

Comment for planning application WSCC/021/23

Application number	WSCC/021/23
Name	Alyson van Koolbergen
Address	2 BABSHAM COTTAGES, BABSHAM LANE, BABSHAM LANE, BOGNOR REGIS, PO21 5EL
Type of Comment	Objection
Comments	<p>I object to this planning application.</p> <p>Whilst I understand and agree that such a facility needs to exist within West Sussex to facilitate local businesses it is sited in an area which is unsuitable for its needs.</p> <p>Recycle Southern Limited (RSL) took tenancy in 2014 and have continued to grow, as expected for a business. To allow expansion for its current and new customers it does need to be sited in a more suitable area for it to be able to do so. Being placed so close to residential properties is detrimental to the local amenity and will continue to do so even if given planning consent.</p> <p>RSL do not engage with their local amenity. They have chosen to ignore local residents and also their ongoing issues with the site since being at Elbridge in 2014.</p> <p>We need to be mindful that this is a retrospective planning application. RSL have already expanded their throughput way above that agreed in September 2014 by WSCC. They have failed to put in adequate screening around the boundary of their site and have already decided that they will push out into Thebrig Field and toward Aldingbourne Rife with their stockpiles which are much higher than that expected in their original application.</p> <p>The boundary fencing continues to be an issue and is now promised to be planted out as per the landscape plan. This needs to be a planning condition as RSL failed to carry this out from their original planning consent granted in 2014.</p> <p>However the main issue that is facing us, as residents living close to RSL and their expanding business is that of dust and its probable carcinogenic material containing within such dust.</p> <p>The Dust Management Plan (DMP) provided by GP Planning LTD makes use of referring to Policy W19 of the Waste Local Plan and its contents including [...] dust, odours and other emissions, [] are controlled to the extent that there will not be an unacceptable impact on public health and amenity.</p> <p>There has not been any control and the dust from this site has been noted to be unacceptable on public health and amenity.</p> <p>Reading further, and referring to, the DMP I note that that wind rose diagram mentions that the wind direction in the locality is predominantly south west/westerly wind. However from a meteorological point of view this is incorrect information as there is no possible way anyone can detect which way wind will blow until detected on anemometers that meteorologists have access to.</p> <p>Therefore wind can blow in any direction depending on many factors. It would seem that the date in which the information was taken for the wind rose in this application was taken on a day when the wind was in a south west/westerly direction.</p> <p>I would suggest that this test is carried out over a number of weeks and months during many types of wind to show an accurate picture.</p> <p>I note that the DMP refers to many measures to control dust. However RSL have been in place since 2014 and nothing has been done thus far to control dust despite their reassurances of such.</p> <p>Dust emanates from this site and is carried into nearby homes and businesses, over vehicles and along the A259.</p> <p>I understand that a brush and hose wheel wash facility is on the plans and I agree with the LHA that this needs to be set as a condition of planning should it be approved. A major fail on behalf of WSCC/LHA is that this was not set in the original application.</p> <p>However I feel that the wheel wash facility is not placed in the best position to enable use by ALL users who will enter and exit the site. Keeping in mind that RSL is used by many businesses so</p>

therefore it is not only their own vehicles who would require to use this wheel wash facility.

In conclusion this application needs to be looked at more in-depth of the issues it is causing to the local amenity and whether any measures that are promised to be put in place are deserving to give approval.

Received

03/07/2023 20:19:53

Attachments