

Comment for planning application WSCC/028/21

Application number	<input type="text" value="WSCC/028/21"/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Cathy Saul"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="MONKS WALK, 12, MONKS WALK, STEYNING, BN44 3HR"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Comments	<p>Regarding the current application for the new waste site in Washington. Please consider some of the below points.</p> <p>This quarry is a major aquifer. Consider what will happen if the water level is allowed to rise, due to leeching from the adjacent household waste site. Yes it is leeching - this is in the report. The water is being pumped into Honeybridge stream, and will be pumped forever. This is major concern; the report identifies compounds such as arsenic and chromium. This will enter our water supplies.</p> <p>As for the business there is the business park on The Hollow, Rock Campsite within a few meters of this site just as examples, so very many different businesses. The campsite will be severely affected by noise and dust, Google reviews already mention this from the very few remaining sand diggers. It's full of absolutely amazing wildlife. Breeding peregrine falcons, sand martins and great crested newts for example. Just one incidence of demonstrating how corrupt this application is, is the newt survey has not been carried out properly.</p> <p>There are no mitigating measures for loss of habitat. Rare moth species of NATIONAL importance. Sand martins. Peregrines. Sand lizards. They openly admit the habitats will be irreverably destroyed in the report. This habitat is rare.</p> <p>They have completely ignored the closest property (20m) in the whole report - they even took a photo where they deliberately hide it behind a tree!!</p> <p>The traffic survey shows there is not enough space for 2 lorries to pass, it even shows them driving into each other, no mitagating measures. The width of lorries in diagram are suddenly half the correct width when it shows them passing next to each other!</p> <p>They want to reinstate the underground conveyor belt to transport the rubbish. The last time this was used caused a landslide in 1999 due to vibrations, this one is even bigger and cliffs are even more unstable now, the whole road will fall in. They used it for less than a year. They will also still need machinery in the quarry to spread the waste, this is all trying to get around their previous REJECTION as they were told the access is NOT SUITABLE.</p> <p>They had to put aside money for restoration during the last decades of excavation. There was already an agreed restoration plan. Where has the money for this gone to?</p>
Received	<input type="text" value="26/07/2021 08:10:37"/>
Attachments	