Comment for planning application WSCC/028/21

Application
number
Name

WSCC/028/21

Arthur Hutchins

Address

COOTHAM PARK, 3, COOTHAM PARK, PULBOROUGH, RH20 4JS

Type of Comment Comments

Objection

I am staggered that the considerably detrimental impact upon the health of the people and the environment, should this application be granted, needs to be explained to the Chair of WSCC and an elected representative of the district in which it is located.

Mr Marshall, whose private residence is close by, should be fully aware of the importance of unpolluted aquifers to his neighbours, his constituency, to the wider community of West Sussex he was elected to serve.

The increased demand planned Housing developments within his Parishes and the Districts will place upon a finite water supply already under considerable stress. The extent of the fudges and questionable evience in environmental impact and biodiversity surveys should be in Mr Bartlett's case files, along with the breeches in digging below the water table and the land filling of ponds before they were properly surveyed.

Toxins are known to be leeching into the groundwater from neighbouring landfills, the fall out of which may not be as distant in the future as some members may wish for...

If these matters are not extensively covered in the details put before the committee and even one member is deemed ignorant of any of them then the application should be rejected. If all are conversent with the full facts but lack the aptitude to process them, then the application should be rejected. Finally, if they are fully conversent with the facts and have a professional and thorough environmental impact report upon which to draw and have the aptitude to process the evidence and come to a balanced decision, then the application should be rejected.

Received

25/07/2021 09:11:30

Attachments