			М	G	"Bottle butt" formation at 1m above ground level-could be a reaction to embedded fence wire	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	A1
W4	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	1000			М	G	Significant storm damage with broken branches, hangers and stubs-has recently shed some large limbs which are present on ground below crown	Remove storm damage broken branches and hangers and tidy wounds	>40	A1
W5	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	750			М	F	Storm damaged with broken branches, hangers and stubs	Remove storm damage broken branches and hangers and tidy wounds	>40	B1
W6	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	550			М	F	Storm damaged with broken branches, hangers and stubs	Remove storm damage broken branches and hangers and tidy wounds	>40	B1
W7	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	650			М	F	Storm damaged with broken branches, hangers and stubs	Remove storm damage broken branches and hangers and tidy wounds	>40	B1
W8	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	670			М	F	Major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	B1

]	BJH.05	59
W9	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	350	MA	Р	Low crown density-low vigour and vitality-significant dieback	No work considered essential at time of survey	10-20	C1
W10	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	600	M	F	Major deadwood and stubs-storm damaged with broken branches, hangers and stubs-significant" bottle butt" formation on trunk	Remove storm damage broken branches and hangers and tidy wounds	>40	B1
W11	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	670	М	F	Major deadwood and stubs-storm damaged with broken branches, hangers and stubs	Remove storm damage broken branches and hangers and tidy wounds	>40	B1
W12	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	850	М	G	Major deadwood and stubs-storm damaged with broken branches, hangers and stubs	Remove storm damage broken branches and hangers and tidy wounds	>40	A1
W13	Ash Fraxinus excelsior	М	550	Y	F	Bifurcated at ground level-wire embedded in trunk	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	C1
W14	Sycamore Acer pseudoplatanus	М	450	Y	Р	Significant dieback and decay in central stem	Fell for safety reasons	5-10	R
W15	Ash Fraxinus excelsior	S	480	MA	Р	Major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	20-30	C1
W16	Ash Fraxinus excelsior	S	300	MA	Р	Contorted-major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	10-20	C1
W17	Ash Fraxinus excelsior	М	350	MA	Р	Epicormics-canker throughout-severe lean-significant basal decay	Fell for safety reasons	0-5	R
W18	Ash Fraxinus excelsior	S	380	MA	Р	Contorted-significant lean-basal decay-poor quality tree	Fell for safety reasons	5-10	R
W19	Ash Fraxinus excelsior	S	420	MA	Р	Major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	20-30	C1

								BJH.05	59
W20	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	560	MA	Р	Suppressed	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	C1
W21	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	900	М	G		No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	A1
W22	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	560	M	G	Major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	A1
W23	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	530	М	Р	Suppressed-storm damaged with broken branches and hangers	Remove storm damage broken branches and hangers and tidy wounds	20-30	C1
W24	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	600	М	F	Suppressed-major deadwood and stubs-low branching habit	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	C1
W25	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	550	М	F	Suppressed-major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	B1
W26	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	420	MA	Р	Suppressed-major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	C1
W27	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	470	M	F	Major deadwood and stubs-low branching habit	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	C1
W28	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	400	MA	Р	Suppressed –low crown density	No work considered essential at time of survey	20-30	C1
W29	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	440	MA	Р	Suppressed-major deadwood and stubs-low branching habit	No work considered essential at time of survey	20-30	C1
W30	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	550	М	G	Major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	A1

]	BJH.05	59
W31	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	750			M	Р	Significant storm damage with broken branches ,hangers and stubs-low branching habit	Crown lift and reduce and reshape to balance –remove storm damage, broken branches and hangers-tidy wounds	30-40	C1
W32	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	500			MA	Р	Contorted –epicormics-low crown density-storm damaged with broken branches	Remove storm damage broken branches and hangers and tidy wounds	20-30	C1
W33	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		М	800			MA	G	Bifurcated 1.5m above ground level-major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	A1
W34	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	460			MA	F	Suppressed-major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	C1
W35	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	480			MA	F	Suppressed-major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	C1
Shelt 1	Pedundulate Oak Hazel Holly	15 to 20	S & M	300 to 700	N- E- S- W-	0	MA & M	F to P	An extension of Woodland 1 with similar tree content- bramble understorey	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	B2
Sh1.1	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	220			Y	Р	Severe leansuppressed-low crown density-low vigour and vitality-poor quality tree	Fell for safety reasons	0-5	R
Sh1.2	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	400			MA	F	Major deadwood and stubs-low branching habit	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	C1
Sh1.3	Whitebeam Sorbus aria		М	550			М	F	Leans east-suppressed	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	B1
Sh1.4	Field Maple Acer campestre		M	220			MA	Р	Dieback-dead top-poor quality tree	No work considered essential at time of survey	10-20	C1
Sh1.5	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		M	900			М	F	Multi stemmed at ground level-small diameter deadwood	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	A1

											BJH.05	59
Sh1.6	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	450			MA	F	Small diameter deadwood-low branching habit	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	B1
Sh1.7	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	250			Y	F	Suppressed	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	B1
Sh1.8	Wild Apple Malus domestica		S	220			M	D	Dead tree	Fell for safety reasons	0-5	R
Shelt 2	Pedunculate Oak Hawthorns	15 to 25	S	200 to 800	N- E- S- W-	0	MA to M	G to F	A narrow belt of Oak trees acting as a field boundary	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	B2
Sh2.1	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		М	500			MA	Р	Multi stemmed at ground level-small diameter deadwood	No work considered essential at time of survey	10-20	C1
Sh2.2	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	500			М	F	Major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	B1
Sh2.3	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	750			М	G	Major deadwood and stubs-low branching habit	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	A1
Sh2.4	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	480			М	G	Small diameter deadwood-major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	B1
Sh2.5	Field Maple Acer campestre		М	800			M	G	Ivy smothering base and trunk-suppressed	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	A1
Sh2.6	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	450			M	F	Suppressed-crown weighted north	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	C1
Sh2.7	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur		S	350			MA	Р	Suppressed-crown weighted north	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	C1

Sh2.8	Pedunculate Oak <i>Quercus robur</i>	S	650		М	F	Suppressed-major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	B1
Sh2.9	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	420	Ν	MA	Р	Suppressed-crown weighted north-major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	30-40	C1
Sh2.10	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	S	850		М	G	Bifurcated 3m above ground level-major deadwood and stubs	No work considered essential at time of survey	>40	A1



Tree Survey & Constraints Plan BH 01/02



Root Protection Area Schedule



Client : Celtique Energie Weald Ltd.

Site Location : Wood Barn Farm, Broadford Bridge

Tree No.	Tree Species	Cat	Single	Diam	BS5837:2005 Table2- Radial Protect, Zone	BS5837:2005 Table2- Root Protect, Area	Is An Offset Required To Cater For Existing Rooting Pattern Restrictions
			Multi	mm	m	m ²	
1	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	600	7.2	162.9	YES – the road and deep ditch will tend to promote more rooting into the open field
2	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	R	S	600	n/a	n/a	NO – this tree could be removed for sound arboricultural management reasons regardless of any redevelopment proposals
3	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	A1	S	est 800	9.6	289.6	YES – the road and deep ditch will tend to promote more rooting into the open field
4	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	B1	S	est 650	7.8	191.2	YES – the road and deep ditch will tend to promote more rooting into the open field
5	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	est 400	4.8	72.4	YES – the road and deep ditch will tend to promote more rooting into the open field
Hge	Blackthorn	C2	М	20	1.5	7.1	NO – free rooting to all directions
1	Hawthorn			to 150			
6	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	A1	S	800	9.6	289.6	NO – free rooting to all directions
7	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	B1	S	800	9.6	289.6	NO – free rooting to all directions
8	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	R	S	750	n/a	n/a	NO – this tree could be removed for sound arboricultural management reasons regardless of any redevelopment proposals
9	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	500	6.0	113.1	NO – free rooting to all directions
10	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	B1 / C1	S	600	7.2	162.9	NO – free rooting to all directions
Wood	Pedunculate Oak	A2	S	250	3.0	28.3	See individual details below
1	Holly Willow		M M	1000	10.0	314.2	

B.IH.0559 **YES** – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to W1 Pedunculate Oak **B**1 S 550 6.6 136.9 Ouercus robur promote more rooting out into the open field – albeit also restricted by the ditch on the field side of the tree. YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to W2 Goat Willow C1 S 200 2.4 18.1 promote more rooting out into the open field – albeit also restricted by the Salix caprea ditch on the field side of the tree. W3 S 900 **YES** – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to Pedunculate Oak A1 10.8 366.5 promote more rooting out into the open field – albeit also restricted by the Ouercus robur ditch on the field side of the tree. S YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to W4 Pedunculate Oak A1 1000 12.0 452.5 promote more rooting out into the open field – albeit also restricted by the Ouercus robur ditch on the field side of the tree. Pedunculate Oak S 9.0 254.5 **YES** – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to W5 **B**1 750 promote more rooting out into the open field – albeit also restricted by the Ouercus robur ditch on the field side of the tree. **YES** – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to W6 Pedunculate Oak S 550 136.9 B1 6.6 promote more rooting out into the open field – albeit also restricted by the Ouercus robur ditch on the field side of the tree. S **YES** – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to W7 Pedunculate Oak B1 650 7.8 191.2 promote more rooting out into the open field – albeit also restricted by the Quercus robur ditch on the field side of the tree. **YES** – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to S W8 Pedunculate Oak **B**1 670 8.1 203.1 promote more rooting out into the open field – albeit also restricted by the Ouercus robur ditch on the field side of the tree. YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to W9 Pedunculate Oak C1 S 350 4.2 56.0 promote more rooting out into the open field – albeit also restricted by the Ouercus robur ditch on the field side of the tree. 162.9 **YES** – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to Pedunculate Oak S 600 7.2 W10 **B**1 promote more rooting out into the open field – albeit also restricted by the Ouercus robur ditch on the field side of the tree. Pedunculate Oak S 670 8.1 203.1 **YES** – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to W11 **B**1 Ouercus robur promote more rooting out into the open field – albeit also restricted by the ditch on the field side of the tree. YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to W12 Pedunculate Oak A1 S 850 10.2 326.9 Ouercus robur promote more rooting out into the open field – albeit also restricted by the ditch on the field side of the tree. W13 Ash C1 Μ 550 5.5 95.1 NO - there is a Pheasant Hatchery behind this tree and as a result there are Fraxinus excelsior very few trees to compete with this one W14 Μ 450 NO – this tree could be removed for sound arboricultural management Sycamore R n/a n/a Acer reasons regardless of any redevelopment proposals pseudoplatanus W15 S NO – there is a Pheasant Hatchery behind this tree and as a result there are Ash C1 480 5.8 104.3 very few trees to compete with this one Fraxinus excelsior

W16	Ash Fraxinus excelsior	C1	S	300	3.6	40.7	NO – there is a Pheasant Hatchery behind this tree and as a result there are very few trees to compete with this one
W17	Ash Fraxinus excelsior	R	М	350	n/a	n/a	NO – this tree could be removed for sound arboricultural management reasons regardless of any redevelopment proposals
W18	Ash Fraxinus excelsior	R	S	380	n/a	n/a	NO – this tree could be removed for sound arboricultural management reasons regardless of any redevelopment proposals
W19	Ash Fraxinus excelsior	C1	S	420	5.0	80.0	NO – there is a Pheasant Hatchery behind this tree and as a result there are very few trees to compete with this one
W20	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	560	6.7	141.9	NO – there is a Pheasant Hatchery behind this tree and as a result there are very few trees to compete with this one
W21	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	A1	S	900	10.8	366.5	NO – there is a Pheasant Hatchery behind this tree and as a result there are very few trees to compete with this one
W22	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	A1	S	560	6.7	141.9	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
W23	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	530	6.4	127.1	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
W24	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	600	7.2	162.9	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
W25	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	B1	S	550	6.6	136.9	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
W26	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	420	5.0	80.0	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
W27	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	470	5.7	100.0	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
W28	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	400	4.8	72.4	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
W29	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	440	5.3	87.6	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
W30	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	A1	S	550	6.6	136.9	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field

							BJH.0559
W31	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	750	9.0	254.5	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
W32	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	500	6.0	113.1	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
W33	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	A1	М	800	8.0	201.1	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
W34	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	460	5.5	95.8	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
W35	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	480	5.8	104.3	YES – the competition with other trees in the woodland will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
Shelt 1	Pedundulate Oak Hazel Holly	B2	S & M	300 to 700	3.6 7.0	40.7	See individual details below
Sh1.1	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	R	S	220	n/a	n/a	NO – this tree could be removed for sound arboricultural management reasons regardless of any redevelopment proposals
Sh1.2	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	400	4.0	50.3	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
Sh1.3	Whitebeam Sorbus aria	B1	М	550	5.5	95.1	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
Sh1.4	Field Maple Acer campestre	C1	М	220	2.2	15.2	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
Sh1.5	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	A1	М	900	9.0	254.5	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
Sh1.6	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	B1	S	450	5.4	91.7	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
Sh1.7	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	B1	S	250	3.0	28.3	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field
Sh1.8	Wild Apple Malus domestica	R	S	220	n/a	n/a	NO – this tree could be removed for sound arboricultural management reasons regardless of any redevelopment proposals
Shelt 2	Pedunculate Oak Hawthorns	B2	S	200 to	2.4	18.1	See individual details below
				800	9.6	289.6	

							BJH.0559
Sh2.1	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	М	500	5.0	78.6	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field – added to which there is a stream behind this tree
Sh2.2	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	B1	S	500	6.0	113.1	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field – added to which there is a stream behind this tree
Sh2.3	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	A1	S	750	9.0	254.5	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field – added to which there is a stream behind this tree
Sh2.4	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	B1	S	480	5.8	104.3	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field – added to which there is a stream behind this tree
Sh2.5	Field Maple Acer campestre	A1	М	800	8.0	201.1	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field – added to which there is a stream behind this tree
Sh2.6	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	450	5.4	91.7	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field – added to which there is a stream behind this tree
Sh2.7	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	350	4.2	56.0	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field – added to which there is a stream behind this tree
Sh2.8	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	B1	S	650	7.8	191.2	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field – added to which there is a stream behind this tree
Sh2.9	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	C1	S	420	5.0	80.0	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field – added to which there is a stream behind this tree
Sh2.10	Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur	A1	S	850	10.2	326.9	YES – the competition with other trees in the shelterbelt will tend to promote more rooting out into the open field – added to which there is a stream behind this tree



Qualifications & Experience



QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

• My name is Bernie Harverson and I am a self employed independent arboricultural consultant in private practice. I take instructions primarily in the South of England but also on occasions work nationwide and abroad and have offices at : -

3 Foxcombe Cottages, South Harting, West Sussex GU31 5PL and also at Cedar Court, 5 College Street, Petersfield

- I hold the following arboricultural qualification Master of Arboriculture (Royal Forestry Society 1976)
- I have over forty years of practical and managerial experience in the arboricultural industry including periods in both the public and private sectors.
- My Local Government sector experience comprises one year as a tree surgeon with Brighton Parks and nine years spent in Arboricultural Officer posts with both Westminster City Council and Portsmouth City Council
- My past practical experience in the private sector includes two years at Tilhill Forest Nursery and over ten years for various companies as a Climbing Arborist/Tree Surgeon.
- Managerial work in the private sector includes two years as manager of Beechings tree surgery company and twelve years with CBA Trees as an Arboricultural Consultant.
- As an independent self employed Arboricultural Consultant I now provide a comprehensive range of services including :tree surveys, appraisals, assessments and inspections with particular reference to planning and development and tree safety audits with a service offered as a climber to undertake full climbing inspections to better understand the condition of a given tree before prescribing a management strategy.
- I also undertake litigation work appearing as an Expert Witness in Court Actions and at Planning Appeals, Hearings and Public Local Inquiries.

Bernie Harverson M.Arb.

3 foxcombe cottages • south harting • west sussex • gu31 5pl tel: 01730 825297 • mob: 07875 520881 • email: bernie.harverson@virgin.net • VAT Reg No. 881 5056 16