

# Comment for planning application WSCC/028/21

Application number	WSCC/028/21
Name	Matthew & Gillian Stevens
Address	OLD FORGE, SCHOOL LANE, SCHOOL LANE, PULBOROUGH, RH20 4AP
Type of Comment	Objection
Comments	<p>We object to this application on the following basis:</p> <p>1.) Insufficient timescales for due diligence. This extensive proposal has been submitted during a holiday period, and with little prior knowledge provided by local groups, the parties proposing the change, nor the Washington Parish Council.</p> <p>As a minimum more time is required to understand the details and long-term consequences of the proposal, with full disclosures and constructive engagement.</p> <p>2.) I believe the additional traffic levels proposed are unacceptable to a small village and the wider local area.</p> <p>Can I highlight and directly request that the council confirms what consideration has been applied to this proposal alongside the Rampion2 proposal?</p> <p>An additional 300 daily lorry 2-way movements on a road where other plans currently propose to tunnel under the same identified road 3 times in under 1km over the same period?</p> <p>I have nothing against the Wiston Estate and I believe in capitalism, however I find it difficult to accept that they have not considered these two issues collectively since they have been in private consultation with both proposals and will financially benefit from them.</p> <p>3.) I believe the environmental impact (noise, potential dust, pollution) will negatively impact local residents and wildlife.</p> <p>4.) I do not accept the key aspects put forward without further, detailed disclosure. Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The first rationale is based on protection from a risk based on trespass, for swimming on a hot day. I notice there is no mention of the business plan and profitability for the applicants for dry in-fill over 8-10 years.</li><li>- The second is based on Leachate pollution from previous landfill sites. I would appreciate understanding who owns those previous sites? who is responsible for the identified pollution now? and the longer term impacts of not addressing this pollution now and in effect - burying it.</li></ul> <p>Please note I acknowledge that as a collective we need to constructively address what should be done for the benefit of all parties, however Washington is a small village within the South Downs National Park.</p> <p>Planners need to acknowledge that between Rampion2, this proposal and local planning applications, residents are now living at the epicentre for disruption and inconvenience, which challenges the existence of this village and the purpose of planning, safe guarding locations and listed property status.</p>
Received	19/08/2021 11:36:38
Attachments	