

James Neave

From: Daniel Barritt <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 10 May 2024 13:25
To: James Neave
Cc: James Stewart-Irvine
Subject: RE: WSCC/015/24 - Crawley Transfer Station - Clarification sought - JN 10 05 24

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

****EXTERNAL****

Hi James,

Please see responded to EHO questions below in red.

Kind Regards



Daniel Barritt
Planning & Development Support Manager

Mobile [REDACTED]

www.biffa.co.uk

Follow, like and share exclusive content and updates on [LinkedIn](#) and [Twitter](#)



From: James Neave <James.Neave@westsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2024 9:40 AM
To: Daniel Barritt <[REDACTED]>
Cc: James Stewart-Irvine <[REDACTED]>
Subject: WSCC/015/24 - Crawley Transfer Station - Clarification sought - JN 10 05 24

Daniel,

We now have comment back from consultees, which include the belated comments of the EHO at Crawley (attached).

I would be grateful if you clarify the following:

- Does the WTS have the capacity to manage the uplift in dog waste tonnages (approximately doubled). For example would this be likely to require any additional containers on site, or rather just more frequent emptying/transfer of the current dog waste container to Redhill? Further, please confirm if any such uplift in volume would be likely to result extended durations of containers needing to be stored outside.

There is no proposal for any additional dog waste bins. Instead, as you suggested, there would be more frequent disposal of the waste at the Redhill Landfill Site. This would also in fact aid with any potential odour concerns as the bin would be emptied more frequently.

- Please can you explain why the tonnages associated with HDC collections result in greater caged vehicle movements compared with that presented previously for the CBC collections.

CBD and HDC are two different waste operations collections. Any increased vehicle movements would be down to factors such as size of the collection area, number of bins required to be emptied within that area, number of vehicle available etc.

- Please clarify the likely routing of HDC vehicles to the site (i.e. whether this would be likely to be through the Crawley AQMA).

We cannot confirm 100% on this as the routes would be pre-determined and operated by HDC, however it is assumed the direct route of the A264 and Crawley Avenue.

- Please clarify/confirm that HDC dog waste is currently disposed of at Redhill.

This is correct.

- Notwithstanding the current issues with bulking of HDC dog waste collections at Hop Oast Depot, please explain why it would be more practicable/beneficial for HDC collections to be bulked at Crawley WTS (given the increase in waste mileage this could cause). Have other alternatives been considered/will they be considered in the future?

The application submitted by Biffa comes from a direct request from WSCC (on behalf of HDC) to tip specifically at the Crawley TS, so it would be for WSCC as the waste disposal authority to consider any other alternatives to the Biffa site or choose to install any additional machinery at their facilities to deal with the dog waste. However, as the operator, Biffa is already accepting the waste stream from CBC at Crawley TS operating under the correct EA permit and planning permission. In addition, Biffa has the experience and the right techniques for dealing with this waste stream.

I look forward to hearing from you,

Regards,

James Neave

James Neave | Principal Planner, Planning Services, [West Sussex County Council](#)
Location: Ground Floor Northleigh, County Hall, Chichester, PO19 1RH
Internal: 25571 | External: (+44) 033022 25571 | E-mail: james.neave@westsussex.gov.uk