

Arboricultural Method Statement

RIBA Stages 5 & 6

Mannings Heath Water Treatment Works, Birch Grove, Horsham, West Sussex

A Report To: The Clancy Group Report Number: RT-MME-159801-03 Rev B Date: October 23

2/25

 Middlemarch Environmental Ltd, Triumph House, Birmingham Road, Allesley, Coventry, CV5 9AZ







| Report V | erification | | |
|-------------------|-------------|---|--|
| Report Version | Date | Completed by: | Checked and Approved by |
| Final | 19/04/2023 | Luke Webb BSc (Hons) MArborA Senior Arboricultural Consultant | Duncan Smith BSc (Hons) MArborA Arboricultural Manager |
| Revision A | 30/05/2023 | Luke Webb BSc (Hons) MArborA Senior Arboricultural Consultant | Duncan Smith BSc (Hons) MArborA Arboricultural Manager |
| Revision B | 17/10/2023 | Luke Webb BSc (Hons) MArborA Senior Arboricultural Consultant | Duncan Smith BSc (Hons) MArborA Arboricultural Manager |

Declaration of Compliance

This study has been undertaken in accordance with British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations'.

Disclaimer

The contents of this report are the responsibility of Middlemarch. It should be noted that, whilst every effort is made to meet the client's brief, no site investigation can ensure complete assessment or prediction of the natural environment.

Middlemarch accepts no responsibility or liability for any use that is made of this document other than by the client for the purposes for which it was originally commissioned and prepared.

Validity of Data

The findings of this study are valid for a period of 12 months from the date of survey. If works have not commenced by this date, an updated site visit should be carried out by a suitably qualified and experienced arboriculturist to assess any changes to the trees, groups, and hedgerows on site and to inform a review of the conclusions and recommendations made.

It should be noted that trees are dynamic living organisms that are subject to natural changes as they age or are influenced by changes in their environment. As such following any significant meteorological event or changes in the growing environment of the trees they should be re-assessed by a suitably qualified and experienced arboriculturist.

This Arboricultural Method Statement has been produced following a review of a fixed proposed works layout for the site based on data provided by the client. The methods of work described herein will be a requirement of all relevant contractors associated with the development proposals.



On Site Monitoring Regime & Contact Details

All operations detailed within this AMS will be monitored by the Principal Contractor. The Principal Contractor will ensure that specifications within this document are followed (this will be built into the contract specification) and that the Project Arboriculturist will be contacted for advice in relation to works near to retained trees. The Project Arboriculturist for the site is:

```
Name: Luke Webb
```

Mobile: 07485 903 868

Position: Senior Arboriculturist

Email: <u>luke.webb@middlemarch.eco</u>

| Action | Project Arboriculturist Attendance Required |
|--|--|
| Pre-commencement site meeting / toolbox talk / induction | ✓ |
| Audit timetable | × |
| RIBA Stage 5 – Enabling Works | |
| Tree Surgery | \checkmark |
| Site set up and logistics | ✓ |
| Installation of Tree Protection Barriers (Marking CEZ) | ✓ |
| RIBA Stage 5 – Main Works (Construction) | |
| Permanent and Temporary Ground Protection Measures | × |
| Installation of pipe bridge within RPAs | ✓ |
| Arboricultural Clerk of Works (Supervision) | \checkmark |
| RIBA Stage 6 – Handover and Close Out | |
| Removal of tree protection measures | ✓ |
| Tree Safety Assessment for handover | \checkmark |



Responsibilities

It is the responsibility of the Principal Contractor to ensure that the planning conditions attached to the planning consent are always adhered to and that a monitoring regime regarding tree protection is adopted on site.

The Principal Contractor will be responsible for contacting the Local Planning Authority should any issues are raised related to the trees on site.

If pruning works to trees beyond the agreed scope within this Method Statement are required at any time, then permission must be sought from the Local Planning Authority prior to commencement. All tree surgery works must be carried out in accordance with BS3998.

The Principal Contractor will ensure the build sequence is appropriate to ensure that no damage occurs to retained trees during the construction processes. Protective measures will remain in position until completion of the construction phase of development and will only be removed to allow the commencement of soft landscaping works.

The protection measures and signs will always be maintained in position and checked daily by a designated person on site under the responsibility of the Principal Contractor.



Contents

| 1. | Intro | oduction | 6 |
|-----|-------|--|----|
| 1.1 | 1 | Project Background | 6 |
| 1.2 | 2 | Site Description, Drawings and Appendices | 6 |
| 1.3 | 3 | Proposed works | 6 |
| 1.4 | 4 | Documentation Provided | 7 |
| 2. | Sta | tutory Designation | 8 |
| 2. | 1 | Tree Preservation Order and Conservation Area Protection | 8 |
| 2.2 | 2 | Protected Species | 8 |
| 3. | Arb | oricultural Method Statement | 10 |
| 3.1 | 1 | Introduction | 10 |
| 3.2 | 2 | Enabling Works | 10 |
| 3.3 | 3 | Main Works (Construction) | 13 |
| 3.4 | 4 | Post Construction Works | 15 |
| 3. | 5 | Handover and Close Out | 15 |



1. Introduction

1.1 Project Background

This Arboricultural Method Statement was commissioned by The Clancy Group as part of the installation of a new transfer pipe at Manning's Heath Wastewater Treatment Works, Birch Grove, Horsham. A survey of the trees on site and within influencing distance of the boundaries was undertaken on the 15th February 2023 as part of a Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment (PAA) to aid design and avoid unnecessary tree removal.

An Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) was subsequently undertaken to identify the potential impact of the proposed works on the existing trees surveyed during the PAA in accordance with British Standard 5837:2012 *'Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction - Recommendations'* (hereafter referred to as BS5837).

| 1.2 | Site | Description, | Drawings | and | Appendices |
|-----|------|--------------|----------|-----|------------|
| | | | 0 | | |

| Attribute | Description |
|----------------------------|--|
| National Grid Reference | TQ 202 290 |
| Topography | Levelled areas within the treatment works with steep banks and slopes grading away from the site towards the north and west. A steep ravine is situated towards the east of the survey area. |
| Tree Cover | The site is surrounded by high-quality woodland, there are also some lower quality individual trees and groups of trees located around the peripheries of the site boundary. |
| Drawings attached | Tree Survey Plan – C159801-01-01 Tree Retention Plan – C159801-02-01_RevB Tree Protection Plan – C159801-03-01_Rev B |
| Appendices | Appendix A :Tree Schedule Appendix B: Tree Protection Fencing Sign Appendix C: Arboricultural Impact Assessment RT-MME-159801-02_RevB |

Table 1.1: Summary of Site and Surroundings

1.3 Proposed works

The proposed works include the installation of a new transfer pipe which includes a pipe bridge crossing from an existing sewage treatment works into an adjacent field. The location of the pipe bridge will span an area of recorded ancient woodland.



1.4 Documentation Provided

This statement is based upon the information provided by the client, in addition to information collected by Middlemarch during the Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment, as detailed below.

| Author | Document | Drawing Number | Date |
|--------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Clancy Group | Pipe Bridge Location Plan | 751162 - CD201 | 10th March 2023 |
| Clancy Group | Pipe Bridge Layout Plan | 751162 - CD202 | 15th March 2023 |
| Clancy Group | Pipe Bridge Section | 751162 - CD203 | 15th March 2023 |
| Clancy Group | Pipe Bridge Layout Plan | 751162 - CD202 | 30th May 2023 |

Table 1.2: Documentation Provided



2. Statutory Designation

2.1 Tree Preservation Order and Conservation Area Protection

A desk-based study was undertaken to identify if any of the trees present within or near the site are affected by statutory constraints as detailed below.

| Statutory Constraint | Present | Source | Details |
|-------------------------|--------------|---|---------------------------------|
| ТРО | × | Local Authority website | N/A |
| Conservation Area | × | Local Authority website | N/A |
| Ancient Woodland | \checkmark | Multi Agency Geographical Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) | Gaggle Wood Ancient Woodland |

Table 3.1: Summary of Statutory Constraints that Affect the Site

Where a tree preservation order, conservation area or ancient woodland applies to trees within the assessment area, statutory constraints will apply to the development in respect of trees.

No works must be undertaken on the protected trees without prior permission from the Local Authority unless authorised as part of an approved planning application. Works include pruning, topping, lopping, uprooting or wilful damage or wilful destruction of these trees. Any proposed pruning works not currently approved will need to be fully specified and agreed within a future planning application.

Ancient Woodland

Ancient woodland and ancient semi-natural woodland including plantations on ancient woodland sites in England is defined as an area that has been continuously wooded since at least 1600 AD. It must also be noted that the designation Ancient Woodland refers to the site including the soils, ground flora and fungi and is not limited solely to trees.

Ancient Woodland and Ancient Semi-Natural Woodland require greater protection due to their fragile nature and therefore RPA calculations defined as a distance equal to 15 times the trees stem diameter, or five metres beyond the canopy, whichever is the greater is required in accordance with current guidance for these niche habitats.

2.2 Protected Species

Bats

Mature trees often contain cavities, hollows, peeling bark or woodpecker holes which provide potential roosting locations for bats. Bats and the places they use for shelter or protection (i.e. roosts) receive European protection under The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (Habitats Regulations 2017)¹. They receive further legal protection under the

¹ HM Government – The National Archives (2017) [online] The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017. Available at: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2017/1012/contents/made



Wildlife and Countryside Act (WCA) 1981², as amended. Consequently, causing damage to a bat roost constitutes an offence.

Generally, should the presence of a bat roost be suspected whilst completing works on any trees on site then an appropriately licensed bat worker should be consulted for advice.

Birds

Trees offer potential habitat for nesting birds which are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (WCA) 1981, as amended. Some species (listed in Schedule 1 of the WCA) are protected by special penalties. This legislation makes it an offence to intentionally or recklessly damage or destroy an active bird nest or part thereof.

As the trees on, and adjacent, to the site provide potential habitat for nesting birds all tree work should ideally be completed outside the nesting bird season (Generally March to September).

If this is not possible then the vegetation should be subject to a nesting bird inspection by a suitably experienced ecologist prior to commencement of works. If any active nests are identified then the vegetation, and a defined buffer zone, will need to remain in place until the young have naturally fledged.

² HM Government – The National Archives 2017. *Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981*. [online] Available at: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1981/69/contents



3. Arboricultural Method Statement

3.1 Introduction

The following sections of this report detail the specific protection measures, working methodologies and pruning requirements to be adopted as part the project.

The principal contractor must ensure that they read and understand all of the following sections prior to commencement of site occupation.

Pre-commencement site meeting and site inductions

A pre-commencement site meeting involving the Project Arboriculturist and Principal Contractor will be undertaken at the beginning of the Enabling Works Phase, Main Construction Phase, Post Construction Phase.

Details of tree protection and methods of working around trees will be included within site inductions to new members of site staff. A copy of this document and the related Tree Protection Plan will be kept on site and referred to by operatives working near retained trees.

Monitoring/Audits

An inspection audit will be implemented by the Project Arboriculturist once the protective measures have been installed and prior to site occupation to ensure they provide the level of protection required for retained trees. Feedback will be provided to the Local Planning Authority Arboricultural Officer on completion of this visit and monthly audits of the tree protection measures will be undertaken by the Project Arboriculturist to ensure they remain in position and fit for purpose.

Use of Subcontractors

The Principal Contractor will be responsible for ensuring sub-contractors do not carry out any process or operation that is likely to adversely impact upon any retained tree. If any issues arise in relation to the retained trees the Project Arboriculturist will be contacted for advice.

3.2 Enabling Works

Tree Work

All tree work should conform to BS3998:2010 and be completed prior to the installation of the tree protection measures and site occupation by construction contractors. A Pre commencement meeting with attendance from the Project Arboriculturist will be organised to mark the agreed trees for removal and to set out appropriate working practices in relation to trees.

| Tree/ Group/ Hedgerow Reference | Species | BS5837 Category | Retained | Comments |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|-----------------------|
| T12 | Hawthorn | С | × | Proposed for removal. |
| T20 | Beech | В | × | Proposed for removal. |
| T23 | Holly | С | × | Proposed for removal. |



| Tree/ Group/ Hedgerow Reference | Species | BS5837 Category | Retained | Comments |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|---|
| G4* | Hazel | С | \checkmark | Trees within group to be coppiced and retained in situ where possible. Some trees to be translocated where required. |
| G5* | Mixed species | С | \checkmark | Trees within group to be coppiced and retained in situ where possible. Some trees to be translocated where required. |
| Key *: Partial remo | oval of trees w | ithin group or | woodland | |

Table 3.1: Trees to be Removed or Pruned

Coppicing

Coppicing a tree within an ancient woodland is a delicate process that requires compliance with BS5837 (British Standard for Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition, and Construction) and arboricultural industry guidelines. The methodology outlined below provides a step-by-step approach to ensure the conservation of the woodland and the health of the trees.

- 1. Preparation and Assessment:
 - Identify the target tree for coppicing, considering factors such as species, age, and ecological value.
 - Obtain any necessary permits or permissions required by local authorities, conservation agencies, or woodland management plans.
- 2. Seasonal Timing:
 - Choose the appropriate season for coppicing to minimise the impact on wildlife and to allow for regrowth. Typically, this is done during late autumn or winter when many species are dormant but must also align with the ecological recommendations for the site.
- 3. Marking and Protecting the Area:
 - Clearly mark the tree to be coppiced with easily identifiable tags or paint.
 - Erect temporary fencing, if necessary, around the marked area to prevent access and protect the site from damage by wildlife or machinery.
- 4. Coppicing Process:
 - Clear the area around the target tree to provide a safe working environment.
 - Make clean, slanting cuts at an angle of approximately 45 degrees to shed water and minimize the risk of disease entry. Use sharp, clean tools like pruning saws or billhooks to prevent tearing or splintering of the wood.



- Coppice the marked trees/ stems to a low height, typically around 5-10 centimetres (2-4 inches) above ground level to encourage vigorous regrowth.
 Please note that ecological measures may dictate an alternate stool height as a mitigation measure, this will be guided by the appointed ecologist for the project.
- Maintain a consistent stool or stump height across multiple hazel stools within the same area. This aids in managing future coppicing cycles.
- 5. Waste Management:
 - Remove cut material from the area to prevent potential habitat disturbance or process and use the cut material for wildlife habitat enhancement, such as creating deadwood piles or habitat stacks (follow ecological guidance for the site)
- 6. Monitoring and Maintenance:
 - Periodically inspect the coppiced area to ensure that the tree is regenerating appropriately and to monitor any potential ecological changes.
 - Undertake any necessary maintenance work, such as removing invasive species or thinning regeneration to promote healthy growth.

Tree Translocation

Translocating a tree is a complex and delicate operation that involves carefully uprooting and relocating a tree from its original site to a new location. This methodology outlines the general steps and considerations for tree translocation, but please note that specific details can vary depending on the tree's size, species, and local conditions.

- Conduct a thorough site assessment to evaluate the tree's health, size, and condition. Check for any legal requirements or permits necessary for tree translocation. Select a suitable destination site that provides favourable growing conditions for the tree, including soil type, drainage, and sun exposure.
- 2. Choose the optimal time for tree translocation, which is typically during the tree's dormant season (late autumn or winter) when it's not actively growing.
- 3. Several months before the intended translocation, start root pruning. This process involves cutting the tree's roots in a circle around the root ball to encourage the development of a compact, transplantable root system. The distance from the tree's trunk to the cut depends on the tree's size; for small trees, this might be a few feet, while large trees might require a more extensive root pruning area.
- 4. Determine the appropriate root ball size based on the tree's size and the soil conditions. The root ball should encompass a sufficient number of healthy roots. Use a sharp spade or mechanical tree spade to carefully dig around the root ball, creating a trench. Lift the tree's root ball from the ground using a crane, tractor, or other suitable equipment, being careful to avoid root damage.
- 5. Safely move the tree to its new location, ensure the root ball remains moist and protected from extreme temperatures during transit.



- 6. Prepare the destination site by digging a hole that is as deep as the root ball and twice as wide. Place the tree in the hole, ensuring it's at the same level as it was in its original location. Backfill the hole with soil, gently compacting it to remove air pockets. Water thoroughly to settle the soil.
- 7. Install stakes or guy wires to support the tree and prevent it from tilting or being uprooted by wind or other forces. Mulch the area around the transplanted tree to conserve moisture and reduce competition from weeds.
- 8. Continue to water the transplanted tree regularly for an extended period after the move to help it establish itself in the new location. Monitor the tree for signs of stress or transplant shock and address any issues promptly.
- 9. Implement a maintenance plan that includes regular inspections, pruning, and any required care specific to the transplanted tree species.

Tree translocation is a significant operation and should be conducted by experienced arborists or tree specialists.

Site Setup, Compound, Materials Storage and Vehicle Parking

At the time of writing, the location of the site compound had not been formally identified, however, sufficient space is present within the site to accommodate the compound outside of Construction Exclusion Zones (CEZ) and Root Protection Areas (RPAs) of retained trees, therefore its establishment is unlikely to result in harm to retained trees.

Topsoil Stripping / Subsoil Regrading

Topsoil stripping and regrading of subsoils during the site setup will not occur within the CEZ of any retained tree. Stored soil created from topsoil stripping will not be stored within any Construction Exclusion Zone.

Where regrading works are to be carried out within the RPAs of retained trees, these must be supervised by the Project Arboriculturist. No machinery must be located or stored within the RPAs of retained trees and all work must be carried out from the perimeter of RPA, working towards the trees where necessary in a precautionary manner. The spoil created from these works will not be stored within the RPA. Uncovered roots need to be assessed by the Project Arboriculturist. If deemed necessary, minor roots (<25 mm in diameter) uncovered by the works shall be pruned using clean, sterilised pruning saw or secateurs, leaving as small a pruning wound as possible. If a major root (>25 mm in diameter) is found, then works will be redesigned to accommodate any large roots found.

Demolition of Structures and Removal of Hard Surfaces

There are no structures or hard surfaces that require demolition or removal within the CEZ and no impact upon the existing trees is therefore anticipated.

3.3 Main Works (Construction)

Construction Exclusion Zone

Protective barriers will be positioned where possible to define the Construction Exclusion Zone. It should be noted that due to site specific constraints a combination of existing fencing and the installation of barrier tape will be used to demark and establish the CEZ which will be monitored



by the Project Arboriculturist. Signs will be installed on the barriers to inform site contractors of the importance of the tree protection measures and no works that cause physical damage to retained trees, compaction of the soil or severance of tree roots will occur within any exclusion zone. The extent of the CEZ encompasses the Root Protection Area (RPA) and / or tree canopy where possible.

No works, including storage of construction materials shall take place within the Construction Exclusion Zone as defined by the protective barriers.

The proposed location of the protective barriers surrounding the CEZ is identified on the Tree Protection Plan attached (refer to drawing C159801-03-01_RevA). The barriers will remain in place until completion of construction and will not be removed unless specified by the Project Arboriculturist.

Permanent and Temporary Ground Protection Measures

No ground protection measures will be used throughout the proposed development due to site constraints and terrain, instead appropriate working practices and Arboricultural Supervision will be utilised to ensure the protection of retained trees throughout the proposed works schedule.

Foundations within RPAs

The construction of the proposed pipe bridge will require foundation construction within the RPAs of trees T17 and T19, both English oak trees located on the ravine edges outside of the proposed easement area. Temporary tree protection fencing will be required during the works in addition to arboricultural supervision and appropriate working methodology in accordance with BS5837.

Construction of Pipe Bridge within RPAs

The following details will be adhered to for the construction of the proposed pipe bridge that crosses the ravine and is within the Root Protection Areas of retained trees:

- 1. The exact location of the proposed pipe bridge shall be marked out in conjunction with the Project Arboriculturist avoiding all significant trees on note.
- 2. The location of upright supports will be carefully considered, to ensure the potential for root damage to the adjacent trees is minimised. Particular attention will be given to the location of all posts to ensure that none are sited within 2.0 m of the stem of any retained tree where possible.
- 3. Excavation for the upright foundations within the RPA of any retained tree will be completed manually using handheld tools where possible and must be supervised by the Project Arboriculturist. A vacuum excavator or air spade should be considered as a preferred option if hand digging is not possible. If these options are not feasible then the installation of a working pad on the eastern bank to enable excavator operation may be permitted but this must be specified by a suitably qualified engineer and reside outside of the RPAs of any retained trees. Care should be taken not to damage tree roots that may be present to avoid ingress of decay.
- 4. All exposed roots must be wrapped or covered with damp hessian or similar material, as soon as possible, to prevent desiccation and to protect them from rapid temperature changes.



- 5. If large roots (>25 mm) are identified, then the post location will be relocated where possible to avoid damage and / or severance of roots. Special construction techniques may be used where supports are likely to sever significant roots to 'bridge' these areas and avoid severance of any significant roots.
- 6. If any roots are damaged during the excavation for the posts, they will be cut using sharp cutting tools such as bypass secateurs or handsaws to leave a clean wound with as small a surface area as possible. No roots of greater than 25 mm in diameter will be cut without the agreement of the Project Arboriculturist.
- 7. The holes excavated for the supports shall be lined with an impermeable membrane to prevent concrete leaching into the surrounding soil. The support holes will be backfilled with concrete when applicable to form foundations.
- 8. The supports and pipe bridge structure shall be installed, taking care not to damage any adjacent trees. If any incursions are anticipated between the structures and any tree features including stem, canopy, roots, and branches then the Project Arboriculturist must be consulted before proceeding.
- 9. It is recommended that all posts and other materials will be brought to the working area by hand when working within the RPAs of retained trees.

All works to install the proposed pipe bridge within the RPAs of retained trees should be carried out under supervision of the Project Arboriculturist.

3.4 Post Construction Works

Removal of Protective Barriers

Protective barriers and barrier tape defining the CEZ will be removed once all main construction works have been completed. Barriers shall be removed carefully to ensure no damage to retained trees occurs.

3.5 Handover and Close Out

Tree Safety Assessment

A tree safety assessment will be required prior to handing over the site to the client for final site use to ensure all retained trees are in good condition and any potential hazards are mitigated sufficiently.

This BS5837:2012 survey is not a full or thorough assessment of the health and safety of the trees on or adjacent to the site; and therefore, it is recommended that detailed tree inspections are undertaken on a regular basis with the express purpose of complying with the landowner's duty of care to satisfy health and safety requirements.









on combined field observations and aerial imagery

| Client | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| The Clan | cy Group |
| Drawing Number C159801-01-01-RevA | Revision |
| Scale @ A3 1:250 | Date April 2023 |
| Approved By | Drawn By VO |
| | DLEMARCH |









ЯQ

• Stem location and diamaeter

520280

Category A tree

Category B tree

Category C tree

Current canopy extent

Root protection area

Indicative tree shadow

Ancient woodland

Survey extent

Note: tree locations are approximate, based on combined field observations and aerial imagery



NOTES All dimensions to be verified on site. Do not scale this drawing, use figured dimensions only. All discrepancies to be clarified with Project Arboriculturist. Drawing to be read to onjunction with Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment The positions of trees and their current crown spread, not protection area and shade pattern (where appropriate) havenbeen shown on the Tree Survey Plan. All survey data is based on a topographical survey where possible, supplied by the client. Where topographical information has not identified tree positions or Ordnace Survey mapping has been utilised, trees have been positioned or Ordnace Survey mapping has been utilised, trees have been positioned in relation to axisting surrounding features. Further confirmation of tree and hedgrow locations through a topographical survey of the site is recommended to ensure future design accuracy. The ersignal of this drawing was produced in colour - a monochrome copy should not be relied upon. The exact position of individual trees or species included as part of a tree group, woodiand or hedgrow should be checked and wrified on site pior activity breign undertakan. Further survey work would be required for catculating foundation depths in accordance with current Building Regulations requirements. Trees are living organisms that change over time, the condition of all trees illustrated herein, are to be checked by the Project Arboriculturis should works commence 12 months after the date of this survey. URATI NOLLOD DURAING THE STEREE/ THM INSEE THAT NO WORKS SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN TO ANY TREES ILLUSTRATED HERRIN WITCH TRIST OFFANING THE RELEVANT AUTHORSITION TO DO SO UNLESS AGREED AS PER THE APPROVED PLANS THROUGH PLANNING CONSEST. This drawing is the property of Middlemarch and is issued on the condition is not reproduced, relating, or discisced by an unauthorised person, either wholy or in part without written consent of Middlemarch. Middlemarch accepts to liability for third party use.

| Tree Survey Plan Client The Clancy Group Drawing Number Revision C159801-01-01-RevA Revision Bcale @A3 Date 1:250 April 2023 Approved By Drawn By VO |
|--|
| Client The Clancy Group Drawing Number Revision RevA C159801-01-01-RevA RevA Scale @A3 Date 1:250 April 2023 April 2023 |
| The Clancy Group Drawing Number Revision C159801-01-01-RevA Revision Scale @ A3 Date 1:250 April 2023 Approved By Drawn By |
| Drawing Number C159801-01-01-RevA Revision Scale @ A3 Date 1:250 April 2023 Approved By Drawn By |
| Scale @ A3 Date April 2023 Approved By Drawn By Drawn By |
| Approved By Drawn By |
| LWV VO |
| Triumph House, Birmingham Road, Allesley, Coventry CV5 9A2 1:01676 525880 E:admin@middlemarch-environmental.com |





• Stem location and diamaeter



- Category B to be removed
 - Category B
- Proposed pipeline route _
- Survey extent

Ancient woodland

10 m easement

- Category C to be removed
 - Category C to be translocated
- Category C
- Root protection area
- Current canopy to be removed
- Current canopy to be retained

Indicative tree shadow

ŝ P No. 10 To F Ν

NOTES Al dimensions to be verified on site. Do not scale this drawing, use figured dimensions only. All discopancies to be clarified with Project Artoriculurist. Drawing to be read in conjunction with Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment and Tree Schedule. The positions of trees and their current crown spread, root protection area and shade pattern (where appropriate) havenbeen shown on the Tree Survey Plan. All survey data is based on a topographical survey where possible, supplied by the client. Workance Survey mapping has been utilised, trees have been positioned using GPS and areital photographical survey where possible and by the client. The original of aerial photography to provide approximate locations in relation to existing surrounding features. Further confirmation of tree and hedgrow locations through a topographical survey of the site is recommended to ensure future design accuracy. The original of this drawing was produced in colour - a monchrome copy should not be relied upon. The scale position of individing Regulations requirements. Further survey work would be required for calculating foundation depths in accordance will burners building Regulations requirements. Trees are living organisms that change over time, the constitution of all rees illustrated herein, are to be checked by the Project Arboriculturist should works commence 12 months after the date of this survey. USARDET To STATUTORY CONSTRAINTS, IT IS HEREPERCE ADVISED THAT NO WORKS SHOULD BE LUNDERTAKEN TO ANY TREES ILLUSTRATE ON SO UNLESS AGREED AS PER THE APPROVED PLANS THROUGH PLANNING CONSTRAINTS. The drawing is the property of Middlematch and is issued on the condition it is not reproduced, resinded, or disclosed to an issued on the condition it is not reproduced, resinded, or disclosed to an is usuation the condition it is not reproduced, resinded, or disclosed to an is usuation the condition it is not reproduced, resinded, or disclosed to an is usuation the condition it is not reproduced, resinded, or disclosed to an is usuatio



Triumph House, Birmingham Road, Allesley, Coventry CV5 9AZ T:01676 525880 E:admin@middlemarch-environmental.com

This map is reproduced from the Orchance Survey material with the permission of Orchance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office. It Crown copyright. Unsubhotised reproduction infinges Crown copyright array loss of providence of proceedings. Licence Network: 100040519

Note: tree locations are approximate, based on combined field observations and aerial imagery









Ø

X

• Stem location and diamaeter

5

- Category A
- Category B to be removed
 - Category B

10 m

- Category C to be removed
- Category C to be translocated
- Category C
- Root protection area
- Current canopy to be removed
- Current canopy to be retained

Indicative tree shadow

Ancient woodland 10 m easement

----- Proposed pipeline route

Note: tree locations are approximate, based

on combined field observations and aerial imagery

Survey extent





- NOTES All dimensions to be verified on site. Do not scale this drawing, use figured dimensions only All discrepancies to be clarified with Project Athoriculturist. Drawing to be read in conjunction with Preliminary Athoricultural Assessment and Tree Schedule. The positions of trees and their current crown spread, root protection area and shade pattern (where appropriate) havenbeen shown on the Tree Survey Plan. All survey data is based on a topographical survey where possible, supplied by the client. The Survey mapping has been utilised, trees have been positioned or Ordnance Survey mapping has been utilised, trees have been positioned or Ordnance Survey mapping has been utilised, trees have been positioned or Ordnance Survey mapping has been utilised, trees have been positioned or Ordnance Survey mapping has been utilised, trees have been positioned or Ordnance Survey mapping has been utilised, trees have been positioned or Ordnance Survey mapping has been utilised. These have been positioned the degreev locations through a topographical survey of the site is recommended to ensure future design accuracy. The original of this drawing was produced in colour a monchrome copy should not be relied upon. The exact pastion of Individual Reguestions requirements. Further survey work would be required for calculating foundation depths in accordance wind tourrent Building Regulations requirements. Trees are living organisms that change over time, the condition of all trees illustrated herein, are to be checked by the Project Arboniculturist should works commence 12 months after the date of this survey. UNECONTRINTOR TO THE NOT STATI TO NOTHERS INTO TO DO SO UNLESS AGREED AS PER THE APPROVED PLANS THROUGH PLANNING CINERSENT. This drawing is the property of Middlemarch and is issued on the condition is not epidouded, reliand, or disclosed how an unauthorised person, either wholy or in part without writen consent of Middlemarch. Middlemarch accepts no liability for third party use.





- Barrier tape to be utilised to define Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ)
- Existing fence to act as tree protection barrier
- Stem location and diamaeter

Category A

Category B

- Category C
 - · Current canopy to be retained

- Root Protection Area

Indicative shadow

Ancient woodland

10 m easement

Note: tree locations are approximate, based on combined field observations and aerial imagery

Proposed pipeline route

Tree coppicing works required

Survey extent

#



NOTES Al dimensions to be verified on site. Do not scale this drawing, use figured dimensions only. All discrepancies to be clarified with Project Athoriculturist. Drawing to be read in conjunction with Preliminary Athoricultural Assessment and Tree Schedule. The positions of trees and their current crown spread, root protection area and shade pattern (where appropriate) havenbeen shown on the Tree Survey Plan. All survey data is based on a topographical survey where possible, supplied by the client. The ordinance Survey mapping has been utilised, trees have been positioned using GPS and aerial photography to provide approximate locations in relation to existing surrounding features. Further confirmations of the and hedgrow locations through a topographical survey of the site is recommended to ensure future design accuracy. The original of this drawing was produced in colour - a monchrome copy should not be relied upon. The scale position of individual Result or explacient of the site is recommended to ensure future design accuracy. The existing undertaken. Further survey work would be required for calculating foundation depths in accordance with current Building Regulations requirements. Trees are living organisms that change over time, the constitution of all rees illustrated herein, are to be checked by the Project Arboriculturist should works commence 12 months after the date of this survey. UNITION UNITERST ORDING THE RELEVANT AUTHORISATION TO NO NORKS SHOULD EE LUNDERTAKEN TO ANY TREES ILLUSTRATED VERONIS SHOULD FIEL UNDERTAKEN TO ANY TREES HUSTRATE NO WORKS SHOULD FIEL UNDERTAKEN TO ANY TREES HUSTRATEN THROUGH PLANNING CONSERSTI. This drawing is the property of Middlemarch and is issued on the condition is not reproduced, relatind, or discloseed to any nualthorised person, either wholy or in part without writen conneant of Middlemarch. Middlemarch accepts no liability for third party use.

| Client | - |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| The Cl | ancy Group |
| Drawing Number C159801-03-01 | Revision Rev-A |
| Scale @ A3 1:250 | Date October 2023 |
| Approved By | Drawn By AW/KB |
| | DLEMARCH |

Triumph House, Birmingham Road, Allesley, Coventry CV5 9AZ T:01676 525880 E:admin@middlemarch-environmental.com

This map is reproduced from the Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office. ® Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution of GWI proceedings. Licence Number: 1004/0619





| Lege | nd | | | NOTEO | Mannings Heath WTW | Birch Grove Horsham | |
|------|--|--|--------|---|---|--|--------|
| | Barrier tape to be utilised to define Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ) | Proposed pipeline route | | NOTES All dimensions to be verified on site. Do not scale this drawing, use figured dimensions only. All discrepancies to be clarified with Project Arboriculturist. Drawing to be read in conjunction with Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment and Tree Schedule. | Drawing Tree Prote | ection Plan | - |
| | Existing fence to act as tree protection barrier | Survey extent Tree coppicing works required | | The positions of trees and their current crown spread, root protection area and shade pattern (where appropriate) havenbeen shown on the Tree Survey Plan. All survey data is based on a topographical survey where possible, supplied by the client of the state of | Client The Clan | | _ |
| 0 | Stem location and diamaeter | | | Where topographical information has not identified tree positions or Ordnance Survey mapping has been utilised, trees have been positioned | C159801-03-01 | Rev-A | ဂု |
| | Category A | | | using GPS and aerial protography to provide approximate locations in relation to existing surrounding features. Further confirmation of tree and hedgerow locations through a topographical survey of the site is recommended to ensure thure design accuracy. | 1:250 | October 2023 | 159 |
| | Category B | | | The original of this drawing was produced in colour - a monochrome copy should not be relied upon. The exact bosition of individual trees or species included as part of a tree | LW | AW/KB | 801 |
| | Category C | | | group, wodland or hedgerow should be checked and verified on site prior to any decisions for foundation design, tree operations or construction activity being undertaken. | | | 6 |
| | Current canopy - to be retained | | P3 LIM | Further survey work would be required for calculating foundation depths in accordance with current Building Regulations requirements. Trees are living organisms that change over time, the condition of all trees | | DLEMARCH | ڄ ۲ |
| | Root Protection Area | | | illustrated herein, are to be checked by the Project Arboriculturist should works commence 12 months after the date of this survey. TREES INCLUDED DURING THE ASSESSMENT MAY BE SUBJECT TO | | | - |
| | Indicative shadow | | | STATUTORY CONSTRAINTS. IT IS THEREFORE ADVISED THAT NO WORKS SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN TO ANY TREES ILLUSTRATED HEREIN WITHOUT FIRST OBTAINING THE RELEVANT AUTHORISATION | Triumph House, Birmingham Rc | oad, Allesley, Coventry CV5 9AZ | |
| | Ancient woodland | | | TO DO SO UNLESS AGREED AS PER THE APPROVED PLANS THROUGH PLANNING CONSENT. This drawing is the property of Middlemarch and is issued on the condition | E:admin@middlemarc | ch-environmental.com | _ |
| 611 | 10 m easement | Note: tree locations are approximate, based on combined field observations and aerial imagery | | It is not reproduced, retained, or disclosed to any unauthorised person, either wholly or in part without written consent of Middlemarch. Middlemarch accepts no liability for third party use. | This map is reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mat of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office. © Crown copyright and may lead to Licence Numb | terial with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes o prosecution of civil proceedings. ber: 100040519 | |



XX

- Barrier tape to be utilised to define Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ)
- Existing fence to act as tree protection barrier
- Stem location and diamaeter
 - Category A

Category B

- Category C
 - Current canopy to be retained
 - Root Protection Area

Indicative shadow

Ancient woodland

10 m easement

Note: tree locations are approximate, based on combined field observations and aerial imagery

Proposed pipeline route

Tree coppicing works required

520280

Survey extent



NOTES Al dimensions to be verified on site. Do not scale this drawing, use figured dimensions only. All discrepancies to be clarified with Project Arboriculturist. Drawing to be read in conjunction with Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment and Tree Schedule. The positions of trees and their current crown spread, rock protection area and shade pattern (where appropriate) havenbeen shown on the Tree Survey Plan. All survey data is based on a topographical survey where possible, supplied by the client. All survey data is based on a topographical survey where possible, supplied by the client. The organical dareial photography to provide approximate locations in relation to existing surrounding features. Further confirmation of tree and hedgrow locations through a topographical survey of the site is recommended to ensure future design accuracy. The original of this drawing was produced in colour - a monochrome copy should not be relied upon. The react and the survey work would be included and wrifted on alls prior to any decisions for fundation design, tree operations or construction activity being undertaken. Further survey work would be required for calculating foundation depths in accordance with current Building Regulations requirements. Trees are living organisms that change over time, the condition of all trees illustrated here, are to be checked by the Project Arboriculturis should works commence 12 months after the date of this survey. THATUNGY DETERTIONED TAY IN THEESE ILLUSTRATED HERRIN WITCH TRIST OF TAY IN THEESE ILLUSTRATED HERRIN WITCH TRIST OF ANNING THE RELEVANT AUTHORISATION TO DO SO UNLESS AGREED AS PER THE APPROVED PLANS THROUGH PLANNING CONSENT. This drawing is the property of Middlemarch and is issued on the condition is not reprioted, reliande, or disclosed to any unauthorised person, either wholy or in part without written consent of Middlemarch. Middlemarch accepts no liability for third party use.

| Mannings Heath V | NTW, Birch Grove, Horsham | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Tree I | Protection Plan | | | | | | | |
| Client | Clancy Group | | | | | | | |
| Drawing Number C159801-03-01 | Revision Rev-A | 6 | | | | | | |
| Scale @ A3 1:250 | Date October 2023 | ç | | | | | | |
| Approved By | Drawn By AW/KB | | | | | | | |
| M | IDDLEMARCH | | | | | | | |
| Triumph House, Birmingham Road, Allesley, Coventry CV5 9AZ T:01676 525880 E:admin@middlemarch-environmental.com | | | | | | | | |

This map is reproduced from the Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Har Majesty's Stationary Office. ID Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution of civil proceedings. Licence Number: 100040519



Appendix A - Tree Schedule

| Measurements | Age Class | Overall Condition | Root Protection Area (RPA) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Height - measured rom ground level at base of stem/s (m). YNG: Juvenile trees that have been recently planted. | | G - Good: Trees with only a few minor defects and in good overall health needing little, if any attention. | The RPA column gives the required area (m²). The RPA Radius column gives the radius (m) o an equivalent circle. The RPA is calculated using the formulae described in paragraph 4.6.1 of British Standard | | | | |
| Stem Dia Diameter measured (mm) in accordance with Annex C of the BS5837. | SM: Semi-mature, trees upto 1/3 life expectancy. | F - Fair: Trees with minor, but rectifiable, defects or in the early stages of stress from which it may recover. | area in order for a tree to be retained. | | | | |
| Crown - crown spread estimated radially from the main stem (m). | EM: Early mature, trees 1/3 – 2/3 life expectancy. | P - Poor: Trees with major structural and/or physiological defects such that it is unlikely the tree will recover in the long term. | | | | | |
| Abbreviations Est - Estimated stem diameter Avg - Average stem diameter Max - Maximum stem diameter | M: Mature trees, upto 2/3 life expectancy. | D - Dead: Trees no longer alive. This could also apply to trees that are dying and unlikely to recover. | | | | | |
| | OM: Over mature, declining or moribund trees of low vigour. | In the assessment, of the BS category, partic • The health, vigour and condition of each tree • The presence of any structural defects in ea • The size and form of each tree and its suital • The location of each tree relative to existing features | ular consideration has been given to the following char consideration has been given to the following ch tree and its future life expectancy bility within the context of a proposed development site features e.g. its screening value or landscape | | | | |
| | V: Veteran, tree possessing certain attributes relating to veteran trees. | • Age class • Life expectancy | | | | | |



Structural Condition

The following has been considered when inspecting structural condition: • The presence of fungal fruiting bodies around the base of the tree or on the stem, as they could possibly indicate the presence of possible internal decay.

- Soil cracks and any heaving of the soil around the base.
- Any abrupt bends in branches and limbs resulting from past pruning.
- Tight or weak 'V' shaped forks and co-dominant stems.

 Hazard beam formations and other such biomechanical related defects (as described by Claus Mattheck, Body Language of Trees HMSO Research for Amenity Trees No. 4 1994).

- Cavities as a result of limb losses or past pruning.
- Broken branches or storm damage.
- Canker formations.
- Loose or flaking bark.
- Damage to roots.
- Basal, stem or branch / limb cavities.
- Crown die-back or abnormal foliage size and colour.
- Any changes to the timing of normal leaf flush and leaf fall patterns.

Quality Assessment of Retention Category

Category U - Trees in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years.

Category A - Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years.

Category B - Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years.

Category C - Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm.

Sub-categories: (i) - Mainly arboricultural value (ii) - Mainly landscape value (iii) - Mainly cultural or conservation value









Appendix A - Summary

| | Individual Trees | Totals | Tree Groups | Totals |
|---------------|--|--------|----------------|--------|
| Category U | | 0 | | 0 |
| Category A | T1, T16, T17, T19 | 4 | G2 | 1 |
| Category B | T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9, T10, T13, T20, T22 | 12 | | 0 |
| Category C | T11, T12, T14, T15, T18, T21, T23 | 7 | G1, G3, G4, G5 | 4 |
| | Total | 23 | Total | 5 |

| | Hedgerows | Totals | Woodlands | Totals |
|---------------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|
| Category U | | 0 | | 0 |
| Category A | | 0 | W1 | 1 |
| Category B | | 0 | | 0 |
| Category C | | 0 | | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | Total | 1 |



| Tree | Species | Height | Crown Clearance | No. of Stoms | Stem Dia. | Cr | Crown Radius | | Age | Structure Vigour | Vigour | RPA (m) | A RPA Radius | PA lius Cat | Comments | |
|------|--------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----|------------------|--------|------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|--|
| NO | | (11) | (m) | Stems | (mm) | Ν | Е | S | w | 01855 | | | (11) | (m) | | |
| T1 | English oak | 16.0 | 5.0 | 1 | 660 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | М | F | F | 206 | 8.1 | A 1 | |
| T2 | Alder | 17.0 | 4.0 | 1 | 300 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 8.0 | EM | F | G | 41 | 3.6 | B 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to dense vegetation Limited inspection due to access |
| Т3 | Silver birch | 12.0 | 5.0 | 1 | 350 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 6.0 | ЕМ | F | F | 55 | 4.2 | B 1 | Tree is located off site but overhangs the study area Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to dense vegetation Estimated dimensions |
| T4 | Alder | 16.0 | 5.0 | 2 | 300 350 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 5.0 | EM | F | G | 102 | 5.7 | B 1 | Estimated dimensions Tree is located off site but overhangs the study area Limited inspection due to access |
| T5 | Alder | 9.0 | 3.0 | 2 | 380 420 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 6.0 | М | P | F | 150 | 6.9 | B 1 | Limited inspection due to access Tree is located off site but overhangs the study area Estimated dimensions |
| T6 | Alder | 16.0 | 4.0 | 1 | 550 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | Μ | F | G | 137 | 6.6 | Β1 | Estimated dimensions Tree is located off site but overhangs the study area Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to dense vegetation Limited inspection due to ivy Limited inspection due to safety concerns |
| T7 | Alder | 15.0 | 3.0 | 1 | 400 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 | М | F | F | 72 | 4.8 | B 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to ivy Limited inspection due to safety concerns |
| T8 | Alder | 22.0 | 14.0 | 1 | 500 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | Μ | G | F | 113 | 6.0 | B 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to dense vegetation Limited inspection due to safety concerns Tree is located off site but overhangs the study area |
| Т9 | Ash | 21.0 | 12.0 | 1 | 600 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | Μ | F | F | 163 | 7.2 | B 1 | Estimated dimensions Tree is located off site but overhangs the study area Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to dense vegetation |



| Tree No | Species | Height (m) | Crown Clearance | No. of Stems | Stem Dia. | Cr | Crown Radius | | Age Class | Structure | Vigour | RPA (m) | RPA Radius | Cat | Comments | |
|------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----------|--------|------------|---------------|------|----------|--|
| T10 | Hazel | 6.0 | 2.0 | 14 | 450 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | M | F | F | 92 | 5.4 | B 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to ivy Limited inspection due to safety concerns Requires recoppicing |
| T11 | Ash | 8.0 | 3.0 | 2 | 150 200 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | SM | Ρ | F | 28 | 3.0 | C 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to safety concerns |
| T12 | Hawthorn | 7.0 | 2.0 | 1 | 200 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | SM | Р | F | 18 | 2.4 | C 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to safety concerns Limited inspection due to ivy |
| T13 | Alder | 16.0 | 10.0 | 1 | 350 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 2.0 | EM | F | F | 55 | 4.2 | B 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to safety concerns |
| T14 | Ash | 18.0 | 14.0 | 1 | 300 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | SM | F | Р | 41 | 3.6 | C 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to ivy Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to safety concerns |
| T15 | Ash | 18.0 | 12.0 | 1 | 350 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | SM | F | Р | 55 | 4.2 | C 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to safety concerns |
| T16 | English oak | 24.0 | 9.0 | 1 | 800 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | М | G | G | 290 | 9.6 | A 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to safety concerns |
| T17 | English oak | 22.0 | 7.0 | 1 | 900 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | Μ | G | F | 366 | 10.8 | A 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to ivy Limited inspection due to safety concerns |
| T18 | Holly | 7.0 | 0.5 | 4 | 200 100 70 80 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | SM | F | G | 28 | 3.0 | C 1 | Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to dense vegetation Limited inspection due to ivy Limited inspection due to safety concerns Estimated dimensions |
| T19 | English oak | 23.0 | 13.0 | 1 | 650 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | М | G | G | 191 | 7.8 | A 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to dense vegetation Limited inspection due to safety concerns |



| Tree | Species | Height | Crown Clearance | No. of Stems | Stem Dia. | Cr | own | Radi | us | Age | Structure | Vigour | RPA | RPA Radius | Cat | Comments |
|------|----------|--------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|------|-----|-------|-----------|--------|------|---------------|-----|---|
| NO | | (11) | (m) | Stems | (mm) | Ν | Е | S | W | CidSS | | | (11) | (m) | | |
| T20 | Beech | 15.0 | 7.0 | 1 | 200 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | SM | G | G | 18 | 2.4 | B 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to safety concerns |
| T21 | Ash | 18.0 | 7.0 | 1 | 300 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | SM | F | Р | 41 | 3.6 | C 1 | Estimated dimensions Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to safety concerns |
| T22 | Hawthorn | 7.0 | 2.0 | 2 | 240 110 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | Μ | F | F | 34 | 3.3 | B 1 | Limited inspection due to dense vegetation |
| T23 | Holly | 7.0 | 1.0 | 4 | 150 80 100 130 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | SM | F | G | 28 | 3.0 | C 1 | Limited inspection due to dense vegetation |



| Tree | Species | Height | Crown Clearance | Crown Clearance | Crown Clearance | Crown Clearance | Crown Clearance | Crown Clearance | t Crown Clearance | No. of | Stem Dia. | C | Crown | Radiu | IS | Age | Structure | Vigour | RPA | RPA Radius | Cat | Comments |
|------|---|--------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------|--------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|--|-----------|--------|-----|---------------|-----|----------|
| No | | (m) | (m) | Stems | (mm) | N | Е | S | w | Class | | Ŭ | (m) | (m) | | | | | | | | |
| G1 | Hazel Holly Cherry laurel Rhodedendron | 4.0 | 0.5 | - | 150 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | SM | Ρ | G | 10 | 1.8 | C 2 | Group is located off site but overhangs the study area Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to dense vegetation Estimated dimensions | | | | | | |
| G2 | English oak | 22.0 | 6.0 | - | 900 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | Μ | F | G | 366 | 10.8 | A 1,2 | Group is located off site but overhangs the study area Limited inspection due to dense vegetation Limited inspection due to access Estimated dimensions | | | | | | |
| G3 | Lawson cypress | 10.0 | 2.0 | - | 300 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | SM | F | F | 41 | 3.6 | C 2 | Group is located off site but overhangs the study area Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to dense vegetation Estimated dimensions | | | | | | |
| G4 | Hazel | 6.0 | 0.5 | - | 100 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | SM | Р | F | 5 | 1.2 | C 1 | Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to safety concerns Estimated dimensions, Lapsed coppice stool | | | | | | |
| G5 | Holly Hazel Ash Hawthorn Alder | 9.0 | 0.5 | - | 150 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | Y SM | F,P | G,F | 10 | 1.8 | C 2 | Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to dense vegetation Limited inspection due to safety concerns Limited inspection due to ivy Estimated dimensions | | | | | | |



| Tree | Species | Height | Crown Clearance (m) | No. of Stems | No. of | Stem Dia. | С | rown | Radiu | IS | Age | Structure | Vigour | RPA | RPA Radius | Cat | Comments |
|------|---|--------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------------|-----|------|-------|---------------|-----|-----------|--------|-----|---------------|---|----------|
| NO | | (III) | | | (mm) | Ν | Е | S | w | Class | | | (11) | (m) | | | |
| W1 | Ash Hazel Silver birch English oak Holly Alder Sycamore | 25.0 | 1.0 | - | 800 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | SM EM Y | G,F | G,F | 290 | 9.6 | A 1,2,3 | Areas of group are located off site but overhangs the study area Limited inspection due to access Limited inspection due to dense vegetation Limited inspection due to safety concerns Limited inspection due to ivy Estimated dimensions | |



PROTECTIVE FENCING. THIS FENCING MUST BE MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPROVED PLANS AND DRAWINGS FOR THIS DEVELOPMENT.



TREE PROTECTION AREA KEEP OUT !

(TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990) TREES ENCLOSED BY THIS FENCE ARE PROTECTED BY PLANNING CONDITIONS AND/OR ARE THE SUBJECTS OF A TREE PRESERVATION ORDER. CONTRAVENTION OF A TREE PRESERVATION ORDER MAY LEAD TO CRIMINAL PROSECUTION

ANY INCURSION INTO THE PROTECTED AREA MUST BE WITH THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY



Arboricultural Impact Assessment

RIBA Stage 4

Mannings Heath Water Treatment Works, Birch Grove, Horsham, West Sussex

A Report To: The Clancy Group Report Number: RT-MME-159801-02 Rev B Date: October 2023



 Middlemarch Environmental Ltd, Triumph House, Birmingham Road, Allesley, Coventry, CV5 9AZ







| Report Verification | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Report Version | Date | Completed by: | Checked and Approved by: | | | | | | | | | |
| Final | 19/04/2023 | Stefan Harrison BSc (Hons) MArborA Arboricultural Consultant Luke Webb BSc (Hons) MArborA Senior Arboricultural Consultant | Duncan Smith BSc (Hons) MArborA Arboricultural Manager | | | | | | | | | |
| Revision A | 30/05/2023 | Luke Webb BSc (Hons) MArborA Senior Arboricultural Consultant | Duncan Smith BSc (Hons) MArborA Arboricultural Manager | | | | | | | | | |
| Revision B | 17/10/2023 | Luke Webb BSc (Hons) MArborA Senior Arboricultural Consultant | Duncan Smith BSc (Hons) MArborA Arboricultural Manager | | | | | | | | | |

Declaration of Compliance

This study has been undertaken in accordance with British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations'.

Disclaimer

The contents of this report are the responsibility of Middlemarch. It should be noted that, whilst every effort is made to meet the client's brief, no site investigation can ensure complete assessment or prediction of the natural environment.

Middlemarch accepts no responsibility or liability for any use that is made of this document other than by the client for the purposes for which it was originally commissioned and prepared.

Validity of Data

The findings of this study are valid for a period of 12 months from the date of survey. If works have not commenced by this date, an updated site visit should be carried out by a suitably qualified and experienced arboriculturist to assess any changes to the trees, groups, and hedgerows on site and to inform a review of the conclusions and recommendations made.

It should be noted that trees are dynamic living organisms that are subject to natural changes as they age or are influenced by changes in their environment. As such, following any significant meteorological event or changes in the growing environment of the trees they should be re-assessed by a suitably qualified and experienced arboriculturist.

This Arboricultural Impact Assessment has been produced following a review of a proposed works layout for the site based on data provided by the client. Should the development proposals change, this report will need to be updated to assess the impact of the amended development.



Contents

| 1. Ir | ntroduction4 |
|-------|---|
| 1.1 | Project Background4 |
| 1.2 | Site Description, Drawings and Appendices4 |
| 1.3 | Proposed Works |
| 1.4 | Documentation Provided5 |
| 2. S | Survey Methodology6 |
| 2.1 | Survey Scope6 |
| 2.2 | Root Protection Area (RPA)7 |
| 2.3 | Tree Schedule7 |
| 2.4 | Assessment Limitations7 |
| 2.5 | Conditions of Tree Survey8 |
| 2.6 | Tree Survey Plan8 |
| 2.7 | Tree Retention Plan8 |
| 3. S | Statutory Protection9 |
| 3.1 | Tree Preservation Order and Conservation Area Protection9 |
| 3.2 | Protected Species9 |
| 4. R | Results Summary11 |
| 4.1 | Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment11 |
| 5. A | vrboricultural Impact Assessment13 |
| 5.1 | Introduction13 |
| 5.2 | Tree Retention and Removal13 |
| 5.3 | Works within Root Protection Areas (RPA)14 |
| 5.4 | Tree Pruning14 |
| 5.5 | New Tree Planting14 |
| 6. C | Conclusion15 |
| 6.1 | Summary of Impacts15 |
| 7. A | vrboricultural Method Statement16 |
| 7.1 | Introduction16 |



1. Introduction

1.1 Project Background

This Arboricultural Impact Assessment was commissioned by The Clancy Group as part of the installation of a new transfer pipe at Manning's Heath Wastewater Treatment Works, Birch Grove, Horsham. A survey of the trees on site and within influencing distance of the boundaries was undertaken on the 15th February 2023 as part of a Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment to aid design and avoid unnecessary tree removal.

This Arboricultural Impact Assessment has been carried out in accordance with British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction - Recommendations' (hereafter referred to as BS5837).

The purpose of this report is to:

- Review the relationship between the proposed works and the existing trees and hedgerows identified during the Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment.
- Provide a Tree Retention Plan to determine trees and hedgerows to be retained and removed in the context of the proposed works.
- Identify mitigation to offset any tree or hedgerow loss as part of the development proposals.
- Identify all areas where specific working methods are required to ensure protection of retained trees and hedgerows as part of an Arboricultural Method Statement.

1.2 Site Description, Drawings and Appendices

| Attribute | Description |
|-------------------------|---|
| National Grid Reference | TQ 202 290 |
| Topography | Levelled areas within the treatment works with steep banks and slopes grading away from the site towards the north and west. A steep ravine is situated towards the east of the survey area. |
| Tree Cover | The site is surrounded by high-quality woodland and several lower quality individual trees and groups of trees are located around the peripheries of the site boundary. |
| Drawings attached | Tree Survey Plan – C159801-01-01 Tree Retention Plan – C159801-02-01_RevA |
| Appendices | Appendix A – Tree Schedule |

Table 1.1: Summary of Site and Surroundings

¹ British Standards Institution. (2012). *British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition, and construction – Recommendations.* British Standards Institution, London.



1.3 Proposed Works

The proposed works include the installation of a new transfer pipe which includes a pipe bridge crossing from an existing sewage treatment works into an adjacent field. The location of the pipe bridge will span an area of recorded ancient woodland.

1.4 Documentation Provided

This assessment is based upon the information provided by the client in addition to information collected by Middlemarch during the Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment, as detailed below.

| Author | Document | Drawing Number | Date |
|--------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Clancy Group | Pipe Bridge Location Plan | 751162 - CD201 | 10th March 2023 |
| Clancy Group | Pipe Bridge Layout Plan | 751162 - CD202 | 15th March 2023 |
| Clancy Group | Pipe Bridge Layout Plan | 751162 - CD202 | 30th May 2023 |

Table 1.2: Documentation Provided



2. Survey Methodology

2.1 Survey Scope

To determine the status of the trees within the site, a full arboricultural survey has been undertaken, assessing the species and status of all trees present. This survey has been carried out in accordance with BS5837.

All individual trees with a stem diameter greater than 75 mm are shown on the Tree Survey Plan and have been assigned a unique reference number. Trees were visually assessed and a schedule prepared listing:

- Tree number
- Species
- Tree height
- Minimum crown clearance
- Stem diameter
- Crown spread
- Age class
- Vigour
- Structural condition

Measurements for tree height, minimum crown clearance and crown spread were taken to an accuracy of 0.5 m. Stem diameter measurements were recorded to the nearest 10 mm. Any specific observations were also noted. All observations and measurements are included in Appendix A Tree Schedule.

Trees were assessed and assigned one of the following categories:

Category U:

Trees in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years.

Category A:

Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. Category B:

Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years. Category C:

Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm.

Categories A, B and C have further sub-categories with regards to the reasons for tree retention:

- Mainly arboricultural qualities.
- Mainly landscape qualities.
- Mainly cultural values, including conservation.

N.B. Certain trees considered unsuitable to retain in their current context (Retention Category U) may possess existing or potential conservation value which make them desirable to preserve in the context of wildlife habitat (e.g. areas with limited public access).



2.2 Root Protection Area (RPA)

To avoid damage to the roots or rooting environment of retained trees, the RPA has been calculated for each of the Category A, B and C trees in accordance with section 4.6 of BS5837. BS5837 recommends this as the minimum area around a tree that contains sufficient roots and rooting volume to maintain viable tree vigour and structure. Where groups of trees have been assessed, the Root Protection Area has been shown based on the maximum sized tree stem in each group and so may exceed the Root Protection Area required for some of the individual specimens within the group. Further detailed inspection of the individual trees forming a group may be required where development impacts upon individual trees forming the combined group.

Protection of the roots and soil structure within the RPA should be treated as a priority. These figures have been calculated utilising the formulas within Section 4.6 and Annex D of BS5837.

2.3 Tree Schedule

Appendix A details the individual trees, groups, hedgerows, and woodlands (where present) and includes the relevant information for each at the time of inspection. General observations of any structural and physiological condition and the presence of any decay or physical defects have also been included.

2.4 Assessment Limitations

This survey has been undertaken in accordance with BS5837 and trees with a stem diameter of less than 75mm and the specific location of species within a hedgerow have not been identified in accordance with the guidance. It may therefore be necessary during detailed design to undertake further assessment and accurate positioning of juvenile trees or woody species within hedgerows and tree groups to assist structural calculations for foundation design of structures in accordance with current building regulations and NHBC Chapter 4.2 *Building near Trees*².

This survey is not a full or thorough assessment of the health and safety of the trees on or adjacent to the site; and therefore, it is recommended that detailed tree inspections are undertaken on a regular basis with the express purpose of complying with the landowner's duty of care to satisfy health and safety requirements.

For the purposes of this assessment, a hedgerow is described as a line of trees or shrubs with canopies less than 5m wide which is regularly managed through pruning. Where trees are present within a hedgerow that are significantly different in character from the remainder, these have been identified and recorded separately. A tree survey in accordance with BS5837 does not assess hedgerows against the Hedgerow Regulations 1997³ or from an ecological perspective.

² National House Building Council. (2022). *NHBC Standards 2022: Chapter 4.2 - Building Near Trees*. NHBC, Milton Keynes.

³ Department of the Environment, Transport, and the Regions: London. (1997). *The Hedgerows Regulations 1997: A Guide to the Law and Good Practice.*



The exact position of individual trees or species included as part of a tree group, hedgerow or woodland should be checked and verified on site prior to any decisions for foundation design, tree operations or construction activity being undertaken.

2.5 Conditions of Tree Survey

The survey was completed by a suitably qualified and experienced Arboriculturist from ground level and from within the boundary of the site. Aerial tree inspections or the internal condition of the stem/s or branches was not undertaken at this stage. Evaluation of tree condition given within this assessment applies to the date of survey and cannot be assumed to remain unchanged. It may be necessary to review these within 12 months, in accordance with sound arboricultural practice.

All survey data is based on a topographical survey where possible, supplied by the client. Where topographical information has not identified tree positions or Ordnance Survey mapping has been utilised, trees and hedgerows have been positioned using GPS and aerial photography to provide approximate locations in relation to existing surrounding features. Further confirmation of tree and hedgerow locations through a topographical survey of the site is recommended to ensure future design accuracy.

2.6 Tree Survey Plan

The Tree Survey Plan identifies the existing trees including above and below ground constraints which should be considered during the design process.

2.7 Tree Retention Plan

The Tree Retention Plan identifies which trees and hedgerows are to be retained and incorporated as part of the site development and which are to be removed.



3. Statutory Protection

3.1 Tree Preservation Order and Conservation Area Protection

A desk-based study was undertaken to identify if any of the trees present within or near the site are affected by statutory constraints as detailed below.

| Statutory Constraint | Present | Source | Details |
|-------------------------|----------|---|------------------------------|
| ТРО | × | Local Authority website | N/A |
| Conservation Area | × | Local Authority website | N/A |
| Ancient Woodland | ~ | Multi Agency Geographical Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) | Gaggle Wood Ancient Woodland |

Table 3.1: Summary of Statutory Constraints that Affect the Site

Where a tree preservation order, conservation area or ancient woodland applies to trees within the assessment area, statutory constraints will apply to the development in respect of trees.

No works must be undertaken on the protected trees without prior permission from the Local Authority; works include pruning, topping, lopping, uprooting or wilful damage or wilful destruction of these trees.

Ancient Woodland

Ancient woodland and ancient semi-natural woodland including plantations on ancient woodland sites in England is defined as an area that has been continuously wooded since at least 1600 AD. It must also be noted that the designation Ancient Woodland refers to the site including the soils, ground flora and fungi and is not limited solely to trees.

Ancient Woodland and Ancient Semi-Natural Woodland require greater protection due to their fragile nature and therefore RPA calculations defined as a distance equal to 15 times the trees stem diameter, or five metres beyond the canopy, whichever is the greater is required in accordance with current guidance for these niche habitats.

3.2 Protected Species

Bats

Mature trees often contain cavities, hollows, peeling bark or woodpecker holes which provide potential roosting locations for bats. Bats and the places they use for shelter or protection (i.e. roosts) receive European protection under The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (Habitats Regulations 2017)⁴. They receive further legal protection under the

⁴ HM Government – The National Archives (2017) [online] *The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.* Available at: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2017/1012/contents/made



Wildlife and Countryside Act (WCA) 1981⁵, as amended. Consequently, causing damage to a bat roost constitutes an offence.

Generally, should the presence of a bat roost be suspected whilst completing works on any trees on site then an appropriately licensed bat worker should be consulted for advice.

Birds

Trees offer potential habitat for nesting birds which are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act WCA 1981 (as amended). Some species (listed in Schedule 1 of the WCA) are protected by special penalties. This legislation makes it an offence to intentionally or recklessly damage or destroy an active bird nest or part thereof.

As the trees on, and adjacent, to the site provide potential habitat for nesting birds all tree work should ideally be completed outside the nesting bird season (Generally March to September).

If this is not possible then the vegetation should be subject to a nesting bird inspection by a suitably experienced ecologist prior to commencement of works. If any active nests are identified then the vegetation, and a defined buffer zone, will need to remain in place until the young have naturally fledged.

⁵ HM Government – The National Archives 2017. *Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981*. [online] Available at: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1981/69/contents



4. Results Summary

4.1 Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment

The assessment identified twenty-three individual trees, five groups of trees and one area of woodland as detailed in Appendix A Tree Schedule and Table 4.1 below

| BS5837:2012 Category | Tree/ Group/ Hedgerow Reference |
|-------------------------|---|
| U | - |
| А | T1, T16, T17, T19, G2, W1. |
| В | T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9, T10, T13, T20, T22. |
| С | T11, T12, T14, T15, T18, T21, T23, G1, G3, G4, G5. |

 Table 4.1: Summary of Trees, Groups and Hedgerows in BS5837:2012 Categories

The study area was located at the end of an access track off Gaggle Wood, Golding Lane, Manning's Heath which is situated to the southeast of the local town, Horsham within the borough of West Sussex. The assessment area covered an irregular shaped parcel of land, designated as a wastewater treatment works which was surrounded by an area of recorded Ancient Woodland. Overall, the site was heavily affected by steep topography, but tree stock was generally found to be of high quality, with numerous prominent groups of trees and high value woodland that formed part of the natural landscape around the site.

The assessment for this site was conducted as a BS5837 compliant survey to form part of proposed utilities wastewater transfer pipeline installation, but no tree-related defects were recorded as part of this assessment, therefore, tree safety should only be considered as part of a future Tree Safety Assessment. It should also be noted that due to a lack of accurate topographical data, tree location data was mostly estimated based on combined field observations and aerial imagery. In addition, thorough inspection was not possible on many trees due to a combination of unsafe terrain, dense ivy and dense vegetation which impeded access and also resulted in many dimensions requiring estimation.

The site was within influencing distance of numerous high-quality trees that were considered 'Retention Category A' due to their significant arboricultural and landscape contribution to the surrounding area (see drawing C159849-01-01). These high-quality specimens included four individual trees, one group of trees and one area of woodland which encompassed the site on most of its boundaries. The most distinctive arboricultural feature recorded throughout the survey was the area of woodland (W1) which encompassed the site on its north, east and southern boundaries. This important ecosystem presented significant arboricultural, landscape and conservation value and should be considered as one of the most important natural assets included in the assessment. The woodland area listed as W1 is formally listed as Ancient Woodland according to MAGIC maps (see Table 3.1), offering a wealth of natural tree cover and notable trees of varying age classes. Altogether, the retention of 'Retention Category A' trees should be considered a priority due to their potential future contribution to the surrounding landscape, which would be expected to exceed 40 years.



Twelve individual trees were found to be of moderate retention value and categorised as 'Retention Category B' (see Table 4.1) during the assessment. Most of these trees were prominent, due to a combination of their height, maturity, species, and visibility throughout the local landscape. The recorded trees within this grouping included a variety of native tree species (refer to Appendix A – Tree Schedule), however, the most common was Alder (*Alnus glutinosa*). The trees within this group presented good retention value due to their arboricultural and landscape qualities which would be expected to continue for at least twenty years.

The remaining seven individual trees and four groups of trees, identified within the survey were considered low retention value and were designated Retention Category C. These trees were mostly located around the peripheries of the site but were also notably concentrated in an area to the west of the site within the larger W1 area. The trees situated in this area and designated as 'Retention Category C' were predominantly located on a steep bank and presented lower quality compared to the surrounding trees in the wider woodland group due to etiolated and suppressed forms. Overall, the trees within W1 exhibited low-quality due to a combination of defects or their juvenility and they provided limited beneficial impact to the site, offering an estimated remaining contribution timescale of approximately ten to twenty years.



5. Arboricultural Impact Assessment

5.1 Introduction

This section of the report details the potential impacts that the proposed works may have upon the site's tree stock. The assessment has been based upon the documents detailed in Table 1.1 with reference to the results of the Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment.

5.2 Tree Retention and Removal

The trees to be removed are detailed below and are identified on the Tree Retention Plan. All trees, groups of trees and hedgerows not featured within the table below, are to be retained as part of the proposed works.

| Tree/ Group/ Woodland Reference | Species | Retention Category | Reason for Removal |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|--|
| T12 | Hawthorn | С | Tree is within the proposed easement in close proximity to the pipe bridge and is unsuitable for coppicing or translocation. |
| T20 | Beech | В | Tree is within the proposed easement in close proximity to the pipe bridge and is unsuitable for coppicing or translocation. |
| Т23 | Holly | С | Tree is within the proposed easement in close proximity to the pipe bridge and is unsuitable for coppicing or translocation. |
| Key | | • | |

*: Partial removal of trees within group or woodland

Table 5.1: Tree Removal

The proposed works will require the removal of three individual trees to facilitate the pipe bridge installation. Where possible all understory and coppicable specimens will be retained in situ through coppice management where possible. Where this is not possible, specimens that are suitable for translocation will be moved to alternative positions outside the easement to avoid the loss of arboricultural and ecological features and promote the conservation of the important woodland ecosystem.

An individual tree identified for removal (T20), which was a semi-mature Beech tree was considered to be of moderate value (Retention Category B). The tree measured 15m in height and 200mm in diameter at breast height (DBH), but it should be noted that the tree did present an etiolated form and was mostly only visible from the sewage treatment works due to its location and lacked visibility to the surrounding area.

The remaining two individual trees - T12, a semi mature Holly and T23, a semi mature Hawthorn that have been specified for removal were considered to be of low value (Retention Category C) during the Preliminary Arboricultural Assessment. Translocation and coppicing are considered unsuitable for these trees and therefore they will be completely removed but will be replaced by suitable mitigation planting as specified in the site specific ecological recommendations.



5.3 Works within Root Protection Areas (RPA)

Some aspects of the proposed works will require works within the RPAs of retained trees as detailed below.

| Tree/ Group Reference | Species | Retention Category | Proposed Works |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| T17 | English oak | A | Installation of proposed sewer. |
| T19 | English oak | A | Installation of proposed sewer. |

Table 5.2: Works in RPAs

The majority of the proposed pipe bridge installation within the RPAs of T17 and T19 will be located above ground to enable it to span across a stream and the steep topography towards the west of the site. In this section of the sewer route the sewer will be on a bridge with foundations on either side of the bank and as such the bridge is not expected to present a significant impact upon the RPAs of retained trees. The installation of the subterranean sections of the sewer and the construction of the bridge foundations will be undertaken according to methodologies devised as part of the Arboricultural Method Statement in accordance with BS5837.

5.4 Tree Pruning

Several understory trees that will be retained as part of the proposed development will require Coppice pruning. It should be noted that pruning works should be undertaken during the winter months when the tree is dormant or during the summer months when the tree is fully active. Autumn pruning (when fungal spores are abundant in the surrounding atmosphere) should be avoided if possible.

All tree pruning works will be detailed as part of an Arboricultural Method Statement and completed in accordance with the current best practice guidance set out within BS3998:2010 *"Tree Work – Recommendations"*⁶ by suitably competent, qualified, and insured arboricultural contractors. The extent of pruning should be identified to contractors in a pre-commencement site meeting as part of enabling works.

5.5 New Tree Planting

As part of the current development proposals, no additional tree planting has been demonstrated, however, this is being addressed through ecological recommendations for the project and will be implemented if deemed necessary by the local planning authority to offer mitigation for the proposed tree removals.

The purpose and function of the new tree planting should be carefully considered so that key objectives from a wildlife habitat and landscape perspective can also be achieved.

⁶ British Standards Institution. (2010). *British Standard 3998:2010, Tree Work – Recommendations*. British Standards Institution, London.



6. Conclusion

6.1 Summary of Impacts

The proposed works are unlikely to significantly impact the visual amenity of the local area as a result of the proposed tree removals which are located within a ravine area and are not visible to the surrounding area.

Although the trees that have been identified for removal are situated within an area of ancient woodland, the trees selected are only representative of a low retention value. This area has been identified because there is a lower density of trees which do not represent the 'Retention Category A' characteristics of the surrounding woodland (refer to drawing C159801-02-01_RevA). Overall, it is considered that the comparatively small section will result in minimal loss of ancient woodland habitat which has been inferred by ecological assessments at the site, moreover the proposed working area has been chosen because the trees in this area are more diminutive and are typically of low retention value.

Whilst a section of the works are to be undertaken within the RPAs of retained trees, the nature of those works are such that they can be completed without causing significant impact, subject to the adoption of appropriate working practices as detailed in the site specific Arboricultural Method Statement.



7. Arboricultural Method Statement

7.1 Introduction

An Arboricultural Method Statement will be required for the site as various aspects of the proposed works affect retained trees. The purpose of an Arboricultural Method Statement is to ensure that all site operations occur with minimal risk of adverse impact upon trees that are to be retained.

In relation to this development the Arboricultural Method Statement should address the following:

| Action | Required |
|---|--------------|
| Tree Surgery | \checkmark |
| Site set up and logistics | \checkmark |
| Installation of utilities within RPAs | \checkmark |
| Site access, material storage contractor's parking and site compound location | \checkmark |
| Protective barrier and ground protection location and specification | \checkmark |
| Pre-commencement site meeting | \checkmark |
| Arboricultural Clerk of Works supervision | \checkmark |
| Audit timetable | ✓ |